DUE DATE SLIP

GOVT. COLLEGE, LIBRARY

KOTA (Rai)

Students can retain library books only for two weeks at the most

BORROWER S No	DUE DTATE	SIGNATURE
-		
-		1
1		
}		
{		1
}		j
- 1		
j		}
ĺ		İ

1648

THE HISTORY

INDIAN LITERATURE

VIBUICHL MEGIN

VARANASI
CHOWKHAMBA SANSKRIT SERIES OFFICE

PUBLISHER'S NOTE

Among the Western Orientalists, name of Prof Weber occupies a very priminent place. This edition of Shatpath Brohman, and contributions in Indische Studien & Indische Streifen, are still held in the lighest esteem both by Western & Indian scholars. But the present work is Prof. Weber's criwing contribution to the Indian Literature. It deals very exhaustively, in two parts respectively, the histories of Vedic Literature. & the Sanskrit Literature, incorporating therein informations regarding latest researches and newest publications bearing upon the subject. The great crudition and original thinking of Prof. Weber has made the treatment of the subject matter very comprehensive, and at the same time, new light has been thrown on many of the disputed faces of our angent literature.

Such an illuminating and valuable work had long been out of print and not available to the scholars. We, as publishers of Indological books, had been constantly experiencing the great demand of the book and of the resultant frustration due to its innavailability. Therefore with a view to make it available once again, we decided to reprint it. We are now extremely glad to see our ambitton fulfilled.

We earnestly hope that nur venture would be welcomed by scholars and lovers of Indian though, so that we may derive further encouragement to undertake the reprinting of other valuable, yet nut of print works

THE HISTORY

t:

INDIAN LITERATURE

BY

ALBRECHT WEBER

Cranslated from the Second German Cotton

JOHN MANN, MA

THEODOR ZACHARIAE, Ph D

With the Sanction of the Gutber

h el des cours— Auch hier word es logen

POPULAR EDITION

LONDON
REGAN PAUL, TRENCH, TRUBNER & CO LE
BFOADWAY HOUSE 62-74 CAPTER LANE, EC

TRANSLATORS' NOTE.

According to the original intention, the English trans lation of this work was to have anneared shortly after the second German edition, which came out in the end of 1875. and which, as mentioned by the author in his preface, was in part prepared with a view to this translation. In consequence, however, of the death of Professor Childers. under whose direction it was in the first instance begun, and of whose aid and supervision it would, had be lived, have had the benefit, the work came to a stand still, and some time clapsed before the task of continuing and completing it was entrusted to those whose names appear on the titlepage The manuscript of the translation thus interrupted embraced a considerable part of the text of the first division of the work (Vedic Literature) It had not undergone any revision by Professor Childers, and was found to be in a somewhat imperfect state, and to require very material modification Upon Mr Zachariae devolved the labour or correcting it, of completing it as far as the close of the Vedic Period, and of adding the notes to this First Part none of which had been translated From the number of changes introduced in the course of revision, the portion of the work comprised in the manuscript in question has virtually been re-translated. The rendering of the second division of the volume (Sanskrit Literature) is entirely and exclusively the work of Mr Mann

The circumstances under which the translation has been

produced have greatly delayed its appearance. But for this delay some compensation is afforded by the Supulementary Notes which Professor Weber has written for incorporation in the volume (p 311 ff), and which supnly information regarding the latest researches and the newest publications bearing upon the audicots discussed in the work. Professor Weber has also been good enough to read the sheets as they came from the press, and the translotors are indebted to him for a number of suggestions

A few of the obligaviations made use of in the titles of works which are frequently quoted perhaps require explanation eg, I St for Weber's Indusche Studien, I Str for his Indusche Streifen, I AK for Lassen's Indusche Alterthumslande, Z D M Q for Zeitschrift der deutschen rromerlandischen Gesellschaft, de.

The system of translitarition is in the main identical with that followed in the German original, as, however, it varies in a few particulars, it is given here instead of in the Author's Profice It is as follows -

```
e al o au.
       g gh B.
ch chh 1 16 f.
t th d db n.
t the delha
p ph h th m
d 15 a b.
Anusvirs en in the mille of a word before sibilante A .
Larga b
```

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION.

The work of my youth, which here appears in a new edi tion, had been several years out of print. To have repubhashed it without alteration would scarcely have done, and, owing to the pressure of other labours it was impossible for me, from lack of time, to subject it to a complete and systematic remodelling. So the matter rested. At last, to meet the urgent wish of the publisher, I resolved upon the present edition, which indeed leaves the original text unchanged, but at the same time seeks, by means of the newly added notes, to accommodate itself to the actual position of knowledge. In thus finally decidong, I was influenced by the behel that in no other way, could the great advances made in this field of learning since the first appearance of this work be more clearly exhibited than precisely in this way, and that, consequently, this edition might at the same time serve in some measure to present, in nuce, a history of Sanskrit studies during the last four-and-twenty years Another consideration was, that only hy so doing could I furnish a critically secured hasis for the English translation contemplated by Messrs Trubner & Co, which could not possibly pow give the original text alone, as was done in the French translar PPEFACE TO

tion, "which eppeared at Paris in 1859. It was, indeed, while going over the work with the view of preparing it for this English translation, that the hope, nay, the constitution of it was out of this question, still an edition like the present might advantageously appear in a German dress also. I rejoiced to see that this labour of my youth was standing well the test of time. I found in it little that was absolutely erroneous, although much even now remains as uncertain and unsettled as formerly, while, on the other hand, many things already stand cleer and sure which I then only doubtfully conjectured, or which were that time still completely enveloped in obscurity.

The obtaining of critical data from the contents of Indian literature, with a view to the establishment of its infernal chronology and history—not the setting forth in detail of the subject-mitter of the different vorks—was, from the beginning, the object I had before me in these lectures, and this object, together with that of spenifying the publications which have seen the light in the interval, has continued to be my leading point of view in the present annotation of them. To mark off the new matter, equare brackets are used.†

The number of fellow-workers has greatly increased during the last twenty four years. Instead of here running over their names, I have preferred—in order thus to faci-

^{*} Her tre de la Lefraiure Incomer trad de l'Allemand par Alfred Salous Paris A Dirand, 1857

If In the translation, these trackets are only retained to mark new matter added in the second of time to the original notes of the firsty the notes within the second offer in word on the ly now a six a supply indicated by sumbers.—The

٠ī

litate a general view of this part of the sphiect-to add to the Index, which in other respects also has been con-

siderably enlarged, a new section, showing where I have availed myself of the writings of each, or have at least referred to them One work there is, however, which as it underlies all recent labours in this field, and cannot

possibly he cited on every occasion when it is made use of. calls for special mention in this place-I mean the Sanskrit Dictionary of Bohtlingk and Roth, which was completed in the course of last summer * The carrying through of

this creat work, which we owe to the patronage of the St Petersburg Academy of Sciences, over a period of a quarter of a century, will reflect lasting honour upon that body as

well as upon the two editors A W

BERLIN, Agremier 1875

[.] The second edition bears the in cription Dedicated to my friends. Bobtlings and Roth, on the complex on of the Sarakr: D ctionary -Tr.

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION.

THE lectures herewith presented to the narrow circle of my fellows in this field of study, and also, it is hoped to the vider circle of those interested in researches into the history of literature generally, are a first attempt, and as such, naturally, defective and capable of being in many respects supplemented and improved. The material they deal with is too vast, and the means of mastering it in general too maccessible, not to have for a lengthened period completely checked inquiry into its internal relative chronology-the only chronology that is possible Nor could I ever have ventured upon such a labour, had no: the Berlin Royal Library had the good fortune to possess the fine collection of Sanskrit MSS formed by Sir R. Chambers, the acquisition of which some ten years ago, through the liberality of his Majesty, Frederick William IV. and by the agency of his Excellency Baron Bunsen, opened up to Sanskrit philology a fresh path, upon which it has already made vigorous progress. In the course of last year, commissioned by the Royal Library, I undertook the work of catalogung this collection, and as the result a detailed catalogue will appear about simultaneously with these lectures, which may in some sense be regarded as a

commentary upon it. Imperfect 22, from the absolute point of war, both works must appear, I yet charich the

hope that they may reader good service to learning. How great my collections are in the special investiga-

tions, to the wennings of Colempoke, Wilson, Latten, Burrenf. Roth. Remand. Stensler, and Holtzmann, I cally mention here generally, as I have uniformly given amula references to these enthorizes on the troops there.

The form in which these lectures appear is amentically the same in which they were delivered,* with the exception of a few model whom of style: thus, in particular, the transmore and recognizations belonging to and dehvery have been enter curtailed or omitted, while, on the other hand to the modernal remains here circu as

foct-notes-much new marrer has been added. 77 T

· In the Tieter Separates of Greens.

Press, July, 1232.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.

1-7

E-30

Antiquity of Indian hierators, 2, proved by geographical evidence, 3-4, by internal evidence from the history of the Hindu religion, 5, by evidence drawn from the linguage, 6, want of external chropology, 7

FIRST PERIOD-VEDIC LITERATURE.

PRELIMINARY SURVEY,

Earnhitas of the three older Vedus, S-9, mutual relation of the e three Vedus, 9-10, period of their final compilation, 10. Samhitt of the Athersas, 11

(2.) The Erdhrures, 11-15

Their character, 12, and origin, 13, mutual relation of the Brilmanas of the several Vedas, 14, their common name Sruts, 15

(3.) The Sut as, &c

242 24-24, &c
242 24-24, &c
243 24-24, &c
244 24-24, &c
24

A -RIGVEDA.

31-62

(a) Sarchite, 31-44.
Its divisions, 31, 32. Eskala and Vallala's recepsions.

25. Vickii, the achool of the Sunakas, 32, Sunaka, Patchich Böhömray, 34, mythology of the principal Patchich Böhömray, 34, mythology of the principal Patchich Böhömray, 34, mythology of the Patchich Bohom, 25, 27, mode of the of the Indians in the success bome, 27, 38, reasons why they left their success bome, 27, 38, reasons why they left their success bome, 37, 38, reasons why they left their success bome, 37, 38, reasons why they left there success thomas, 37, 38, defended successful and the suc

(b) Brahmanas, 44-12

Aritarya and Edikhdyasa Badhmans, 447 data theron bearing on time of their composition, 457, they present posts earlier compositions with imular contents, 457, tables and legenda contained in these two Brithmans, 47, the Aritaryakas of the Fife Atherrya Aritaryaha, 45° f. Kan Intidexceptale, Kandifickopsnishid, 50° f., Kan Intidexceptale, Kandifickopsnishid, 50° f., Valutars commentanes on the Upanishids, 51°, Vádlkala Unanukad. 25°

(e) Sutras 52.62

The Statia Sétess of Astaldyana and Sínhháyana, 52 ff s communitaries thereon 54, 55, the Gibba Sitrias of Afrahlyana and Sínhháyana, 55 ff, the hierature presupposed in these, 56 57, Ruk Prátaskihya, Upalekha, 59, 60, Sikhás, Chhandas, Jyotsha, 60, 67, Anuku manté 57, Phihadderath Burydhása, Pratishtus, 65

B -SÁNAY EDA,

(a) Sanhiti, 63-66 Its arrangement, 63, the Gánas, 64, autiquity of the residing of the Sama Samhiti, 64, 65, recensions, 65, editions, &c. 65, 66 6t 8c

(b) Brahmanas, 65-75

The Tandys Patchavnes-Bribmans, 65 ff , geographical and other data contained therein, 67-65. Singlama Bribmans, 59. Chipsadopyonenska, the ristate to the Vinhad Armyska, 70, 71, hterary and other data in the Chibsadogrop, 72, 72, Resognation, 73, the similar Bribmans of the Sasins.—Samandhan, 4c, 74, 75

(c) Sutras, 75-85

brauta Sutras, the Kalpa Sütra of Masaka, 75-76, Látyáyana-Sútra, 76 ff., laterature therein presupposed, 76, 77, position of mon Brahmannal triber in this work, 77 custome of Buddham presupposed, 78, Sútra of Dribyýyana, 79, its relatent to the Sutras of the other

85-149

Vedus, 80, Anupada Sátra, 80, 81, Nidána-Sutra, 81, 82 the Punhar-Sátra of Gobbila, 82, 86ma Tantra, Panchardhi, Prathifas, Tandiliakansa, and Upagrantha Sátras, 83, the Gribpa-Sátra of Gobbila, 84 the Karma pradipa of Kátráyana, 84, Paddharia and Partfathas, 81

C-YAJURYEDA.

L-THE BLACK TAUCS. . . 85-103

(a) Samhuds 85-91

(a) Consultant Cy-yii.
Difference between the Black and the White Yayes, \$6.
name of the Black Yayes, \$6 ff.; Churska, Tartistrys, and Ridghitys, \$7, \$35, schools of the Black Yayes.
Tuttiriys Suphist (Apartamba), the Kighika, end the Arryt School, \$3, Suphiss of the Arastamba and Airrys achools, and the Richinka, \$9, chies contained therein, 90, Yakias connection with the arrangement of the Samhit of the Black Tayes, or it, the Kighiras and

the Maitrs, 91

The Brithmans of the Agastamba and Airry a mbools, the McChain porture of the Tutt Brithman, 92, Tatturfys Arnynin, 93, Up-on-hade of the Tat & Ar, 93, 94, echools of the Brithman, Sixtylynama, Sixtynama, &c. 95, Sreidwinterpostacked, 56, Mantelynam Draunhad, 1st modern date, 97, the place", &c., in the Mair Up., 25 peets the mistage of the work to Brithman.

(e) Bib-as, 99-103

Smuta-Sutras, 99-101, Gridya-Sütras, 101, 102, Prán Milion Sútra, 102, Apukramania, 103

IL-TEE WEITS YAIVS,

The name explained, 103 f , the name 'Vájasneya, 104 f , the two echeols of the Kánras and Mádhyandinas, 105, possible connection of the Mádhyandinas with the Mallosbert, 106.

(a) EsmInist, 107-116

Diraces of the Viginarya Sublet, 107, later ourga of the last filters of higher, not, relation of the several parts of the Vij S to the Elack Vijes 108, to its own Erdimans, and to each other, 109-110, probable date of the Fadir-book, 110, the mured cates, 111, position of the Migatha, 111, any position in the Athern-Verla, 112, astronomed and the facts in the Vij S, 113, position of the Kurcu and Palchdias, the numes Subhabbit and Kingilla, 114, Ayana and

103-145

Phalguna as (secret) names of Indrs, 115, the richas incorporated in the Yayus, 115, 116, editloys, common taries, 116

(b) Brdhmana, 116-130

The Safanatha Brahmens, #16 . Ite name and extent, #17 . relation of the Brithmans of the Kénya school to that of the Midhyamdinas, TIT, TIST relation of the several kinds to the Sembits and to each other, 118, 119. posteriority of the last five Adadat, \$20 , Agairahasyskánda, 120, 121, Ashtidhváví kánda, 121, anbiects of study named therein, 121, 122, other data, 122, 123, Afvamedhe kinda, 124 ff , Githis, 124, 125, position of Jenamejaya, 125, of the Parkehitires, 126; the Aranyska-kanda, 126 , the Vrihad Aranyska - Madhukands, 127, ats name and last of teachers, 128, Yannavalkive Linds, 120. Khila-kinds, 120, the concluding ranfa of the Satspatha Brihmana, 131 , probable north western origin of Lindes ve-z of the Satap Dr. 132 the whole blended together by one arranging hand. 133 . tenchers mentioned in the Sater Br . 193, 134 . legends, 114 ff., relation of these to the Epic legends, rat. position of the Kneu Palichilas compared with that of the Pariabetas, 126, the Pandayes not mentioned, 137, points of contact with the Simkhye tradition, 117, with Buddhust legend, 118, commentaries on the Satap Br. editions, &c. 139

(c) Sutres, 139-145

The Sunta-Sites of Milyjana, teacher mentioned there, 17, 179, ether data, 140, commentaries, 241, Paddata and Pariabitas Miyame Pariabita, Pravat-dhydya, Channa vydha, the Vayavige Sites, 141, the Katiya-Ghyh-Gites of Pirabira, 142, 143, the Priti-thibya-Sites of the Vayavige, 124, 144, Ano Kramafi, 144, 145

(a) Samhitt, 145-150

Elect and division of Athertwick Suphils, 145, 146, its contacts and mrangement, 145, is probably ong noted in part with the unbellimented Arpain of the West, 147, data formshed by the Ath. S., the same "Atherica", 145, estiliat mention of this name, 149, the name "Inchesseds," its meaning, 140, 150, ed. tons, 6c, of the Ath S., 150 (b) Brdhmana

The Gopatha Brahmana, 150-151

(.) Sutras, 151-153

The Saunakiya Chaturadhyayaka, 151, Annkramani, 152, the Kausika-Sutra, 152, Kalpas and Parisishtas, 153

UPANISHADS, 153-171

Number of the Upanishade, ICS, ICC. Upanishada be longing to the three older Vedas, 156, 156 , special divi gion of the Atharropanishads into three groups Vedinta. Yoga, and Sectaman Upanishada, 156, Atharvan recension of Unanisheds horzowed from the other Vedus. THE ATRABADDANISHADS PROTES It I those of the Veddata class-the Mandakonanuhad, 158, 150, Pras nonanahad, 150, 160, Garbhonanahad, 160, Brahmona ni had. 160 161. Márdákvonanishad, 161, remaining Upanishada of the Vendnes elas Pringenibotrop, Arabi Lon. 161, 162 (2) Atharonaushads of the Pora class Jabab. Kathafruts, Arumke Bhal'avs, and others, 163 range of ideas and style in this class of Upanishads, 165 . (3) the Sertaman Brans, lads, 165 ff . (a) those in which worship of Viehnu (under the names Nirayana, &c) is in culested, t66, Neushhatdpanivopans had, 167, Ramata paniyopanishad, 168, Gopálatápan'yopanishad, 169, (5) Upanishada of the Siva eects Satarudriya, Kaivalyo panished, 169, Athervatures, 169, 170, remaining Upanishade of the Sira se is, 170 171

SECOND PEPIOD-SANSKRIT LITERATURE

VEEREIN DISTINGUISHED FROM FIRST PERIOD, 175-183
Distinction in respect of language, 175, gradual develop-

ment of Indo-Aryan Fields, 176, gained of the borners thereon 177, separation of writen language from popular dataset—ament datasets differences, 178, rock macrophones in popular datasets, 179, internal endences for posternaryly of second period, 150, critical condition of texts in this period—ego of MSS, 181, distinction as regards subject in Eq. 182, class

fication of Sanskrit hierature, 182.

A -- WORKS OF POETPY.

183-215

г Емс Ростат, 183-196.

(a) Ruldan, 183-189 forerunners of Epic poetry in Vedic

pened, 183, the Mahk Bhirnin, 184, existence of a work resurbing in first century A.P., 286, 1981 of Mich Bhirnin, in relation to Satapatha Bribman, 187, Karn translation, Jamina Bhirnin, 189, (b) Parions their general character—access Particula inteabence of eyes and promenses of ritual element in suiting Punique and Upa penique, 190, 191, (c) Micryin, 191-195 tha Rindyan, 191, its allegencia character, 192, colemantes of Southern Indu, 1937; Rindyana the work of a single anthor, 193, indirect recessions of the text, 194; remaining Karyas, artificial Epo, 195

2. DRAMATIC POSTRY, 196-208

Oragin of Derma from deanog, 196, Nata Sátras mentioned in Pfenun, 197, denoug at the great sceneiral festivals, 195, alleged mestion of drawas in oldert (7) Buddhatte writings, 199, age of surraving dramas, oro, no foundation for the new which places Kijalda in the first century s.c., 201, 202, internal evidence from Kijaldan dramas themselves on this point, 203 authenticity of the Milavskigamnirs, 204, ags of Sudrakas Mychilatisk, 205, subject-matter and apenial peculianties of the Hindu dramas, 206, possibility of Gresk influence on sid development, 207.

3 LYRICAL POSTRY, 208-210.

Religious lyric, 208, Erotic lyric Megha dúts, &c., 209, mystical character of some of these poems—the Gita govinda, 210

4. ETRICO DIDACTIO PORTRY, 210-213

Nits-éatres, 210, "Beast Fable, 211, Palicha tentra, Hitopadeia, 212, popular tales and romances, 213

S HISTORY AND GROUNAPRY, 213-215

Réja-taramgini, 213, meeriptione, grants, and coins, 215

B --- WORES OF SCIENCE AND ART, 1 SCIENCE OF LANGUAGE, 216-232

(a) Grunner, 245-25; Edemia Granmar, its peculiar terminology, 216, Piñens date-estatements of the Chinese triveller Hunan Thamp, 217, weakness of the evidence on which Bohlimpka weer rests, 218, cant once of Michibidalya in the time of Abhumpya, 219, acquantance with Greeks presupposed in Piquin, 220, "Yavandi '221, commentace on Epiniar—Purbhidaks, Vártina, lichtháchya, 222, dato ci Kályfran, 222, ot che Machichya, 223, cente condition of the condition of

2 Percosorer, 232-246

Eigh autquity of Philosophenal specificion among the Hindón, 232. 'Davelopment,' 'Arrangement, 'Cres tone' theories of the world, 233, gradual growth of those theories into philosophenal systems, 234, the Sighkly system, 235, 236, the Yogo-system, 237, Dan o sects, 238, influence of Sárhalys Yogo, On-violopment of Gousteams and Sáfam, 239, the two Himmasts, 237, Karen Mindánd Sctra of Janman, 249, Prahms Himdánd Sétra of Edrádysop, 224, 850 of Eddardyson, 243, the two logical gratum, Nylye and Yusfashin, 244, Heterolor systems, 246

3 ASTROYOUT AND AUTILIARY SCHOOLS, 246-264.

Antiquity of a tronomy, 246, solar year, quinquential eyels, Yugus, 247, the lunar asternsms 247, mention of these in R.k Sambrid, 248, Jyoticha, 240, the planets, 240 , their necular Indian names and number, 250 importance of Greek influence hers, 257, relations of Greeks with India, 251, the Yayanan teachers of the encient Indian e tronomers, 252, "Ptolemaios, "Asura Maya, 253, Romaka Siddhaata, Paulila Siddlaata, 251. Greek terms in Variba Mibura, 251, 253, further development of Indian astronomy Hundas the teachers of the Arebs, 255 (also in algeb a end arithmetic .- the erithmetical figures, 256], and through the Arabs, of Euro pean mediarrel as renomers, 257 , Aryabhata, 257 , the five S ddbintas, 208, Brahmagupta, Varaba Mihira, 259 . date of Varitha hehirs, Setfmanda, and Bhiskara, 260, 261 . Albirini a statements regarding Bhiskara (*), 252 Later period Arabs in turn the teachers of the Hundús in astrology, 263, Arabic technical terms in Indian and European astrological works, 253 254, lore of omens and portents, 251, magne, &c , 264.

4. HEDICA, STETCE, 265-271

Its earliest representatives, 255, Charlas, Šisfruta, Dhan vannirs, 255, Šishotra, Vārpiyana, 257, uncertain date of extent medical works, 255, Hands medicine apparently an independent development, 259, questionable authenticity of crusing texts, 259, impertance of Indian medicine. 250, aim milescen on Araba, 270

5. ART OF WAR, MUSIC, PORMATIVE AND TROUG CAL ARTO, 271-275

Art of war (Dhanur veda) · Vidrémites, Bhiradvé, 2, 27; m. f: (Clédhara veda), 27; (muncal notation, 272), Artha Marta, 273 passing and sculprore, 273, architecture, 274, technical arts, 275

C -- WOPES ON LAW, CUSTOM, AND RELIGIOUS WOF

The Dharma-States, 275, Cote of Mais, Drahmanical organiston as here per neted, 276, highly directoped judical, procedure have submitted, 277, conviction of Dharma States with Gulps States, 277, 478, critical querisms connected with existing text of Main, 279, different reductions of Mains and the other Dharma States with Gulps and the States, 270, 271, different reductions of the other Dharma States with Gulps and Parasian etc. sources for Hinde Inc., SS; modern you spreadency, CS2, Dakha the chief said of linterny as with a fire deventh centure, 250.

P-BUDDHISTIC SANSERIT LITERATURE.

233-310

476-48±

Enddham, its origin from Simbhya doct-upe, 28a relation of Buddhist legend to the later postions of Vedic literature, 25c, princes of axtre name in Buildhist legend and Satspatha Brihmans, 256, position in former of Kuru Paliebilas, Pándavas, Migadhas 285, 287. Buddhist erss, 287, discordance of these with other historical evidence, 287, earliest demonstrable use of there eras, 238 . Buddha e dectrine, 283 . his povel way of promulgating rt, and opposition to Brahmanical hierarchy, 289, tradition 23 to reduction of Buddhistic escred scriptures. Northern and Southern, 200 , inntual relation of the two collections, 202 Plu historical literature, 293, acriptures of Morthern Beddhists their gradual engin, 204, language as which Southern scriptures were at first preserved different from that in which the Northern semptures were recorded at third

TAREF OF CONTENTS

ven i

311

9-3

35 s

-15

council, cor. cof (Jama literature, 205), data formuled by Buddhame Sancint Lie-store of coubtful anther ty for Buddha's age, 207

(a) The Surra-Proofes distriction between the sample and the Mahdraugulya-Sifran, noS., nost, all pleces in Liter. Galifa qualent, 200, contents of the emple Satura Ityukta, Vyfkurina, Avadina, Adbhuta dharma, Gaya, Gatha, Unadeda, Nadena, Jataka, 200, 201, their Pantheon diff ren' from that of the Brahmana texts, not , but identical with that of the Ep o poetry, tot; o her chronological data at the Sitras, 304 .- (b) The Vi-sta-Pushs due plans of clarge, system of m rdicaner, 20, Buddhata kererby as determated from the Brahmaniesl, Buddhist eu'., 905 . points of econoction with Christian real act -(e) The All a lang P and 307, tchools of Buddh . rhansont . 3.3. . lates to the S. mthysep. tom, 303, end to Gar tourn, 309 --Comm n aries on the sames are pieres, and . Tantras.

10 SUPPLILIBITARY NOTES.

INDICES Stanton " nea

lener of Laters, Se. I tore or Accesses.

LECTURES

SET FO

HISTORY OF INDIAN LITERATURE

Ar the very outset of these lectures I find myself in a certain degree of perplexity, being rather at a loss how best to entitle them. I cannot say that they are to treat of the history of "Indian Literature," for then I should have to consider the whole body of Indian languages, including those of non-Aryan origin. Nor can I say that their subject is the history of "indo-Aryan Laterature," for then I should have to discuss the modern languages of India also, which form a third period in the development of Indo-Aryan speech. Nor, lastly, can I say that they are to present a history of "Sanskrit Literature," for the Indo-Aryan language is not in its first period "Sanskrit," i.e., the language of the educated, but is still a popular dialect, while in its second period the people spoke not Sanskrit, but Prakritic dialects, which arose simultaneously with Sanskrit out of the ancient Indo-Aryan vernacular In order, however, to relieve you from any doubt as to what you have to expect from me here. I may at once remark that it is only the literature of the first and second periods of the Indo-Aryan language with which we have to do For the sake of brevity I retain the name "Indian Literature"

I shall frequently in the course of these lectures be forced to draw upon your forbearince. The subject they discuss may be compared to a yet uncultivated tract of

conntry, of which only a few spots have here and there been cleared, while the greater part of it remains covered' with dense forest, impenetrable to the eye, and obstructing the prospect A clearance is indeed now by degrees being made, but slowly, more especially because in addition to the natural obstacles which impede investigation, there still prevails a dense mist of prejudice and preconceived opinions hovering over the land, and enfolding it as with a veil

The literature of India passes generally for the most ancient literature of which we possess written records, and justly so 1 But the reasons which have hitherto been thought sufficient to establish this fact are not the correct ones, and it is indeed a matter for wonder that people should have been so long contented with them In the first place. Indian tradition itself has been adduced in support of this fact, and for a very long time this was considered sufficient It is, I think, needless for me to waste words upon the futile nature of such avidence. In the next place, astronomical data have been appealed to, according to which the Vedas would date from about 1400 BC But these data are given in writings, which are evidently of very modern origin, and they might consequently be the result of calculations mestituted for the express purpose Fur-

In so far as this claim may not now be disputed by the Egyptian monumental records and paperus rolls or even by the As yram litera ture which has but recently been

brought to light.

2 Benides, these calculations are of a very vague character and do not vield any such definite date as that given above, but only some epoch lying between 1820-860 B.C., see R. A S, 1 317, ff. (1864) the circumstance that the oldest records begin the series of makshafras with the sign Epitisht, carnes un back to a considerably earlier period even than these dates, derived from the so-called Vedic Calendar, vis., to a period between 2780-1820 B C ance the vernal equitox comesded with a Tauri (Krittika), in round numbers, about the year 2300 BC, ses / St , x. 234 2,5 But, on the wmentioned, has lately come to light

other hand, the opprion expressed in the first wintern of this work (18ca) to the effect that the Indians may either have brought the knowledge of these lunar management headed by Krittle. with them into ludia, or else have obtained it at a later period th nugh the commercial relations of the Phre micians with the Paujib, has recently gamed considerably in probability. and therewith the suggestion of. Bebylon as the mother country of the observations on which this date in established. See the second of my two treatures. Die veduschen Nachrichten ena den Nakshatra (Berlin, 1862) pp (362-400, my paper, Ueber den Veda-kalender hamens Jyotuha (1862), p 15 / St, x 429 1x 241 ff Whit ney Oriental and Linguistic Studies (1874) 11. 418 - Indeed a direct refarence to Babylon and its sea trade. in which the exportation of neacocks

ther, one of the Buddhust eras has been relied upon, according to which a reformer is supposed to have arisen in the sixth century Be, in opposition to the Brahmanneal inerarchy, but the authenticity of this particular era is still extremely questionable. Lastly, the period when Panini, the first systematic grammarian, flourished, has been referred to the fourth century Be, and from this, as a starting-point, conclusions as to the period of literary development which preceded him have heen deduced. But the arguments in favour of Panini's having lived at that time' are altogether weak and hypothetical, and in no case can they furnish us with any sort of solid basis

The reasons, however, by which we are fully justified in regarding the literature of India as the most ancient lite rature of which written records on an extensive scale have

been handed down to us, are these -

In the more ancient parts of the Rigveda-Samhita, we find the Indian race settled on the north-western borders of India, in the Panjab, and even heyond the Panjab, on the Kuhha, or Κωφήν, in Kahul. The gradual spread of

in an Indian text, the Rivermitaka, ses Minaveff in the Melanois Asiationes (Imperial Pussian Academy). TL 577 ff (1871), and Monateberschie of the Berhn Academy p 622 (1871) As, however, this testimony belongs to a comparatively late period, no great importance can be attached to it -Direct evidence of ancient com mercial relations between India and the West ha. recently been found in hieroglyphic texts of the seventeenth century at which time the Aryas would appear to have been already settled on the Indus. For the word kapi, ape which occurs in I Kings x 22, in the form oof. Gr sives is found in these Egyptian texts in the form kafu see Joh. Dumichen, Die Flotte einer egypt Königin aus dem 17 Jahrh (Leipzig, 1868) table ii p 17 Lastly, tolkism the Hobrew name for peacocks (1 Kings x. 22, 2 Chron. ix. 21) necessarily supplies that al ready in Solomon's time the Phoeni cian ophir merchanta "ont cu affaire sort au pays même des Abbira sort sur un sutre point de la côte de

linde aven des peuplades dravidi ennes, "Julien vinenn, Renue de Linguistique, vi 120 ff (1873) See also Burnell, Elements of South In dian Palacography, p 5 (Mangalore

1874) Or even, as Goldstucker sup

poses, earlier than Buddha. · One of the Vedic Rubis, asserted to be Vatsa, of the family of Kanya, extols, Rok, vm 6. 46-48, the splen and presents, consisting of borses cattle, and makings voked four ture ther-Roth in the St. Petersburg Dict explans ushfra as 'buffilo. humped bull generally it means 'camel')-which, to the glory of the Yadvas, he received whilst residing with Thrundura and Pariu. Or have we here only a single person, Tirim dua Parsu? In the Saukhayana Sranta Sutra, Evt. 11 20, at least, he as understood as Toundira Pára gavys. These names auggest liridates and the Persians, see I St, 1v 379 n but compare Girard de Rielle, Rerue de Languist, 17 227 (1872) Of course, we must not think of the the race from these seats towards the east, heyond the Sarasyati and over Hindustan as far as the Ganges can be traced in the later portions of the Vedic writings almost step by step The writings of the following period, that of the epic, consist of accounts of the internal conflicts among the conquerors of Hundustan themselves as, for instance, the Maha-Bharata, or of the farther spread of Brahmanism towards the south, as, for instance, the Ramayana. If we connect with this the first fairly accurate information about India which we have from a Greek source, viz. from Megasthenes,* it becomes clear that at the time of this writer the Brahmanising of Hindustan was already completed, while at the time of the Peuplus (see Lassen, I AK. 11, 150, n., I St. 11, 102) the very southernmost point of the Dekhan had already become a seat of the worship of the wife of Siva. What a series of years, of centuries, must necessarily have elapsed before this boundless tract of country, inhabited by wild and vigorous tribes, could have been brought over to Brahmanism ! may perhaps here be objected that the races and tribes found by Alexander on the hanks of the Indus appear to stand entirely on a Vedic, and not on a Brahmanical footing As a matter of fact this is true, but we should not be instified in drawing from this any conclusion whatever with regard to India itself For these peoples of the Paniab never submitted to the Brahmanical order of things, but always retained their ancient Vedic standpoint, free and independent, without either priestly domination or system of caste. For this reason, too, they were the objects of a cordial hatred on the part of their kinsmen, who had wandered farther on, and on this account also Buddhism gained an easy entrance among them.

Persians after Cyrus that would bring us too far down. Bet the Persians were so called and bad these own princes even before the time of Cyrus. Or ought we rather, as sugtested by Olahausen in the Berliage Montathericki (1874), P. 708, to think of the Parthaws, i.e., Parths and who as well as Párias are mentioned in the time of the Acheemen inds ? The derivation, hitherto

current, of the word Tirun Tiridates, &c., from the Pahlavi tir=Zend tistrya (giveo eg, by M Bréal, De Perucu nominibus (1863), pp 9 10), is bardly furtified

Who as ambassador of Seleucus resided for some time at the court of Chandragupta. His reports are preserved to us chiefly in the Lond of Anyan, who lived in the second century 1.0.

And while the clams of the written records of Indian literature to a high antiquity-its beginnings may perhaps be traced back even to the time when the Indo Aryans still dwelt together with the Persa-Aryans-are thus indisputably proved by external, geographical testi mony, the internal evidence in the same direction which may be gathered from their contents, is no less conclusive In the songs of the Rik, the robust spirit of the people gives expression to the feeling of its relation to nature with a spontaneous freshness and simplicity, the powers of nature are worshipped as superior beings, and their kindly aid besought within their eeveral spheres Beginning with this nature-worship, which everywhere recognises only the individual phenomena of nature, and these in the first instance as superhuman, we trace in Indian literature the progress of the Hindú people through almost all the phases of religious development through which the human mind generally has passed. The individual pheno mena of nature, which at first impress the imagination as being superhuman, are gradually classified within their different spheres, and a certain unity is discovered among them. Thus we arrive at a number of divine beings, each exercising supreme sway within its particular province whose influence is in course of time further extended to the corresponding events of human life, while at the same time they are endowed with human attributes and organs The number-already considerable-of these natural deties, these regents of the powers of nature, is further increased by the addition of abstractions, taken from ethical relations, and to these as to the other derties divine powers, personal existence, and activity are ascribed. Into this multitude of divine figures, the spirit of inquiry seeks at a later stage to introduce order, by classifying and co-ordinating them according to their principal bearings The principle followed in this distribution is, like the con ception of the deities themselves, entirely borrowed from the contemplation of nature We have the gods who act in the heavens, in the air, upon the earth, and of these the sun, the wind, and fire are recognised as the main representatives and rulers respectively. These three gradually obtain precedence over all the other gods, who are only looked upon es their creatures and servants Strength

ened by these classifications, apeculation presses on and seeks to establish the relative position of these three deities, and to arrive at unity for the supreme Being This is accomplished either speculatively, by actually assuming such a supreme and purely absolute Being, viz, "Brahman" (neut), to whom thesa threa in their turn stand in the relation of creatnres, of servants only, or arbitrarily, according as one or other of the three is worshipped as the supreme god The sun-god seems in the first instance to have been promoted to this honour, the Persa-Aryans at all events retained this standpoint, of course extending it still further, and in the older parts of the Brahmanas also-to which rather than to the Samhitas the Avesta is related in respect of age and contents-wa find the sun-god here and there exalted far above the other deities (prasavitá devánám) We also find ample traces of this in the forms of worship, which so often preserve relics of antiquity 5 Nav. as "Brahman" (mase), he has in theory retained this position, down even to the latest times, although in a very colourless manner His colleagues, the air and fire gods, in consequence of their much more direct and sensible influence, by degrees obtained complete possession of the supreme power, though constantly in conflict with each other Their worship has passed through a long series of different phases, and it is evidently the same which Megasthenes found in Hindustan," and which at the time of the Penplus had penetrated, though in a form already very corrupt, as far as the southernmost point of the Dekhan

But while we are thus justified in assuming a high antiquity for Indian literature, on external geographical grounds, as well as on internal evidence, connected with the history of the Hindu religion, the case is sufficiently unsatisfactory, when we come to look for definite chrono-

⁵ Cf my paper, Zues redische Texte über Omina and Portenta (1859), pp

³⁹²⁻³⁹³ To these, thirdly, we have to add evidence derived from the lim guage The edicts of Psyndams, whose date is fixed by the mention therein of Greak kings, and even of Alexander himself, are written in ships (Indra, Vishpu) in the plain.

popular dialects, for whose gradual development out of the language of the Vedic hymns into this form it is absolutely neces, ary to postulate the lapse of a series of centuries

^{*} According to Strabo, p 117, According to Strabo, p 117, worshipped in the mountains, Hon-

logical dates. We must reconcile ourselves to the fact that any such search will, as a general rule, he absolutely fruitless. It is only in the case of those branches of literature which also became known abroad, end also in regard to the last few centures, when either the dates of manuscripts, or the data given in the introductions or closing observations of the works themselves, furnish us some guidance, that we can expect any result. Apart from this, an internal chronology based on the character of the works themselves, and on the quotations, &c, therein contained, is the only one possible.

Indian literature divides itself into two great periods, the Vedic and the Sauskrit Turning now to the former, or Vedic period, I proceed to give a preliminary general outline of it before entering into the details

FIRST PERIOD

VEDIC LITERATURE

We have to distinguish four Vedas-the Rig-Veda, the Sama-Veda, the Yajur-Veda, which is in a double form, and the Atharva-Veda. Each of these is again subdivided into three distinct parts-Sambita, Brahmana, and Sútra

Their relation to each other is as follows -

The Samhita * of the Rik is purely a lyrical collection, comprising the store of song which the Hindus brought with them from their ancient homes on the hanks of the Indus, and which they had there used for "invoking prospenty on themselves and their flocks, in their adoration of the dawn, in celebration of the struggle between the god who wields the lightning and the power of darkness, and in rendering thanks to the heavenly beings for pre-servation in battle"† The songs are here classified according to the families of poets to which they are asembed. The principle of classification is consequently, so to speak, a purely scientific one It is therefore possible, though more cannot be said, that the reduction of the text may be of later date than that of the two Samhitas which

endyd, enddhydya, adhyayana, sleo "Veda slone It is in the Satras that we first find the term Chhandas specially applied to the Samhitas, and more particularly in Panini, by whom Rish, Nigema, Mantra (7) which the Samhitis are designated are also employed in the same

† See Both, Zur Latteratur und

The name Sambita (collection) first occurs in the so-called Aran yakas, or latest aspplements to the Brihmanas, and 10 the Sutras , but whether in the above meaning, as not as yet certain The Dames by in the Brilimanus are-e ther mehelt. ciardan, yajuna'n, or Rigreda, Si f See Both, Zur Latteratur und mareda, Yajusreda, or Bahrpiches, Gazlackie des Wala, p 8 (Statt-Chhandogas, Adhraryus,-er morf gart, 1845) -

will come next under our consideration, and which, providing as they do for a practical want, became necessary immediately upon the institution of a worship with a fixed ritual For the Samhita of the Saman and both the Samhitas of the Yajus, consist only of such richas (verses) and sacrificial formulas as had to be recited at the ceremonies of the Soma offering and other sacrifices, and in the same order in which they were practically used, at least, we know for certain, that this is the case in the Yajus The Samhita of the Saman contains nothing but verses (richas), those of the Yaius, sentences in prose also. The former, the richas, all recur, with a few exceptions, in the Rik-Samhita, so that the Sams-Samhita is nothing more than an extract from the songs of the latter, of the verses applied to the Soma offering Now the richas found in the Sama-Sambita and Yajub-Sambita appear in part in a very altered form, deviating consi-derably from the text of the Rik, the Rik-Samhita Of this a triple explanation is possible. First, these readings may be earlier and more original than those of the Rik, liturgical use having protected them from alteration, while the simple song, not being immediately connected with the sacred rite, was less scrupulously preserved. Or, secondly, they may he later than those of the Rik. and may have arisen from the necessity of precisely adapting the text to the meaning attributed to the verse in its application to the ceremony Or, lastly, they may he of equal authority with those of the Rik, the discrepancies being merely occasioned by the variety of districts and families in which they were used, the text being most authentic in the district and family in which it originated, and less so in those to which it subsequently passed. All three methods of explanation are alike correct, and in each particular case they must all be kept in view But if we look more closely at the relation of these verses, it may be stated thus. The ruckes occurring in the Sama-Saminta generally stamp themselves as older and more original by the greater antiquity of their grammatical forms, those in the two Samintas of the Yajus, on the contrary, generally give the impression of having undergone a secondary alteration Instances which come under the third method of explanation are found in equal

numbers, both in the Sima-Sambita and the Yaudo-Sambita Altogether, too much stress cannot be laud on this point, namely, that the alterations which the songs and hymna underweit in the popular mouth during their oral transmission, must in any ease be regarded as very considerable, since preservation by means of writing is not to be thought of for this period. Indeed we can hardly admit it for the time of the Brishmanas either, otherwise it would be difficult to account for the numerous deviations of the vanous schools with regard to the text of these works also, as well as for the great number of

different schools (Sákhás) generally

But although the songs of the Rik, or the majority of them, were composed on the banks of the Indus, their final compilation and arrangement can only have taken place in India proper, at what time, however, it is difficult to say Some portions come down to an ageso recent, that the system of caste had already been organised, and tradition itself, in ascribing to Sakalya and Panchala Babbravya a leading part in the arrangement of the Rik-Samhitá, points us to the flourishing epoch of the Videhas end Panchalas, as I shall show hereafter The Samhita of the Saman, being entirely borrowed from the Rik, gives no clue to the period of its origin, only, in the fact that it contains no extracts from any of the later portions of the Rik, we have perhaps an indication that these were not then in existence This, however, is a point not yet investigated. As for the two Samhitas of the Yaius we have in the prose portions peculiar to them, most distinct proofs that both ougmated in the eastern parts of Hindustan," in the country of the Kurupanchalas, and that they belong to a period when the Brahmanical element had already gained the supremacy, although it had still to encounter many a hard struggle, and when at all events the hierarchy of the Brahmans, and the system of caste, were completely organised Nay, it may be that we have even external grounds for supposing that the present re-daction of the Samhita of the White Yajus dates from the third century BC For Megasthenes mentions a people called Masiavsivol, and this name recurs in the Ma-

⁷ Or rather to the east of the Indus, in Emdustin

dhyamdinas, the principal school of the White Yaius More of this later on

The origin of the Atharya-Samhita dates also from the period when Brahmanism had become dominant. It is in other respects perfectly analogous to the Rik-Samhita and contains the store of song of this Brahmanical epoch Many of these songs are to be found also in the last, that is, the least ancient book of the Rik-Samhita. In the latter they are the latest additions made at the time of its compilation, in the Athanian they are the proper and Estural utterance of the present. The spirit of the two collections is indeed entirely different. In the Rik there breathes a lively natural feeling a warm love for nature, while in the Atharvan there prevails, on the contrary, only an anxious dread of her evil spirits, and their magical In the Rik we find the people in e state of free activity and independence, in the Atharvan we see it bound in the fetters of the hierarchy and of superstition But the Atharva-Samhita likewise contains pieces of great antiquity, which may perhaps have belonged more to the people proper, to its lower grades, whereas the songs of the Rik appear rather to have been the especial property of the higher families . It was not without a long struggle that the songs of the Atharvan were permitted to take their place as a fourth Veda. There is no mention made of them in the more encient portions of the Brahmanas of the Rik, Saman, and Yajus, indeed they only originated simultaneously with these Brahmanas, and are therefore only alluded to in their later portions

We now come to the second part of Vedic literature,

the Brohmanes The character of the Brahmanas t may he thus gene-

veduche Texte uber Omina und Por

^{*} This surmise, based upon e-r ta a passages in the Atherrap, would certainly be at variance with the name 'Atarrangurasas, borne by the Sunhits, according to which would belong on the contrary to the most ancient and noble Brab man families But I have elsewhere advanced the conjecture, that this name was simply assumed in order to impart a greater exactly to the L na .make Brahmana of the White contents, see / St., 1. 295 [Zies Yajus, and especially in its thir

tenta pp 346-348] + Thisterm signifies that which relates to prayer, brohman Brah manutself means drawing forth, as

well in a physical sense 'producing "creating as in a spiritual one 'lifting The first mention of the name Brihmana in the above sense, is

rally defined Their object is to connect the sacrificial songs and formulas with the sacrificial rite, by pointing out, on the one hand, their direct mutual relation , and, on the other, their symbolical connection with each other In setting forth the former, they give the particular ritual in its details in illustrating the latter, they are either directly explanatory and analytic, dividing each formula into its constituent parts, or else they establish that con-nection dogmatically by the aid of tradition or specula-We thus find in them the oldest rituals we have. the oldest linguistic explanations, the oldest traditional narratives, and the oldest philosophical speculations This peculiar character is common generally to all works of this class, yet they differ widely in details, according to their individual tendency, and according as they belong to this or that particular Veda. With respect to age they all date from the period of the transition from Vedic orvilisation and culture to the Brahmanic mode of thought and social order Nay, they help to bring about this very transition, and some of them belong rather to the time of its commencement others rather to that of its termination.* The Brahmanas originated from the opinions of individual sages, imparted by oral tradition, and preserved as well as supplemented in their families and by their disciples The more numerous these separate traditions became, the more urgent became the necessity for bringing them into harmony with each other. To this end as time went on compilations comprising a variety of these materials, and in which the different opinions on each subject were uniformly traced to their original represen-

teenth book. In cases where the dogmatical explanation of a cere monial or other precept has afready been given, we there find the ex pression tasyaktam brilimanam, of this the Britmana has already been stated, whereas in the books pre ceding the thirteently, we find in such cases tarval to handhuh ata euro nection has already been set furth [1 St , v 60, 12 351]—Bendes Brihmana, Pravachana is also used un the Sama Sutras, according to the

communitary, in the same sense. they also mention Acubrichmans, a term which does not occur elsewhere

except to Panina

^{*}Pinini, iv 3 105, directly men trons *older (purinaprokta) Brin pueges, and in contradistinction to these there must, of course have been in existence in his day more modern for as the schohast says, tu! eutila) Brahminas ' [See on this Boldstueuer, Popini, p 132, N, and my rejounder m / St, v 64 ff]

tatives, were made in different districts by individuals peculiarly qualified for the task. But whether these compilations or digests were now actually written down, or were still transmitted orally only, remains uncertain. The latter supposition would seem probable from the fact that of the same work we here and there find two texts enturely differing in their details. Nothing definite, howmay possibly have been some fundamental difference in the original, or even a fresh treatment of the materials It was moreover, but natural that these compilers should frequently come into collision and conflict with each Hence we have now and then to remark the exhibition of strong enimosity against those who in the author's opinion are heterodox. The preponderant influence gradually gained by some of these works over the rest—whether by reason of their intrinsic value, or of the fact that their author eppealed more to the hierarchical spirit *-has resulted, unfortunately for us, in the preservation of these only, while works representative of the disputed opinions have for the most part disappeared Here end there perhaps in India some fragments may still he found, in general, however, here as everywhere in Indian literature, we encounter the lamentable fact that the works which, in the end, came off victorious, have almost entirely supplanted and effaced their predecessors After all, a comparatively large number of Brahmanas is still extant-a circumstance which is evidently owing to their being each annexed to a particular Veda, as well as to the fact that a sort of petty jealousy had always prevailed among the families in which the study of the different Vedas was hereditarily transmitted Thus in the case of each Veda, such works at least as had come to he considered of the highest authority have been preserved. although the practical significance of the Brahmanas was

writing in India, it is important to point out that the want of suitable material, in the North at least, be fore the introduction of paper, must have been a great obstacle to its general use —Burnell, Elements of Sunt 1-the Polyacypoly, p. 10.1

^{*} The difficulty of their preserva in the case, as at that time writing either did not exit at all, or at any rate was but seldom employed ["In considering the question of the age and eyent on he me of

gradually more and more lost, and passed over to the Sitras, &c To the number of the Brahmanas, or recentions of the Samhitas, which were thus lost, helong those of the Vishikalas, Panguns, Bhallavins, Sätryyanins, Kalabavins, Lamekasyanins, Sambiuns, Khadayanins, and Silankayanins, which we find quoted on various occasions in writings of this class, besides all the Chhandas works (Samhitas) specified in the gama 'Samaka' (Pan, 19 3 106), whose names are not so much as mentioned elsewhere

The difference between the Brahmanas of the several Vedas as to subject-matter is essentially this. The Brah manas of the Rik, in their exposition of the ritual, generally specify those daties only which fell to the Hotar, or regiter of the richas, whose office it was to collect from the various hymns the verses suited to each particular occasion, as its fastra (capon) The Brahmanas of the Saman confine themselves to the duties of the Udgater, or singer of the edmans, the Brahmanes of the Yajus, to the duties of the Adhvaryn, or actual performer of the sacrifice In the Brahmanes of the Ruk, the order of the sacrificial performance is on the whole preserved, whereas the sequence of the hymns as they occur in the Rik-Samhita is not attended to et all. But in the Brahmanas of the Saman and Yajus, we find a difference corresponding to the fact that their Samhitás are already adopted to the proper order of the ritual. The Brahmana of the San enters but seldom into the explanation of individual verses, the Brahmana of the White Yajus, on the contrary, may be almost considered as a running degmatic commentary on its Samhita, to the order of which it adheres so strictly, that m the case of its omitting one or more verses, we might perhaps be justified in concluding that they did not then form part of the Samhita. A supplement also has been added to this Brahmana for some of those books of the Samhita which were incorporated with it at a period subsequent to its original compilation so that the Brahmana comprises 100 adhrdyas instead of 60, as formerly seems to have been the case The Brahmana of the Black Yajus does not, as we shall see further on, differ in its contents, but only in point of time, from its Samhita. It is, in fact, a supplement to it. The Brahmana of the Atharvan is up to the present time unknown, though there are manuscripts of it in England.

The common name for the Brahmans hterature is \hat{S} -mt, 'hearing,' ee, that which is subject of hearing, subject of exposition, of teaching, by which name their learned, and consequently exclusive, character is sufficiently intimated. In accordance with this we find in the works themselves frequent warnings against intrusting the knowledge contained in them to any profine person. The name Sruti is not indeed mentioned in them, but only in the Sútras, though it is perfectly justified by the corresponding use of the verb δ re which occurs in them frequently

The third stage in Vedic literature is represented by the Sútras* These are, upon the whole, essentially founded

 It has ence been published, see below. It presents no cort of direct internal relation to the Ath. Samhita.

* The word Satra in the above sense occurs first in the Madhakands, one of the letest supplements to the Brahmane of the White Yajns, next in the two Gribya Sútras of the Rik. and finally in Panine. It means thread, band, of Lat sure Would it be correct to recard it as on expression analogous to the German band (volume) ! If so, the term would have to be understood of the fastening together of the leaves and would necessarily presuppose the existence of writing (in the same way, perhaps as granted does, a term first occurring in Panin I) Inquiry into the origin of Indian writing has not, unfortunately, led to much result as yet. The oldest inscriptions, secording to Welson. date no earlier than the third century BO Nearchus however, as 13 well known, meutions writing, and his time corresponds very well upon the whole to the period to which we must refer the origin of the Satras. But as these were composed chiefly with a view to their being committed to memory-a fact which follows from their form, and partly accounts for it—there might be good grounds

for taking exception to the ctymology just proposed, and for regard ang the signification 'guiding line, 'clue' as the original one [This is the meaning given in the St Peters burg Dictionary - The writing of the Indians as of Semitio origin . see Benfey Indien (in Ersch and Gruber's Encyclopædia 1840), p 254 my Indische Slimen (1856), p 127 ff. Burnell, Elem. of South Indian Pal, p 3 ff. Probably it served in the first instance merely for eccular purposes, and was only applied subsequently to literature See Muller Ane S Lat, p 507, I St, v 20 ff, I Str, 11 339 Goldstucker (Panins, 1860 p. 26, ff.) contends that the words sitra and grantha must absolutely be connected with writing See, however I St , v 24, ff. , xm 476] - Nor does etymology lead us to a more serious result in the case of another word found in this connection, viz., akshara, syllable This word does not seem to occur in this sense in the Samhits of the Rik (or Siman), at there rather signifies importable. The connecting link between this primary eignification and the meaning syllable which is first met with in the Sambita of the Yejus, might perhaps be the idea of writing, the latter being the making imperishable, as it were, of otherwi o on the Brahmanas, and must be considered as their necessary supplement, as a further advance in the path struck out by the latter in the direction of more rigid system and formalism 9 While the Brahmanas, with the view of explaining the sacrifice and supporting it by authority, &c, uniformly confine themselves to individual instances of utual, interpretation, tradition, and speculation, subjecting these to copious dogmatic treatment, the object of the Sútras is to comprehend everything that had any reference whatever to these subjects The mass of matter became too great, there was risk of the tener of the whole heing lost in the details, and it gradually became impossible to discuss all the different particulars consecutively Diffuse discussion of the details had to be replaced by concise collective summaries of them. The ntmost breaty was, however, requisite in condensing this great mass, in order to avoid overburdening the memory, and this brevity ultimately led to a remarkably compressed and enigmatical style, which was more and more cultivated as the literature of the Sutras became more independent, and in proportion as the resulting advantages became apparent. Thus the more ancient a Sútra, the more intelligible it is, the more enignization it is, the more modern will it prove "

But the literature of the Sútras can by no means be said to rest entirely upon the Frihmanas, for these, as rule, give too exclusive a prominence to the ritual of the sacrifice Indeed, it is only one particular division of the Sútras—viz, the Kalpa-Sútras, aphorisas exclusively devoted to the consuleration of this vitual 12—which hears

Beeing and eraserems worth and achibits (I). On a the notword the impersabile Myer at the root of this agondation! If the Bretts of this agondation! If the Bretts of the action of the first of the face and the first of the face action of of th

* On the mutual relations of the Bribmanas and Shires are also I St.

viii 76 77, ix 353, 354

Precisely as in the case of the Brithmanes, as also in the case of the Kalpas, a.e., Kalpa Sutras Pénini, iv 3 105, distinguishes those composed by the anticuts from those composed by the anticuts from those

that are nearer to has own time

To the sacraftee and accretional
implements of the Franta-Sutras, see
H Mullerin Z D M G , IX xxvv xxvv - Hang's notes to be stranslation of the Artarcya Brahmana , and
ingraper Zer Kenstens dit reliables
Outcombush J St. x. xiv

the spec al name of Śran'a-Sutras, a.e., "Sutras founded on the Srua." The sources of the other Sutras must be sought elsev here

Side hy side with the Sranta-Sútras we are met hy a second family of ritual Sútras, the so-called Gribys-Sutras. which treat of domestic ceremonies, those celebrated at birth and hefore it, at marriage, as well as at death and after it. The origin of these works is sufficiently indi-cated by their title, since, in addition to the name of Grihya-Sútras, they also bear that of Smarta-Sútras, 1.c., "Sutras founded on the Srariti" Smrati, memory, 10, that which is the subject of memory, can evidently only be distinguished from Sruts, hearing, as, that which is the subject of hearing, in so far as the former impresses tries and the memory directly, without special instruction and provision for the purpose. It helongs to all, it is the property of the whole people, it is supported by the conscrousness of all, and does not therefore need to he specially inculcated Custom and law are common property and eccessible to all, ritual, on the contrary, though in like manner arising originally from the common consciousne.s. is developed in its details by the speculations and suggestions of individuals and remains so far the property of the few, who, favoured hy external circumstances, understand how to inspire the people with a due are of the importance and sanctity of their institutions. It is not, however, to he assumed from this that Smrti, custom and law, did not also undergo considerable alterations in the course of time The mass of the immigrants had a great deal too much on their hands in the subjugation of the aborigines to be in a position to occupy themselves with other matters Their whole energies had, in the first instance, to be concentrated upon the necessity of holding their own against the enemy When this had been effected, and resistance was broken down, they awoke suddenly to find themselves bound and shackled in the hands of other and far more powerful enemies, or rather, they did not awake at all, their physical powers had been so long and so exclusively exercised and expended to the detriment of their intellectual energy, that the latter had gradually dwindled away altogether The history of these new enemies was this The knowledge of the ancient songs

with which, in their socient homes, the Indians had worshipped the powers of nature, and the knowledge of the ritual connected with these songs, became more and more the exclusive property of those whose ancestors perhaps composed them, and in whose families this knowledge had been hereditary These same families remained in the possession of the traditions connected with them, and which were necessary to their explanation. To strangers in a foreign country, anything brought with them from home becomes invested with a halo of sacredness, and thus it came about that these families of singers became families of priests, whose influence was more and more consolidated in proportion as the distance between the people and their former home increased, and the more their ancient institutions were banished from their minds by external struggles The guardians of the ancestral customs, of the primitive forms of worship, took an increasingly prominent position, became the representatives of these, and, finally, the representatives of the Divine itself For so ably had they used their opportunities, that they succeeded in founding a hierarchy the like of which the world has never seen To this position it would have been scarcely possible for them to attain but for the enervating climate of Hindustan, and the mode of life induced by it, which exercised a deteriorating influence upon a race unaccustomed to it. The families also of the petty kings who had formerly reigned over individual tribes held a more prominent position in the larger kingdoms which were of necessity founded in Hindustan, and thus arose the military caste. Lastly the people proper, the Visas or settlers, united to form a third caste, and they in their turn naturally reserved to themselves prerogatives over the fourth caste, or Sudras This last was composed of various mixed elements, partly, perhaps, of an Aryan race which had settled earlier in India, partly of the aborigines themselves, and partly again of those among the immigrants, or their Western kinsmen, who refused adherence to the new Brahmsmcal order. The royal

^{*} Who were distinguished by their colour, for casts. [See I St., z 4 , very colour from the three other 10] casts, hence the name, as

families, the warriors, who, it may be supposed, strenuously supported the priesthood so long as it was a question of robbing the people of their rights now that this
was effected turned against their former illies, and sought
to throw off the yoke that was likewise laid npon them.
These efforts were, however, unavailing, the colossus was
too firmly established Obscure legends and isolated
allusions are the only records left to us in the later
writings, of the sacrlegions hands which ventured to attack the sacred and divinely consecrated majesty of the
Brahmans, and these are careful to note, at the same
time, the terrible panishments which befell those improus
offenders. The fame of many a Barbarossa has here
passed away and been forgotten!

The Smarta-Sútras, which led to this digression generally exhibit the complete standpoint of Brahmanism Whether in the form of actual records or of compositions orally transmitted, they in any case date from a period when more than men cared to lose of the Smints-that precious tradition passed on from generation to generation-was in danger of perishing Though, as we have just seen, it had undergone considerable modifications, even in the families who guarded it, through the infinence of the Brahmans. yet this influence was chiefly exercised with reference to its political bearings, leaving domestic manners and customs 11 untouched in their ancient form, so that these works cover a rich treasure of ideas and conceptions of extreme antiquity. It is in them also that we have to look for the beginnings of the Hindu legal literature.13 whose subject-matter, indeed, in part corresponds exactly to theirs, and whose authors bear for the most part the same names as those of the Grihya-Sútras With the strictly legal portions of the law-books, those dealing with

(1854) and M Müller, ibid. IX. 1-xxxv (1855) and lastly, O Don mer's Pendapatriyama (1870)

¹¹ For the nitual relating to both see Spayier shook on the Jatlasmas (Leyden, 1872)—for the marriage ceremonies, Hause spayer, Urber due Henrethrepletauche der allen Inder, with additions by myself in I & 1, v 207, ff., also my paper Ferdards Hockentagraphice, ibid., p 177, ff. (1852)—on the burnal of the dead, Rich in Z D M ff., vin. 477, ff.

¹² Beardes the Gribya Sutras we find some texts duretily called Diar ma-Sutras, or Simayachánka Sutras which are specified as portions of Srauta Stiras, but which were no doubt subsequently inserted into these.

civil law, criminal law, and political law, we do not it is true, find more than a few points of connection in these Sutras, but probably these branches were not codified at all until the pressure of actual imminent danger made it necessary to establish them on a secure foundation risk of their gradually dving out was owing to the constant operation of the factors involved, not so great as in the case of domestic customs But a far more real peril threatened them in the fierce assaults directed against the Brahmanical polity by the gradually increasing power of Buddhism. Buddhism originally proceeded purely from theoretical heterodoxy regarding the relation of matter to spirit, and similar questions, but in course of time it addressed itself to practical points of religion and worship, and thenceforth it imperilled the very existence of Bighmanism, since the military caste and the oppressed classes of the people generally availed themselves of its aid in order to throw off the overwhelming yoke of priestly domination. The statement of Merasthenes, that the Indians in his time administered law only and pumpy, from memory, I hold therefore to be perfectly correct, and I can see no grounds for the view that uniun is but a mistranslation of Smriti in the sense of Smriti-Sastra, 'a treatise on Smrits. For the above-mentioned reason. however-in consequence of the development of Bugdhism into sa anti-Brahmanical religion the case may have altered soon afterwards, and a code, that of Manu, for example (founded on the Manava Gribyz-Sutra), may have been drawn up But this work belongs not to the close of the Vedic, but to the beginning of the following penod

As we have found, in the Smitti, an independent has is for the Gribpa-Stuttas—in addition to the Brahmana, where but few points of contact with these Sutras can be truced—so too shall we find an independent basis for those Sutras too shall we find an independent basis for those Sutras is in the recitation of the songs and formulas at the sorifice that we shall find it. Although accordingly, these

^{*}This latter new has been best nell, Elements of S. Ind. Palongr., set forth by Schwambeck Moyes p. 4.]
thence, pp. 50-51 [Extee also Bur

Shitras stand on a level with the Brahmanas which over their crizin to the same source, vet this must be under stood as applying only to those views on linguistic rela-tions which, being presupposed in the Sutras, must be long anterior to them It must not be taken as applying to the works themselves, masinuch as they present the results of these antecedent investigations in a collected and systematic form Obviously also, it was a much more natural thing to attempt, in the first justince, to elucidate the relation of the prayer to the sacrifice, than to make the form in which the priver itself was drawn up a subsect of any estigation. The more sacred the sacrificial performance grew, and the more fixed the form of worship gradually became, the greater became the importance of the prayers belonging to it, and the stronger their claim to the utmost possible purity and safety To effect this, it was necessary, first, to fix the text of the prayers, secondly to establish a correct pronunciation and recitation, and, lastly, to preserve the tradition of their origin. It was only after the lause of time, and when by degrees their literal sense had become foreign to the phase into which the language had passed-and this was of course much later the case with the priests, who were familiar with them. than with the people at large-that it became necessiry to take precautions for securing and establishing the sense also To attain all these objects, those most conversant with the subject were obliged to give instruction to the ignorant, and circles were thus formed around them of travelling scholars, who made pilgrimages from one tearlier to another according as they were attracted by the fame of special learning These researches were naturally not confined to questions of language, but embraced the whole range of Brahmanical theology, extending in like manner to questions of worship, dogma, and speculation, all of which, indeed, were closely interwoven with each other We must at any rate, assume among the Brahmaus of this period a very stirring intellectual life, in which even the women took an active part, and which accounts still further for the superiority maintained and exercised by the Brahmans over the rest of the people Nor did the military caste hold aloof from these inquiries, especially after they had succeeded in securing a time of repose from

external warface. We have here a faithful conv of the scholastic period of the Middle Ages, sovereigns whose courts form the centres of intellectual life, Brahmans who with lively emulation carry on their inquiries into the highest questions the human mind can propound, women who with enthusiastic ardonr plunge into the mysteries of speculation impressing and astonishing men by the depth and loftiness of their opinions, and who-while in a state which, judging from description, seems to have been a kind of somnambulism-solve the questions proposed to them on sacred subjects. As to the quality of their solutions, and the value of all these inquiries generally, that is another matter. But neither have the scholastic subtleties any absolute worth in themselves, it is only the striving and the effort which ennobles the character of any such period

The advance made by linguistic research during this epoch was very counderable. It was then that the text of the prayers was fixed, that the redsction of the various Samhitas took place. By degrees, very extensive precautions were taken for this purpose. For their study (Patha), as well as for the different methods of preserving them—whether by writing or by memory, for either is possible "—such special injunctions are given, that it seems

13 All the technical terms, how ever, which occur for analy of the Veds and the like, uniformly refer to apeaking and reciting only and thereby point to exclusively oral tradition. The writing down of the Vedic texts seems suded not to have taken place until a compara tively late period See I St v 18, ff (1861) Muller Anc S Let p 507, ff (1859) Westergaard, Ueber den altesten Zeitraum der indischen Geschichte (1860, German transla tion 1862 p 42, ff) and Haug, Leber das Wisen des vedischen Ac conti (1873 p. 16, ff), have declared themselves in favour of this theory Hang thinks that these Brabmans who were converted to Buddham were the first who come goed the Ved to writing-for polemical pur poses-and that they were followed

by the rest of the Brahmans On the other band, Goldstucker Bolit hagk, Whitney, and Roth (Der Atharraveda in Kanimir, ; 10), are of the opposite opinion holding to particular, that the authors of the Prittilikhvas must have had written texts before them Benfay also formerly shared this view but recently (Emletung in die Gramma tik der ved Spracht p 31) he hu expressed the belief that the Vedic texts were only committed to writ tog at e late date, long subse quent to their 'diasleuans But nell also I c, p to me of opinion that, amongst other things the very scarcity of the material for writing an ancient times "almost precludes the enstence of MSS of books or all but impossible that any alteration in the text, except in the form of interpolation, can have taken place since These directions, as well as those relating to the propunciation and recitation of the words, are laid down in the Praticakhva-Sútras, writings with which we have but recently been made acquainted. Such a Pratisakhya-Sútra uniformly attaches itself to the Samhita of a single Veda only but it embraces all the schools belonging to it. it gives the general regulations as to the nature of the counds employed, the emphonic rules observed, the accent and its modifications, the modulation of the voice, &c Further, all the individual cases in which peculiar phonetic or other changes are observed are specially pointed out , 14 and we are in this way supplied with an excellent critical means of arriving at the form of the text of each Samhita at the time when its Pratisakhva was composed If we find in any part of the Samhitá phonetic peculianties which we are unable to trace in its Prátišákhya, we may reet assured that at that period this part did not yet belong to the Samhita. The directions as to the recital of the Veda te, of its Samhita t in the schools-each individual word being repeated in a variety of connections- present a very lively picture of the care with which these studies were pursued

For the knowledge of metre also, rich materials have been handed down to us in the Sútras The singers of the hymns themselves must naturally have been cognisant of the metrical laws observed in them. But we also find the technical names of some metres now and then mentioned in the later songs of the Rik. In the Brahmanas the oddest tricks are played with them, and their harmony is in some mystical fashion brought into connection with the harmony of the world, in fact stated to be its funda-

By Roth up his essays Jur Litteratur und Geschichte des Heda. p 53 ff (translated in Journ As Soe Bengal, Japuary 1848, p 6, ff) 14 This indeed is the real purpose of the Pratisakhyan namely, to show how the continuous Samhita text is to be reconstructed out of the Pada text, in which the individual words of the text are given

separately in their original form unaffected by samely a.c., the influ ence of the words which immediately precede and follow Whatever else, over and above this, is found in the Priisikhyas is merely acces sory matter See Whitney in Jour nal Am Or Soc 1v 250 (1853) + Strictly speaking only these

⁽the Samhitas) are Veds.

mental cause. The simple minds of these thinkers were too much charmed by their rhythm not to be led into these and similar symbolisings The further development of metre afterwards led to special inquiries into its laws Such investigations have been preserved to us, both in Satras 15 treating directly of metre, eq. the Nidana-Sutra, and in the Anukramanis, a peculiar class of works, which, adhering to the order of each Samhita, assign a poet, a metre, and a deity to each song or prayer They may, therefore perhaps belong to a leter period than most of the Sútras, to a time when the text of each Samhita was already extant in its final form, and distributed as we there find it into larger and smaller sections for the better regulation of its study. One of the smallest sections formed the pupil's task on each occasion. The preservation of the tradition concerning the authors and the origin of the prayers is too intimately connected herewith to he dissociated from the linguistic Sutras, although the class of works to which it gave rise is of an entirely different character The most ancient of such traditions are to be found, as above stated, in the Brahmanas themselves These latter also contain levends regarding the origin and the author of this or that particular form of worship, and on such occasions the Brahmana frequently appeals to Gathas. or stanzas preserved by oral transmission among the people. It is evidently in these legends that we must look for the origin of the more extensive Itihasas and Puranas, works which but enlarged the range of their subject, but which in every other respect proceeded after the same fashion, as is shown by several of the earlier fragments preserved, eg, in the Maha-Bharata. The most ancient work of the kind hitherto known is the Brihaddevata hy Saunaka, in slokus, which, however, strictly follows the order of the Rik-Samhita, and proves hy its very title that it has only an accidental connection with this class of works Its object properly is to specify the deity for each verse of the Rik-Samhita. But in so doing it supports its views with so many legends, that we are fully justified in classing it here It, however, like the other Anukramanıs belongs to a much later period than most

[&]quot; See Part I of my paper ou Indian Propody, J St, viii. 1, ff (1863)

of the Sútras, since it presupposes Yaska, the author of the Nirukti, of whom I have to speak presently, it is, in fact, essentially based upon his work [See Adalb Kuhn

in I St. 1 101-120]

It was remarked above, that the investigations into the literal sense of the prayers only began when this sense had gradually become somewhat obscure, and that, as this could not be the case among the priests, who were fami har with it, so soon as amongst the rest of the people, the language of the latter may at that time have undergone considerable modifications. The first step taken to render the prayers intelligible was to make a collection of synonyms, which, by virtue of their very arrangement, ex-plained themselves, and of specially obsolete words, of which separate interpretations were then given orally These collected words were called, from their being "ranked' "strung together ' Nigranthu corrupted into Nighantu," and those occupied with them Naighantukas One work of this kind has been actually preserved to us 16 It is in five books, of which the three first contain synonyms, the fourth, a list of specially difficult Vedic words, and the fifth, a classification of the various divine personages who figure in the Veda. We also possess one of the ancient expositions of this work, a commentary on it, called Nirukti, "interpretation,' of which Yaska is said to be the author It consists of twelve books to which two others having no proper connection with them were afterwards added It is reckened by the ludians among the so-called Vedangas, together with Siksha Chliandas, and Jyotisha -three very late treatises on phonetics, metre, and astro nomical calculations and also with Kalpa and Vyakarana, 10, ceremonial and grammar, two keneral categones of literary works The four first names likewise originally signified the class in general, 12 and it was only later that they were applied to the four individual works

^{*} See Roth, Introduction to the Nurvkit, p xn "To this place belong further, the

It this place belong further, the Nighantu to the Atharra 8 men thoused by Haug (if 1 St ix 175 176), and the Nigama Peris alta of the White Yama

[&]quot;Skiehi still continues to be the name of a species. A convidently number of treatives so entitled have recently been found, and more are constant y being brought to light. Cf. Kielhorn J. S., xiv t60.

now specially designated by those titles It is in Yaska's work, the Nirukti, that we find the first general notions of grammar Starting from the phonetic rules the observance of which the Pratifakhva-Sútras had already established with so much muniteness—but only for each of the Veda-Samhitas-advance was no doubt gradually made, in ' the first place, to a general view of the subject of phonetics, and thence to the remaining portions of the domain of language Inflection, derivation, and composition were recognised and distinguished and manifold reflections were made upon the modifications thereby occasioned in the meaning of the root. Yaska mentions a considerable number of grammatical teachers who preceded him, some by name individually, others generally under the name of Natruktes, Varyakaranas, from which we may gather that a very brisk activity prevailed in this branch of study To judge from a passage in the Kaushitaki-Erahmana, linguistic research must have been carried on with peenhar enthusiasm in the North of India, and accordingly, it is the northern, or rather the north-western district of India that gave birth to the grammanan who is to be looked upon as the father of Sanskrit grammar, Panini. Now, if Yaska himself must be considered as belonging only to the last stages of the Vedic period. Panini-from Yaska to whom is a great leap-must have lived at the very close of it, or even at the beginning of the next period Advance from the simple designation of grammatical words by means of terms corresponding to them in sense, which we find in Yaska, to the algebraic symbols of Panini, implies a great amount of study in the interval. Besides Panini himself presupposes some such symbols as already known, he cannot therefore be regarded as having invented, but only as having consistently carried out a method which is certainly in a most eminent degree suited to its purpose

Lesty, Philosophical Speculation also had its peculiar development conferencemental with, and subsequently its, the Berhinants it is in this field and in that of grammar that the Indian mind attained the highest pitch of its marcelloss fettlets in subtle distinctions, however abstrace or naive, on the other hand, the method may occasionally be. Several hymns of a speculative purport in the last book, of the Rik Samhita testify to a great depth and concentration of reflection upon the fundamental cause of things, necessarily implying a long period of philosophical research in a preceding age. This is borne out by the old renown of Indian wisdom, by the reports of the companions of Alexander as to the Indian gymnosophists, &c.

It was mevitable that at an early stage, and as soon as speculation had acquired some vigour, different opinions and starting-points should assert themselves, more especially regarding the origin of creation , for this, the most mysterious and difficult problem of all, was at the same time the favourite one Accordingly, in each of the Brahminas, one at least, or it may be more, accounts on the subject may be met with, while in the more extensive works of this class we find a great number of different conjectures with regard to cosmogony One of the principal points of difference naturally was whether indiscrete matter or spirit was to be assumed as the First Cause The latter theory became gradually the orthodox one, and is therefore the one most frequently, and indeed almost exclusively represented in the Brahmanas. From among the adherents of the former view, which came by degrees to he regarded as beterodox there arose, as thought developed, enemies still more dangerous to orthodoxy, who, although they confined themselves in the first place solely to the province of theory before long threw themselves into practical questions also, and eventually became the founders of the form of belief known to us as Buddhism The word buddha "awakened enlightened," was originally a name of honour given to all sages including the orthodox This is shown by the use both of the root budh in the Brahmanas, and of the word buddha itself in even the most recent of the Vedantic writings The technical application of the word is as much the secondary one as it is in the case also of another word of the kind, sramana, which was in later times appropriated by the Buddhists as peculiarly their own Here not merely the corresponding use of the root fram, but also the word framana itself as a title of honour, may be pointed out in several passages in the Brahman's Though Megasthenes, in a passage quoted by Strabo, draws a distinct line between two sects

of philosophers, the Braxuares and the Zapudvai, yet we should hardly be justified in identifying the latter with the Buddhist mendicants at least, not exclusively; for he expressly mentions the δλόβιοι-15, the Brahmachains and Vanaprasthas, the first and third of the stages into which a Brahman's life is distributed -as forming part of the Zapuarar The distinction between the two sects probably consisted in this, that the Brayilares were the "phil osophers" by birth, also those who hved as householders (Grihasthas), the Zapidoza, on the contrary, those who Appears, on occountry, those wine gave themselves up to special mortifications and who might belong also to other castes. The Πράμναι, mentoned by Strab in another passage (see Lasen, I AK 1350, whom, following the accounts of Alexander's time, he describes as accomplished polenical dislectionars, in contradistinction to the Boavuaise, whom he represents as chiefly devoted to physiology and astronomy, appear either to be identical with the Σαρμάναι—a supposition favoured by the fact that precisely the same things are asserted of both-or else, with Lassen, they may be regarded as Pramanas, te, founding their belief on pramana, logical proof, instead of revelation As, however, the word is not known in the writings of that period, we should in this case hardly be justified in accepting Strabo's report as true of Alexander's time, but only of a later age Philosophical systems are not to be spoken of in connec-tion with this period, only isolated views and speculations are to be met with in those portions of the Brahmanas here concerned, viz, the so-called Upanishads (upanishad, a session, a lecture) Although there prevails in these a very marked tendency to systematise and subdivide, the investigations still move within a very narrow and limited range. Considerable progress towards systematising, and expansion is visible in the Upanishads found in the Aranyakas,* 2 c, writings supplementary to the Brahmanas, and specially designed for the υλοβιοι, and still greater progress in these Upanishads which stand by themselves, i.e.

^{*} The same Arapyaka occurs first presages an contradistinction to in the wirtight to Pag is 2 129 feet. Yell 3, in 120 100 and in the on this, I St. v. 491 then in Mann. Atharropanished (see I St. ii 179). ir t23 lipavalkye : \$45 (in both

those which, although perhaps unginally annexed to a Brahmana or an Aranyaka of one of the three older Vedas, have come down to us at the same time-or, it may be, have come down to us only-in an Atharvan recension Finally, those Upanishads which are directly attached to the Athana-Veda are complete vehicles of developed philosophical systems, they are to some extent sectaman in their contents, in which respect they reach down to the time of the Puranas That, however, the fundamental works now extant of the philosophical systems, viz., their Sútras, were composed much later than has hitherto been supposed, is conclusively proved by the following considerations In the first place, the names of their authors are either not mentioned at all in the most modern Brahmanas and Aranyakas or, it they are, it is under a different form and in other relations-in such a way, however, that their later acceptation is already foreshadowed and exhibited in the germ Secondly, the names of the sages mentioned in the more ancient of them are only in part identical with those mentioned in the latest liturgical Sútras And. thirdly, in all of them the Veda is expressly presupposed as a whole, and direct reference is also made to those Upanishads which we are warranted in recognizing as the latest real Upanishads , may, even to such as are only found attached to the Atharvan The style, too, the enigmatical conciseners, the mass of technical terms—although these are not vet endowed with an algebraic force-imply a long previous period of special study to account for such precision and perfection The philosophical Sutras, as well as the grammatical Sútra, should therefore be considered as dating from the beginning of the next period, within which both are recognised as of predominant anthonty

In closing this survey of Vedico Interature, I have lastly to call attention to twin inher branches of science, which, though they do not appear to have attained in this period to the possession of a literature—at least not one of which direct relices and records have reached us—must yet have employed considerable cultivation—I mean Astronomy and Medicine Both received their first implies from the Engences of religious wurship Astronomical observations—though at first, of course, these were only of the

rudest description—were necessarily required for the regu-lation of the solemn sacrifices, in the first place, of those offered in the morning and evening, then of those at the new and full moon, and finally of those at the commencement of each of the three seasons Anatomical observations, again, were certain to be brought ebout by the dissection of the victim at the sacrifice, and the dedication of its different parts to different derives The Indo-Germanic mind, too, being so peculiarly susceptible to the influences of nature, and nature in India more than envishere else inviting observation, particular attention could not fail to be early devoted to it Thus we find in the later portions of the Vajasaneyi-Samhila and in the Chhandogyopanishad express mention made of "observers of the stars". and "the science of astronomy," and, in particular, the knowledge of the twenty-seven (twenty-ight) lunar mansions was early diffused. They ere enumerated singly in the Taittiriya-Samhitá, and the order in which they there occur is one that must necessarily have been established somswhere between 1472 and 536 BC Strabo, in the above-mentioned passage, expressly assigns dorpovoula as a favourite occupation of the Brayuares Nevertheless, they had not yet made great progress at this period, their observations were chiefly confined to the course of the moon, to the solstice, to a few fixed etars, and more particularly to estrology

As regards Medicine, we find, especially in the Samhits of the Athervan, a number of songs addressed to illnesses and healing herbs, from which, however, there is not much to be gathered. Animal anatomy was evidently thoroughly understood, as each espirate part had it so distinctive name. Alexander's companions, too, extol the Indian physicisus, specially for their treatment of

snake-hite

^{*} See I St, in 240, note [The seems to be that contained in the correct numbers are rather 2780—Jyotabb. we obtain the years 1820—1820 h. ojsee I St, x 234-236(1866) 850, 1844 p. 236, if hes further and for the blargast street, which the remarks in note 2 above)

From this preliminary survey of Vedic literature we now pass to the details. Adhering strictly to the Indian classification, we shall consider each of the four Vedas by itself, and deal with the writings belonging to them in their proper order, in councetion with each Veda separately.

And first of the Rigreda The Rigreda-Samhitá presents a twofold subdivision-the one purely external. having regard merely to the compass of the work, and evidently the more recent, the other more ancient, and hased on internal grounds. The former distribution is that into eight ashtakas (eighths), nearly equal in length, each of which is again subdivided into as many adhiduas (lectures), and each of these again into about 33 (2006 in all) rargas (sections), usually consisting of five verses 13 The latter is that into ten mandalas (circles), 85 anuidkas (chapters), 1017 súlias (hymns), and 10 580 richas (verses), it rests on the variety of authors to whom the hymns are ascribed. Thus the first and tenth mandalas contain songs by Rishis of different families, the second mandala, on the contrary (asht 11. 71-113), contains songs helonging to Gritsamada, the third (asht ii 114-119, iii. 1-56) belongs to Visyamitra, the fourth (ashf 111 57-114) to Vamadeva, the fifth (asht 10. 115-122, 17 1-79) to Atri, the sixth (asht iv 80-140, v 1-14) to Bharadvaia, the seventh (asht v 15-118) to Vasishtha, the eighth (asht v 110-120, vi 1-81) to Kanva, and the muth (asht vi. 82-124, vil 1-71) to Auguras 19 By the names of these Rishis we must understand not merely the individuals, but also their families The hymns in each separate mandala are arranged in the order of the deities addressed 190 Those addressed to Agni occupy the first place, next come those

¹⁵ For particulars see I St. III. 255 . Muller, Anc S Lit. p

¹³ The first mondula contains 24 caucalder and 197 militar the second 4 ca 42s, the third 5 ca 62s, the fourth 5 ca, 58 s the fifth 6 ca 67s the much 6 ca 75 s the serenth 6 ca 104s, the eighth 10 ca, 92 s (be ide 11 raighfulya an 92 s (be ide 11 raighfulya 12 s)

siktor), the minth 7 an 114 s, and the tenth 12 an 191 s

²⁶ Delbruck, in his review of Sie being Leder der Royclda (cf. note 32) in the Jener Literaturzeitung (1875, p. 867), points out that in books 2-7 the bymns to Agui and Indra are arranged in a descending gradation as regards the number of verses.

to Indra, and then those to other gods. This, at least is the order in the first eight mandalas The ninth is addressed solely to Soma, and stands in the closest connection with the Sama-Samhita, one-third of which is borrowed from it, whereas the tenth mandala stands in a very special relation to the Atharva-Samhita. The earliest mention of this order of the mandalas occurs in the Altareva-Aranyaka and in the two Grihva-Sútras of Asvalayana and Sankhayana. The Pratisakhvas and Yaska recognise no other division, and therefore give to the Rik-Samhita the name of dasatavyas, i.e., the sones "in ten divisions." a name also occurring in the Sama-Sutras The Anukramani of Katvayana, on the contrary. follows the division into ashfakas and adhvavas. The name sukta, as denoting hymn, appears for the first time in the second part of the Brahmana of the White Yajus, the Rig-Brahmanas do not seem to be acquainted with it. 20 but we find it in the Aitareva-Aranyaka &c The extant reconsion of the Rik-Sambita is that of the Sakalas and belongs specially, it would seem, to that hranch of this school which bears the name of the Saisinvas Of another recension, that of the Vashkalas, we have but occasional notices but the difference between the two does not seem to have been considerable. One main distinction, at all events, is that its eighth mandala contains eight additional bymns, making 100 in all, and that, consequently, its sixth ashiaka consists of 132 hymns 21 The name of the Sakalas is evidently related to Sakalya, a sage often mentioned in the Brahmanas and Sutras, who is

formed part of the egith mondala. When I was probably theming of the Yikihiyas, abby theming of the Yikihiyas, abby theming of the Yikihiyas, as eight (of Poth, Zer Lat und Gesch, des Wirtes, p. 3). Have on Att Br, 6 ag. p. 40b, whereas the datum or Millier and Audeeth and the state of th

This is a mistake They know the word not ally in the above, but also in a technical sense, viz is a designation of one of the six parts of the latter ("cases"), there is a part of it, when thus applied, the property of it, when thus applied, approve in a collective meaning comprising several rutter. Cf Salak Briffing, xiv 1

[&]quot;I am at present unable to corrotorate this statement in detail. I can only show from Sunska-Amusakidunkramani, that the recension of the 'kabbalas had eight hymrs more thus that of the Sakalas but no' that these eight hymna

stated by Yaska" to be the author of the Padapatha's of the Rik-Samhitat. According to the account's in the Brahmane of the Wh.te Yayus (the Satapatha-Brahmana) a Sakalva, surn-med Vrdagdha (the cunning 7), lived contemporaneously with Yaginavallya as a teacher at the court of Janaka, King of Vrdeha, and that as the declared adversary and rival of Yaginavallya. He was vanquished and cursed by the latter, as kead dropped off, and his bones were stolen by robbers—Varkalı also (a local form of Vashkalı) is the name of one of the teachers mentioned in the second part of the Satapatha-B-ahmana ²³

The Salalas appear in tradition as intimately connected with the Sunakes, and to Saunaka in particular a number of writings are attributed, which he is said to have composed with a view to secure the preservation of the text (reguedaguitage), as, for instance, an Anukramani of the Rishis, of the metres, of the detires, of the anutalar, of the hymns, an arrangement (Vividana) of the verses and their constituent parts. It is above-mentioned Erihaddevata,

on Nir iv 4, eee Roth, p 39, in troduction, p lavin.

This is the designation of that peculiar method of receiving the veda in which each word of the text stands by itself unmedified by the euphonic changes it has to undergowhen connected with the preceding audfollowing words, [Seashore p 23]

r His name seems to noint to the north west (1) The scholar ton Pinini [iv 2. 117] at least, probably following the Mahábháshya, esten Sakala in connection with the Bahi kas see also Burnouf, Introduction a l'Hust du Buddh p 620 ff The pa sage in the sutre of Panini, iv 3. 128, has no local reference fon the data from the Mahabhashwa bearses on this point, see I St, xiii 366, 372 ,09, 428, 445] On the other hand we find Saxyus also in the Kosala country in Kapil-vastu of whom, however as of the Siki yanins in the Yajus we do not ex actly know what o make (see be I wi The exclust mention of the r ord Sakala in immediate reference

to the Rib, occurs in a memorial rerace, symmogatid, quinced in the Ait Brithm in 43 fees I St. in . 2771—For the name Sairsty's I can only cate the greaters action added at the close of the Airstylynna Symut-Sören, in which the Sairin are mentioned sewers! times, partly by themselves partly bende and in association with the Shirp.

This form of neme, which might be traced to tribulo occurs also in the Sathliftana Armyaka vin a "askustumen" Firkulino brikatir dahradh.awrpdaeyan' thoughthe parallel pangs in the itta Army, in S, otherwise smilarly worded reads unbund of 'Arkalino, ind (e.g. nu) Ardaino'.

[#] By Shadguru'ishya, in the in troduction to his commentary on

the Pig Annhramoni of Katydyans.

Rather no Vidhina texts (see below), the one of which has for its object the publication of particular riches the other probably that of particular paids to supersitious purposes after the manner of the Sarasachkan Bril man.

the Prátišákhva of the Rik a Smarta-Sútra,* and also a Kalna-Sutra referring specially to the Aitarevaka, which, however, he destroyed after one had been composed by his punil. Asyalayana It is not perhaps, on the face of it. impossible that all these writines might be the work of one individual Saunala, still they prohably, nay, in part certainly, belong only to the school which hears his name. But in addition to this we find that the second mandala of the Samhita itself is attributed to him, and that, on the other hand, he is identified with the Saunaka at whose sacrificial feast Sauti, the son of Vaisampavana, is said to have repeated the Maha-Bhara's, recited by the latter on an earlier occasion to Janamejaya (the second) together with the Harvania. The former of these assertious must. of course, only be understood in the sense that the family of the Sunakas both belonged to the old Rishi families of the Ril, and continued still later to hold one of the foremost places in the learned world of the Brahmans Against the second statement, on the contrary, no direct objection can be urged, and it i at least not impossible that the teacher of Asvalayana and the sacrificer in the Namushat forest are identical-In the Brahmana of the White Yajus we have, further two distinct Saunakas mentioned, the one Indrota, as saemficial priest of the prince who in the Maha-Bharata, appears as the first Janamejaya (Pariksluta, so also in M Bh xil 5595, if), the other, Staidayana, as Audichya, dwelling in the north

As author of the Aruna-patha of the Rik-Samhita a Panchala Babbraya at is mentioned. Thus we see that to the Kury-Panchalas and the Kosala-Videhas (to whom Sa-I aly a belongs) appertures the chief ment of having fixed and arranged the text of the Ril, as well as that of the Yaius,

Stenzler / SL i. 243

[†] The sacrifice conducted by this Sannaka in the Nammeta forest would many case, have to be do. tinguish d from the great samer al feetival of the Na mahiyan esel en mentioned in the Brilimaras.

² in the 1 ik Prat, 21 33 merery Rathervya, only in C to a set oh ma is be designated as a Panalifia. As see serealed multiant Lowever, the latchfly are twee

^{*} On the Gr Lys of Saucaka, see quoted as an authority in the text of the Rik Pratisfkly's steelf viz., 12 44 and that bet de the Prichyas (people of the east) the above conclus one still hold good. See Penner on Ril Pr 11 12 p 12 6 (pencha'aradarritib' auf Samlitepon shad Lrahmina. 1 2 tarrestra Postel yo Patichtatshumuk

and this was probably accomplished, in the case of both Vedas, during the most flourishing period of these tribes

For the origin of the songs themselves we must go back, as I have already repeatedly stated to a far earlier period. This is most clearly shown by the mythological and geo-

graphical data contained in them.

Ille former, the mythological relations, represented in the older hymns of the Rik, in part carry us back to the primitive Indo-Germanic time They contain relics of the childlike and name conceptions then prevailing, such as may also be traced among the Teutons and Greeks So for instance, the idea of the change of the departed spirit into air, which is conducted by the winged wind as by a faithful deg, to its place of destination, as is shown by the identity of Sarameya and Equeras, of Sabala and Kec Sepos † Further, the idea of the celestial sea, Varuna. Oucaros, encompassing the world, of the Father-Heaven By aushpitar, Zevs. Diespiter, of the Mother - Earth, Δημήτηρ, of the waters of the sky as shining nymphs, of the sun's rays as cows at pasture, of the dark cloud-god as the robber who carries off these maidens and cows, and of the mighty god who wields the lightning and thunderbolt, and who chastises and strikes down the ravisher. and other such notions ! Only the faintest outlines of this comparative mythology are as yet discernible, it will unquestionably, however, by degrees claim and obtain, in relation to classical mythology a position exactly analocous to that which has already in fact, been secured by comparative Indo-Germanic grammar in relation to classical grammer The ground on which that mythology has hitherto stood trembles beneath it, and the new light about to be shed upon it we owe to the hymns of the Rigyeda, which enable us to glance, as it were, into the workshop whence it originally proceeded §

§ her Z D W 6 v 112 (Since I wrote the glove comparative my thology has been enriched with much cabus also that is cruse and I nearly has been advanced. Descring of special mention be side, carnows powers by Adelli Li but in his Zer chapt are two rase a by the size of the size and the size of the size and the size of the size and the size of the size o

^{*} See Kuhn in Heapts Desirable
Zet chrft, vi 125 g
+ 1 St in 20 m [and et ll e r
ler Mix Nuler see no Chaps
from a German lot has in 182]
* See Kuhn 1 e ra repet cip

n the Zer chapt ,... The had Souches have an ed av king join by with hadre a gre's, 1251

Agaio, secondly, the by mas of the Rik contain sufficient cyldence of their antiquity in the anyaluable information which they furnish regarding the origin and gradual develonment of two cycles of epic lerend, the Persian and the Indian In both of these the sumple allegories of natural phenomena were afterwards arrayed in an historic garb In the songs of the Rik we find a descript on, embellished with poetical colours of the celestial contest between light and darkness which are depicted either quite simply and naturally, or else in symbolical ouise as divine beings In the Persian Veda, the Avesta, on the other hand, "the contest descends from heaven to earth, from the province of natural plenomena into the moral sphere. The champion is a son, born to his father. and given as a saviour to earth as a reward for the pious evercise of the Soma worship. The dragon slain by him is a creation of the Power of Evil, armed with demoniacal might for the destruction of parity in the wold. Lastly. the Persian epic enters upon the ground of history The battle is fought in the Aryan land the serpent, Aji Dahaka in Zend Ahi [Dasaka] in the Veda is transformed into Zohak the tarant on the throne of Imn and the blessings achieved for the oppressed people by the warlike Ferédún—Traitana in the Veda Thraétaono in Zend-are freedom and contentment in life on the paternal soil." Persian legend traversed these places in the course of perhaps 2000 years pressing from the domain of nature into that of the opic and thence into the field of hictory A succession of phases, corresponding to those of Feredun, may be traced also in the case of Jemshid (lama Yima), a smiller senes in the case of Kaikatus (Kanya Usanas Ama Us) and probably also in the case of Kai Khosru (Suśravas Huśravanh) Indian legend in its development is the counterpart of the Persian myth. Even in the time of the Yajuneda the natural significance

Der Healburft der Feren und des erleiter (1853) C.E. Allaces Gestehreit (2.) is a Uber sit est et et et park er information et al. Angele et a

of the myth had become entirely obliterated. Indra is there but the queriel ome and jealous god who subdues the unvields giant by low cumming, and in the Indian epic the myth either still returns the same form, or else lindra is represented by a haman hero, Arjuna an incarnation of thinself, who makes short work of the giant, and the lings who pras for the incarnations of the latter. The principal figures of the Maha-Bharate and Ramayana fall way like the kings of Firdds, and there remain for history only those general events in the story of the people to which the ancient myths about the gods have been applied. The personages fade into the background, and in this representation are only recognisable as poetic creations.

Thirdly, the songs of the Rik unfold to us particulars as to the time, place, and conditions of their origin and growth In the more arcient of them the Indian people oppear to us settled on the banks of the Indus, divided into a number of small tabes, in a state of mutual hosthity, leading a patriarchal life as husbandmen and nomads, hving separately or in small communities, and represented oy their kings, in the eyes of each other by the wars they wage, and in presence of the goas by the com mon sacrifices they perform. Each father of a family acts as priest in his own house, himself kindling the sacred fire, performing the domestic ceremonies, and offering up praise and prayer to the gods. Only for the great com-mon sacrifices—a sort of tribe-festive's, celebrated by the king-are special priests appointed, who assunguish them-selves by their comprehensive knowledge of the requisite rites and by their learning, and amongst whom a sort of ri alry is gradually developed, according as one tribe or another is considered to have more or less prospered by its sacrifices Especially prominent here is the enimity between the further of Vasishtha and Vasvamira, which runs through ail Vedic antiquity continues to play an remotant part in the epic, and is kept up even to the latest times, so that, for exemps, a commentator of the Vena who claims to be descanded from Vasishtha leaves passages unexpounded in which the letter is stated to have had a curse imprecated upon him. This implacable hatred oves its origin to the triffing creamstance of Vasishtha

having once heen appointed chief sacrificial priest instead of Viscamitra by one of the petty kings of these early times—The infinence of these royal priests does not, howeven, at this early period, extend beyond the sacrifice, there are no castes as yet, the people is still one united whole, and bears but one name, that of usas, settlers The prince, who was probably elected, is called Vispata a title still preserved in Lithurnian The fice position held by women at this time is remarkable. We find songs of among whom the daughter of Atra appears in the foremost rank As regards love, its tender, ideal element is not very conspicuous, it rather bears throughout the stamp of an undesquised natural sensuality Marriage is, however, held sacred, husband and wife are both rulers of the house (dampats), and approach the gods in united prayer The religious sense expresses itself in the recognition of man's dependence on natural phenomena and the beings supposed to rule over them, but it is at the same time claimed that these latter are, in their turn, dependent upon human aid, and thus a sort of equilibrium is established The relations notion of sin is consequently wanting altogether, and submissive gratitude to the gods is 75 yet quite foreign to the Indian 'Give me and I will render to thee,' he says," claiming therewith a right on his part to divine help, which is an exchange no grace In this free strength, this vigorous self consciousness, a very different, and a far more manly and noble, picture of the Indian is presented to us than that to which we are accustomed from later times I have already endeas oured above to show how this state of thing, became gradually nitered, how the fresh energy was broken, and by degrees disappeared, through the dispersion over Hindustan, and the enervating influence of the new climate But what it was that led to the emigration of the people in such masses from the Indus across the Sarasvati tov urds the Ganges,

S 71456

[&]quot; Quite freegn' is rather too (1854). There are different phases strong an expression. See Poths to be destinguished.

apper De historic Outer der are: " Vil S and So, or, " he'thing, when bother as I B M C, >> 72. then raid hand have the best and

what was its principal curse, is still uncertain. Was it the pressure brought shout by the airval of new settlers? Was it excess of population? Or was it only the longing for the beautiful tracts of Hindustria? Or perhaps all these cruses combined? According to a legend preserved in the Brahmana of the White Yajus, the priests were in a great measure the cause of this movement, by urging it upon the kings, even against their will [I SI, 1 178]. The connection with the ancestral home on the Indus remained, of course, at first a very close one, later on, however, when the new Brahmanuci organisation was completely consolidated in Hindustan, a strong element of bitterness was infused into it, since the Brahmans looked upon their old kinstein who had remained true to the curse toms of their forefathers as a mostates and unbelieved.

But while the origin of the songs of the Rik dates from this primitive time, the reduction of the Rik-Samhita only took place as we observed, at a period when the Brahmanneal hierarchy was fully de-eloped, and when the Kosila-Videhas and Kuru-Pañchalar "vho are to he re quided as having been specially instrumental in effecting it, were in their prime. It is also certain that not a few of the songs were composed either at the time of the compilation intell. Such songs are to he found in the last book especially, a comparatively large portion of which, as I have already remarked, recurs in the Atherwaveda-Samhita. It is for the critic to determine approximately in the case of each individual song, having regard to its con-

scribed is tais one had been fought out buy before the final strangt mean of the RK Samhitd' II is, however questionable whether the however questionable whether the distribution with the Samtanu men toesed in the Rkk or, even if we have been associated to the property of the may not in rich have been associated with the epic legad on supporen yet gloware. Devupp, at least, who, according to IA to as for Section from the one given in the epic. See IS 1 201

Mendala a 98 is a dislogue the tivere Draw and Sontians the two Accurations as Yakha calke then In the Maid Bafaras Sam tion is the name of the father of Britishma and Vichitravitys by whose two wires imbid, and Atabiaka Yaka Jeender the Sather of Dinitarsaistirs and Frander The Sontians is therefore the grand Sontians in therefore the grander Sontians in the Grander Sontians in the British British and Franders the beliggerman in the Maid Bhiratts.

čn.

tents, its ideas, its language, and the traditions connected with it, to what period it ought possibly to be ascribed. But as yet this task is only set, its solution has not yet

even hegun.23

The desties to whom the songs are for the most part addressed are the following -First, Agni, the god of hie. The songs dedicated to him ere the most numerous of all -a fact sufficiently indicative of the character and import of these sacrificial hymns He is the messenger from men to the cods, the mediator between them, who with his farshining flame summons the gods to the sacrifice, however distant they may be He is for the rest edored essentially as earthly sacrificial fire, and not as an elemental force The latter is rather pre-eminently the attribute of the god to whom, next to Arm, the greatest number of songs 13 dedicated, viz, Indra. Indra is the mighty lord of the thunderbolt, with which he rends asunder the dark clouds, so that the heaven's rays and waters may descend to bless and fertilise the earth A great number of the hymns, and amongst them some of the most beautiful, are devoted to the hattle that is fought because the melicious demon will not give up his booty, to the description of the thunderstorm generally, which, with its flashing lightmugs its rolling thunders, and its furious blasts, made a tremendous impression upon the simple mind of the people The break of day, too, is greeted, the dawns are praised as hright, heautiful maidens, and deep reverence is paid to the flaming orb of the mighty sun, as he steps forth vanquishing the darkness of night, and dissipating it to all the quarters of the heavens. The brilliant sun-god is he sought for light and warmth, that seeds and flocks may thrive in gladsome prosperity

Besides the three principal gods, Agni, Indra, and Surya, we meet with a great number of other divine personages, prominent amongst whom are the Maruts or winds, the feithful comrades of Indra in his battle, and Rudra, the howling, terrible god, who rules the furious tempest. 13 not, however, my present task to discuss the whole of the Vedic Olympus. I had only to sketch generally

^{**} See new Perinth, Cynfelia, p irodini, 1875 p 522) ! St 12 57 (1854 compare Li craructus cm 299, 201 279, 280, 1 Str., i 19

the ground-rork and the outlines of this ancient edifice "Besides the powers of nature, we find, as development progresses, personifications also of spiritual conceptions, of ethical import, but the advantion of these as compared with the former, is of later origin.

I have already discussed the precautions taken to secure the text of the R.k-Samhita 16, the question of its authenticity, and I have likewise alluded to the aids to its explanation furnished by the remaining Vedic literature These latter reduce themselves chiefly to the Nighantus, and the Kirukta of Yaska to Both works in their turn, found their commentators in course of time. For the Nighantus, we have the commentary of Devarajayayan, who belongs to about the fifteenth or sixteenth century In the introduc ion he enlarges upon the history of their sti dy from which they appear to have found only one other complete commentator since Yaska viz. Skanoa-For Yaskas Nirukta a commentary has been handed down to us dating from about the thirteenth century, that of Durga. Both works, moreover, the Nighantus as well as the Nirukta, exist in two different recensions These do not materially differ from one another and chiefly in respect of arrangement only, but the very fact of their existence leads us to suppose that these works were originally transmitted orally rather than in writing A commentary, properly so called, on the Pik-Samhita has come down to us, but it dates only from the fourtrenth century, that of Savanacharya.* "From the long senes of

again is quo el by Pánini see I Si, in 475. A direct reference to Yázan is med. In the Kil. Prat. and en the Bribaddevatá see also

IS: we 95 at 5 at 6.

The encumenter that commentaries on annow and beneaus of the Vedan and currer about termportant and extern a contribution are secreted to Sanapa and its trailier Middaws as to be explained by the processe, in valling an indicate of some distinguished person bear in the same as the ast for So in the present of a time of the processes of the

[&]quot; Must a Octownal Sanskrit Texts. TO T (1870) is the best monres of info-mation for Vedic mythology 32 This name appears both in the Values in the last book of the Satap Br , and in the Kandinskrama of the Atrevi school where he u called I ame and described as the pubil of Vaisampayana, and teacher of Tittere From Pan. 11 4. 63 11. follows that Parent was cornisant of the name Yaska for be toere teaches the plural Tanada for the pa ronymic Taska Compare on this the pygrana section to the Asval va is Smuta Sutra The Yasia Garrila's at are mentioned in the mathaus which

centuries* between Yaska and Sayana but scanty remains of an exercise literature connected with the Rik-Samhita are left to us, or, at any rate, have as yet been discovered Samkara and the Ved age school turned their attention chiefly to the Unarishads Never heless, a closs upon a portion at least of the Rik-Sambita was gravn up by Apanquaturiba, a pupul of Samkara of which there is an exposition by Javatirtha, comprising the second and third adhidvas of the first ashfaba, in the Library of the India House in London." Savana himself in addit on to Durga s commentary on the Nirukti only quotes Buatta Bhashara Mism and Bharatastamin as expositors of the Vedas." The former wrote a commentary upon the Taitt Yaus. not the Rik-Samaits, in which he refers to Kasakritsus, Ekachurni and Taska as his predecessors in the work For Bharatasvemin we have no fartner data than that his name is also cited by Devarais (on the Nichertus), who turther mentions Bhatta Bhaskam Mara Machatadeva, Bhavasvamin, Guhadeva, Sinnivasa, and Uvatta, The latter, otherwise called Usta wrote a commentary on the

the from of the r labour to him as he proper'y Malbara, and smbatly the Sir na, were ministers at the court of King Banks at Vigura magara, and sook actumings of her position to give a treat month. to the study of the Ve.a. The smanes at "but-d to dem po at, by the very side.] difference or their consents and style. to a rane'y of an aerabin. [Acrond ing to A. C. Berrell, in the preface to his editon of the Varis-Brit mans, p. ru , E. (1873), the two natire denute one pison on'r Siyana, he save, to ' the Bhorana ha or m real body, of Madhava the soul idenated with Vising, Bernell as further of op. 2000 that the twenty nine wit new current under the name of Madhava all proceed from Milbers himself, masssis ed to any large ex rus by others. and that they sere composed by him during a period of abor- there of the fit v five very be ween acre-1350 a.D. which to spent as ablot of the monastery at Smagers, under

the name Vidramagaramia. See my remark to the contrary to Loc remarks Cornalliat (1873) p. 1232 Bernell prei in the form Vidramagara to hyaranagara. Corell, in he nee on Coleir Rim. Eng. 1. 235, has Vidral and Vigara and by mid-1.

* ore Est's Zar Lil., p. 22. I To these have to be added Skandasvin.a (see p 41) and Ka parein (see below) , and se anterior to Signa we must probably regard the works of Atminunds Eurena, and Excela for is the letter soen t cal with Bhirts Kans ta Bid bara Misra! ef Eurnell, Catalogue of Feder MSS p 101, and the Go dhirtherstrampli, Burnell, Farinty p xxit. f. Maller in the preface to his large edition of the Pik-Samhti, vol vi p zirii fi. Some extracts from Ravanas commentary have been published by Fitz-Edward Hai' an Journal As San Emg 186z, pp 129-154Samhita of the White Yajus, not the Rik-Samhita, as well as commentaries on the two Pratisakhyas of the Pik and the White Yajus

As regards European researches the Rik-Sambita as well as the other Vedas, first became known to us through Colebrooke's excellent paper "On the Ved.s," in the As Res vol. vin (Cale 1805) To Rosen we are indebted for the first text, as given partly in his Remede Specimen (London, 1830), partly in the edition of the first ashtaka, with I atin translation, which only appeared after the early death of the lamented author (shed 1838) Since then, some other smaller portious of the text of the Rik-Samhita have here and there been communicated to us in text or translation. especially in Roth's already often quoted and excellent Abhandlungen zur Intera'ur und Geschich'e des Weda (Stuttgart, 1846) The entire Samhita, together with the commentary of Sayana, is now being published, edited by Dr M. Muller of Oxford, at the expense of the East India Company, the first ashtala appeared in 1849 At the same time an edition of the text, with extracts from the commentary, is in course of publication in India. From Dr M Muller, too, we may expect detailed prolegoniana to his edition, which are to treat in particular of the position held by the songs of the Ril in the history of civilisation A Freuch translation by Langlois comprises the entire Sombita (1848-1851), it is, of course, in many respects highly useful, although in using it great caution is neces-cary. An English translation by Wilson is also begun, of which the first ashiala only has as yet appeared 22

Indian, Nos. 1-5 (Calo 1849) only carding to the end of the second chief by the calo of the second color of the second second by Second color of the second color of t

¹³ Multre edition of the text together with the commentary together with the commentary of SAvan, a complete index of words, and ust of profifer is now emplete in all a world as the profit of the same place in all a profit of the same place in all a profit of the same place in the same point of the text was published, in Pennsa (152). The first complete Carbon of the text was published, in Pennsa (152). The first complete Carbon of the text was published, in Pennsa vi and vii to the Industrie Same or 1856-6.) There achieves of the text stand commentary, in the Bibbookeen and commentary, in the Bibbookeen

We now turn to the Erdhrumas of the Rik

Of these, we have two, the Astareva-Erchmana and the Sunkhayana- (or Kaushitaka-) Brahmana They are closely connected with one another, treat essentially of the same matter, not unfrequently, however, taking opposite views of the same question. It is in the distribution of their matter that they chiefly differ In the Sankhayana-Brahmena we have a perfectly arranged work, embracing on a definite plan the entire sacrificial procedure, but this does not seem to be the case in an equal degree in the Astareva-Brahmana. The latter, moreover, appears to treat exclusively of the Soma sacratice, whereas in the former it merely occupies the principal place. In the Sankhayana-Brahmana we meet with nothing at all corresponding to the last ten adhydyas of the Astareye-Brah mana, a gap which is only filled up by the Sinkhavana-Sútra, and for this reason, as well as from internal evidence, it may perhaps be assumed that the adhiduas in question are but a later addition to the Aitareva-Brahmera. In the extent text the Altareva-Brahmans con tuns 40 adhydyas (divided into eight panchings, or nen-

lated and explained (Loodon 1869) but the echolar who has done most by far for the right understanding of the Rik is Roth, both in the Láska's Nirukta (Gottingen, 1848-52), and in the great St Petamburg Sanslett Dictionary (even vol., 1853-751, edited by Bohtlingk and him Here we may also mention the following works - Grassmann, Worterbuck zum Riggeda (1873, ff), Delbruck, Das altendische Verbum (1874) . Bafe" Einleitung so die Grammatil der reduchen Sprache (1874), and Die Quantithtmerschie denheiten sa den Samhita und Pada Texten de Vedes, Bollensen, Due Leeder des Partiers in Z D M G vvii (1865), Subening Lieder des Rigirda, oberestet von harl Geldner und Adolf Karm mit Beitragen von R Roth (Tubinger 1875)-reviewed by Abel Bergugne in the Prus pieceusre giron Greique Doc. 11 and 18, 1875 Ser on U Alfred Ludwig, Die Nathrichten der [.pd iz. 377].

Rey und Atharvareda über Geogra the, Gockehl und Verfamung des alley Indice a (the identification here mentioned, p. 13, of the Volu-Satusvatt with the Index, was first made by myself of Fdy S Spec 11 So if , 1847), and Die philo ophi schen und renorman Anchanunion des Vala (Praga 1875), Alfred Hil lebra-dt Leber die Gottin Aditi (Bres lan 1876) . H Zimmer, Parjan /2 Froraya Vdes Woden in Zertschrift for Drutsches Alterthum New Series VB 164. F Lastly, we have to draw attention specially to Mair's Original Sanstrat Texts (5 vols , second edit. London 1868 ff), in which the antiquarian information contained in the Pik Samhits on the different stares and phases of Indian life at that early period is clearly and com prehensively grouped translations of numerous Vedic palsages and * Ser on this I S , is 28g.

tads) while the Sankhayona-Brahmana contains 30, and it is perhaps allowable to refer to them the rule in Panini 1 62, which states how the name of a Brahmana is to te formed if it contain 30 or 40 adhy dyas -a vien which, would afford external warrant also of the fact or then existence in this form in Panim's time, at all events Geographical or similar data, from which a conclusion might be drawn as to the time of their composition, are of very rare occurrence Most of these, together with really his orical statements, are to be found in the last books of the Attareya-Brahmana (see I St, 1 199, ff), from which it at any rate specially follows that their scene is the country of the Kuru-Panchalas and Vasa-Usinaras (see vin. 14) In the Santhayana-Brahmana mention is made of a great sacrifice in the Naimish. forest, but this can hardly be identified with the one at which, according to the accounts of the Maha-Bharata the second recutation of this epic took place. Another parsage implies a very special prominence amongst the other rods of the dety. ho is afterwards known to us exclusively by the name of Siva. He here receives, among other titles those of Isana and Mahadeva, and we might perhaps venture to conclude from this that he was already the object of a very special worship We are at any rate just fied in inferring unless the passage is an interpolation, that the Sankhavana-Brahmana ranks chronologically with the last books of the Samhita of the White Yajus and with those poitions of its Brahmana and of the Atbarva-Samhita in which this nomenclature is likewise found. Lastly, a third passage of the Sankbayana-Brahmana implies as already hinted a special cultivation of the field or lan guage in the northern parts of India. People reserted thither in order to become acquainted with the language and on their return enjoyed a special authority on questions connected with it [I S', n. 309]

Both Brahmanas presuppaca laterary compositions of some extent as having preceded them. Thus mention is made of the dkhydrac day is "those versed in tradition" and gdthas, abingra gdthās a sort of memorial verses (drathās), as also frequently referred to and quoted. The names Riggeds Samuveds and Yaguryeds as well as roys may a term used to express turn callectively repeatedly

occur. In the Sankhayana Brahmana, however, special regard is had to the Paingra and Kaushitaka, whose views are very frequently quoted side by side, that of the Kau sintal being always recognised as final The question now arises what we are to understand by these expres sions, whether works of the Bulhmana order already extant in a written form, or still handed down orally onlyor merely the inherited tradition of individual doctrines Mention of the Kaushitaka and the Painma occurs in the Aitweya-Brahmana only in a single passage—and that perhaps an interpolated one—in the latter part of the work. This at all events proves, what already seemed prohable from its more methodical arrangement, that the Sankhayana-Biahmana is to be considered a later production than the Aitaiefa-Brahmana, since it appears to be a recast of two sets of views of similar tenor already extant under distinct names, while the Aitareya Brabmana piesents itself as a more independent effort. The name Painuva belongs to one of the sages mentioned in the Brahmana of the White Yajus and elsewhere, from whose family Yaska Panigi* was descended, and probably also Pingala the author of a treatise on metre The Painga Kalpah is expressly included by the commentator of Panini, probably following the Mahabhashya among the ancient Kalps-Suiras, in contradistinction to the Asmarathah Kaipah, with which we shall presently become acquainted as an authority of the Asvalayapa-Sútra. The Paingins are, besides, frequently mentioned in enly writings, and a Pather-Brahmana must still have been in existence even in Sayana's time, for he repeatedly refers The case stands similarly as regards the name Kanshitaka, which is, moreover, used directly in the malouty of passages where it is quoted for the Sankhayana. Britman's itself-a fact easy of explanation, as in the latter the view represented by the Krushitaka is invariably upheld as the authoritative one, and we have in this Brahmana but a remoulding by Sankhayana of the stock of dogma peculiarly the property of the Kaushitakins Turther in its commentary which it may be remarked

[•] The quality in a from Britmanian Par of Falpas in the Mikhibbar ya in hisha therefore belong in part see 1 St. lim 455) pe baps to the Parings () [On the

interprets the wo k under the sole title of the "Kaushf-tal-Brahmana" presseges are frequently quoted from a Mahr-Kaushtak-Brahmana, so that we have to infer the existence of a still larger work of similar contents,—probably a later handling of the same subject (?) This commentary further connects the Kaushitak-Brahmana with the school of the Kauthumas—a school which otherwise belongs only to the Samaveda this, however, is a relation which has not as yet been cleared up—The name Sahkhyana-Brahmana interchanges occasionally with the form Stükhayana-Brahmana but the former would seem to descrive the preference, its earliest occurrence is probably in the Pratischipa-Stüra of the Blick Yugus

The great number of myths and legends contuned in both these Bindmanns of the Rik in sets them with a peculiar interest. These are not indeed introduced for their own sake, but merely with a view to explain the origin of some hymn, but this, of course does not detract from their value. One of them, the legend of Sunnhéepa which is found in the second part of the Aitaiga, Brahmana, is translated by Roth in the Intrische Studien.

1 458-464. and discussed in detail, 101d, 11 112-123 According to him, it follows a more ancient metrical version. We must indeed assume generally, with regard to many of these legends, that they had already gamed a rounded, independent shape in tradition before they were incorporated into the Brahmana and of this we have frequent evidence in the distinctly archaic character of their language, compared with that of the rest of the text Now these legends possess great value for us from two points of view first because they contain, to some extent at least, directly or indirectly historical data, often stated in a plain and artless manner, but at other times disguised and only perceptible to the eye of criticism, and secondly because they present connecting links with the legends of later times, the crigin of which would otherwise have remained almost entirely obscure

On the Antareya-Brahmana we have a commentary by Sayana and on the Kaushitahi-Brahmana one by Vinayaka a son of Madhava.

²³ The Augrees Brilmana has by Martin Hang 2 vols. Bombay been edited text with translation 1853 see I St., 1x. 177-380 (1865)

To each of these Brahmanes is also aunesed an Aran Jaka, or 'forst-portion', that is, the pottion to be studied in the forest by the agges Ino in to us through Megasthenes as 'Actics, and also by their disciples. This forest-this is endently only a later stage of development in Brahmanneal contemplation, end it is to at that we must occlud, seemble the depth of speculation, the complete absorption in mystic devotion by which the Hindas are of eminently distinguished. Accordingly, the writings directly designated as Aranyakas bear this character impressed upon them in 'e very mor'ed degree, they consist in great part of Upanishon, only, in which, generally peaking a bold und vigorous facile, of thought camue fall to be recognised, however much of the bizarre they may at the same time contain.

The Attareya-Arenyala so consists of five books, each of which again is called Aranyaka. The second and third books* form a separate Upameshad, and a still further subdivision here takes place, masmuch as the four last sections of the second book which are particularly consonant with the doctrines of the Vedanta system, pass xat' eforthe as the Antaregopanishad . Of these two books Mahidasa Aitareya is the reputed author, he is supposed to be the son of Visala and Itara, and from the letter his name Attareva is derived. The name is indeed several times quoted in the course of the work itself as a final authority, a curcumstance v hich conclusively proves the correctness of tracmg to him the views therein propounded For we must divest ourselves of the gotion that a teacher of this period ever put his ideas into writing, oral delivery was his only method of imparting them to his pupils, the knowledge of them was transmitted by tradition until it became fixed in

The legend of Sunnheps (vi 13r8) had been disc...sed by Roth, see also M Muller Hatt of A S L. p 573 of Another s even of it (vm. 5-20) treating of royal sungers uous had previously been edited by Schoulborn (B rim 1862)

We The first factualis of an edition together with Sajamas commonary, of the latter in Aranyaka, by Rajeoulus Lala Maga has just

come to hand (Nov 30 1875) see Biblisteen Indica, New Sories, No. 325, the text reaches as far as a

See I St 1 388 ff ²⁴ This Altareppanished amongst others has been edited (with Sam horas commentary) and translated by Potr B3L I-id vi 143 ff (Cdc 1830), xr 28, 8 (18,3)

some definite form or other, always however retaining his name It is in this way that we have to account for the fact of our finding theauthors of works that have been handed down to us. mentioned in these works themselves For the rest. the doctrines of Artareva must have found especial favour. and his pupils have been especially numerous, for we find his name attached to the Brahmana as well as the Aranvake. With respect to the former, however, no reasons can for the present be assigned, while for the fourth hook of the Alanyaka we have the direct information that it belongs to Asvalayana, the pupil of Saunaka, nay, this Saunaka himself appears to have passed for the author of the fifth book, according to Colebrooke's statements on the subject, Misc Ess, 1 47, n. The name of Astareva is not traceable anywhere in the Brahmanas. he is first mentioned in the Chhandogyopanishad earliest allusion to the school of the Aitare ins is in the Samy-Sútras -To judge from the repeated mention of them in the third book, the family of the Mandukas, or Mandukeyas, must also have been particularly active in the development of the views there represented Indeed, we find them specified later as one of the five schools of the Rigveda, yet nothing hearing their name has been preserved except an extremely abstruce Upon shad, and the Manduli-Sikshi, a grammatical treatise. The former, however apparently only belongs to the Atharvan and exhibits completely the standpoint of a rigid system. The latter might possibly be traced back to the Manduke a who is named here as well as in the Rik-Pratisakhya

The contents of the Anareya-Aranyaka, as we now have it so supply no direct clue to the time of its composi-

the high importance of those familiar with them. Among the names members in the course of the work Against system in a far agardance on account of an formation. Sure account of an formation of the country of the formation of the three paths of the Yeth methylays some highest of the Yeth methylays some highest highest country of the highest hight highest highest hight highest high

I find an Áavaláyana Bráhmepa also quoted but am unable to give any particulars regarding it. [In a MS of the Art. Ar India Office Library QS6, the entire work is described at the end as Afreildjumok tam Aranyakam.]

E See I St., 2 387-392 I am now in possession of the complete text, but have nothing material to add to the above remarks. Great streams laid upon keeping the particular doctimes accret, and upon upon

tion, other than the one already noticed, namely, that in the second chapter of the second book the extent a rancement of the Rul-Samhita is given Again the number of teachers individually mentioned is very great, particularly in the third book-among them are two Sakalyas, a Krishna Harita, a Panchalachanda-and this may be cons dered as an additional proof of its more recent origin, a conclusion already implied by the spirit and form of the opinions enunciated 35

The Kaushital granualta, in its present form consists of three books but it is uncertain whether it is complete?" It was only receptly that I lighted upon the two first books. These deal rather with ritual than with specula tion. The third book is the so-called Kaushfalv-Upanished t a work of the highest interest and importance. Its first anhydya grees us an extremely important account of the ideas held with regard to the peth to, and arrival in, the world of the blessed, the exempleance of which in relation to similar ideas of other races to not yet quite apparent, but it promises to prove very rich in information The second adhoda gas es us in the ceremonies which it describes, amongst other things, a very pleasing picture of the varmith and tenderness of farm, ties at tent period. The third addition is of meetingable value in connection with the history and development of the epic myth, inas much as it represents logic battling with the same powers of nature that Arrana in the epic sabales as evil demona Lastly, the fourth canauga contains the second recension of a legend v hich also oppear, under a somewhat different

to have more in 1 & favour

Skr MSS p 19, n 82 + bee / St. 1 392-420 It would

the Bul fud.]

²⁴ The circumstance here cuphsened may be used to amport the very opposite view indeed I have so represented at an the sam lar case of the Latyagene Suire (see below) This latter thew non appears to me

[&]quot; A manuscript sast to Berlin by Buhler (MS Or fel. 630) of the Sickhevens Arenvaca (28 it in there called) presents it in 15 addy dias the first two correspond to Ait. Ar 1, v , adle 3-6 are made up of the Raush Up addy 7 8 co respond to Ast. Ar In edby

⁹ gives the invalvy of the \$ nots (like Satap Br #4 p. 2) * See Catalogue of the Berlin

be very desirable to Lnow on what Poler e asserbon la rounded. "that the Kanshitaki Brahmana consists of man editrayas the first, seventh earth and much of which form the Kaushitele Brahmana Upanuhad I have not succeeded in finding any statement to this effect elsewhere (See now Cowell a Prefice, p vit

form, in the Aranyaka of the White Yajus, the legend namely, of the instruction of a Brahman who is very wise in his own esteem, by a warmer called Austreatry, king of Kasi This Upanished is also peculiarly rich in geographical data, throwing light upon its origin. Thus the name of Chitra Gangyavana, the wise king in the hist adhyaya who instructs Aruhi, clearly points to the Genga According to 11 10, the northern and southern mountains te. Himayant and Vindhya, enclose in the eyes of the author the whole of the known world, and the list of the neighbouring tribes in iv I perfectly accords with this That, mcreover, this Upanishad is exectly contemporaner us with the Vrihad-Aranyaka of the White Yajus is proved by the position of the names Aruni. Svetaketu. Aistakatru. Gargya Balaka, and by the identity of the legends about the latter [See I St. 1 302-420]

We have an interpretation of both Aranyakas, that is to esy, of the second and third books of the Aitzreya-Arsnyaka, and of the third book of the Kaushitaki-Arsnyaka in the commentary of Samhanacharya, a cacher who inved about the eighth century ap. 25 and who was of the highest importance for the Vedanta school For not only did he interpret all the Vedic texts, that is all the Upanishads, upon which that school is founded he elso commented on the Vedanta Sutra itself, besides composing a number of smaller works with a view to elucidate and establish the Vedanta doctrine His explanations, it is true, are often forced, from the fact of their having to accommodate themselves to the Vedanta system, still they are of high importance for us Pupils of his Anan dajnana, Anandagiri, Anandatirtha, and others, in their turn composed glosses on his commentaries these commentaries and glosses we are now in possession as they have been recently edited, together with their Upanishads, Ly Dr Roer, Secretary to the Asiatic Society of Bengal, in the Bib'io'neca Indica, a periodical appearing under the auspices of that Society, and devoted exclusively

^{*} Samesas a date his no, unfor tunately been more accurately determined as yet. He passes at the same time for a zealous adve sary of the Buduh sig and is therefore

called a Saiva, or follower of Siva In his works, however, he appears as a worshipper of V (see lets whom he pu's forward as the real information or representative of produstra

to the publication of texts Unfortunately the Kaushtak -Upanishad is not yet among the number neither is the Maitravany-Upanishad, of which we have to speak in the sequel. It is, however, to he hoped that we shall vet receive both. 22-And may yet a third, the Vashkala-Upanishad, he recovered and added to the list of these Upanishads of the Rik! It is at present only known to us through Anquetil Duperron's Oupnekhat, 11. 366-371. the original must therefore have been extant at the time of the Persian translation (rendered into Latin by Anguetil) of the principal Upanishads (1656) The Vashkala-Sruti is repeatedly mentioned by Sayana We have seen above that a particular recension of the Rik-Samhita. which has likewise been lost, is attributed to the Vashkalas This Upanishad is therefore the one sorry relic left to us of an extens ve cycle of literature It rests upon a legend repeatedly mentioned in the Brahmanas. which in substance, and one might almost say in name also corresponds to the Greek legend of Gany-Medes Medhatithi, the son of Kanva is carried up to heaven by Indra, who has assumed the form of a ram, and during their flight he inquires of Indra who he is. Indra in reply, smilingly declares himself to be the All-god, identifying hunself with the universe As to the cause of the abduction, he goes on to say that, delighted with Medhatithis penance, he desired to conduct him into the right path leading to truta, he must therefore have no further misgiving With regard to the date of this Unanished nothing more definite can of course at present be said than that its general tenor points to a tolerable high antiquity 40

We now descend to the list stage in the literature of the Rigreds viz, to its Sutras

First, of the Srania-Sutray, or text-books of the sacrificial rite. Of these ve possess two, the Sútra of Asvalatiana in 12 adhydray, and that of Sankhayaha in 18

²⁹ Both have now b on published. Mustri Up with that of Remarkiths and translated by Cowet in the (#853-69)

Bibliothers Indies The Kasash Up See now my apenal paper on the (Calc 1861) is accompanied with subject in Is, is 38-42 the or the comm of Samkasanada, the gund sext has not yet beam met with

adhumas. The former connects riself with the Astareva-Brahmans the latter with the Sankhayana Brahmana, and from these two works frequent literal quotations are respectively borrowed From this circumstance alone as well as from the general handling of the subject, we might infer that these Sutras are of comparatively recent origin. and direct testimony is not wanting to establish the fact.

Thus the name Asialayana is probably to be traced back. to Asvala, whom we find mentioned in the Aranyaka of the White Yams as the Hotar of Janaka king of Videha (see I St. 1 441) Again, the formation of the word by the affix dyana, probably leads us to the time of established schools (ayana)? However this may be, names formed in this way occur but seldom in the Brahmanas themselves, and only in their latest portions, in general, therefore they always betchen a late period. We find correboration of this in the data supplied by the contents of the Asvalayane-Satra. Among the teachers there quoted is an Asmarathya, whose kalpa (doctrine) is considered by the scholiast on Panini, iv 3 105 probably following the Mahabhashya,41 as belonging to the new lalpas implied in this rule, in contradistinction to the old kulpas If, then, the authorities quoted by Asyalayana were regarded as recent. Asyalayana himself must of course have been still more modern, and therefore we conclude assuming this statement to originate from the Mahabhashya,4 that Asvalayana was nearly contemporane-ous with Pamin Another teacher quoted by Asvalayana, Taulvall, is express'y mentioned by Panini (in. a. 61) as pelonmus to the prafiches, or "dwellers in the east"-At the end there is a specially interesting enumeration of the various Brahmana-families, and their distribution among the family stems of Bhrigo, Afiguras, Atri, Visvamitra Kasyana Vasishtha and Agastya.-The sacrifices on the Surasvati, of which I shall treat in the sequel are here only briefly touched upon and this with some differences in the

[&]quot; in the case of Agmresya yang Alambayan, Jit Sayana, Au dumbanyana, Endam-ayara Ka trajana, Khéolayana D-abyarana Piak barana Rolaterara, kangbuk Ana, Ranayara La Ayanan Labo

kiyana (** Limstinana Vir hya vani Sipatinana Sifikhinana, Sa taiyana Sind yiyana Silamkiyana Santyi, ma, Santyiyana de sa the Dame is not known in the

Mahabhin'iya see ! St. ziit. 435

names, which may well be considered as later corruptions. We have also already seen that Afvalayana is the author of the fourth hook of the Antaraya-Aranyaka, as also that he was the pupil of Saunaka, who is stated to have destroyed his own Sutrain favour of his numble work.

The Sútra of Sankhayana wears in general a somewhat more ancient respect, patteutirly in the fifteenth and syttem hooks, where it assumes the appearance of a Brahmana. The seventeenth and eighteenth books are a later addition, and are also ranked independently, and separately commented upon. They correspond to the first two books of the Kaushatki-Kanasha

From my hut superficial against and the most at present in a position to give more detailed information as to the confects and mutual relation of these two Suitase². My conjecture would be that their differences may rest upon local grounds also, and that the Sitre of Astalayana, as well as the Altarya-Brahmana may heterology to the eastern part of Huidastan, the Suita of Sinkhayana, or the converse, hie as Brahmana, rather to the westurn. The older of the ceremonal is pretty much the same in both, though the great sacribees of the kings &c, viz, adopting from the same of subsistence), adjacating (consecration of the king) aftermetha (hous scartfice), pururbanedha (human sacrifice), an iamedha (human sacrifice), ere handled by Sankhavana with fat more minietness

For Asvalayana I find mention made of a commentary by Narayana the son of Krishnajit, a grandson of Sripati A namesake of his, but son of Pasupatisaiman.

^{*} The Malkyans Sairs has succeed been putted, Bull and (Clast 1867, 2) accompanied with the count of Marchael and Marchael Bulley, detected Philina Nifeyans and Assandehandra Aspectal companies of it with the Shikhayans Saira at still weating Bulley, Candidge of JUSS Bulley, Candidge of JUSS Bulley, Candidge of JUSS From Glagard 1 156 (1871) ettes a some mentar by Deratricia on the Srick Sr S likewise a partial dee by Yolstanna.

^{*}Perhaps to the Naumsha to rest (!) See below, p 59.

A This is a confinent. The here is a much Marky him much a commer named handly him much a commer named handly him him handly is the open of the handly handl

composed a paddhat; f'outhnes') to Sankhe ana, after the example of one Brahmadatta. When he laved is uncertum but we may with some probability sasign him to the attenth century. According to his own statements he was a native of Malayadeas Further, for the Sutra of Sankhayana we have the commentary of Varadatasuit Anantitya. Three of its adhydyas were lost, and have been supplied by Dassáarman Munjasunu, viz, the ninth tenth and eleventh "On the last two adhyayas, xii, xiii, there is a commentary by Govinda. That these commentaries were preceded by others, which, however, have since been lost, is obvious, and is besides expressly sixted by Anartitya.

Of the Grahya Sutray of the Rigreda we likewise only possess two, those of Asvalayana (in four adhyuyaa) and on sankhayana (in six adhyayas). That of Saunaka is indeed repeatedly mentioned, but it does not seem to be

any longer in existence

However widely they may differ as to details, the contents of the two works are essentially identical, especially as regards the order and distribution of the matter They treat mainly, as I have already stated (p 17) of the ceremomes to be performed in the various stages of conjugal and family life, before and after a buth, at marriage, at the time of and after a death. Besides these however. manners and customs of the most diverse character are depicted, and 'in particular, the sayings and formulas to be uttered on different occasions bear the impress of a very high antiquity, and frequently carry us back into the time when Brahmamam had not yet been developed" (see Stenzier in I St , ii 150) It is principally popular and superstitious notions that are found in them , thus, we are pointed to star-worship, to astrology, portents, and witchcraft, and more especially to the adoration and propitia-tion of the evil powers in nature, the averung of their malign influence, do It is especially in the pitritarpana or oblation to the Manes that we find a decisive proof o

⁴⁴ Sections 3-5 of the fourth book have been published by Donner in his Pindapririgina (Berlin, 1870), and the section reading to the legood of Sunah eps (s. 17-27) by

Streater (1851), the variants are sented therein to the parallel as sage in the Ast British had already been given by M. Mul er. A. S. L. P. 571 ff.

the modern composition of these works, as the forefathers are there enumerated individually by name-a custous which, although in itself it may be very ancient (as we find a perfect analogy to it in the Yeshts and Nercoss of the Parsis), yet in this particular application belongs to a very recent period, as as apparent from the names themselves For not only are the Rishis of the Rik-Samhita cited in their extant order, but all those names are likewise ment-oned which we encounter as narticularly signifigure to the formetion of the different schools of the Rik. as well as in connection with its Brahmanes and Sutras. for example, Vishlala, Salaha Mandukeya, Aitareya Pangya, Kenshitaka, Sannaka Asvalayana, and Sankhavans themselves, &c Jouned to these, we find other names with which we are not yet otherwise acquainted. as also the names of three female sages, one of whom Gargi Vachaknavi, meets us repeatedly in the Vrihad-Aranyaka of the White Yams, as residing at the court of Janaka. The second is unknown, but the name of the third, Sulabha Maitrevi is both cornected with this very Janaka in the legends of the Maha-Bharata," and also points us to the En libiar. Brahmanans quoted by the echolost on Ponum av 3 105, probably on the authority of the Mahabhashya," as an metane of the 'modern Brahmanas untiled by this rule Immediately after the Rishis of the Rik-Samhita, we find mention of other names and works which have not yet been met with in any other part of Vedic h'erature In the Safikhayane-Grihya we have these Sumo to James Variampayana-Pada-sútra-Shashya [-Girgya-Bach w] and in the Asvalayana-Griby's these Sumant Jarran Varfamodwana-Parla--út-c-bharata-mandbharata-drarmechan ar a The latter

4 Her name 16 Vadava Pratis they! a teacher called Pra libs as mentioned in the Yama Britmana of the Simaveda

" See on this ! &t. X1 429.

They are there caled a second time also, to Pin iv 2 68, and are ex plated by Kaiveta at Sulabiena prottice

" The word Shideling it to b ir certed above between sutra and bidreat though wanting to the MS used he me at the time when i wre . it is found in all the ob.r

E2.K

[.] fC" Samkara s stalements as to this in Ved Sutrabh to in 3.32 p 915 ed Rams Vararana.] Bed dhas uncle is called by the Bud the is Salabha, see Schiefner Le ten der Stigarrunt w 6

passage is evidently the more modern and although we must not suppose that the Maha-Bharata in its present form is here referred to still, in the expression Vaisompayano mahabharatacharyah apparently indicated by this passage, there must at all events be implied a work of some compass, treating of the same legend, and therefore forming the basis of our extant text. The passage seems also to indicate that the same material had already been handled a second time by Jaimini whose work however, can have borne but a distant resemblance to the Jaimun-Bharata of the present day We shall find in the sequel frequent confirmation of the fact that the origin of the epic and the systemat c development of Vedich'erature in its different schools belong to the same period. Of a Sutra by Sumantu, and a Dharma by Paula, we have no knowledge whatever It is only in more modern times in the l'uranas and in the legal literature proper, that I find a work attributed to Sumantu, namely, a Smriti-Sastra, while to Pails (whose name appears from Pan IV I II8) is ascribed the revelation of the Righeds-a carcumstance which at least justifies the inference that he played a special part in the definitive completion of its school development -It is, however, possible to give a wholly different interpretation of the passage from Asvalivana, and in my opinion it would be preferable to do so We may divest the tour proper names of any special relation to the names of the four works and regard the two groups as independent,42 as we must evidently assume them to be in the Sankhayana Gribya. If this be done then what most readily suggests itself in connection with the passage is the manner in which the Puranas apportion

This interpretation becomes importative after the rectification of the text isce the previous rote, according to which no longer four but five rumes of works are so ques

[&]quot;What is meant in the latter land of not 47 in the Afr Griltiol by the word thicking, eppears from the Prain things of the White Yapus where (1 1 10 20) redeshmend thickings are tound in contradia

the revelation of the several Vedas, masmuch as they assign the Atharvayeda to Sumantii, the Saniaveda to Jaumini, the Yajurveda to Vaisampayana, and the Rigreda to Parla But in either case we must assume with Roth. who first pointed out the passage in Asvalvana (op . . p 27) that this passage, as well as the one in Sankhayana, has been touched up by later interpolation ,40 otherwise the dates of these two Grihva-Sútras would be brought down too far! For although, from the whole tenor of both passages that in the Asyalavana-Gribva as well as that in the Sankhayana Gribya-which for the rest present other material discrepancies of detail-it is sufficiently clear that they presuppose the leterature of the Rigveda as entirely closed, still the general attitude of both works shows their comparatively ancient origin -The question whether any connection exists between the Smnti-Sistra of Sankha and the Grinya Sutra of Sankhayans, remains still unanswered

For both Grihva-Satras there are commentaries by the same Narayana who commented the Srauta-Sútra of Aśvalay and " They probably belong to the fifteenth century " There are besides, as in the case of the Srauta-Sutras,

comm of the Sankh bridge, son of he simple, and grandson of Sripati This third Nar lived A D 1939, are Catalogue of the Berlin MSS, p 354, sub ho 1282)-The text of the Asval Gribva has been edited by Siengler with a translation (In discl. Haurrege'n, 1864-65), the tett with Narayana s comm, be Ramanarayana and Anandachandra in Bib! Ind (1866-69) Ilie sec tions relating to marriage coremo nies have been edited by Hass. I St, v 283, ff those relating to funeral rates, by Muller, Z D M

 Two glosses on Samkara s com carntary on the Prasnopanishad and the Murdakopanushad bear the samname so that po sibly the author of then is identical with the above

We find the Sumania Journey Vanampiyana Pailadva deharudh quoted a second time in the Sinka G in its last section (v. 6), which is probably of later origin , and here without any doubt the reference is to the same distribution or the four Vedas among the above named per granges which occurs to the bishau Purana, til 4 8 9 Bosh tem a the representative of th Atlar an comes firs that or he Ril lass which in a Ria text se yen ana clear proof that we have here to do with later appendages A similar prece dence is given to the Albarray-jain the Mahithashra et I M zon

This is a mintake soo note 43 all tures Marayanas miss be kep' distinc The commentate of or and or S cale has a named Maryam. Act to what has our country and an of Narasar's the year hear remarked in note 50, that count of the Afrel Office a Named Maryam Act of the Afrel Office a Named appear A more country, and an of the district, and an of the district, and an of the district.

many small treatises in consection with the Gribva-Sdiras, some of them being summaries, in which the larger works are reduced to system. Among them is a Paddh ti to the Sankhi wana-Gribya by Ramachindra who lived in the Namisha forest in the middle of the fifteenth century, and I am inclined to think that this Namisha forest was the birthplace of the Sutra itself. It is pelhaps for this reason that the tradition connected with it was so well preserved in that district.

The extant Pratisakhva-Sutra of the Rik Samhita is ascribed to Saunaka, who has been repeatedly mentioned already, and who was the teacher of Asvalayana This extensive work is a metrical composition, divided into three handas, of six patalas each, and containing 103 , kandikas in all The first information regarding it was given by Roth, on e p 53 ff -According to tradition, it is of more ancient origin than the Sutras of Asvalayana just mentioned, which only purport to be written by the number of this Saunaka, but whether it really was composed by the latter, or whether it is not much more probably merely the nork of his school must for the present remain undecided The names quoted in it are in part identical with those met with in Yaska's Nirukti and in the Sutra of Panini The contents of the work itself are however as yet but little known to their details Ot special interest are those passages which treat of the correct and incorrect pronunciation of words in general There is an excellent commentary on it by Unia, which professes in the introduction to be a remodelling of an earlier commentary by Vishnuputra.-The Upalekha is to be con-

he is probably identical with the author of the dipold on the small Atharsepanshana published in the Bill Ind in 1872 who (told, p 303) as called Bhaffa hirdyana and son of Bhatta Rainskara |

at We are now in po season of two editions of time editions of this mest important work text and translation with chuchators notes by Ad Reguler (Paris 1857—8) and M Muller (leipzig 15,6 69) see I Str 11 94 ff, 127 ff 199 ff, Lit Centrollett, 1870 p 530

sidered as an epitome of the Praticakhya-Sútra, and to some extent as a supplement to it ispecially to chapters x x1] It is a short treatise, numbered among the Pansishtas (supplements), and it has in its turn been

repeatedly commented upon 12

A few other treatises have still to be noticed here, which, although they bear the high sounding name of Vedángas. or 'members of the Veda' are vet, as above stated (p 25). only to be looked upon as later supplements to the literature of the Rigueda the Siksha, the Chhandas, and the Juntisha All three exist in a double recension according as they profess to belong to the Rigveda or to the Yasurreda. The Chhandas is essentially alike in both recensions, and we have to recognise in it too Sutra on prosods ascribed to Pingala 1 It 13, moreover, like both the other treatises, of very recent origin. We have a proof of this, for instance, in the fact that, in the manner peculiar to the Indians it expresses numbers by vords, and feet by letters, and that it treats of the highly elaborated metres, which are only found in modern poetry as The part dealing with Vedic metres may perhaps be more ancient. The teachers quoted in it bear in part comparatively ancient

rers no ba abe eba ab . le es le ted deb bed.

" Edited and commented by my self in I St vin, (1861), the text together with the commenter of Heldyedha, edited by Vieranatha-650 rin in Bell Indico (1871-74)

See Albiraci a secount in Woenckee Himpers our la provavat m des of three endens, p 102, ff. (100,1) Burnell, Elem of S I Palangr,

²¹ Edited by W Pertich (Berlin, 18ts) . this fract treats of the known ratio, an extended form of the pa danatha which a the same time gives the text in the camaca form namely each word twice fire toward with the preceding and then with the follow me word (thus ab. be.ed) There are also other at 1 more complicated modes of reciting the Veds, as to which of Thibautin b s edition (f the Jatapatata (1870) 7 36 ff The quat s.ep. c.lled gota exhibits the text in the follow ing manner at la at to cate and MSS, of this kind have actually t on preserved, eg, in the case of the Value camph. The following s.sp called glass us id to be still in use of Buardarkar Indian An tupicen in 133 Hang bebr das Herrn des reuterbe : decemte p. 58

P 68 On the other hand, there are but rare'y occur as modern latera ture and which must be looked upon as ob, sle e and out of fashion Therefore 10 ap to of what has been sad above we must carry back the date of its composit on to a teriod about emultaneous w h the clost of the Vedic Satra is erature, or the commencement of the a troporateal and all chrants | Literatures , see L. S. THE \$72 278.

names These are Kraushtuki, Tandin Yaska, Saitava Rate and Mandavya. The recensions most at variance with each other are those of the Siksha and Jaousha respectively. The former work is in both recensions directly traced to Panini, the latter to Lagadha, or Lagara an otherwise unknown name in Indian literature .- Besides the Paniniva Siksha, there is another bearing the name of the Mandukas, which therefore may more directly follo the Rik, and which is at any rate a more important work than the former As a proof of the antiquity of the name 'Siksha' for phonetic investigations, we may adduce the circumstance that in the Tests Arany vit. I, we find a section beginning thus "we will explain the Siksha. whereupon it gives the titles of the topics of the oral exposition which we may suppose to have been connected therewith (I St, in 211), and which, to judge by these titles must have embraced letters accents quantity, art .culation, and the rules of suphony, that is to say the same subjects discussed in the two existing Sikshas to

of the writings called Anakraman, in which the notice, the deity, and the author of each song are given in their proper order, several have come down to us for the Rik Samhita, including an Anardlánukramaní by Saunska, and a Sariánukramaní by Katyayans¹⁷ For both of these we have an excellent commentary by Shadguru-

[.] Remand in his Mirrore sur Unde pp 331 332, adduces from Albiruni a Lata, who passed for the author of the old Sarya Siddhants . might he not be identical with the Lagadus Lagata? According to Colebr Far it 409 Brahmagupta que es a Lidbáchárya thu pame a'so could be traced to Laradha. By Surradevs a scholust of arys bhita the author of the Jyotisha is cited under the name of Lagadi coarra see Leru Preface to the Aryabhatiya, p 1x 1874 An ed true of the text of the Jyots ha, to gether with extracts from Soma kara a commentary and explanatory a tes was published by me in 1862 under the title Coorder Searts. lerder Namens Jyoussan]

^{**}The Pfantly Shibh has be my printed with a translation of J. Tr. 345-371 (1858), on the neutron of the translation that the translation of J. Tr. 345-371 (1858), on the neutron of the translation of Sandrit 1878. 171 E. Astens of Sandrit 1878. 171 E. Astens of Sandrit 1878. 171 E. Astens of Sandrit 1878. 171 The Print 1886-171 (1879) In was you have been supported to the translation of th

F In sub tance published by Muller in the eight volume of halarge edition of the Rk, pp 521

ably altered by the prolongation and repetition of the syllables, by the insertion of additional syllables serving as a rest for the chanting and so forth, and only thus were they transformed into sumans. Two of these songhooks, the Granagena-gana (erroneously called Veragána), in seventeen prapátiakas, and the Aranya-gána, in six prapathatas, follow the order of the richas contained in the first part of the Samhita, the former heing intended for chanting in the gramas, or inhabited places, the latter for chanting in the forest Their order is fixed in a comparatively very ancient Angkramanf, which even bears the name of Brahmana, viz. Reshe-Brdhmana The other two ganas, the Tha-gara, in twenty-three prapathakas, and the Ohya-gana, in six prapathalas, follow the order of the richas contained in the second part of the Samhita Their mutual relation here still requires closer investigation Each such saman evolved out of a rich has a special techmeal name, which probably in most cases originated from the first inventor of the form in question, is often, however, borrowed from other considerations, and is usually placed in the manuscripts before the text itself As each rich can be chanted in a great variety of ways, in each of which it hears a particular name, the number of sawans. strictly speaking, is quite unlimited, and is of course fai greater than that of the riches contained in the Samhita Of these latter there are 1540," of which all but seventyeight have been traced in the Rik-Samhita Most of them are taken from its eighth and pinth mandalas

I have already remarked (p q) upon the artiquity of the readings of the Sama Samhita as compared with those of the Rik-Samhita. It follows from this almost with

* Benfey [Emletung, p ziz.] much as 249 of those occurring in the first part are repeated in the second, three of their twice, while nine of the rather which occur in the second part only appear twice [See on this Whitney a detailed table at the end of his Tabellarische Dar s'ellung der gegenseitigen Verhalt muse der Samhitus des Rik Saman, Wester Yanut und Athanian I St. u 321, ff. 363 (1853)]

erroneously states the number as 1472, which I copied from him I St 1 29 30 The above number to borrowed from a paper by Whitney, which will probably find a place in the Industre Studies. The total num ber of the richer contained in the 54ma Sambitá is 1810 (585 in the first, 1225 in the second part), fro in which however, 261 are to be de ducted as more repetitions, lass

SAMAVED 4 SAMHITA

certainty that the riches constituting the former were borrowed from the songs of the latter at a remote period, before their formation into a Rik Samhita had as yet taken place, so that in the interval they suffered a good deal of wearing down in the mouth of the people, which was avoided in the case of the richas applied as samans, and so protected by being used in worship. The fact has also already been stated that no verses have been received into the Sama-Sambita from those sones of the Rik-Sambita which must be considered as the most modern find no samans horrowed from the Purusha-Súkta, in the ordinary recensions at least, for the school of the Naigeyas has, in fact, incorporated the first five verses of it into the seventh prapathaka of the first part-a section which is peculiar to this school The Sama-Samhita, being a purely derivative production, gives us no clue towards the determination of its date. It has come down to us in two recensions, on the whole differing but little from each other, one of which belongs to the school of the Ranayaniyas, the other to that of the Kauthumas Of this latter the school of the Negra, or Nangeyas, alluded to above, is a subdivision, of which two Anukramanis at least, one of the deities and one of the Rishis of the several verses, have been preserved to us " Not one of these three names has as yet been traced in Vedic literature, it is only in the Sútras of the Samaveda itself that the first and second at least are mentioned, but even here the name of the Negas does not appear -The text of the Ranavanivas was edited and translated, with strict reference to Sayana's commentary, by the missionary Stevenson in 1842, since 1848 we have been in possession of another edition, furnished with a complete glossary and much

specially refers to the Armyaka Samhati, we Burnell, Catalogue Samhati, we Burnell, Catalogue Perfee ASS (1870) p. 39—01 the Armyaka gina as well as of the Obsaugyon gina we find the Perfect of Jamms Sikhi also According to Repender, Idal Mitra Perfects to Translation of Ching Up. p. 4) "the kauthuna (Sikhi) as current in Guzera, the Jamm ulya in karafatka and the Rindya-ulya m Maharafatka and the Rindya-ulya m Maharafatka.

¹⁹ The aventh properfields, when the product or the same been the covered. It bears the title Arm is producted. It bears the title Arm yaks Saphiti, and has been edited by Singfried Goldschmidt in Monathericket Berl i Acad 1858, pp 235-248. The editor points out that the Armyrogians is based upon the drahaful of the Ningry text (f c, pp bear preserved of the affordable also (n 241) — A London MS of Eberaturyonun Simmediatvaraman simmediatvaraman.

additional material, together with translation, which we

owe to Professor Benfey, of Gottingen et Although, from its very nature, the Samhita of the Samaveda is poor in data throwing light upon the time of its origin, yet its remaining literature contains an abon-

dance of these, and first of all, the Brahmanas The first and most unportant of these is the Tandya Brahmana, also called Parhaursa, from its containing twenty-five books Its contents, it is true, are in the main of a very dry and unprofitable character, for in mystic trifling it often exceeds all bounds, as indeed it was the adherents of the Samaveca generally who carned matters furthest in this direction. Nevertheless, from its great extent, this work contains a mass of highly interesting legends, as well as of information generally It refers solely to the celebration of the Soma sacrifices, and to the chanting of the sarrans accompanying it, which are quoted by their technical names These sacrifices were celebrated in a great "arrety of ways, there is one special classification of them according as they extended over one day or several, or finally over more than twelve dayse? The latter, called sattras, or sessions, could only be performed by Brahmans, and that in considerable numbers, and might last 100 days, or even several years. In consequence of

the great variety of ceremonies thus involved, each bears ats own name, which is horrowed either from the object of its celebration, or the aage who was the first to celebrate it, or from other considerations How fir the order of the

15 E.id 10 be still in existence in Melater, see Rost, / St 12.

£ 252-275.

Samhitá is here o' served has no vet been investigated, "I Peemily a new comon, likewas very mentor out, of the first two tooks, the dargers and thee a drem parra, of the drelila (up 01. 5 2 3 10) has been published by Sulyavesta Sámálramin, in the 5 & hollers Indies (1871-74), ar DEL panied by the correspond mg per-tions (preptitudas 1-12) of the Geragina, and the complete com-mentary of Sayana, and o her 1 uptrat ve matter -The C v.s on of the admane acto parrens a fire men tiened by Paraltars, IL 10 (all'ad trularda garters chlandor fadas A Revenue andre on the Simurous

To each Some Elemino be ing s veral ("our at least) preparatory dans, these are not here taken into ar unt ite abore dara on refers only to those days wa n Some juice .s expressed, that is, to the sa a days. Some securiors har ng on v one contider are call i dE a, those with from two to tvelve, alian Sawne lasting a whole year ereven a. . d festival there are geren furdaman al ferma cell desme's I St.

hut in my case it would be a mistake to suppose that for all the different sarifices enumerated in the Brahmana corresponding prayers exist in the Sambita. On the contrary, the latter probably only exhibits the verses to be chantled generally at all the Soma sacifices, and the Brahmana must be regarded as the supplement in which the prodifications for the separate sacinces are given, and also for those which arose later. While, as-we saw above (p. 14), a combination of verses of the Rik for the purpose of recitation hears the name sastra, a similar seletion of different sameas united into a whole is usually called with a (Jucach, to seak), stoma (Justi, to praise), or pristlika (Jurachk, to ask), and these in their turn, like the sastras, receive different expellations.

Of special significance for the time of the composition of the Tandya Brahmana are, on the one hand, the very minute descriptions of the sacrifices on the Sarasvati and Drishadvati, and, on the other, the Vratyastomas, or sacrifices by which Indians of Arvan origin, but not living according to the Brahmanical system, obtained admission to the brahman community The accounts of these latter sacrifices are preceded by a description of the dress and mode of life of those who are to offer them "They drive in open chariots of war, carry bows and lances, wear turhans, robes bordered with red and having fluttering ends. shoes, and sheepskins folded double, their leaders are distinguished by brown robes and silver neck-ornaments. they pursue neither agriculture nor commerce, their laws are in a constant state of confusion, they speak the same language as those who have received Brahmanical consecration, but nevertheless call what is easily spoken hard to pronounce" This last statement probably refers to

O The term directly approach to faster as rather after Profesher agency and the specially designates several storage belonging to the mid day seriface, and forming, as it is expressed, its black, with as engussing employed as a syronym of store and profesher as a syronym of store and tomage of storage of storage of the storage of storage of the stor

prakritic, dialectic differences, to the assimilation of groups of consonants, and similar changes peculiar to the Prakrit The great sacrifice of the Natmishiva Rishis vernaculars is also mentioned and the river Sudaman. Although we have to conclude from these statements that communication with the west, particularly with the non-Brahmanic Arvans there, was still very active and that therefore the locality of the composition must be laid more towards the west,61 still data are not wanting which point us to the Thus, there is mention of Para Atnara, king of the Kosalas, of Trasadasvu Purukutsa, who is also named in the Rik-Samhita, further of Namin Sapya, king of the Videhas (the Nimi of the epic), of Kurukshetra, Yamuna The absence, however of any allusion in the Tandya-Brahmana either to the Kuni-Panchalas or to the names of their princes, as well as of any mention of Janaka, is best accounted for by supposing a difference of locality Another possible, though less likely, explanation of the fact would be to assume that this work was contemporary with or even anterior to, the flourishing epoch of the kingdom of the Kuru-Panchalas The other names quoted therein seem also to belong to an earlier age than those of the other Brahmanas, and to be associated, rather, with the Rishi period. It is, moreover, a very significant fact that scarcely any differences of opinion are stated to exist amongst the vanous teachers It is only against the Kaushitakis that the field is taken with some acrimony, they are denoted as vrátyas (apostates) and as yamárakirna (unfit to sacrifice) Lastly, the name attached to this Brahmana. viz , Tandya, is mentioned in the Brahmana of the White Yasus as that of a teacher, so that, combining all this, we may at least safely infer its priority to the latter work 65

The first use of this designation it is true, only occurs in Litysyana,

⁵⁴ The fact that the name of Chtrataths (dens on Chirarathan Adpyd anylogyan Samudo Chotratath (dens that khairapatry physic mutahi (dens that khairapatry physic occurs in the gare Intpulanta to Chira and Charles (dens that the Balitte in a compoud (Giderantia Eablite in 2 compoud (Giderantia Ekken in this canocitien

the other Sutras invariably quoting

at by "an fratch"

The The Thya, Erdimum has been edited, together with Skynne commentary, in the Bibl Ind (1950-74) by Annedachandra Vedintavágas At the time of the Ediblinka Sutra (see Euclhorn, I St., z. 421) it must entil have been accordanted and that such as the state of the Ediblinka Sutra (see Euclhorn, I St., z. 421) it must be a such as the same accordant of and that are the same accordant of and the same accordant of the same
The Shadwisa-Brahmana by its very name proclaims itself a supplement to the Panchavinsa-Brahmane. It forms, as it were, its twenty-sixth hook, although itself consisting of several books Sayana, when civing a summary of its contents at the commencement of his here excellent commentary, says that it both treats of such ceremonies as are not contained in the Panchavinta-Brahmana, and also gives points of divergence from the latter It is chiefly expiatory sacrifices and ceremonies of imprecation that we find in it, as also short, comprehensive ceneral rules The fifth book (or sixth adhyaya) has quite a peculiar character of its own, and is also found as a separate Brahmana under the name of Adbhuta-Brahmana, in the latter form, however, with some additions at the end It enumerates untoward occurrences of daily life, omens and nortents, along with the rites to be performed to avert their evil consequences These afford us a deep insight into the condition of civilisation of the period, which, as might have been expected, exhibits a very advanced phase The ceremonies first given are those to be observed on the occurrence of veratious events generally, then come those for cases of sickness among men and cattle, of damaged crops, losses of precious things, &c , those to be performed in the event of earthquakes, of phenomena in the air and in the heavens, &c. of marvellous appearances on altars and on the images of the gods, of electric phenomena and the like, and of miscarriages 60 This sort of superstition is elsewhere only treated of in the Grihya-Sutras, or in the Pansishtas (supplements), and this imparts to the last adhyaya of the Shadvinsa-Brahmana-as the remaining contents do to the work generally—the appearance of belonging to a very modern period And, in accordance with this, we find mention here made of Uddalaka Aruni, and other teachers, whose names are altogether unknown to the Panchavinsa-Brahmana - A sloke is cited in the course of

seventh century according to Bur nell), it was already being hunded down without access; as a side present day See Mu^Mer A S L, p 348. Burnell, Sámavadhána Eráh mana, Preface, p vi.

The Addhuta Brahmina his been published by myreif, text with translation and explanatory notes, in Zwa reduche Texa uter Omina and Portenta (1850)

the work, in which the four wags are still designated by their more ancient names, and are connected with the four lunar phases, to which they evidently owe their origin, although all recollection of the fact had in later times died out " This Sola itself we are perhaps justified in assigning to an earlier time than that of Megasthenes, who informs us of a fabulous division of the mundane ages analogous to that given in the epic But it does not by any means follow that the Shadyinge-Brahmana, in which the élola is quoted, itself dates earlier than the

time of Megasthenes

The third Brahmana of the Samaveda bears the special title of Chhandoqya-Brahmana, although Chhandogya is the common name for all Saman theologians We, however, also find it quoted, by Samkara, in his commentary on the Brahma-Satra, as "Tandraden Srut;" that is to say, under the same name that is given to the Panchavinsa-Brahmana The two first adhyduos of this Brahmana ara still missing, and the last eight only are preserved, which also bear the special title of Chandonioranishad This Brahmana is particularly distinguished by its rich store of legends regarding the gradual development of Brahmanical theology, and stands on much the same level as the Vrihad-Aranyaka of the White Yajus with respect to opinions, as well as date, place, and the individuals mentioned. The absence in the Vrinad-Aranyala, as in the Brihmana of tha White Yajus generally, of any reference to the Naimisiya-Rishis, might lead us to argue the prionty of the Chhandogyopanishad to the Vrihad-Aranyaka Still, the mention in the Chhanderyopanished of these, as well as of the Mahavrishas and the Gandharas-the latter, it is true, are set down as distant-ought perhaps only to be taken as proof of a somewhat more western origin, whereas the Vrihad-Aranyaka belongs, as we shall hereafter see, to quite the eastern part of Hindustan numerous animal fables, on the contrary, and the mention of Mahidasa Aitareya, would sooner incline me to suppose that the Chhandogyopanishad is more modern than the Vrihad-Aranyaka. With regard to another allusion, in

er D.Serently Roth in his essay Die Lehre von die mer Weltaltern (Tubingen 1800)

itself of the greatest significance, it is more hazardous to venture a conjecture. I mean the mention of Krishna Devakiputra, who is instructed by Ghora Angrasa The latter, and besides him (though not in connection with him) Krishna Anguasa are also mentioned in the Kaushitaki-Brahmana, and supposing this Krishna Angirasa to be identical with Krishna Davakinutra, the allusion to him might perhaps rather he considered as a sign of priority to the Vribad-Aranyaga. Still, anuming this identification to be correct, due we chi must be given to the fact that the name has been altered here instead of Afigurasa, he is called Dovahputra, a form of name for which we find no analogy in any other Vedic writing excepting the Vansas (genealcz...al tables) of the Vrihad-Aranyaka, and which therefore belones, at all events, to a tolerably late period.* The sign ficance of this gilusion for the understanding of the position of Krishna at a later period is ohvious Here he is yet but a scholar, eager in the pursuit of know ledge, helonging perhaps to the military caste He cortainly must 1 310 distinguished him self in some way or other, hower or little we know of it, otherwise his elevation to the rank of deap, brought about by external carcumstances, would be nexplicable 63

The fact of the Chhandogyopanis and and the Vinhadkranyaka hazing in common the names Pravlatana Jarali, Usl asti Chrunyara, Sandulya, Satyakama Jabala, Uddalaka Arun, Setalaru, and Akrapati, makes it dear that they were as nearly as possible contemporary rorks, and this expects also from the generally complete identity of the se-enth book of the former with the corresponding passages of the Vinhad-Aranyaka. What, however, is of most survificance, as tending to establish a lake date for

right oil was sons to Indea, &c. ara at the re-o of it ase I S zim. 3,9,5. The ha question, however is all 3. Let vaye. Armin worship of kr bin as the one Godyrough justed its gerform through the influence of Christianity See my parer, Rights p 30,6 where also Generally see your parent Rights of Christians of Generally see your characteristic and the came are further parts offers as the came

the Chhandogyopanishad, is the voluminous literature, the existence of which is presupposed by the enumeration at the beginning of the ninth book Even supposing this ninth hook to he a sort of supplement (the names of Sanatkumara and Skanda are not found elsewhere in Vector literature, Narada also is otherwise only mentioned in the second part of the Aitareya-Brahmana), there still remains the mention of the 'Atharvangirasas,' as well as of the Itihasas and Puranas in the fifth book. Though we are not at liberty here any more than in the corresponding passages of the Vnhad-Aranyaka, to understand by these last the Itihasas and Puranas which have actually come down to us, still we must look upon them as the forerunners of these works, which, originating in the legends and traditions connected with the songs of the Rik, and with the forms of worship, gradually extended their range, and embraced other subjects also, whether drawn from real life, or of a mythical and legendary character Originally they found a place in the Brahmanas, as well as in the other expository literature of the Vedas, but at the time of this passage of the Chhandogyopanished they had possibly already in part attained an independent form, although the commentance," ss 2 rule, only refer such expressions to passages in the Brahmanas themselves The Maha-Bharata contains, especially in the first book, a few such Ithasas, still in a prose form, nevertheless, even these fragments so preserved to us belong, in respect both of style and of the conceptions they embody, to a much later period than the similar passages of the Brahmanas. They however suffice, together with the slokas, gathas, &c, quoted in the Brahmanas themselves, and with such works as the Barbaddaivata, to bridge over for us the period of transition from legend to

epic poetry We meet, moreover, in the Chhandogyopanishad with one of those legal cases which are so seldom mentioned in Vedic literature, viz., the infliction of capital punishment for (denied) theft exactly corresponding to the severe

[&]quot; And a few times in the Atherea- case but Siyam, Harnerim.n. and Samhitá, as also in the Vensa of the Sámayadhána Brál macs.

Drivenagağça in similar çossages of the Saspatha Erthmans and Tast-" Not Samkers, it is true, in this artist Amprica

enactments regarding it in Manu's code Guilt or innocence is determined by an ordeal, the carrying of a redhot are, this also is analogous to the decrees in Manu. We find yet another connecting link with the state of culture in Manu's time in a passage occurring also in the Vrihad-Aranyaka, viz. the doctrine of the transmigration of souls. We here meet with this doctrine for the first tune, and that in a tolerably complete form, in itself. however, it must certainly be regarded as much more ancient. The circumstance that the myth of the creation in the fifth book is on the whole identical with that found at the beginning of Manu, is perhaps to be explained by regarding the latter as simply a direct imitation of the former The tenth book, the subject of which is the soul. its seat in the body and its cordition on leaving it. 20. its migration to the realm of Brahman, contains much that is of interest in this respect in connection with the abovementioned parallel passage of the Krushitzky-Upanishad. from which it differs in some particulars. Here also for the first time in the field of Vedic literature occurs the name Rahu, which we may recken among the proofs of the comparatively recent date of the Chhandogropanishad

Of expressions for philosophical doctrines we find only Upanishad, Adesa, Guhva Adesa (the Leeping secret of doctrue is repeatedly and urgently inculcated), Urdihyana (explanation) The teacher is called deharya [as he is also in the Sat Br], for "inhabited place," ardha is used .

single slokes and gathas are very often quoted

The Chhandogy opamshad has been edited by Dr Roer
in the Bibliotheca Indica, vol. in, along with Samhara's commentary and a gloss on it 79 Fr Windischmann had previously given us several passages of it in the original.

and several in translation, see also I St., 1.254-273

The Kenopanishad has come down to us as the remnant of a fourth Brahmana' of the Samaveda, supposed to be its ninth hook.* In the colophons and in the quotations found in the commentaries, it also bears the other-

⁷⁰ In this series (1854-62) a trans lation also has been cub'shed by Rájendra Lála Mit-a.

first eight books & mk_ra furni her us with informa en a the begin ring of his commer will

Recarding the conterts of the

wase unknown name of the Taluna Aras: It is divided into two parts the first, composed in Mokas, treats of the being of the supreme Brahman, appealing in the fourth verse to the tradition of the "carlier sugges who have taught us this "as its antientry. The second part contains a legend in support of the suprement of Brahman, and here we find Urna Hammarati, later the spouse of five, acting as mediative between Brahman and the other gots, probably because she is imagined to be identical with Sarastet, or Vach, the godders of speech, of the creative word t

These are the evtant Brahmanas of the Samaveda. Savana, indeed in his commentary on the Samevidhans enumerates eight (3-3 Muller, Rik 1 Pref p xxvn) the Proudha- or Mand-Brahmana (10, the Panchavinsa), the Shadin, a the Simer dhe the Arshera, the Deratedhyava. the Upanishad, the Sarihitoganishad, and the Vansa The claims, however, of four of these works to the name of Brahmans, have no solid foundation. The Artheya is, as already stated, merely an Anukramani, and the Devatadbyava can hardly be said to be anything else, the Vansa else there always constitutes a part of the Brahmanas themselves the two latter works, moreover, can scarcely be supposed to be still in existence, which, as far as the Vanta is concerned, is certainly very much to be regretted The Samavidhana also, which probably treats, like the portion of the L trayana-Sútra bearing the same name, of the con ersion of the ruhas into samans, can hardly pass for a Brahmana 71 As to the Sambitonanichad, it appears

Might not this name be trace able to ne save roo tell tand, from which Tandya is der ad?

⁺ On the hiera are are, or the henogenismad, s e I & s. 155 if. I've have to add I form seds on with hanktra's common tary. It is not them I fleet, sol vis, and Latrices lation side, sol x;

⁷² the above taken, reques ploy and of the array for all each to be corrected and e. given each of any extent particular. I Vend that have there is no purpose. Both as coveral particular. I Vend that have there is been shifted by Bordman as that the is by purpose. If Directly with Stream's commentary of the property of the prop

an Amskram...d, but only contains are more of ments at a to the deliver of the delivers of the

to me doubtful whether Sayana meant by it the Kenopanished, for though the samhitá (universality) of the Supreme Being certainly is discussed in the latter, the subject is not handled under this name, as would seem to be demanded by the analogy of the title of the Samhitopanishad of the Aitareva-Aranyaka as well as of the Taitting Aranyal-1 My conjecture would be that he is far more likely to have intended a work " of the same title, of which there is a MS in the British Museum (see I St , 1 42), and if so, all mention of the Kenopanishad has been omitted by him, possibly for the reason that it appears at the same time in an Atharvan-recension (differing but little, it is true), and may have been regarded by him as belonging to

There is a far greater number of Sútras to the Sama seda than to any of the other Vedas We have here three Śrauta-Sutras, a Sútra which forms a running commentary upon the Panchavinsa-Brahmana, five Sútras ou Metres and on the conversion of richas into samans, and a Grihya-Sútra To these must further be added other similar works of which the titles only are known to us, as well as a great mass of different Parisishtas

Of the Stauta-Sutras, or Sutres treating of the sacrificial ritual, the first is that of Maśaka, which is cited in the other Sama-Sutras, and even by the teachers mentioned in these, sometimes as Arshiya Kalpa, sometime. as Kalpa, and once also by Latvayana directly under the name of Masaka 33 In the colophons at bears the name of Kalpa-Sutra This Sútra is but a tabular enumeration of the prayers belonging to the several ceremonies of th Some secretice, and these are quoted partly by their tec! nical Saman names, partly by their opening words

72 This is unquestionably correct.

sance this text appears there, as we as els-where, in connection with th Vana Brahmana, &c. It is co mu-h larger than the Devatadhyaya but has not yet been published, s.

ASL, p 34S) in his time all of them yere aircidy without accents One fact deserves to be specially noticed here, namely, that several of the teachers mentioned in the Vania Brahmana ly their v-ry names, point u-directly to the north west of India, eg, himb p. An ramanyava, Madragira Saungayani Sa i Ausbtrak ibn Silvinkavana, und hauhala see 1 St, 10 278-280

^{/ ° 1 375} 73 Laty 174na designates Masaka .. 3 Gárgya Is this name connected with the Mdoonya of the Greeks Laure, I dh , 1 130, I 7, 1"

order is exactly that of the Panchavinsa-Brahmana, yet a few other ceremonies are inserted including those added in the Shadvinia-Brahmana, as well as others Among the latter the Janakasaptarátra deserves special notice, -a ceremony owing its origin to King Janaka,74 of whom, as we saw above, no mention is yet made in the Panchavitéa-Brahmana His life and notoriety therefore evidently fall in the interval between the latter work and the Sutra of Masaka - The eleven prapathalas of this Sutra are so distributed that the chahas (sacrifices of one day) are dealt with in the first five chapters, the akings (those lasting several days) in the following four, and the sattras (sacrifices lasting more than twelve days) in the last two. There is a commentary on it, composed by Varadaraja, whom we shall meet with again as the commentator of another Sama-Sútra.

The second Stauta-Sûtra is that of Látydyana, which belongs to the school of the Kauthumas This name appears to me to point to Lata the Aapuci of Ptolemy, to a country therefore lying quite in the west, directly south of Surishira (Zupar-pari). This would agree perfectly with the conjecture above stated, that the Pañchavinsa-Brálmana belongs more to the west of India, and is borne out by the data contained in the body of the Sûtra itself,

as we shall see presently

This Stira, I.I.e that of Masaka, connects itself closely with the Panchavinéa-Brahmana, and indeed often quotes passages of some length from it, generally introducing them by 'tad u'l'am brithmannaa, or, "u'i b dhimanam bharati, 'once also by "tetha brahman harati,' once also by "tetha brahman harati,' in usually gives at the sume time the different interpretations which these passages received from various teachers Sandilya, Dhanamjayya, und Sandilyayana are most frequently meationed in this manner, often together, or one after the other, as expounders of the Panchavint's Brahmana. The first named is alteraly known to us through the Chhandogyopanistad, and he, as well as Sandilyayana, is repeatedly

⁷⁶ Sáyana, it is true to Palich XXII 9 1 (akes janada as an apjeliative in the sense of prajápats, which is the reading of the Palicha will Brilimana.

Psyada 1, see Lassen, I AA, 1 10S:

mentioned also in another Satra, the Nidana-Sútra, the same is the case with Dhanamraya Besides these, how ever, Latyayana mentions a number of other teachers and schools, as, for example, his own whays, with especial frequency, the Arsheyn-Kalpr two different Gautamas, one being distinguished by the surname Sthavira (a technical title, especially with the Buddhists), further Sauchivrikshi (a teacher known to Panini), Kshairakalambhi, Kautsa, Varshaganya, Bhanditayana, Lamakayana, Ranayaniputia, &c., and in particular, the Satyayanins, and their work, the Satyayanaka, together with the Salankayanins, the latter of whom are well known to belong to the western part of India Such allusions occur in the Sútra of Latyayana, as in the other Sútras of the Samaveda, much more frequently than in the Sutras of the other Vedas, and are in my opinion evidence of their priority to the latter At the time of the former there still existed manifold differences of opinion, while in that of the latter a greater unity and fixedness of exegesis, of dogma, and of worship had been attained. The remaining data appear also to point to such a priority, unless we have to explain them merely from the difference of locality The condition of the Sudras as well as of the Nishadas se, the Indian abongmes, does not here appear to he one of such oppression and wretchedness as it afterwards became It was permitted to sojourn with them (Sandiis a, it is true, restricts this permission to "in the neigh-hourhood of their gramas"), and they themselves were allowed to attend in person at the ceremonies, although outside of the sacrificial ground. They are, moreover, now and then represented, though for the most part in a mean capacity as taking an actual part on such occasions, which is not to be thought of in later times. Toleration was still a matter of necessity, for, as we likewise see, the strict Brahmanical principle was not yet recognised even among the neighbouring Aryan tribes. These, equally with the Brahmanical Indians, held in high esteem the songs and customs of their ancestors, and devoted to them quite as much study as the Brahmanical Indians did, nay, the latter now and then directly resorted to the former, and borrowed distinct ceremonies from them This is sufficiently clear from the particulars of one ceremony of the

kind, which is embodied, not indeed in the Pañchavinsa-Brahmana, but in the Shadaina-Brahmana and which is described at full length by Latvavana It is an imprecatory ceremony (called Syena, falcon), and this naturally suggests the idea that the ceremonial of the Atharvan, which is essentially based troop unprecations and magical expedients.—as well as the songs of the Atharvan itself.—may perhaps chiefly owe its cultivation to these western non-Brahmanical. Arvan tribes The general name given to these tribes by Latvayana fand with this Pining v 2 21 agrees) is Vratinas, and he further draws a distinction between their wasdhas, wurners, and their arhants. teachers Their anuchanas, te, those versed in Scripture, are to be chosen priests for the above-mentioned sacrifice Sindilya limits this to the arhants alone, which latter word-subsequently, as as well known, employed exclusively as a Buddhistic title-is also used in the Brahmana of the White Yayus, and in the Aranyaka of the Black Yaius, to express a teacher in general The turban and garments of these priests should be red (lohita) according to Shadymsa and Latyayana, and we find the same colour assigned to the sacrificial tobes of the priests of the Rakshasas in Lanka, in the Ramayana, vi. 19 110, 51 21, with which may be compared the light red, yellowish red (kashaya) garments of the Buddhists (see for instance Mrichhakat, pp 112, 114, ed Stenzler, M.-Bhar, xii, 566, 11808. Yainay, 1 272), and the red (ralta) dress of the Samkhyabhikshu * in the Laghujataka of Varaha Mihira, Now, that these western non-Brahmanical Vratyas, Vratinas, vere put precisely upon a par with the eastern non-Brahmanical, 10, Buddhistic, teachers, appears from an addition which is given by Latvavana to the description of the Vratvastomas os found in the Panchavinsa-Bruhmana. We are there told that the converted Vratyas, 10. those who have entered into the Brahman community, must, in order to cut off all connection with their past hand over their wealth to those of their companions who still abide by the old mode of life-thereby transferring to these their own former impurity-or elsa, to a "Brahma-

^{*} According to the commentary, or smould this be fallyable by all See I % in 287

bandhu Magndhadesiya." This latter expression is only explicable if we essume that Buddhism, with its anti-Brahmanical tendences was at the time flourishing in Magadha, and the absence of any such allusion in the Panchavinia-Brahmana is significant as to the time which clarked hetween this york and the Stiff no [Latvarana*

The first seven praythelas of the Latyayann-Sura compuse the rules common to all Soma sarrifees, the eighth and part of the minth book treat, on the contrary, of the separate oldhas, the remander of the minth book of the advines, and the tenth, of the series. We have nexcellent commentary on it by Agmisamin, who occupies probably to the same period as the other commentators whose names terminate in refiner, as Itherassamin, Bhartasvamin, Dhritasvamin, Hernasmin, Khardasvamin, Khardasvamin, Kellarsvamin, cc, their time, however, is es yet under timined?

their time, now ever, is a yet and summer.

The third Sama-Sutra, that of Dickydywra, differs but elightly from the Latyayana-Sutra. It belongs to the school of the Ramayaniyes. We meet with the name of these latter in the Ramayaniyat of Lacyayana, his family is descended from Vroulina, for which reason time Sutra is also directly called Fosicarde-Sutra. For the name Drahyayana nothing scalegous can be address.

The difference between this Sutia and that of Lettar.

Kikatas-the ancient name of the people of Magadus-and their king Pramagamda are ment on d as hos tile, we have probably to think et the abortgames of the country, and not of bc_til- Aryas (7) It sems Lot imposs ble that the native inlahi tinus, being particularly vigorou retained more influence in Magadha than o' ewhere, even after the coun try had been brahman sed,—a process which perhaps was never e in pletely eff and -t at they you de h hatryus as harpened chenkers also, and that this is how we have to account for the spec al ya.p.thy and success which E. '." in met with in Magridha. here raine and-

^{*} In the Rik S mints, where the holds a regime of a a means of skatas—the ancient name of the recurrency their old political though tools of Maradia—and their kied. The right form

[&]quot;We now prose a in the F b' India (Spo-ya) in edition of the Littleria and in with Agmissamin a commentary by Atlandacionata Veductoristics.

The End quite a cluster of Ends man sames in rewish in an extration cated balance; in Journal Libing Branch L. A. S., in 2005 (1851) with man undated theories on his

Journal Art. Cr. Sec. VI. 589

1 I for occurs in the VanxBrithman who for let of t arb
ere probably refers to this very
exhool see I St. vs. 378 dra/a
rud to be - Fr hit errory ou of
urede tee Hem. P. 4 ht c. 18, 12 ard

is mainly confined to the different distribution of the matter, which is on the whole identical, and even expressed in the same words I have not yet met with a complete coder of the whole wark, but only with its beginning and its end, in two different commentaries, the date of which it is not yet possible to determine-the beginning namely, in Maghasyamin's commentary, remodelled by Rudrashanda, the end in the excellent commentary of Dhanvin.

The only knowledge I have of a Srauta-Sútra by Gobhila is derived from a antice of Roth's (op e, pp 55, 56), according to which Krityachintamani is said to have com-

posed a commentary npon it "

In a far more important degree than he differs from Drahyayana does Latyayana differ, on the one hand, from Katyayana, who in his Srauta-Sútra, belonging to the White Yaius, treats in books 22-24 of the ekahas, ahinas, and sattras, and on the other, from the Rik-Satras of Asvalayana and Safikhayana, which likewise deal with these subjects in their proper place. In these there is no longer any question of differences of opinion, the stricter view represented by Sandilya in the Latyayana-Sutra has every where tnumphed. The ceremonies on the Sarasyati and the Vratiastomas have also become, in a local sense too, further removed from actual life, as appears both from the slight consideration with which they are treated, and from modifications of names, &c. which show a forgetting of the original form Many of the ceremonies discussed in the Sama-Sutras are, moreover, entirely wanting in the Sútras of the other Vedas, and those which are found in the latter are enumerated in tabular fashion rather than fully discussed-a difference which naturally originated in the diversity of purpose, the subject of the Sutra of the Yaus being the duties of the Adhvarya, and that of the Sútras of the Rik the duties of the Hotar

A fourth Sama-Sútra 19 the Annpada-Sútra, in ten prapathabas, the work of an unknown author It explains

⁷⁰ The name Entyschintsmann' on a Smute Sutra of Gobbila re recht, Catalegus, p 365°, but elsewhere whether it really was a commentary

probably belongs to the work steelf, manns doubtful in the meantime, compare / '4, 1 60, 11 395, Auf mance such a work is not mentioned

the obscure passages of the Panchavinsa-Brahmana, and. it would appear, of the Shadymsa-Brahmana also, accompanying the text step by step It has not as yet been closely examined, but it promises to prove n rich mine of material for the history of Brahmanical theology, as it makes mention of, and appeals to, an extremely large number of different works For example, of schools of the Rik, it cites the Astareyms, the Pasigins, the Kaushitake, of schools of the Yams, the Adhvaryus in general, further, the Satyayanins, Khádayanins, the Taittiriyas, the Kathaka, the Kalabayins, Bhallayins, Samhuvis, Vajasaneyins, and frequently also gruts, smrits, dcharges, &c It is a work which deserves to be very thoroughly studied 80

While the above-named four Sútras of the Samaveda specially attach themselves to the Panchavinsa-Brahmana, the Sutras now to be mentioned stand out more independently beside the latter, although of courss, in part at least, often referring to it In the first place, we have to mention the Nidena-Sutra, which contains in ten prapathakas metrical and other similar investigations on the different ukthas, stomas, and ganas The name of the author is not given. The word niddna, 'root,' is used with reference to metre in the Brahmana of the White Yajus, and though in the two instances where the Naidanas are mentioned by Yaska, their activity appears to have been directed less to the study of metre than to that of roots, etymology, still the Nidanasaminaka Grantha is found cited in the Brihaddevata, 5 5, either directly as the Sruts of the Chhandogas, or at least as containing their Srutt.* This Sutra is especially remarkable for the great number of Vedic schools and teachers whose various opinions it adduces, and in this respect it stands on pretty much the same level as the Annpada-Sútra. It differs from it, however, hy its particularly frequent quotation

[&]quot; Unfortunately we do not even or youd aird gour gdyairs a mild now know of more than one MS . news) ses I St , L 43

the This is wrong, on the con trary, the word has quite a general meaning in the passages in question (eg , in gayatri id esha nidinena,

^{*} Nuddna, in the sense of cause,

foundation ' is a favourite word in the Buddhestic Sutras , see Burnouf Istrod & l'Histone du Euddhume Inches, pp 59, ff, 484, ff

also of the views of the Saman theologians named by Latvayana and Drahyayana, vir, Dhanamjayya, Sandilya, Sau chivrikshi, &c -a thing which seldem or never occurs in the former The animosity to the Kaushitakis, with which we have already become acquainted in the Panchavinsa-Brahmana is here again exhibited most vividly in some words attributed to Dhanamiayya With regard to the Rigyeda, the dasatavi division into ten mandalas is mentioned, as in Yaska The allusion to the Atharvanikas, as well as to the Anubráhmanins is particularly to be remarked, the latter peculiar name is not met with elsewhere, except in Panini. A special study of this Sútra is also much to he desired, as it likevise promises to open up a wealth of information regarding the condition of literature at that period 82

Not much information of this sort is to be expected from the Pushpa-Sutra of Gobhila which has to be named along with the Nidána Sútra The understanding of this Satra is, moreover, obstructed by many difficulties For not only does it cife the technical names of the samans. as well as other words, in a very curtailed form, it also makes use of a number of grammatical and other technical terms, which, although often agreeing with the corresponding ones in the Pratisakhva-Sútras, are yet also often formed in quite a peculiar fashion, here and there, indeed, quite after the algebraic type so favoured by Panini This is particularly the case in the first four prapathalas, and it is precisely for these that, up to the present time at least, no commentary has been found. whereas for the remaining six we possess a very good commentary by Upadhyaya Ajatasatru† The work treats of the modes in which the separate richas, by various insertions, &c , are transformed into samans, or "made to blossom," as it were, which is evidently the origin of the name Pushpa-Sutra, or 'Flower Sutra" In addition to

on T S . : 8 1 1

^{*2} Sen I St , 1 44, if the first two pafalas, which have special re ference to metre, have been edited and translated by me in / Sr. vin 85-724. For Anubráhmann, *pa, see also Asv Sr. u 8 17, and Schol

[&]quot; So, at least, the author is called in the colophous of two chapters in MS Chambers 220 [Catalogue of

the Berlin MSS , p 76] † Compo.ed for his pupil Vieb BU) 2543

the Pravehana, i.e. (according to the commentary), Erabmana, of the Kilabavins and that of the Satyananins, I found, on a cursory inspection, mention also of the Kauthumas. This is the first time that their mane appears in a work connected with Yedic Interation. Some portions of the work, particularly in the last books, are composed in *fokas*, and we have, doubtless, to regard it as a compilation of pieces belonging to different periods. In close connection with it stands the *Sima-Tarina*, composed in the same manner, and equility unintelligible without a commentary. It treats, in thirteen prayidhalas, of accent and the accentuation of the separate verses. A commentary on it is indeed extant, but at present only in a fragmentary form. At its close the work is denoted as the voldarana, grammar, of the Siman theologians **

syddarana, grammar, of the Saman theologians "
Several other Sutras also treat of the conversion of
ruchas into sámans, &c One of these, the Pañchardshy, a
Sutra (Páñchardshya, Pañchardshya), is only known to
me from quotations, according to which, as well as from
its name, it reats of the five different cridius (modes) by
which this process is effected Upon a second, the Pratihára-Sútra, which is accribed to Katyayana, semmentary
called Dastays was composed by Varadaran, the abovementioned commentator of Maŝska. It treats of the
aforesand five ruchis, with particular regard to the one
called pratidara
The Tandshkahana-Sutra is only
known to me by name, as also the Upagrantha-Sutra;
both of which, with the two other works just named, are,
both of which, with the two other works just named, are,
both of which, with the two other works just named, are,

⁴³ In Dehlan MSS the work as called Phillis-Stire, and a sacrabed to Vararuchi, not to Gobbala see Burnell, Catalogue, pp 45, 46. On the and other pents of difference, see my paper Leber das Sappliedis Lom des Hidds (1870) pp 25%, 259 I now possess a copy of the lett and connectionary, but her as manning and the same and the same seems of the same and
M See also Euruell Catalogue pp 40, 41—1044 p. 44 we find a Svaraparibháshá, or Sánahkahana, specified haiyata also mentions a striadak,hanam prátiadkhyan éta

from by which he explains the word withdrake which, according to the Mishshikdiys, is at the founds too of existing, whose formation is taught by Panion humself (iv 2 60), see I St., are, 447 According to that it certainly access very doubtful thought the certainly access very doubtful thought the content of the content

Same ame Shadguruśialya, in the introduction to his commentary on the Anuframiani of the Rik, describes Kátyáyana za supayraniharya idraka.

collection of MSS. By the anonymous transcriber of the Berlin MS of the Massla-Sutra, who is of course a very weak authority, ten Smuta-Sutras for the Simaveds are enumerated at the close of the MS, viz., heades Latyayana, Annpada, Nidaa, Kalpa, Tandalakshana, Palicharudheya, and the Upagmanthas, also the Kalpfanipada, Anustotra, and the Kakudras. What is to be understood by the three last names most for the present remain undeeded.

The Gribua-Sútra of the Samaved's belongs to Gobbila. the same to whom we also found a Scauta-Sutra and the Pushpa-Sutra ascribed.86 His name has a very unvedic ring, and nothing in any way coresponding to it appears in the rest of Vedic literature 87 In what relation this work, drawn up in four prapathalas, stands to the Gribva-Sutras of the remaining Vedas has not yet been investigated. A supplement (parisishia) to it is the Karma-pradipa of Kavarana. In its introductory words it expressly acknowledges itself to be such a supplement to Gobhila, but it has also been regarded both as a second Grihya-butra and as a Smritt-Sastra According to the statement of Asarka, the commentator of this Karmaprading, the Gribve-Sutra of Gobbils is authoritative for both the schools of the Samaveda, the Kauthumas as well as the Ranayaniyas *- Is the Khadira Grihva, which is now and then mentioned, also to be classed with the Sámareda ?

⁶⁵ On the Paticharidh Shire and the Kalpidaupeds, such in two preparadictars, and the Kriswitz, in three projectication, and the projection of L. p. 210, Aufrecht, Candogus, p. 37? Tae Upagranthe-Shire treats of expations, prigalchitist, see Edjendra L. M., hources of Sanatra MSJ. 10, 182.

M To him is also ascribed a Nazgeya-Sura, "a description of the Metres of the Sunswed, see Colm Browning Catalogue of Sonatra MSS emiting to Odd (1873), P. 4. "A list of teachers belonging to the Cobilla whool is contained in the Vadia-Brithmaps.

on An edition of the Gobbile Gribys-Satra, with a very diffuse commentary by the editor, Chan

drakinta Tarkflamhöra, has been commenced in the Bibl. Indice (1871), the fourth furnishing (1872) reaches to it. S. (2 See the actions relating to inspiral cremonies in Hissas paper, J. St., v. 253, ff.

Hass paper, I St., v 283, ff.

Among the authors of the
Surph-Sistras a Kuthumi M also
mentioned

E Certainly In Burnell's Ceta boyer, p 5 the Drichtysma-Chlys 56trs (rs four pa(cles) as stributed to Rhiders, Rudreckandardmin composed a cruts on this work also (see p Sc), and Ydmana is named as the stuber of Turkfet so that the property of the Composition of the Stimarda probably belong also Gentral Petymella Stire also Gentral Petymella Stire

As representative of the last stage of the literature of the Samaveda, we may specify, on the one hand, the various Paddhatis (outlines) and commentaries, &c , which connect themselves with the Sútras, and serve as an explanation and further development of them, and, on the other, that peculiar class of short treatises bearing the name of Parisishtas, which are of a somewhat more independent character than the former, and are to be looked upon more as supplements to the Sútras * Among these, the already mentioned Arsha and Danata-enumerations of the Rishis and derties-of the Samhita in the Naigeva-Sakha deserve prominent notice Both of these treatises refer throughout to a comparatively angient tradition. for example, to the Narraktas, headed by Yaska and Sakapuni, to the Naighantukas, to Saunaka (10, probably to his Anukramani of the Rik), to their own Brahmana, to Antareys and the Astareyms, to the Satapathikas, to the Prayachana Kathaka, and to Asyalayana. The Dalbhya-Parisishta ought probably also to be mentioned here, it bears the name of an iodividual who appears several times in the Chhandogyopanishad, but particularly often in the I'urangs as one of the sages who conduct the dialogue

The Yapareda, to which we now turn, is distinguished above the other Velas by the great number of different schools which belong to it. This is at once a consequence and a proof of the fact that it became pre-emmently the subject of study, masmuch as it contrins the formulas for the entire 'acrificial ceremonal, and indeed forms its

Kanaka ing ut an commen

tary on the Gribya-Sutra of the Wards lajus several times acribes their authorship to a Látydyna (India Office Library, No 440, fol 52°, 55°, 50°, ac.), or do the equotations only refer to the abovement's Lamentality

⁽cf. Burnell, p 57 the commentator Anantayayam identifies the author with Akshapida, the author of the Nyiya Sutra, see the ection treating of the legal literature

proper foundation, whilst the Rigyeds prominently, and the Simaveda exclusively, devote themselves to a part of it only, viz, to the Soma sacrifice The Yapurveda divides itself, in the first place, into two puris, the Black and the White Yaius These, upon the whole, indeed, have their matter in common, but they differ fundamentally from cach other as regards its arrangement. In the Sambita of the Black Yaius the sacrificial formulas are for the most part immediately followed by their domnatic explanation. &c. and by an account of the geremonial belonging to them , the portion bearing the name of Brahmana differing only in point of time from this Samhita, to which it must be viewed as a supplement. In the White Yajus, on the contrary, the sacrificial formulas, and their explanation and ritual, are entirely separated from one another, the first being assigned to the Sambita, and their explanation and ritual to the Brahmana, as is also the case in the Ricveds and the Samaveda. A further difference apparently consists in the fact that in the Black Yayus very great attention is paid to the Hotar and his duties, which in the White Yaius is of rare occurrence By the nature of the case in such matters what is undigested is to be regarded as the commencement, as the earlier stage, and what exhibits method as the later stage, and this view will be found to be correct in the present instance. As each Yaius possesses an entirely independent literature, we must deal with each separately

First, of the Black Yayus The data thus far known to us concerning at open up such extensive litears, perspectives, but withal in such a meage way, that innestigation has, up to the present time, been less able to attain to approximately satisfactory results "than in any other field. In the first place, the name "Black Yayus" belongs only to a later period, and probably arose in contradistinction to that of the White Yayus. While the theologians of the Rik are called Bahvrichas, and those of the Saman Chhudogas, the old name for the theologians of the Yayus adhavarus, and, indeed, these three names are already so

^{*} See I St., : 68 f [All the been published, ere the ensuing texts, with the exception of the motes]
Sutras relating to risus, have now

employed in the Samhita of the Black Yajus and the Brahmana of the White Yajus In the latter work the designation Adhvaryus is applied to its own adherents. and the Charakadh varyus we denoted and censured as their adversaries -- an enmity which is also apparent in a passage of the Samhita of the White Yajus, where the Charakacharys, as one of the persons to be dedicated at the Purushamedha, is devoted to Dushkrita, or "Ili deed" This is all the more strange, as the term charaka is otherwise always used in a good sense, for "travelling scholar;" as is also the root char, "to wander about for instruction ' The explanation probably consists simply in the fact that the name Charakas is also, on the other hand, applied to one of the principal schools of the Black Yajus, whence we have to as ume that there was a direct enmity between these and the adherents of the White Yaius who arose in opposition to them-a hostility similarly manifested in other cases of the kind A second name for the Black Yajus is "Taittirfya," of which no earlier appearance can be traced than that in its own Praticalhya-Sutre, and in the Sama-Sutras Panin' connects this name with a Rishi called Titiri, and so does the Anukrameni to the Atreya school, which we shall have frequent occasion to mention in the sequel. Later legends, on the contrary, refer it to the transformation of the pupils of Vaisampavana into partridges (tilitri), in order to pick up the varusverses discorded by one of their companions who was wroth with his teacher However absurd this legend may be, a certain amount of sense yet larks beneath its surface The Black Yayus is, in fact, a motley, undigested jumble of different pieces, and I am myself more inclined to derive the name Tattirfia from the variegated partudge (titters) than from the Rishi Tittin, just as another name of one of the principal schools of the Black Yajus, that of the Khandikivas, probably owes its formation to

The rule referred to (iv 3 102) or, according to the strtement of the Calcutta scholust not explained in Patingalia Etrianya, possibly interfore it may not be Patina at all but may be later than Pating it. [The unper Tutterfra et el.]

however is soveral times mentioned in the Bhūshya, s.o. I St xm, 442, which is abso acquirined with "Tu tirind problem fields", not belonging to the Chlandar, etc. I S = 41, Gyld tucker Fanut, p 243 =

this very fact of the Black Yayus being made up of khardas, fragments, although Panin;* as in the case of Taituriya, traces it to a Rishi of the name of Khindika, and ilthough we do really meet with 1 Khindika (Audhkin) in the Brithmans of the White Yayus (xi & 4.1)

Of the many schools which are allotted to the Black Vaus, all probably did not extend to Sambita and Brah mana, some probably embraced the Sútras only † Thus far, at least, only three different recensions of the Samhita are directly known to us, two of them in the text uself. the third merely from an Anakramani of the text. The two first are the Taittiring-Samhild, xar' eForm so called which is ascribed to the school of Apastamba, a subdivision of the Khandikiyas, and the Kathaka, which belongs to the school of the Charkas, and that particular subdivision of it which bears the name of Chaiavanivas t The Samhita &c. of the Atreya school, n subdivision of the Aukhivas, is only known to us by its Anukramani, it agrees in essentials with that of Apastamba. This is not the case with the Kathaka, which stands on a more indepen dent footing, and occupies a kind of intermediate position between the Black and the White Yarus, agreeing frequently with the latter as to the readings, and with the former in the arrangement of the matter The Kathaka. together with the Handranka-a lost work, which, however, likewise certainly belonged to the Black Yaius, viz. to the school of the Handravivas, a subdivision of the Maitravanivas-is the only work of the Brahmana order mentioned by name in Yaska's Nirukta. Pánini too. makes direct reference to it in a rule, and it is further alluded to in the Anunada-Sútra and Brihaddevatá The name of the Kathas does not appear in other Vedic writings, nor does that of Apastamba §

Pais Kullar the epithel of these last as found in Phone (vin 3 gt) and Regardence mentions the Kagnieshko as a people in the lan 190—In the Fort Whitm Catalogue a happathala Samity, 439—It the time of the Mahkhidelya it post time of the Kahkhidelya it post time of the Catalogue of great consideration, since

^{*} The rule is the same as that for Titiri i he remark in the previous note, therefore, applies here size + As is likewise the case with the

other Vedas

1 Besides the text, we have also
a Rudyanukrimani for it.

[§] in later writings soveral Kethra are dishinguished, the Kathas, the Prichya hathas and the Kapish

The Samhita of the Apastamba school consists of seven hooks (called ashfalas), these again are divided into 44 prasnas, 651 anuidlas, and 2198 landilás, the latter being separated from one another on the principle of an equal number of syllahles to each Nothing definite can be ascertained as to the extent of the Atreya recension, it is likewise divided into Landas, prasnas, and anuvakas, the first words of which coincide mostly with those of the corresponding sections of the Apastamba school. The Kathaka is quite differently divided, and consists of five parts, of which the three first are in their turn divided into forty sthanalas, and a multitude of small sections (also probably separated according to the number of words), while the fourth merely specifies the richas to he sung by the Hotar, and the fifth contains the formulas belonging to the horse sacrifice In the colophons to the three first parts. the Charaka-Sakha is called Ithimila, Madhyamila, and Orimika, respectively the first and last of these three appellations are still unexplained. The Brahmana portion in these works is extremely meagre as regards the ritual, and gives but an imperfect picture of it, it is, however, peculiarly rich in legends of a mythological character The sactificial formulas themselves are on the whole the same as those contained in the Samhita of the White Yajus, but the order is different, although the

they-and their text, the Kathaka -are repeatedly mentioned, see I St, mil 437, F The founder of pupil, and the Kathas themselves appear in close connection with the Kalipas and Kauthumas, both schools of the Saman In the Rama yana, too, the Katha Kalapas are mentioned as being much esteemed in Ayodhya (u. 32 18, Schlegel) Harsdatta's statement, "Bahryacid namapyasti Kathastkid (Bhatton s Siddh Kaum ed Tarauaths (1865), vol 11 p 524, on Pán, vn 4 38), probably rests upon some torsunderstanding , see I St. xun 438]

" It is not the number of sylla bles, but the number of words that

constitutes the norm, fifty words, as a rule, form a Lundika, see I St m 13, mi 90, mil 97-99 - Instead of cahiala, we find also the more their action, Ketha, appears in the of catala, we find also the more Mahabhashya as Yasasinpayana's correct name landa, and instead of profes, which is peculiar to the Teithrips texts, the generally em ployed term, prapathala see I St., xi 13, 124 The latt Brahm and the Tatt. Ar, are also subdivided into kandikds, and these again into very small sections, but the princi ple of these divisions has not yet been clearly exertained

Ithanki as to be derived from hellhimalfrom helthd, or , adha tat) and Ormuká from urarima (from apara) , .ee my paper, Leber die Eha

gasall der Jama, 1 404, D

order of the ceremonial to which they belong is pretty much the same. There are also many discrepancies with regard to the words, we may instance, in particular, the expansion of the semi-vowels wand wafter a consonant into uv and sy, which is peculiar to the Apastamba schooles As to data, geographical or historical, &c. (here. of course, I can only speak of the Apastamba school and the Kathaka) in consequence of the identity of matter these are essentially the same as those which meet us in the Sumhita of the White Yains (In the latter, however, they are more numerous, formulas being also found here for ceremonies which are not known in the former-the purushamedha, for instance) Now these data-to which we must add some other scattered allusions * in the por tions beering the character of a Brahmana-carry us back, as we shall see, to the flourishing epoch of the kingdom of the Kuru-Panchalas,43 in which district we must therefore recognise the place of origin of both works Whether this also holds good of their final reduction is another question, the answer to which, as far as the Apastamba-Samhita is concerned, naturally depends upon the amount of influence in its arrangement to be ascribed to Apastamba, whose name it bears The Kathaka, according to what has been stated above, appears to have existed as an entirely finished work even in Yaska's time, since he quotes it, the Anulramani of the Atreya school, on the contrary, makes Yaska Panny " (as the pural of Vansam-payana) the teacher of Titure, the latter again the in-

*2 For further particulars, see 1 St, 2111 104-106.

The remains correct, though the moration of the use strelf is somewhat different are the notes above, the enumeration of the Nakshatras, compare especially my every, Du velenchen Nachrichten von den Na

Latatra, 11. 279 8] #3 Of peculiar interest is the meatennet Dhritarishtra Valchitravirya

^{*} Amongst them, for example, the enumeration of the whole of the loner exten my in the Amsternia Sambita, where they sppear in su order despting from that of the later somer, which, as I have possited out above (p 30) mu t secessarly have been fixed between 1472 and as also of the contests between the 536 a.c But all that follows f em this, in regard to the pa eage in question, is that it is not earlier than 1472 BC, which is a matter of course it nowie follows that it may not be liter than 536 f.c. So we obtain nothing defirste bese

Panichillas and the Kuntis in the Kirtes, see ! St , 111 469-472 st , mits Dhi Lara Murra, on the of Panigs, see Barnell's Cotalogue

structor of Ukha, and Ukha the preceptor of Atreya.* This at least clearly exhibits its author's view of the priority of Yaska to the schools and reductions of the Black Yajus bearing the names of Tittiri and Atreya, although the data necessary to prove the correctness of this view are wanting That, however, some sort of influence in the arrangement of the Sambita of the Black Yajus is certainly to be attributed to Yaska, is evident further from the tact that Bhatta Bhaskara Mista, in an extant fragment of his commentary on the Apastamba-Samhita, quotes, side by side with the views of Kaéakritsna and Ekachurni regarding a division of the text, the opinion of Yaska also

Along with the Kathaka, the Manara and the Martra are very frequently quoted in the commentaries on the Katiya-Sutra of the White Yanus We do not, it is true; find these names in the Sútras or similar works, but at all events they are meant for works resembling the Kathala, as is shown by the quotations themselves, which are often of considerable length Indeed, we also find, although only in later writings, the Maitrayaniyas, and, es a subdivision of these, the Manavas, mentioned as schools of the Black Yajus Possibly these works may still be in existence in Indiat

. Atreva was the radaldra of his reheal, Kundina, on the contrary, tritte in here obscure, as it is also in Schol to Pap, ir 3 108 (middhers

t We have, besides, a commentary by Sayana, though at as only fragmentary another is ascribed to a Lalakpahna. (In Burnell's Col lection of MSS, see his Catalogue, pp 12-14, is found the greater per tion of Bhatta Kausika Bhiskara Midra a commentary, under the name Induayajna, the author is said to have lived 400 years before Sayana . he quotes amongst others Bharasvá min, and stems to stand in sperial connection with the Atreyl echool A Paulichablashys on the Black Yappa is also mentioned ess ! St. ir 176 - An edition of the Tate turira Suphita in the Bill lanca.

with Sáyana's complete commentery, was commenced by Poer (1854) con tinged by Cowe'l and Ram. Nararena and is now an the bands of Mahesachandra l'ylyaratna (t) o last part, No 28, 1574, reaches to 17 3 11) , the complete text 19 Roman transcript, has been published by myself in I St., x1, x11 (1871-72)

On the Eithaka, sec / St. ut 451-

According to the Fort William Catalogue, the 'Mastrayani Sakha m m exetence there [0 her MSS have succe been found , see Haug in I St, ix 175, and his erry Bral no und die Erghrianen, pp 31-34 [1271] and Buttler's detailed survey of the works on poung this 'Akh's m ! 8 zut to3 117-128 Accord mg to this, the Marr Sambitte in main at present of five kdada , inco of a lack, however, are but la er ad

Besides the Samhitá so called, there is a Brahmana recognised by the school of Apastamba, and also by that of Atreva,* which, however, as I have already remarked, differs from the Sambita not as to the nature of its contents, but only in point of time, it is, in fact, to be regarded merely as a supplement to it. It either reproduces the formulas contained in the Samhita and connects them with their proper ritual, or it develops further the liturgical rules already given there, or again, it adds to these entirely new rules, as, for instance, those concerning the purushamedha, which is altogether wanting in the Samhits, and those referring to the sacrifices to the lunar asterisms Only the third and last book, in twelve prapa. thalas, together with Sayana's commentary, is at present known.95 The three last praváthakas, which contain four different sections, relating to the manner of preparing cortain peculiarly sacred sacrificial fires, are ascribed in the Anukramani of the Atreya school (and this is also confirmed by Sayana in another place) to the sage Katha. Two other sections also belong to it, which, it seems, are only found in the Atreys school, and not in that of Anaetamba, and also, lastly, the two first books of the Taittiriya-Aranyaka, to be mentioned presently Together these eight sections evidently form a supplement to the Kathaka above discussed, they do not, however, nopear to exist as an independent work, but only in connection with the Brahmana and Aranyaka of the Apastamba-(and Atreya-) schools, from which, for the rest they can be externally distinguished easily enough by the absence of the expansion of v and y into uv and sy The legend quoted towards the end of the second of these sections (prap x1, 8), as to the visit of Nachiketas, to the lower

low) which passes as kinds at, and the last Adnda, called Khila, " At least as regards the fact, for

35 All three books bars been p 6 (1803)

ditions, viz., the Upsnishad (see be-edited, with Siyan's commentary, m the Bill Ind (1855-70) by Rapendra Lala Mitra. The Hiranya kedalahiya Brahmana quoted by Buhler, Catalogue of Sanstrit MSS from Gujardt 1, 38, is not likely to depart much from the ordinary Apastamba text the respective Scanta Sutrue at least agree alorest literally with each other, see Bukler, Apastombiva dharreasutra, Preface.

the designation Samhiti or Brish many does not occur in its Amelica. man! On the contrary, at passes without any break from the portions which belong in the Aparlamba school to the sumbits to those there belonging to the Bribmana.

world, gave rise to an Upanishad of the Athars an which bears the name of Kathakopunshad Now, between this supplement to the Katbaka and the Kathaka itself a considerable space of time must have clapsed, as follows from the allusions made in the last sections to Maha-Meru. Kraunicha, Mamaga, to Vansampóyana, Vyasa Parasarya, &a. as well as from the literature therein presupposed as existing, the 'Atharvangurasas,' Brahmanas, Itihasas, Puranas, Kalpas, Gathas, and Narasansis being enumerated as subjects of study (siddhyaya) Further, the last but one of these sections is ascribed to another nuthor, viz. to the Arunas, or to Aruna, whom the scholast on Panim 90 speaks of as a pupil of Vaisampiyana, a statement with which its mention of the latter as an authority tallies excellently, this section is perbans therefore only erroneously assigned to the school of the Kathas -The Taittiriya-Aranyala, at the bead of which that section stands (as already remarked), and which belongs both to the Apastamba and Atreva schools, must at all events be regarded as only a later supplement to their Brahmana, and belongs, like most of the Aranyakas, to the extreme end of the Vedic period. It consists of ten books, the first six of which are of a liturgical character the first and third books relate to the manner of preparing certain eacred sacrificial fires, the second to preparatives to the study of Scripture, and the fourth, fifth, and sixth to purificatory sacrifices and those to the Manes, corresponding to the last books of the Samhita of the White Yajus The last four hooks of the Aranyala, on the contrary, contain two Upanishada, viz. the seventh, eighth, and minth books the Taittirfyopanishad, Kar eforthe so called, and the tenth, the Yarriks or Narayaniya Upanishad The former, or Taittinyopanishad, is in three parts first is the Samhitopanishad, or Silsharalli, which begins with a short grammatical discussition, 97 and then turns to

M. Kaiyata on Pán, w 2 104 (Maháhhádiya, fol 739, ed Benares), he calla hun, however, Arum in stead of Aruna, and derives from him the achool of the Arunas feat d in the Ebáshya, fold) the Arunas are cited in the Káthata stælf, see f 54. in. 475

^{*} Vall: means 'a creeper,' it is perhaps meant to describe these Upamehads as 'creepers,' which have attached themselves to the Veda-

²Seenbore, p 61 Müller, A S L, p 113, ff Haug, Ueber das Wesen des 10des hen Accents, p 54.

the question of the unity of the world-spirit The second and third are the Anandaralla and Bhriquealla which together also go by the name of Parani-Upanishad and treat of the bliss of entire absorption in meditation upon the Supreme Spuit, and its identity with the individual soul * If in these we have already a thoroughly systematised form of speculation, we are carried even further in one portion of the Yamki-Upanishad, where we have to do with a kind of sectarian worship of Náráyana the remaining part contains ritual supplements Now, interesting as this whole Aranyaka is from its motley contents and evident piecing together of collected fragments of all sorts it is from another point of view also of special importance for us, from the fact that its tenth book is actually extent in a double recension, viz. in a text which, according to Sayana's statements, belongs to the Dravidas, and in another, bearing the name of the Andhras, both names of peoples in the south-west of India. Besides these two texts. Savana also mentions a recension belonging to the Karnitakas, and another whose name he does not give. Lastly, this tenth book t exists also as an Athornopanished, and here again with many variations, so that there is here opened up to criticism an ample field for researches and conjectures Such, certainly, have not been wanting m Indian Literary history, it is seldom, however, that the facts lie so ready to hand as we have them in this case. and this we one to Salana's commentary, which is here really excellent.

When we look about us for the other Brihmanas of the Black Yaus, we find, in the first place, among the schools

^{*} See a translation dee, of the Taitt Upon hid in 1 St., 1207-235. It has been edited, with San kara s commentary, by Rose in 18th Indica vol via [1] the text slone, as a portion of the lait Art, by Rifgia dra Lifa Mitra also, see rext note, Rose a translation appeared in vol. xv of the Shikodlem Jackref! + See a partial translation of it ps

I St. in 7S-100 [It is published in the complete edition of the Taitt Armyaka, with Saysasa commentary thereon (excepting books

ril-tr, se the previous notel, in Boh Ind (Sci-72), by Rijendra Lin Mitra, the text is the Drivia, text commented upon by Rijendra anxy four annufar, the veneus many four annufar, the veneus conjugate of the Andria text (in subtraction) being also added in Burnells collection there is a Burnells collection there is a Burnells collection that is Blastic Bunklara Mara, which, list that on the Saphiti, is critical Januaryses; see Burnells Cuinleyne, pp. 61, 100.

ated in the Sama-Sutras two which must probably he considered as belonging to the Black Yijus viz, the Ehdi-layers and the Sutydyanins The Brahmana of the Bhallavens is quoted by the scholiast on Panini probably following the Mahahhashya "as one of the 'old' Brahmanas we find it mentioned in the Brihaddevata Suresvaracharya also, and even Sayana himself, quote passages from the Bhallavisruti. A passage supposed to be borrowed from the Bhallavi-Upanishad is adduced by the sect of the Madhayas in support of the correctness of their (Dvaita) belief (As Res. xvi 104) That the Bhallavins belong to the Black Yajus is, however, still uncertain, I only conclude so at present from the fact that Bhallaveva is the name of a teacher specially attached and consured in the Brahmana of the White Yajus As to the Satyayanins, whose Brahmana is also reckoned among the 'old' ones by the scholast on Panini, and is frequently quoted, especially by Sayana, it is pretty certain that they belong to the Black Yajus, as it is so stated in the Charanayyuha, a modern index of the different schools of the Vedas, and, moreover, a teacher named Satyayanı is twice mentioned in the Brahmana of the White Yajus The special regard paid to them in the Sama-Sútras, and which, to judge from the quotations, they themselves paid to the Saman, is probably to be explained by the peculiar connection (itself still obscure) which we find elsewhere also between the schools of the Black Yajus and those of the Saman 100 Thus,

the Kathas are mentioned along with the Siman schools

thorsty in this case either, for it does

not mention the Litvavanius in its

comment on the su'ra in question (17 3. 10) But Karyata cites the Britmanus proclaimed by Satya

yana &c, as contemporaneous with

the Idinarallana Bralmanana and Saulabidan Fr which are mentioned

m the Mahfbl Labya (ee, however

to This is not so, for in the Blue shya to the particular sarra of Pan. (iv 3 105), the Bhillavins are not mentioned. They are, however, mentioned elsewhere in the work, at IV 2 104 (here Karyata denves them from a teacher Bhalla Bhalland proktar adhivale) as a Bhállarego Mateya rajaputrah is cited in the Anupids vi 5, their home may have been in the country of the Mat jas, see ! &!, xib 441, 442. At the time of the Bhi hika-Satra their Brahmana text was still accentoated, in the same way as the Sata patha see Kielhorn, I St. x. 421 The Mahabhashya is not his an-

⁴³⁹

I St. v 67, 69 and the M. babba shyart elf citesthe Sityiyanın salong with the Blallavins (on iv 2, 104) they belonged, it would seem, to the north see I & xiii 442 low See on this I St. 111, 477, XIL

of the Kálapas and Kauthumas, and along with the latter the Laukákshas also As to the Sakayanins * Sáyakayanins Kalabavins, and Salankayaning with whom, as with the Satvavanins, we are only acquainted through quotations. it is altogether uncertain whether they belong to the Black Yajus or not The Chhagalins, whose name seems to be borne by a tolerably ancient Upanishad in Anquetil's Oupnehlat, are stated in the Charanavyúha 102 to form a school of the Black Yajus (according to Panini, iv 3, 109, they are called Chhagaleyins) the same is there said of the Svetasvataras The latter cave their name to an Unanished composed in a metrical form, and called at its close the work of a Svetasvatara in which the Samkhya doctrine of the two primeval principles is mixed up with the Yoga doctrine of one Lord, a strange misuse being here made of wholly irrelevant passages of the Sambita, &c. of the Yajus, and upon this rests its sole claim to be connected with the latter Kapila, the originator of the Samkhya system, appears in it raised to divine dignity itself, and it evidently belongs to a very late period, for though several passages from it are quoted in the Brahma-Sutra of Badarayana (from which its priority to the latter at least would appear to follow), they may just as well have been borrowed from the common source, the Yaius It is, at all events, a good deal older than Samkara since he regarded it as Sruti, and commented upon it. It has recently been published, together with this commentary. by Dr Roer, in the Bibliothera Indica, vol vii , see also Ind Stud . 1 420, ff -The Maurdyana Upanishad at least bears n more nuclent name, and might perhaps he connected

122 This statement needs correct

428 429

tion to the extent, that the Chara groupins does not know the more Chingsha at all (which is mentioned by Pfanns alone) but speaks only of Chingeyas or Chingshayas, no. 7 St, no. 258 Muller, A. S. L. 9, 370 On Anquetia a Trohabit Uppaninal see now I. S. 12, 42-46

Distinguished by a great number of sometimes tolerably a rg quotations from the luminar, &c (Roer a translation was in blinkled in the BB Ltd. sol. xv.)

the BBS 414., tol XV.]

They are mentioned in the tenth book of the Brithmans of the White Yayus [see also hithaks 22 7, I St., in 472], as is also hithak

yana
III The Eslanksyanas are rankolan
Brihmanas among tha Vishikas an
the Calcuta scholant to Pin
134 (Shidaya na systiayidan)
134 an mother, Satyavati, is called
folinksyanasi, and Pinam bumeelf
Shinki, eee I St., xua 375, 395.

with the above-mentioned Maitra (Brahmana) Its text, however, both in language and contents, shows that, compared with the latter, it is of a very modern date. At present, unfortunately, I have at my command only the four first pruputhalas, and these in a very incorrect form. -whereas in Auquetil's translation, the Upanishad consists of twenty chapters,-yet even these are sufficient clearly to determine the character of the work. King Brihadratha, who, penetrated by the nothingness of earthly things. resigned the sovereignty into the hands of his son, and devoted himself to contemplation, is there instructed by Sakayanya (see gana 'Kunja') upon the relation of the diman (soul) to the world, Sakayanya communicates to him what Maitreya had said upon this subject, who in his turn had only repeated the instruction given to the Balakhilyas by Prajapati himself The doctrine in question is thus derived at third hand only, and we have to recognise in this tradition a consciousness of the late origin of this form of it This late origin manifests itself externally also in the fact that corresponding passages from other surges are quoted with exceeding frequency in support of the doctrine, introduced by "atha "nystra" py uktam," "stad apy uktam," "atre 'me sloka bhavanti," "atha yathe 'yam Kautsayanastutih" The ideas themselves are quite noon a level with those of the fully developed Samkhya doctrine, t and the language is completely marked off from the

I obtained them quite recently. of Baron d'Eckstein, of Paris, to gether with the tenth addigage of a metrical paraphrase, called Anably teprildia, of this Upanishad, extend ing in 150 flolas, over these four prapathabas The latter is copied from E. I H. 693, and is probably identical with the work of Vidya ranya often mentioned by Cole brooke [It is really to , and this portion has since been published together with the Upanishad in full by Cowell, in his edition of the Mastr Upanishad, in seven propte thakes, with Rimatirthas commen tary and an English translation, in the Bill Ind (1862-70). According

to the commentary, on the one hand, the true last books are to be considered as fitted, and on the the whole Upan-hab belongs, the commentary of the conrelated purport, by whoth most likely as meast the Lintrigand Sambula deceased by Einlier (see J. St. mul. 18, and as a second (1) kittle, see I. g. p. tar. The transcript contintion of the control of the contraction of the con-

[†] Brahman, Rudra, and Vishnu represent respectively the Sattra, the Tames, and the Rajas elements of Prajipata.

prose of the Brahmanas, both by extremely long compounds, and by words entirely foreign to these, and only belonging to the epic period (such as sura, yelisha, aruga, bhutagana, &c.) The mention also of the grahas, planets, and of the motion of the polar star (dhrurasya pracha lanam), supposes a period considerably posterior to the Brahmana.103 The roductal signs are even mentioned in Anquetil's translation, the text to which I have access does not unfortunately extend so far 104 That among the princes enumerated in the introduction as having met their downfall, notwithstanding all their greatness, not one name occurs belonging to the narrower levend of the Maha-Bharata or Ramayana, is no donbt samply owing to the circumstance that Buhadratha is regarded as the predecessor of the Pandus For we have probably to identify him with the Bribadratha, king of Magadha, who according to the Maha-Bharata (it 756) gave up the sovere-guty to his son Jarasamdha afterwards slam by the Pandus, and retired to the wood of penance. I cannot forbear con necting with the instruction here stated to have been given to a king of Magadha by a Edlayanya the fact that it was precisely in Magadha that Buddhism, the doctrine of Sakyamuns, found a welcome. I would even go so far as directly to conjecture that we have here a Brahmanical legend about Sikyamun, whereas otherwise legends of this kind reach us only through the adherents of the Buddhist doctrine. Maitreya, it is well known, is, with the Buddhists, the name of the future Buddha, yet in their legends the name is also often directly connected with their Sakyamum, a Parna Maitrayaniputra, too, 18 given to the latter as a pupil. Indeed, as far as we can judge at

(p 205).

¹² According to Cowell (p. 244) by graks we have here to under stand, once at least (1 4), not the planets but bilagrands (children's diseases), " Dhrunanya prachalanam probably only refers to a prolays . then even 'the never ranging pole star" is forced to move. In a yound passion, however (vi. 16, p. se the grains appear along with on and the relates

ins state too, is the statement as to ur Limits of the sum s two

pourneys (v. 14, Cowell, pp. 119, 266), see on this I St. iz 363. 184 The text has sothing of this (vit 1, p 195), but special mention is here made of Sature, fans (a. 201), and where futra occurs ip 200) we might perhaps think of Venue This isst addydys through out clearly betrays its later oncia of special interest is the bi ter polemus against hereises and unbelesers

present the decime of this Upanishad stands in close connection with the opinions of the Buddhists. 105 although from its Brahmanical origin it is naturally altogether free from the dogma and mythology peculiar to Buddhism. We may here also notice, especially, the contempt for writing (grartha) exhibited in one of the slokas " quoted in corroboration

Neither the Chhagalins, nor the Svetásvateras, nor the Mattravanivas are mentioned in the Sútras of the other Vedas, or in similar works, as schools of the Black Yams. still we must certainly asenbe to the last mentioned a very active share in its development, and the names Marireva and Martreyl at least are not unfrequently

quoted in the Brahmanas

In the case of the Sutras too, belonging to the Black Yanua, the large number of different schools is very striking Although, as in the case of the Brahmanas. ve only know the greater part of them through quotations there is reason to expect, not only that the remarkably rich collection of the India House (with which I am only very superficially acquainted) will be found to contain many treasures in this department, but also that many of them will yet be recovered in India itself. The Perlin collection does not contain a single one. In the flist place, as to the Srauta-Sutras, my only knowledge of the Katha-Sutrat the Ma in-Sutre, the Maitra-Sa, a, and the Jaugal shi-Sutra is derived from the comment ries on the Kativa-Satra of the White Yajus, the second, however 100 stands in the catalogue of the Fort-William col-

whether the word grantha Dight really 4 priors and for the tartier period to be understood of written texts (cf. I St., xnr. 476), yet n. this verse at any rate a d Serent interpretation is hardly poslible, Eco below ?

+ Langakahi and the Lamaldysnumen Brahmanam' are said to be

ie On this, as well as on the con tents and the division of the work. see my remarks in I St, v 13-16, en accordance with communications

received from Professor Cowell, cf. also Hang shid, 12. 175 A Lifuava

¹⁰⁵ Bána's Harsbachantra informs us of a Martrivaniva Drvdkara who embraced the Buddhat creed, and Bhau Dan (Journal Bombay Branch RAS Z. 40) adds that even now Mastr Brahmans live near Bhadgaon at the foot of the Vindbya, with whom other Brahmans do not eain common, 'the reason may have mindin Brilling been the early Buddhist tendencies quoted therein of many of them

[.] Which by the way, recurs tog-ther with some others in precisely the same form in the Ampitavin du (or Brahmavirdu) Upanishad Though it may be very doubtful

lection, and of the last, whose author is cited in the Katha-Sútra, as well as in the Kátíya-Sútra, there is, it appears, a copy in Vicana. Mahádeva, a commentator of the Kalna-Sútra of Satyashadha Hiranyakesi when enumerating the Taittiriva-Sútras in successive order in his introduction, leaves out these four altogether and names at the head of his list the Sotra of Baudhavana as the oldest, then that of Bharadraya, next that of Apastamba, next that of Hiranyakes himself, and finally two names not otherwise mentioned in this connection. Vadhuna and Varkhanasa, the former of which is perhaps a corrupted form Of these names. Bharadyaus is the only one to he found in Vedic works, it appears in the Brahmana of the White Yaius, especially in the supplements to the Vrihad-Aranyaka (where several persons of this name are mentioned), in the Katiya-Satra of the same Yains, in the Pratisakhva-Sutra of the Black Yajus, and m Panini. Though the name is a patronymic, yet it is possible that these last citations refer to one and the same person, in which case he must at the same time be recorded as the founder of a grammatical school, that of the Bharadvarivas. As yet. I have seen nothing of his Sutra, and am acquainted with it only through quotations. According to a statement by the Mahadeva just mentioned, it treats of the oblation to the Manes, in two prasnas, and therefore shares with the rest of the Sútras this designation of the acctions, which is peculiar to the Black Yajus 107 The Sutra of Apastamba * is found in the Library of the India House, and a part of it in Paris also Commentaries on it hy

State Stire is also nied in Belder's Kundellawin Catalogue of MSS from Grandi. 1 the commercial 188 (187); it is in 32 roll The Id.

188 (187); it is in 32 roll The Id.

189 (188); it is in 32 roll The Id.

189 (188); it is in 32 roll The Id.

189 (188); it is in 32 roll The Id.

189 (189); it is in 32 roll The Id.

189 begins of this entered species of the Grand of M this entered species of the Grand of M this entered species of the Id. (189); it is in 189 that also in thing in I of the text; the commentary apring only its first world of the passage of species of the Id. (189); it is in 189 (189);

Kumarilasvamin was the euther of the commentary seems still doubt-

fol.

IN The Ebiradysiye Soira has
now been discovered by Bahler, see
has Catal. of MSS from Guy. 186
(212 foll), the Vaikhinaa Stira is
el oquoted ab it 190 (227 foll), see
also Haug in I St., 1x 175

According to the quotations, the Varanteyska, Babyricha Brilmana, and Sayayanaka are frequently men-

Dhutasvámm nad Tálavmtamvasan ære mentuoned, lie also one on the Sútra of Baudháyans by Kaparaisvamun 190 The work of Satyashadha contains, accoming to Mahadeta's statement, lie twenty-seven prafaca, whose contents agree pretty closely with the order followed in the Katya-Sútra, only the last mue form an exception, and are quite peculiar to t. The inneteenth and twenteth prafaxas refer to donestic ceremonies, which usually find a place in the Ghlya- and Sanarta-Sútras In the twenty-first, geneelogical accounts and lasts are contained, as also in a prafaxa of the Baudhayan-Sútra son

Still scantier is the information we possess upon the Grahya-Sútras of the Black Yayus The Káthaha Grihya-Sútra is known to me only through quotatious, as are also the Sútras of Eaudháyana (extant in the Fort-William

100 On the Apertamba-Strenta-Sutra and the commentance belonging to st, by Dhurtasy, Kapardasvámin, Rudrade ta, Gurudevasvámin, Ka ravindasvámin. Tálev . Ahobalasuri (Adabila in Bubler, La p 150, who also mentone a Nysinba, p 152), and others, see Burnell in his Cata logue, pp 18-21, and m the Indian Ant quary, 1 5, 6 According to this the work consuts of thirty praines, the first twenty three treat of the spenficial rites in escentially the same order (from darkspursamdsay to sattrdyonam) as in Hiran yakeki, whose Satra generally is almost identical with that of Apastamba , see Bühler a preface to the Ap Dharma-Sútra, p 6, the 24th prosna contains the general rules. paritháshás, edited by M. Muller m Z D M G , 12. (1855), a pressurekhanda and a kautraka, pramus 25-27 contain the Gribya-Sútra, pras no. 28 20 the Dharms Satra, edited by Buhler (1868), and finally, profes 30, the Salva-Satra (fales, "mes-

suning cord)

18 On the Baudhayana Sútra com
pare likewas Burnell a Catalogue, pp

24-30. Bhavas ramin, who amongst
others commented it, is mentioned
by Bhatra Bhatakara, and is consequently placed by Burnell (p 26) in

the eighth century According to Rathern, Catologue of S MSS as the South Director of the Bombays Pers, p. 8 there exists a common tary on it by Kyrasa slar, for whomtary on it by Kyrasa slar, for whomter, below the the Yespa school to which he belonged, see Burnal, in Pauls Britishman, pp. 12-232, in Pauls Britishman, pp. 12-232, in Vanhate, and Exhaustedern, Nawahate, and Exhaustedern, Nawahate, and Exhaustedern of the Market of the Street State of the Examination of Bombard State of Hards Low, p. p. xx. (1857) forme part of the Street-State, as

avenue, see Burnell, p 35.

10 Mitpdatts and Vanchesvars (f) are also mentioned as commentators, see Kalboro, L c. p 10.

Such lats are also found in Astreliyams work at the end, though only in brief for the Rátilya Sátra, a Parnfuelta comes in. [Prainas 26, 27, of Hiranyaketi treat of dharmas, so, that here also as in the case of Apant, and Baidh, the Dharma-Sútra forms part of the Scrata Sátra. collection), of Ehdradrana, and of Satuashadha or Hirana vakes, unless in this latter case only the corresponding prasnas of the Kalpa-Sutra are intended.111 I have myself only clanced through a Paddhati of the Gribra-Sutra of the Maurayaniya school, which treats of the usual subject (the sixteen samshiras, or sacraments) I conclude that there must also have been a Grihva-Sutra 112 of the Minara school from the existence of the Code bearing that name," just as the Codes ascribed to Atri, Apastamba, Chhacaleys Baudhayana, Laugakshi, and Satyayana are probably to he traced to the schools of the same name belonging to the Black Yayus, that is to say, to their Griby a-Sutras, 114

Lastly, the Pratisakhva-Sutra has still to be mentioned as a Sútra of the Black Yajus The only manuscript with which I am acquainted unfortunately only begins at the fourth section of the first of the two prasnas This work is of special significance from the number of very peculiar names of teachers * mentioned in it as Atreya, Kaundinya (once by the title of Sthavara), and Bharadvaja, whom we knnw already, also Valmiki, a name which in this connection is especially surprising, and further Agnivesys, Agnis esvavana, Paushkarasadi, and others. The two last names, as well as that of Kaundinya, are mentioned in Buddhist writings as the names either of pupils or of contemporaries of Buddha and Paushkarasadi is also cited in the varitibus to Panini by Katyayana, their author. Again, the allusion occurring here for the first time to the Mimansakas and Tauttiriyalas deserves to be remarked.

in This is really so On Apa- chedvati and Sarastati as the proper , stambs, and Bharadrasa Coher see Burnell, Catalogue pp 30-33 The erctions of two 'prayogus, of both texts, relating to birth ceremozial, have been ed ted by Spenter in but book De Ceremonia cpud lades que toca'ur sitakarms (Leiden, 1872) 115 Is actually extsus see Bub

ler Carologue, L 183 (Eo fell) and Kie'born I c. p 10 (frament) 113 Johantgen in hisvalusble tract Leter das Gestänch des Manu (1853), p 109 ff has, from the erocraphical data in Mano, 16 17 ff Lacd the territory between the Dr.

home of the Manayas, This appears somewhat too street At any rate, the statements as to the extent of the Madheadels which are found in the Pratums Permetta of the White Yayus point us for the latter more to the curt, ree my every Ucher das Pratynd-Sitra (1872), pp. 101, 100. Its See Johintgen, La, p. 108,

Their number is twenty and Roth Zer Lat and Gard, po 60

⁺ See / St. t 411 tot [111 387. # . 4181

also the contradistinction, found at the close of the work, of Chhandas and Bháshá, e. of Vedic and ordinary language. The work oppears also to extend to a portion of the Aranyaka of the Black Yans, whether to the whole cannot yet be ascertained, and is scarcely probable or

In conclusion, I have to notice the two Anulramanis already mentioned, the one belonging to the Atrey a school, the other to the Charayaniya school of the Kathaka. The former 117 deals almost exclusively with the contents of the several sections, which it gives in their order. It consists of two parts The first, which is in prose, is a mere nomenclature, the second, in thirty-four slokas, is little more It, however, gives a few particulars besides as to the transmission of the text To it is annexed a commentary upon both parts, which names each section, together with its opening words and extent. The Anukramani of the Katheka enters but little into the contents, it limits itself. on the contrary, to giving the Rishis of the various sections as well as of the separate verses, and here, in the case of the pieces taken from the Rik, it not unfrequently exhibits considerable divergence from the statements given in the Anukramani of the latter, citing, in particular, a number of entirely new names According to the concluding statement, it is the work of Atri, who imparted it to Laugakshi

We now turn to the White Yanus

With regard, in the first place, to the name itself, it probably refers, as has been already remarked, to the fact that the sacrificial formulas are here separated from their

[&]quot; He In the passage in question (xxiv 5), "chhandoblashd means rather the Veda language," see Whitney, p 417

¹¹⁶ We bare now an excellent edit on of the work by Waining, Jear nol Am Or See, ix. (1571), text, translation, and notes, together with a commentary called Trabidation ranks, by an anonymous author for is his name Kártikeyal), a compoliation from three older commentaries by Ateya, Kábuhnya, and Vararchi—Nor reference to the Tatt

Ar or Tarth Bribm, is made in the text itself, on the contrary, it can fines staif factburrely to the Tarth S. The commentary, however, in some few instances goes beyond the T. S., see Whitney aspecial discuss a on of the points here involved, pp. 422-425 of ano J. S., in 75-79

III See I S', in 373-401, in 350-357, and for similar statements from Bhatta Endshira Misra in Bur nells Catalogue, p. 14. The Atreyi text here appears in a special relation to a stransfer of the.

ritual basis and dogmatical explanation, and that we have here a systematic and orderly distribution of the matter so confusedly mixed up in the Black Yajus This is the way in which the expression suklani yaninshi is explained by the commentator Dyrveda Ganga, in the only passage where up till now it has been found in this sense, namely, in the last supplement added to the Vrihad-Aranvaka of the White Yajus I say in the only passage, for though it appears once under the form fukraentansis, in the Aranyaka of the Black Yajus (5 10), at has hardly the same general meaning there, but probably refers, on the contrary, to the fourth and fifth books of that Aranyaka atself. For in the Anukramani of the Atreya school these books bear the name fukriyakanda, because referring to exmatory ceremonies; and this name sukreya, 'expiating' [probably rather 'illuminating'? belongs also to the corresponding parts of the Sambita of the White Yams, and even to the samans employed at these particular sacrifices

Another name of the White Yagus is derived from the surname Várasaneva, which is given to Yárnavalkya, tha teacher who is recognised as its anthor, in the supplement to the Vrhad-Aranyala, just mentioned. Mabidhara, at the commencement of his commentary on the Samhita of the White Yajus, explains Vajasaneya as a patronymic, "the son of Varasam" Whether this be correct or whether the word restant is to be taken as an eppelletive. It at any rate signifies " "the giver of food" and refers to the chief object lying at the root of all sacrificial peremonies. the obtaining of the necessary food from the gods whom the sacrifices are to propriete. To this is also to be traced the name edgen, "having food," by which the theologians of the White Yains are occasionally distinguished. 118 Now, from Válasaneva are derived two forms of words by which the Samhita and Brahmana of the White Yejus are found

THere also it is explained as above . for the Rik, however according to the St. Petersburg Dictropary, we Jave to estign to it the meaning of engling meaning det publishs, the procuring courage or strength, Visten Purion 11 5-23, 'smill, victorious gaming booty or prize courageous, house are the fundarictorious gaining booty or prize courageous, horre are the t

^{*} In Mahá-Bhárata, 201. 1507, the by 'food' (anne) is probably purely word is an epithet of Equation ancholastic one] ton, the is because the Sun as Horse revealed to Yayavalkya the

cited, namely, Vájasaneyaka, first used in the Taitinya-Sútra of Ápastamba and the Katiya-Sútra of the White Yajus itself, and Vájasaneyas,* re, those who study the two works in question, first used in the Anupada-Sútra of the Sama-eda.

In the White Yalus we find, what does not occur in the case of any other Veda, that Samhita and Brahmana have been handed down in their entirety in two distinct recensions, and thus we obtain a measure for the mutual relations of such schools generally These two recensions agree almost entirely in their contents, as also in the distribution of them , in the latter respect, however, there are many, although slight, discrepancies. The chief difference consists partly in actual variants in the sacrificial formulas. as in the Brahmana, and partly in orthographic or orthograp peculiarities One of these recensions bears the name of the Kantas, the other that of the Madhyamdinas, names which have not yet been found in the Sutras or similar writings The only exception is the Pratifalbya-Sutra of the White Yajus itself, where there is mention both of a Kanya and of the Madhyamdinas In the supplement to the Vrihad-Aranyaka again, in the lists of teachers, a Kanyiputra (vi 5 1) and a Madhyamdinayana (iv 6 2) at least are mentioned, although only in the Kanya recension, not in the other, the former being cited among the latest, the latter among the more recent members of the respec-The question now arises whether the two recensions are to be regarded as contemporary, or if one is older than the other It is possible to adopt the latter view, and to consider the Kanya school as the older one For not only is Kanva the name of one of the ancient Rishi families of the Rigveda-and with the Rigveda this recension agrees in the peculiar notation of the cerebral d by I-but the remaining literature of the White Yajus appears to connect itself rather with the school of the Madhyamdinas However this may be,119 we cannot, at

Occurs in the gama "Saumaka."
[The Vájasaneyaka is also quoted by Látyáyana.]

13 The Mádhyamdinas are not.

mentioned in Priamish s Mahi bhishya, but the Kinrae, the Kin

rika, a vellow (māgula) Kānta, and a Kāntājam, and also their pupils, are memboned, see J. &t., m. 417, 444. The school of the Kanta &sa.reruid: 18 memboned in the Kāthāha, see un thus I. St., in. 475,

any rate, assume anything like a long interval between the two recensions, they resemble each other too closely for this, and we should perhaps do better to regard their distinction as a reographical one, orthogono divergencies generally being best explained by geographical reasons. As to the exact date to be ascribed to these recensions it may be, as has already been stated in our general survey, (p 10), that we have here historical ground to go upona thing which so seldom happens in this field. Arnan, quoting from Megasthenes, mentions a people called Madizvolvol, "through whose country flows the river Andhomati," and I have ventured to suggest that we should understand by these the Madhyamdinas,120 after whom one of these schools is named, and that therefore this school was either then already in existence, or else grew up at that time or soon afterwards . The matter cannot indeed be looked upon as certain, for this reason, that midhyamdina, 'southern,' might apply in general to any southern people or any southern school, and, as a matter of fact, we find mention of mddhyamdina-Kauthumds, 'southern Kanthumas'† In the main, however, this date suits so perfectly that the conjecture is at least not to be rejected offhand. From this, of course, the question of the time of origin of the White Yajus must be strictly separated, it can only be solved from the evidence contained in the

endin the Apastamia Dierma Schreleo reference is sometimes made to a teacher Kapve or Kapve. Rapve and Rapva appear further in the preserts seet on of Abridgens, and in Pinni humsell (iv 2 118), &c.

19 The country of the Makerd sel is e-mate precisely in the middle of that 'Madhyadea' the hunts of which ere given in the Pratique Periability, see my paper Uc'er das Pratitud Sutra, pp. 101-105

Whether, in that case, we may seem that ell the works row comprised in the Middyandius whool had already a place in this redaction is a datine" question. An interesting remark of Müller's. Her A. S. L. P. 453, points out that the Go cathed-thomas in citing the first words of the different Veds (I. 29).

quotes in the case of the Yejurreda the beginning of the Yejas S., end not that of the Taitt, S (or Kath)]

sor that of the Tasts, S for Kith's The Through a compared he had 11/2 to Throughout Country to the Line Throughout Cauchy and the Cauchy and the control of the Cauchy and
work itself Here our special task consists in separating the different portions of it, which in its present form are bound up in one whole Fortunately we have still data enough here to enable us to determine the priority or postenority of the several portions

In the first place, as regards the Sambita of the White Yajus, the Vájasaneyi-Samnita, it is extant in both recensions in 40 adhydyas In the Madhyamdina recension these are divided into 303 anurakos and 1975 kandikas The first 25 adhydyas contran the formulas for the general sacrificial ceremonial, in first (L, 11) for the new and fullmoon sacrifice, then (un) for the morning and evening fire sacrifice, as well as for the sacrifices to be offered every four mouths at the commencement of the three seasons. next (1y-vill) for the Soma sacrifice in general, and (1x., x.) for two modifications of it, next (x1-xviil) for the construction of alters for sacred fires, next (xix -xxx) for the sautráriant, a ceremony originally appointed to expiate the evil effects of too free indulgence in the Some drink . and lastly (xxii-xxv) for the horse sacrifice The last seven of these adhydyas may possibly be regarded as a later addition to the first eighteen. At any rate it is certum that the last fifteen adhydycs which follow them are of later, and possibly of considerably later, origin. In the Anukramani of the White Yajus which hears the name of Katyayana, as well as in a Parisishta 122 to it and subsequently also in Mahidhara's commentary on the Samhita. xxv1-xxxv are expressly called a Khila, or supplement, and vaxvi-xi, Sukriva, a name above explained. This statement the commentary on the Code or Yamavalkya (called Mitakshara) modifies to this effect, that the Sukriya begins at xxx 3, and that xxxvi 1 forms the heginning of an Aranyaka.* The first four of these later added adhyayas (xxvi-xxix.) contain sacrificial formulas v hich belong to the ceremonies treated of in the earlier adhyduas, and

* That a portion of these las*

hooks is to be considered as an Aran

Taka stern to be beyond doubt .

¹²¹ A comprehensive but con densed exposition of it has been commenced in my paper Zer Kentasis des velachen Opfortials, in I St., x 321-396, xiii 217-292

Kenthus des u dischen Opfortieds, for xixun-xxix, in particular in I St, x 321-366, sur 217-202 this is egithin, as they are explained in See in paper. Left des Pres tiped Sette (1872), pp 102-105 maps.

must be supplied thereto in the proper place. The ten following adhydyas (xxx-xxxxx) contain the formulas for entirely new sacrificial ceremonies, viz. the purusha-medha (human sacrifice).12 the sarra-medha (universal sacrifice) the pure-media (oblistion to the Manes), and the pravarova (punficatory escrifice) IN The last adhydua, finally, has no sort of direct reference to the sacrificial ceremonial. It is also regarded as an Upanishad "and is professedly designed to fix the proper mean between those exclusively engaged in sacrificial acts and those entirely oeglecting them. It belongs at all events to a very advanced stage of specu lation, as it assumes a Lord (60) of the universe !- Independently of the above-mentioned external testumons to the later origin of these lifteen adhydyas, their postenority is sufficiently proved by the relation in which they stand both to the Black Yains and to their own Brahmans, as well as by the data they themselves contain In the Taittiriya. Samhita only those formulas appear which are found in the first eighteen adhydyas, together with a few of the mantrus belonging to the horse sacrifice, the remainder of the latter, together with the manirus belonging to the saurdmans and the human sacrifice, are only treated of in the Tatturiya Brahmans, and those for the universal and the purificatory sacrifices as well as those for oblations to the Manes, only in the Tantinya-Arao; aka. To like manner, the first eighteen odhydugs are cited in fall, and explained word by word in the first nine books of the Brahmans of the White Yajus, but only a few of the formulas for the szutramant, the horse eacrifice, human sacrifice, universal

thra

¹⁵⁸ See my emsy, Ueber Meuschen oofer bei den Inders der reducken

feet, in J. Str., 1, 54. E.

12. This translation of the word
promotype is not a literal one (for
this see the St. Peterburg Dect.,
under not copy with prep pre)
but a horrowed from the seems and
purpose of the ceremony in queetion. The literal is, according to
Hauge nati Brithen, it Sp. p. 42.

Hauge nati Brithen, it Sp. p. 42.

The property of the property body with which sloves he is permit
red to sure the readence of the prod.

^{*} Other parts, too, of the Vapia. S. have in later times been looked upon as Upanishads for esample, the sinteenth book (Sata redrigal, the thirty first (Fursika relits), thirty-eround (Tadens) and the beginning of the thirty fourth

book (Swaamkelpa).

† According to Mibbishura's commentary, its polemic is directed partrally against the Banddhas, that is, probably spainst the doctrines which afterwards were called \$4.50.

sacrifice, and ohlation to the Manes (xix.-xxxv) are cited in the twelfth and thirteenth books, and that for the most part only by their initial words, or even merely hy the initial words of the anuidlas, without any sort of explanation, and it is only the three last adhyayas hut one (xxxvii - xxxix) which are again explained word by word in the beginning of the fourteenth book In the case of the mantras, but slightly referred to by their initial words, explanation seems to have been considered unnecessary, probably because they were still generally understood, we have, therefore, of course, no guarantee that the writer of the Brahmana had them hefore him in the form which they hear at present to those manirus, on the contrary, which are not men-tioned at all, the idea suggests itself that they may not yet have been incorporated into the Samhita text extant when the Brahmana was composed They are, roughly speak-ing, of two kinds First, there are stroples horrowed from the Rik, and to be recited by the Hotar, which therefore, strictly speaking, ought not to be contained in the Yajus at all, and of which it is possible that the Brahmana may have taken no netice, for the reason that it has nothing to do with the special duties of the Hotar, eg, in the twentieth, thirty-third, and thirty-fourth adhydyas especially. Secondly, there are passages of a Brahmana type, which are not, however, intended, as in the Black Yajus, to serve as an explanation of mantras preceding them, but stand independently by themselves, eq. in particular, several passages in the mneteenth adhydug, and the enumeration, in the form of a list, of the animals to be dedicated at the horse sacrifice, in the twenty-fourth adhydya In the first eighteen adhydyas also, there occur a few sacrificial formulas which the Brahmana either fails to mention (and which, therefore, at the time when it was composed, did not form part of the Samhita), or else cites only by their initial words, or even merely by the initial words of the anuralas But this only happens in the sixteenth, seventeenth, and eighteenth adhydyas, though here with tolerable frequency, evidently because these adhydyas themselves hear more or less the character of a Brahmana-With regard, lastly, to the data contained in the last adhyayas, and testilying to their posteriority, these

are to be sought more especially in the thirtieth and thirty-ninth adheduas as compared with the sixteenth It is, of course, only the Yajus portions proper which can here he adduced, and not the serves horrowed from the Rik-Samhita, which raturally prove nothing in this connection At most they can only yield a sort of measure for the time of their incorporation into the Yams, in so far as they may be taken from the latest portions of the Rik, in which case the existence of these at that period would necessarily be presupposed. The data referred to consist in two facts. First, whereas in the systeenth book Rudra, as the god of the blazing fire is endowed with a large number of the epithets subsequently applied to Says two very significant epithets are here wanting which are applied to him in the thirtypinth book, viz. asana and mahadera, names probably indicating some kind of sectarian worship (see above, p 45) Secondly, the number of the mixed castes given in the thirtieth is ninch higher than that given in the sixteenth hook Those mentioned in the former can hardly all have been in existence at the time of the latter, or we should surely have found others specified besides those that are actually mentioned

Of the forty books of the Samhete the austeenth and thirtieth are those which bear most distinctly the stamp of the time to which they belong The sixteenth book, on which, in its Taitiers a form, the honour was afterwards bestowed of being regarded as an Upanishad, and as the principal book of the Siva sects, treats of the propriation of Rudra, and (see I St. 18 22, 24-26) by its enumeration and distinction of the many different kinds of thieves. robbers, murderers, might brawlers, and highwaymen, his supposed servants, reveals to us a time of inacturity and violence its mention, too, of various mixed castes indicates that the Indian caste system and polity were already fully developed. Now as in the nature of things these were not established without vigorous opposition from those who were thrust down into the lower castes and as this opposition must have manifested itself chiefly in fends open or secret, with their oppressors, I am inclined to suppose that this Budga book dates from the time of these secret feuds on the part of the conquered aborigmes, as well as of the Vrateas or unbrahmanised Aryans after

their open resistance had been more or less crushed 125 At such a time, the worship of a god who passes as the prototype of terror and fury is quite intelligible - The thirtieth book, in enumerating the different classes of persons to be dedicated at the purusha-medha, gives the names of most of the Indian mixed castes, whence we may at any rate conclude that the complete consolidation of the Brahmanical polity had then been effected Some of the names here given are of peculiar interest So, for example, the mágadha, who is dedicated in y 5 "atihrushtáya" The question arises. What is to be understood by magadha? If we take atikrushta in the sense of "great noise,' the most obvious interpretation of magadha is to understand it with Mahidhara, in its epic sense, as signifying a minstrel,* son of a Vaisya hy a Kabatriya. This agrees excellently with the dedications immediately following (in v 6), of the suta to the dance, and of the satiusha to song, though not so well, it must be admitted, with the dedications immediately preceding, of the kliba (eunuch), the uyoqu (gamhler?), and the punschalu (harlot) The magadha again appears in their company in v 22 t and they cannot be said to throw the best light upon his moral character a circumstance which is certainly surprising considering the position held by this caste in the epic, though, on the other hand, in India also, musicians, dancers, and singers (saitushas) have not at any time enjoyed the best reputation. But another interpretation of the word magadha is possible! In the fifteenth, the

To By the Buddhat author Ya country, scholast of the Abbultur maked, the Startedraw a startedraw led to be a work by Vyfan aguinst Buddhau whence, howaver, wa have probably to enclude only that it passed for and wa used as principal support for him worshap a seporate Upanishad etc. Buston War worshap a seporate Upanishad etc. Buston War Buddhat Directurities of History of the Buddhat Country of the Starte Country o

t Here however the known us put instead of the ayogg, and be

asdes, an express condition is laid down that the four must belong neither to the Sudra nor to the Bribmana caste [By ayogs may also be meant an uuchaste woman, soe I Str. 176]

* Siyasa commenting on the corresponding passage of the lastit Bribusaya (u 4 1) explains the word esthreshings by atmendia design * dedicated to the very Elemeworthy as his desty in Rajendra Lifts Mitr's ecition p 3471 than very Blancas riby in three might also refer to the bad moral resultation of the minaries?

wine p 563, I St. is 22

* How he comes by this name is, it is true not clear

so called Vratya book of the Athania-Samhita, the Vratya (a.c. the Indian living outside of the pale of Brahmaniam) is brought into very special relation to the punishall and the mileadha. faith is called his harlot, the milea (friend !) his magadha, and similarly the dawn, the earth (?), the lightning his harlots, the mantra (formula), hasa (scorn?) the thunder his migadhas. Owing to the obscurity of the Vratva book, the proper meaning of this passage is not altogether clear, and it is possible, therefore, that here also the dissolute minstrel might be intended Still the connection set forth in the Sama-Sútras of Latyayana and Drahvayana as well as in the corresponding passage of the Kativa Sitra between the Vratvas and the manachadesiva brahmabandhu.120 and the hatred with which the Macadhas are elsewhere (see Roth, p 38) spoken of in the Atharia-Samhita, both lead us to interpret the macadha of the Vratva book as an heretical teacher. For the passaces. also, which we are more immediately discussing this interpretation vies with the one already given, and it seems, in particular, to be favoured by the express direction in v 22, that ' the manadha, the harlot, the numbler, and the eunuch" must neither be Sudres por Brahmans,-on ininnetion which would be entirely superfluous for the milou. dha at least, supposing him to represent a mixed caste, but which is quite appropriate if the word signifies "a native of the country Macadha." If we adopt this latter interpretation, it follows that heretical (ce, Buddhist) opinions must have existed in Magadha at the time of the composition of this thirtieth adhedea. Meanwhile, however, the question which of these two interpretations is the better one remues, of course, unsolved. The mention of the nakshalradaris, "star-gazer," in v 10, and of the

Manadha-explained by Sirens ar Mazadhadehipanno brahmackiriin contemptionally antroduced by the Sutratura (probably Bandha 31 330 - That there were good Brahmana also in Magadha appears from the pame Afagodiards, which se green to fritabodhiputra, the ercond sone! Bratra Minthbeys, to

[.] Translated by Autrecht, I St., L 110. I The St. Patetrburg Deck. a T, considers 'the praise of the Veitra in Ath av as so sdesister of the derout regreat or assaurant pens 1 to T S, 70 5 9 4 in asso-(pararroyaks, dia), the fact of his custom with a punickell, see I St. being specially connected with the punichali and the magnife remains nevertheless, very atrange, and even with this interpretation leads us to turmes suggestions of Baddham.] In the very same way, the Michh Ar. vis. 14

ganala, "calculator," in v 20, permits us, at all events, to conclude that astronomical se astrological, science was then actively pursued It is to it that, according to Mahidhara at least, the "questions" repeatedly mentioned in v 10 relate, although Savana, perhaps more correctly, thinks that they refer to the usual disputations of the Brahmans The existence too, of the so-called Vedic guinquennial cycle is apparent from the fact that in v 15 (only in xxvii. 45 besides) the five names of its years are enumerated, and this supposes no inconsiderable proficiency in astronomical observation 127 - A barren wife is dedicated in v 15 to the Atharvans, by which term Sayana understands the imprecatory and magical formulas hearing the name Atharvan, to which, therefore, one of their intended effects. harrenness, is here dedicated. If this he the correct explanation, it necessarily follows that Atharvan-somes existed at the time of the thirtieth book .- The cames of the three dice in v 18 (krita, treta, and dvapara) are explained by Sayana, comolecting on the corresponding passage of the Taittiriya-Brahmana, as the names of the epic yugas, which are identical with these-a supposition which will not hold good here, though it may, perhaps, in the case of the Taittinya Brahmana - The hostile reference to the Charakáchárya m v 18 has already heen touched upon (p 87) 123

In the earlier books there are two passages in particular which give an indication of the period from which they date. The first of these entist only in the Kánva recension, where it treats of the sacrifice at the consecration of the king. The text in the Madhyandina recension (if 40, x. 18) runs as follows. "This is your king, O ye So and So," where, instead of the name of the people, only the indefinite pronoun care is used, whereas in the Kanva.

is Since assistance is here mentioned twee, at the beginning and at the end, possibly we have here to do with a seremula cycle even (cf T Br. in 10.4.1), see my paper De veducion Nacinchia von den Nalikatra, in 298 (1862) The carliest allunon to the quanguramial guyer occurs in the Rik shelf, in 55 18 [4,28]

Where moreover the fourth name, kais, is found instead of the dikanda given here [see I Str. 1.

ist Siyana on T Br., in 4.16, p
361 explains (1) the word by 'teachar
of the art of dancing on the point
of a bamboo, but the van anartin
as miroduced separately in v 26 (?

Br , 10. 4- 17)

recension we read (x1 3 3 6 3) "This is your Line O to Kurus O to Panchalas The second passage occurs in connection with the horse sacrifice (xxiii 18) The riahishf, or principal wife of the Ling, performing this sacrifice, must, in order to obtain p son, pass the night by the side of the horse that has been immolated, placing its sisna on her upastha, with her fellow-wives who are forced to accompany her, she pours forth her soriou in this lament "O Amba, O Ambaka, O Ambalika, no one takes me (by force to the horse), (but if I go not of myself), the (spiteful) horse will be with (another, as) the (wicked) Subhadra who dwells in Kampila' † Kampila is n town in the country of the Punchalas Subhadra, therefore. would seem to be the wife of the Ling of that district.I and the benefits of the aspamedha sacrafice are supposed to accrue to them, unless the mahishi consents soluntarily to give herself up to this revolting ceremony. If we are justified in regarding the mahishi as the consort of a hing of the Kurus -and the names Ambild and Ambilike netually appear in this connection in the Mahi-Bharata, to wit, as the names of the mothers of Dhritarishtra and Pandu,-we might then with probability infer that there existed a hostile, realous feeling on the part of the Kurus towards the Panchalas, a feeling which was possibly at that time only smouldering, but which in the epic legend of the Maha-Bharata we find had burst out noto the flame of open warfare. However this may be, the allusion to Kampila at all events betrays that the verse, or even the whole book (as well as the correspond-

* Siyana, on the corresponding pusage of the Brismans (* 3.3). It, remarks that Baudbrigans reduced to the collection of Brisman (* 7.4). Si to ., f Br. 1.7. 4.2! Apastamba, on the contrary lettum choose between behaved Awares, ladied it, Kurupidedde or jund right according to the prople to whom the Burg belongs (The Kith et ? has the passet

subkadruldin körepilerdnaim ere Wention in it.

t The Primmins of the White authority Pajus qui ten inty the keyon now of this fifthe this term, a normanily the words about at

ing passages of the Taitt, Brahmana), originated in the region of the Panchalas, and this inference holds good also for the eleventh book of the Kanga recension 129 We might further adduce in proof of it the use of the word arjuna in the Madhyamdina, and of phalguna in the Kanva recension, in a formula 130 relating to the sacrifice at the consecration of the king (x 21) "To obtain 'ntre-pidity, to obtain food(, I, the offerer, ascend) thee(, O chariot,) I, the inviolate Arjuna (Phalguna)," s.c., Indra, Indra-like. For although we must take both these words in this latter sense, and not as proper names (see I St. 1, 100), yet, at any rate, some connection must be assumed between this use and the later one, where they appear as the appellation of the chief here of the Prindis (or Panchales?), and this connection consists in the fact that the legend specially applied these names of Indra * to that here of the Pandus (or Panchalas?) who was preeminently regarded by it as an incarnation of Indra.

Lastly, an regards the critical relation of the riches incorporated into the Yajus, I have to observe, that in general the two recensions of the Kanvas and of the Madhyamdinas always agree with each other in this particular, and that their differences refer, rather, to the Yajus portions. One half of the Vajasaneyi-Samhita consists of richas, or verses, the other of yayunshi, ie, formulas in prose, a measured prose, too, which rises now and then to a true rhythmical swing. The greater number of these richas

my Pratijnassim, pp 101-105

thing of this,

¹²⁹ In T S , vil. 4, 19 1, Káth As , w 8, there are two vocatives instead of the two accusatives, be s des, we have subhage for subhad rdm The vocative kdmpflardmas is explained by Siyana, 'O thou that art weiled in a beautiful gar ment (Limpilalabdena flaghyovastra vucaha uchyate see I Et In. 312) This explanation is bardly justifi able, and Mahidbara's reference of the word to the city of Kimpila must be retained, at least for the wording of the text which we have in the V S In the Prational Parisishta, Latupilya is given as the eatern limit of Madbyadela see but pfolganaf]

¹³⁰ See V S , x 21 , the parallel passages in T S, 1 8, 15, T Br , 1 7 9 1, Kith, xv 8, have no

The Bribmans, moreover, ex pressly designates arguna as the secret name (guh ramanáma) of In dra [u I z. II, v 4.3 7] How is this to be understood I The com mentary remarks on it around ste Aindrarya raharyam nama] ata era Liula tatputre Pandaramadh. sume prograttal. (What is the reading of the Kanva recension in these passages? Has it, as in the Sunhita so bere also, not arjuna,

recur in the Rik-Sambita, and frequently with considerable variations, the origin and explanation of which I have already discussed in the introduction (see above, pp. 9-16). Readings more ancient than those of the Rik are not found in the Yaque, or at least only once in a while, which results mustly from the fact that Rik and Yaque agree for the most part with each other, as opposed to the Saman. We do, however, find that verses have undergone later alternous to adapt them to the rense of the ritual. And finally, we meet with a large number of readings which appear of equal authority with those of the Rik, especially in the verses which recur in those portions of the Rik, especially in the verse which recur in those portions of the Rik. Sambita that are to be regarded as the most modern.

The Vajasaney-Sarchitá, in both recessions, has been clitical by myself (Berhn, 1849-52), with the commentary of Mahidhara 111 written towards the end of the naticenth century, and in the coarse of sext year a translation is intended to appear, which will give the ceremonal belonging to each verse, together with a full glossary. Of the work of Ulas, a predecessor of Mahidhara, only fregments have been preserved, and the commentary of Mádhara which related to the Kárva recession. 21 sppears to be entirely lost. Both were supplanted by Mahidhara's work, and consequently obliterated, an occurrence which has bappened in a similar way in almost all branches of Indian interature, and is greatly to be regretted.

intentine, and is greatly to be regretted. In ow turn to the Editman of the White Yapus, the Salapatha-Brithmana, which, from its compass and contents undoubtedly occupies the most significant and important position of all the Brahmanas First, as to its

tary (lately sgain by Roer in the Libbothera Indica, vol. viii) [and vol. vii. v. A litherraphed edits in of the text of the 'sjan Sambid, with a Hood translation of Makhibara's commentary Las been published by Ourseandlayserman [46] of Heema.

1870-72, in Besma]
In Upon what this special statement is land I cannot at present
show but that Milhava commented
the V Sales is shown for example,
by the quantitive in Milhither to

The 45

¹¹¹ For which, unfortuna dy, no audicident manueript materials were at my duposal, see Huller Freface to vol vt. of his large edition of the like, p. ziva eqq. and my reply in Lucarraysta Controllion, 1575, pp.

^{519 520.}This promise has not been fel filled, owing to the pressure of other jabour.] The formeth addydys the Hopainchad is in the hints arons soon commented by Simkura, it has been translated and edited aeresal times twentier with this connects.

extent,-this is sufficiently denoted by its very name. which describes it as consisting of 100 pathas (paths), or The earliest known occurrence of this name is in the ninth tarttila to Pan iv 2 60, and in the gana to Pan v 3 100, both authorities of very doubtful* antiquity The same remark applies to the Nargeva-darrata, where the name also appears (see Benfey's Samareda, p 277) With the single exception of a presage in the twelfth hook of the Maha-Bharata, to which I shall revert in the sequel, I have only met with it, besides, in the commentaries and in the colombons of the MSS of the work itself In the Madhy amdina school the Satapatha-Brahmana consists of fourteen Adadas, each of which bears a special title in the commentaries and in the colophons these titles are usually borrowed from the contents, in and vii are, however, to me mexpheable † The fourteen kandas are together subdivided into 100 adhyayas (or 68 prapdihaka.), 438 brahmanas, and 7624 kandikas 185 In the Kanva recension the work consists of seventeen kandas, the first, fifth, and fourteenth books heing each divided into two parts, the first hook, moreover, has here changed places with the second, and forms, consequently, the second and third. The names of the hooks are the same, but the division into prapathalas is altogether unknown the adhydyas in the thirteen and a half books that have thus far heen recovered * number 85, the brakmanas 360, the kan dilds 4965 The total for the whole work amounts, accord ing to a list accompanying one of the manuscripts, to 104 adhydyas, 446 brahmanas, 5866 kandikas If from this the recension of the Kanva school seems considerably

the satru to which it belongs is, according to the Calcutta edition, not explained in the Mahabhashya, possibly therefore it does not belong to the original text of Panina [The virtiska in que, tion is, in point of fact explained in the Mababbashya (fol 676) and thus the existence of the name satapatha, as well as should topetha (see p 110), is guaranteed at least for the time when this work was composed see I S' xiii 443]

t The name of the second book to

The game is an abridgene, and Elapediki, that of the seventh Has

¹³¹ For statements disagreeing

with this, which are found in the

MSS., eee note on pp 119, 120

2 Of the fourth book there exists
only the first half, and the third, thrrteenth and sixteenth books are wanting altogether [It is much to be regretted that nothing has yet been done for the Kanva recension, and that a complete copy has not yet been recovered 1

shorter than that of the Madhyamdinas, it is so only in appearance, the disparity is probably rather to be erplained by the creater length of the kandikas in the former Omissions, it is true, not unfrequently occur. For the rest. I have no means of ascertaining with perfect accuracy the precise relation of the Brahmana of the Kanya school to that of the Madhyamdinas, and what I have to say in the sequel will therefore relate solely to the

latter, unless I expressly mention the former

As I have already remarked, when speaking of the Samhita, the first nine kandas of the Brahmana refer to the first eighteen books of the Samhita, they quote the separate verses in the same order word for word explaining them dogmatically, and establishing their connection with the ritual. The tenth kinda, which bears the name of Agai-rahasys ("the mystery of fire"), contains mystical legends and investigations as to the significance, &c, of the various oeremonies connected with the preparation of the sacred fires, without referring to any particular portions of the Samhita. This is the case likewise in the eleventh kinda called from its extent Ashfadhvavl, which contains a recapitulation of the entire ritual already discussed, with supplements thereto, especially legends bearing upon it, together with special particulars concerning the study of the sacred works and the provisions made for this purpose The twelfth Landa, called Madhyama, "the middle one," treats of prhyaschitas or propinatory ceremonies for untoward events, either previous to the sacrifice, during or after it, and it is only in its last portion, where the Santramani is discussed, that it refers to certain of the formulas contained in the Samhita (xix.-xxi.) and relating to this ceremony The thirteenth Landa, called Asramedha treats at some length of the horse sacrifice, and then with extreme brevity of the human sacrifice, the universal sacrifice, and the sacrifice to the Manes, touching upon the relative portions of the Samhita (xxit-xxxv) but very seldom, and even then very slightly The fourteenth kanda called Ammuska, treats in its first three adhyayas

a variation occur, as the Brahmana inflors, which is evidently more for treats first of the morning and even my sacrifices, and not tell afterwards

^{*} Only in the introduction does of the new moon and full moon sanrect systematically

of the purification of the fire. 151 and here it quotes almost in their entirety the three last books but one of the Samhita (YXXVIL-YXVIT), the last six adhyayas are of a purely speculative and legendary character, and form by them-selves a distinct work, or Upvinished, under the name of Vrihad-Aranvala This general summary of the contents of the several landas of itself suggests the conjecture that the first nine constitute the most ancient part of the Brahmana, and that the last five, on the contrary, are of later origin,-a conjecture which closer investigation reduces to a certainty, both on external and internal evidence. With reference to the external evidence, in the first place, we find it distinctly stated in the passage of the Maha-Bharata above alluded to (xil 11734) that the complete Satapatha comprises a Rakasya (the tenth Landa), a Samoraha (the eleventh I anda), and a Parisesha (the twelfth, thirteenth, and fourteenth 15rdas) Further, in the idritika already quoted for the name Satapatha, we also meet with the word shashipatha LS as the name of a work, and I have no hesitation in referring this name to the first nine landas, which collectively number sixty adhyduas On the other hand, in support of the opinion that the last five kandas are a later addition to the first nine, I have to adduce the term Madhiama ("the middle one'), the name of the twelfth Adada, which can only be accounted for in this way, whether we refer it merely to the last three kandas but one, or to all the five "

134 The printingue concerns, rether, the lustration of the sacinfeer himself, see above note 121, p 100, 227 It is found in the Frating-Fundship alon, and along with it the name asishpaths (1), statepaths, on the contrary is apparently wanting there, see my easily on the Fra hyad Sutra, pp 102, 105.

In the latter case a difficulty as caused by the Kanna recession, which and the latt Line's unto two parts (xm., xml), this division, however seems not to have been generally received, nine in the Miss. of Sankara s commentary, at least, the Upanishad (xm) as preferred throughout as beginning with the

thard editylys (rm., of the Model), as that it is and we consider as that it is and we consider as that it is and we consider as the man of the Middly and that Middly and the Middly and that this point marks not only feet danged brokens, with 25 families, according to a marginal beat danged brokens, with 25 families, 1529 families, see p. 470 cm 3729 families, see p. 470 cm 3729 families, see p. 470 cm 3729 families, see p. 470 cm 3720 families, see p. 470 cm 3720 families at the according families at the second but, as the according families as the according to the families and the lastifith beach. The poster which must be east for the reach that which must be east for the feet that the families beach. The poster which must be east for the feet that the must be east for the feet that the feet that the control of the lastifith beach. The poster which must be east half for the

Now these last five landas appear to stand in the same order in which they actually and successively originated. so that each aucceeding one is to be regarded as less ancient than the one that precedes it This conjecture is based on internal evidence drawn from the data therein contained -evidence which at the same time decides the question of their being posterior to the first nine kandas In the first place, the tenth Aanda still connects itself pretty closely with the preceding books, especially in its great veneration for Sindilya, the principal authority upon the building of alters for the sacred fires. The following are the data which seem to me to favour the view that it belongs to a different period from the first nine books 1 5 1. ff. all the sacrifices already discussed in the preceding books are enumerated in their proper order, and identified with the several ceremonies of the Agui-chayana, or preparation of the sacred fireplace - Of the names of teachers here mentioned, several end in duana, a termunation of which we find only one example in the seventh, eighth, and minth landas respectively thus we meet here with a Raubinayana, Sayakayana, Vainakakshavana (also in vii), Rajastambayana, Sandilyayana (also in ix.), Satyay ant (also in vui), and the Sakayanins -The Vansa appended at the close (10, the list of the teachers of this book) differs from the general Vania of the entire Brahmana (at the close of the fourteenth book) in not referring the work to Yamavalkya, but to Sindilya, and also to Tura Kavasheya (whose ancestor Kavasha we find un the banks of the Sarasvati in the Astareya-Brahmana) The only tribes mentioned are the Salvas and Kekayas (especially their king, Asvapati Kaikeya),-two western

present extent of the work (\$572 ±) is at vi. 7 : 19, where also the MSS repeat the above statement (0 555)—It deserves special mention that the notation of the second operates beyond the lumis of the second standardual kandidat the accent at the end of a kardida burn modified by the accent of the fairt word of the next landid. From this we might perhaps conclude that the

marking of the accents a earlier in date than the diration of the text into Londidic As, however, we find exactly the Same state of things with regard to the final and initial words of the understand textingua-(see Jener Literatureziusz, 1875, p. 134) we should also have to refer the Brahmans diration to a later date, and this is hardly possible, legends here as well as in the four succeeding kándas are mostly of an historical character, and are hesides chiefly connected with individual teachers who cannot have lived at a time very distant from that of the legends themselves In the earlier Landas, on the contrary, the legends are mostly of a mythological character, or, if historical, refer principally to occurrences belonging to remote antiquity. so that here a distinct difference is evident - The trum vulya (the three Vedas) is repeatedly discussed in a very special manner, and the number of the richas is stated to be 12,000, that of the yapus-verses 8000, and that of the stimans 4000 Here also for the first time appear the names Adhvaryus, Bahvriches, and Chhandogra side by side .* here, too, we have the first occurrence of the words upanishad (as sara of the Ved-), upanishadam adesah. mumdasd (mentioned once before, it is true, in the first kanda), adhidevatam, adhiyajnam, adhiyaimam, 125 and lastly, here for the first time we have the form of address bharan (mstead of the earlier bhagaran) Now and then also a sloke is quoted in confirmation, a thing which occurs extremely seldom to the preceding books Further, many of the technical names of the samans and sastras are mentioned (this, however, has occurred before, and also in the tenth book of the Samhita), and generally, frequent reference is made to the connection subsisting with the richas and somans, which harmonises with the peculiarly mys-tical and systematising character of the whole I and z

That the eleventh Adada is a supplement to the first nine is sufficiently evident from its contents. The first two adhydyas treat of the sacrifices at the new and full moon, the four following, of the morning and evening sacriheral fires of the sacrifices at the three seasons of the year. of the manguration of the pupil by the teacher (dcharva), of the proper study of the sacred doctrines, &c , and the last two, of the sacrifices of animals The Riggeda, Yajurieda, and Samareda, the Atharrangera as, the anusasanas, the rulyás, the vákotákya, the utihasapurana, the nárásansis, and the odthus are named as subjects of study We have

^{*} Along with the adturades (those (expent charmers), detajonandes, the earlier books

^{1 5} Miradani adhedawatays, and sailful in mitcheraft), surparadas adhystrum occur several times in

already met with this enumeration (see p 93) in the second chapter of the Taitt Aranyaka, although in a considerably later form. and we find a similar one in the fourteenth landa In all these passages, the commontaries, probably with perfect justice, interpret these expressions in this way, viz , that first the Samhitas are specified, and then the different parts of the Brahmanas, so that by the latter set of terms we should have to understand, not distinct species of works, but unly the several portions respectively so designated which were blended together in the Brahmanas, and out of which the various branches of literature were in course of time gradually developed terms anusasana ("ritual precept" according to Sayana, but in Vrhad-Ar, in 5 19, 1V 3 25, Kithopan, 6 15, "spiritual doctrine"), vidyd, "spiritual doctrine," and gáthá, "strophe of a song" (along with śloka), are in fact eo used in a few passages (gdtha indeed pretty frequently) in these last five books and in the Brahmanas or Upanusheds of the Rik and Saman Similarly vakovákya in the sense of "disputation" occurs in the seventh kanda, and strhasa et least once in the eleventh kanda itself (1 6 a) It is only the expressions puring and narasansis that do not thus occur, in their stead-in the sense of narrative, legend-we find, rether, the terms akhyana, vyakhyana, anvákhvana, upákhvána Vyákhvána, together with anuvyál hvána and upavyákhvána, also occurs in the cense of "explanation." In these expressions, accordingly, we have evidence that at the time of this eleventh Landa certain Sambitas and Brahmanas of the various Vedas, and even the Atharva-Samhita itself, were in existence. But further, as bearing upon this point, in addition to the single verses from the songs of the Rik, which are here, as in the earlier books, frequently cited (by "tad etad rishind bhyangiktam"), we have in the eleventh kanda one very special quotation, extending over an entire hymn, and introduced by the words " tad etad uktapratyukiam panehadasarcham Bahvrichah prahuh" It is an interesting fact for the critic that in our text of the Rik the hymn in question

^{*} From it has evidently originated a passage in Yajoavalkya's Code (t. tion, as he at least states the other 45), which does not harmonic at all explanation also with the rest of that work

[†] Here Såyana forms an excep-

(mand x 95) numbers not filteen but eighteen riches Single stokes are also frequently quoted as confirmation. From one of these it appears that the care taken of horses in the palace of Jenameyaya had at that time passed into a proverh this is also the first mention of this king Radra here for the first time receives the name of Mahadwa* (v 3 5)—In in 3 1, ff. special rules are for the first time given concerning the begings (bhickid) of the trahitime given concerning the begings (bhickid) of the trahitime of the second property of the second pr

The twelfth kanda alludes to the destruction of the kingdom of the Snijayas, whom we find in the second kanda at the height of their prospenty, and associated with the Kurus This connection may still be traced here, for it seems as if the Kanrayva Valhika Pratiplya wished to take their part against Chakra, their enemy, who was a native of the country south of the Revá, and priest of King Dushtaritn of Daiapurushamraiya, but that his efforts failed—The names Varhali (fc, Vashkali) and Naka Mandgalya probably also point to a later period of time, the latter does not occur elsewhere except in the Vrihad-Aranyaka and the Taittiriyopanishad.—The Rigyeda, the Yajurveda, and the Samaveda are mentioned, and we find testimony to the existence of the Vedic literature generally in the statement that a ceremony once taught by Indra to Vasishtha and formerly only known to the Vasishthas—whence in former times only a Vasishtha could act as brahman (high priest) at its performance—might now be studied by any one who liked, and consequently that any one might officiate as brahman thereat 157 In u. 4. I occurs the first mention of purusha Narayana -The name of Proti Kausambeya Kausurubandi probably presupposes the existence of the Panchala city Kansamhi.

In the sixth Edges he is still "W See on this I St , x 34, 35 ralled manda d-ray

The thirteenth kánda repeatedly mentions purusha Núrayana Here also Kuvera Vausravana, king of the Rakshasas, is named for the first time So, too, we find here the first allusion to the siktas of the Rik, the anurakas of the Yams, the dasats of the Suman, and the narrans of the Atharvanas and Angrasas, which division, however, does not appear in the extant text of the Atharvan A division into parents is also mentioned in connection with the Sarpavidya and the Devaianavidya, so that by these names at all events distinct works must be understood. Of Itshasa and Purana nothing but the name is given . they are not spoken of as divided into parrans, a clear proof that even at that time they were merely understood as isolated stories and legends, and not as works of any extent 139 While on the first nine books the statement that a subject has been fully treated of already is expressed by tasyohio bandhuh for, so sav eva bandhuh, and the likel, the same is expressed here by tasyokiam brahmanam - Tho use in v 1 18 of the words ekavachona and hahuvachana exactly corresponds to their later grammatical signification -This kanda is, however, very specially distinguished by the number of odthds, strophes of historical purport, which it quotes at the close of the account of the horse sterifice, and in which are given the names of kings who celebrated it in earlier times. Only one of these outh's appears in the Rik Samhita (mand iv 42 8), the greater number of them recur in the last book of the Altareya-Brahmana, and in the Maha-Bharata, xii. 910, ff, in both places with many variations t The question here arises whether we have to regard these gathas as fragments of more lengthy hymns, or if they must be looked upon merely as separate memorial verses The fact that in connection with some of these names (if we take into account

This term, however, occurs in the preceding kdadas slav, eg, in ix i i is

¹³³ This is fasoured sho by the fact that they are here attributed to faborine and fewlers, with which may be compared the tale of the fibrimated as mother of Vyfon in the flaha Bharata. The whole statement recurs in shoot destricted

terms in the Sanih Sr, xvl. 2, Ale

[†] The passages in the Mahi Bhdrata end-utily connect themelves with the Satapath Bedhman, to which, as well as to its author Yajnavallya, and his patron Jinata, special resurd is bad in this book of the Mahi-Bhirata. [See also Safikh, ur \$ 25-20 12 1

the Astareva-Brahmana also) two, three, four, five, and even six verses are quoted, and always in the same metre. in slotas, certainly favours the former view Only one excention occurs where the first and fourth verses are flolas. but the second trishtubh, the third not being quoted at all. it is however, according to the commentary, understood by implication, so that this instance tells, perhaps, with a very special force in favour of the view in question. The analogy of the gathas or stolas of non-historic purport quoted elsewhere cannot be brought forward in support either of the one view or of the other, for the very same uncertainty exists respecting them. Moreover, these verses repeatedly contain very old Vedic forms* Again, their expressions of eulogy are for the most part very hyperbolical, and they might-therefore perhaps be looked upon as the utterance of a still fresh feeling of gratitude, so that we should have to consider their origin as in part contemporary with the princes they extol otherwise this circumstance does not readily admit of explanation. † A passage in the thirteenth Lánda itself directly favours this view (see I St. 1 187) Among the kings here named the following deserve special mention Bharata, son of Duhshanta and the Apsaras Sakuntala, and descendant of Sudvumna-Satanika f Satrauta, king of the Bharatas, and enemy of Dhritarashtra, ling of the Kasis-Purukutsa & Arkshvaka-Para Atnara Haranyanabha Kausalya - but above all, Janamejaya Parikshitz, with the Parikshitiyas (his three brothers) Bhimasena Ugrasena, and Srutasena, who by means of the horse sacrifice were absolved from "all guilt, all brahmahatyd" The time when these last four lived cannot be considered as very distant from that of the Landa itself, since their sacrificial priest Indrota Daivapa Sannaka (whom the Mahá-Bharata, xu. 5505, also specifies as such) is once

mentioned in it apparently as coming forward in opposi-

And names too thus, the king of the Panchdlas is called Kravya, the explanation given by the Brilt mana being that the Panchdlas were 'fortnerly called Krava.

[†] Unless these verses were merely inven ed by priests in order to stimulate kings to copy and emulate the liberality on their ancestors.

Still this is both in itself a very forced explanation and besides many of these veries are of purely historical purport, and contain no allusion to the presents given to the priests. See Vil S. as 25 (not in the

See Rik, mand it 42 8

tion to Bhallaveys, while his own opinion, differing from that of the latter, is in turn rejected by Yajnavallya. On account of the interest of the subject I introduce here an other passage from the fourteenth book, from which we may gather the same result We there find a rival of Yamayalkya testing him with a question, the solution of which the former had previously obtained from a Gandharva, who held in his possession the daughter of Kanya Patamehala of the country of the Madras ,- the question, namely, "Whither have the Parikshitas cone?" the solution of which therefore appears to have been looked upon as extremely difficult Yajnavalkya answers "Thither where (all) assamedha sacrificers go" Consequently the Parilahitas must at that time have heen altogether extinct Yet their life and end must have been still frosh in the memory of the people, and a subject of general currosity * It almost seems as though their "guilt, their brahmahatya," had been too great for people to beheve that it could have been atomed for by sacrifices were they ever so holy, or that by such means the Parikahitas could have become pariakers of the reward fixed for other less culpable evil-doers It appears further as if the Brahmans had taken special pains to rehabilitate their memory. and in this undoubtedly they were completely successful, Or was it, on the contrary that the majesty and power of the Pirikshitas was so great and dazzling, and their end so surplising, that it was difficult to believe they had really passed away? I prefer, however, the former explanation.

The fourteenth Landa, at the beginning of its first part (that relating to ritual), contains a legend of a contention among the gods, in which Vishau came off victorious, whence it became customary to say, "Vishin is the freshtha (luckiest?) of the gods" This is the first time that we find Vishou brought into such prominence, indeed, he otherwise only appears in the legend of the three studes, and as the representative of the sacrifice itself -a position which is, in fact, ascribed to

in the porth we t, and is therefore two youngest Pindaiss, Nakula and remote from the country of the Sahadeva, was a native of thus re hurus According to the Maha Paginta, however, Milit, second dray ut to wafe

The country of the Madras has wife of Pandu and mother of the rson, and Particut also had a Me

him here also . Indra. as here related, afterwards strikes off his head in realousy 120 The second part of this Landa. the Vrihad-Aranvaka, which consists of five prandithakas. or six adhydyas, is again divided into three kandas, the Madhul anda, adhy 1 11. (prap 1 1-11. 5), the Yanaval-kiya-kanda, adhy 111 1v (prap 11 6-1v 3), and the Khilalanda, adhy v 11 (prap w 4-v 5) Of these three divi-sions, each succeeding one appears to be later than that which procedes it, and each closes with a Vanéa or statement of the line of teachers, carried back to Brahman, the primeyal source The third brokmana of the Madhu-Landa is an explanation of three flokus prefixed to it, a form of which we have no previous example The fifth (adhy ii. 1) contains, as has already been stated (p 51), another recension of the legend related in the fourth adhidua of the Kaushiteky-Upanushad, of Apatasatru, the king of Kasa, who was jealous of Janaka's fame as a patron of learning The eighth (adhy u. 4) contains another recension of the closing legend in the Yamavalkiya-kanda, of Yajnavalkya's two wives, Mattreyi and Katyayani,this being the first mention we have of these names Here, as also in the eleventh landa, we find an enumeration of the subjects of Vedic study, namely, Riguela, Yasurveda, Samareda, the Atharvangirasas, utildia, purana. viduás, upanishads, slokas, sutras, anveyákhyánas, enakhydnas. The same enumeration recurs in the Yainavalkiya-kanda (adhy vi. 10) Samkara and Dvivedaganga, the commentators of the Vnhad-Aranyaka, both, like Sayana (on the eleventh Landa), take the expressions stindsa, &c. to mean sections in the Brahmanas They are, in fact, as I have already pointed out (p. 122), used in

¹³⁹ This is wrong. The gods send forth and to grant the bowstrang of Vishino, who stands learning on his bended bow, the trings, suspings and optinging upwards, severs his lead from his book? The same legend recurs not only in the particip bassings of the lattic. At (v and the control of the lattic. At (v and the control of the lattic. At (v and the control of the lattic.).

the Panch Er of Kahh, alone (cf. also T S, au 2 a 1). In the Kappatha, Makh, is may knowled though to be sure be appears im

mediately before Villan.

The lat five expressions takes
bere the place of antidians color
with a color of a real color.

allel passage of the lasts. At V berr the place of unusuant court, but also not the Pairb by two 5 days, and as f, and gradde in 6 text whilst so the Sat Br it is the eleventh book. The latter are related of Vishou the Taitt. Ar clearly the more accent tells at of Weba Vishouars, and

this sense in the Brahmanas themselves. It is only in regard to saira that I am unable to prove a similar not (though Dysvederance pretty frequently calls certain sentences by the name of sutra, eg, 1 2 18, 22, 3 1, &c), and this term raises a doubt whether the opinion of the commentators ought to hold good with reference to these passages also, and their time The ninth (which is the last) brahmana is evidently the one from which the Madhu-kanda received its name. It treats of the intimate relation existing between the four elements fearth, water. fire, air) the sun, the quarters of the heavens, the moon, lightning, thunder, aldia (ether), &c. on the one hand. and all beings on the other, this relation being set forth by representing the one as the madhu (honey) of the other This doctrine is traced to Dadhyanch Atharvana. as is also, in fact, done in the Rik-Samhita itself (1, 116 12, 117 22) In the beginning of the fourth kanda of the Satap Brahmana also (IV 1 5 18) we find the madhu nama brahmanam mentioned expressly in this connection, Sayana, too, quotes Salyayana (-Vajasaneyau) in support A very early date is thus guaranteed for the name at least and probably also for the contents of this chapter, though its form, of course, cannot make any pretension to high antiquity The concluding Vans's here as elsewhere, varies very much in the two schools, that is, as regards the last twenty members or so back to Yasha and Asurayana, but from these upwards to the mythical founteen-heads the two schools generally agree Asuriyana hunself (consequently, also Yaska, who is recorded as his contemporary) is here placed two stages after Asuri . at the end of the Khila-kanda he is even designated as his papel, Asuri, again, being set down as the pupil of Yamavalky: The list closes, therefore, with about the twenty-fifth member from the latter It must consequently have been continued long after the Madhu-kanda had been finally put into shape, since both the analogy of the Vansa contained in the last brahmana but one of the Khila-lands and the very nature of the case forbid the

^{*} The word sums is found several supreme Brahman itsid, which, lke times here, but in the arms of a land embraces and holds together 'thread, band, only, to denote the everything.

conclusion that its reduction could have taken place so late as the twenty-fifth generation from Yajuayalkva. The commentators never enter into any explanation of these Vansas. doubtless, therefore, they too regarded them as supplements. The names themselves are naturally highly interesting, and, as far at least as the later stages are concerned, are probably strictly anthentic -The aim of the Yámavalkiya-kánda is the glorification of Yámavalkya, and it recounts how, at the court of his patron Janaka. king of Videha he silenced all the Brahmans of the Kurupanchalas, &c , and gamed his patron's full confidence (like the corresponding legends in the twelfth book of the Maha-Bharata) The legend narrated in the eleventh Landa (va. 3 I ff.) may perhaps heve been the model, at least the Yamavalkiya here begins a exactly the same menner, and gives also, almost in the same words, the eccount of the discomfiture and punishment of Vidagdha Sakalya, which alone is given in the eleventh Landa It closes with a legend already given in the Madhu-kands, but with some deviations The expressions panditys, muns, and mauna, occurring in this landa, are worthy of special notice as heing new 140 (iii. 2 I, iv 2 25), further, elahansa, framara tapasa (1v 1 12, 22), pratrajin (1v 2 25, where bhilsnacharya is recommended), and pratibuddha (iv 2 17, the verh pratibudh occurs in this sense 1, 2 21), and lastly, the names chandala and paulkasa (IV I 22) I am now of opinion t that it is to this Yeinevalkive-kanda that the tarttila to Panini iv 3 105 refers when it speaks of the Yamaralkanı brahmananı as not purana-prokta, hut tulyalala, "contemporaneous," : a, with Panini. The nording of the rartika does not necessarily imply that

hitf, vp., vm. 17 14, and x 136 2-5 —First German edition Errata. Profess; is found also in V S. 30.

^{*} Among them Airals, the lang's flotr Vidagha fidalys, who low his life for his impertunence, Rahola Kan Inteleys, and Gargi Vidaha kuse; who all four (the latter at less, according to the Griph-Saltra) may be looked upon as represent twee of the Rit towards which therefore a kind of pelicusy as here unon stakely exhibited

the later portions of the P.A. Carp.

[†] Formerly I was of different opinion, see I St, 1. 57 Many of the weeks there expressed—expecially pp 161-32—lawe here either been further developed or modified after caseful con ideration of the v...nous parasger, as may be perceived by tot.quadom.

these Brahmanas originated from Yajnavalkya himself, consequently they might bear his name simply because treating of him I prefer the latter view, for it appears to me very hazardous to regard the entire Satapatha-Brahmana, or even its last books only, as directly bearing the name of Yamavalkya, however fully it may embody his system .- or to set it down as contemporaneous with or but little anterior to. Panini In regard to the Yainavalhava-kanda, however, I have not the slightest hesitation in doing the latter 141 - Finally, the Khila-kanda, or last kanda of the Vrihad-Aranyaka, is uniformly described by the commentators as such a little, or emplement, and as a matter of fact it is clearly enough distinguished from the other kandas Its first adhyaya - the fifth of the Vrihad-Aranyaka-is made up of a number of small fragments, which contain for the most part mystical plays upon words, of the most clumsy description The second adhyaya contoins two brahmanas, parts of which, as I have elready remarked (p 71), recur in precisely the same form in the Chhandogyopanishad vit 1. 3 Of the third brahmana, which contains ritual injunctions, we also find another recension, told vir. 2 It concludes with a Vanis, not, however, in the form of a list, but of a detailed account According to at the first outhor of the doctrine here taught was Uddalaka Aruni, who imparted it to Yamavalkya, here for the first time called Varasaneya, * his pupil was Madhuka Panigya, from whom the doctrine was transmitted to Chida Bhagavitti, then to Jánaki Avahsthúna, and lastly to Satyakama Jabala The name of the latter (e teacher often alluded to in the Chhandogyopanishad) is in fact borne in later works by a school of the White Yaius, so

^{10.} On this subject compare Gold etilebre a detailed discussion in his Pfrans p 13-140 and my special rejoined, 1 St., 1 G.-74, am 457, d.f. 1 St., 1 and 1

met Although he here counts Yéguvalitya among the purdyat, 'anciente,'—and this interpretation is required by the wording of the satestica,—yet the Kášíki, on the contrary, expressly declares him to

be "not chirabile"
In the Yajavakiyakinda Ud
diska Arun is, like the other Brab
mane, elected by Yajaavakiya no
mention being made of his being
the preceptor of the latter

that we might perhaps ascribe to him the final adjustment of this doctrine in its existing form. The fourth and last brahmana of this adhyaya is, like the third, surprising, from the natine of its contents, which, consisting as they do of the rites to be observed before, and at the time of costus, as well as after the birth of a son, more properly pertain to a Gribya-Sútra It too closes with a Vansa." this time of quite unusual length, and distinguished as far as the more recent members are concerned, by this neculiarity, that their names are formed by the addition of putra to the mother's name (see above p 71), and that both parts of the names are accentuated Asun is here called the pupil of Yainsvalkva, and the latter the pupil of Uddalaka Then, having passed through ten more stages and arrived at Aditya, the sun-god, as the original suthor, we find the following words as the close of the whole Brahmana daitvans mans sullans varunshi Varasanevena Ydynavalkyend 'khydyante, 'these White Yajus-texts ori-ginating † from Aditya are transmitted by Vajasane) a Yamavalkya, According to Samkara and Dvivedaganga. this Vansa does not refer to the Khila-kanda but to the entire Prayachana, the entire Veda (te, the White Yayus). This view is at all events favoured by the fact that the Vansa at the close of the tenth hook (the only one which appears in the whole of the Satapatha-Brahmana, hesides those of the Madhu-kanda, Yamavalkiya-landa, and Khilakanda) I evidently refers to this Vansa, and presupposes its existence when at its commencement it says sandram a Sammiputrat, up to Sammiviputra the teachers are the same' For, ascending from this Sampiviputra, there are still in this Vansa three steps up to Yajnavalkya, while in the tenth book, as before remarked, the doctrine is not traced up to the latter at all, but from Samjiviputra through five steps to Sandilya, and through two more to Tura Kavasheya. - This latter circumstance suggests to

^{*} In the Kenya recension the Venta here too at the close after chapters, + Or 'these White Yajus texts

ere named by Vájasaneys, Yájnaval kya as originating from Adstra' (f) The Kanva reception adds this see I St . 203, note.

Vanisus invariably form separate the words Ydmaralkyend "khyd wante

⁵ Who as quoted an the Astar Brihmana as contemporaneous with Janamejaya (...s his sacrificial praest),

us, moreover, the possibility of yet another division of the Satapatha-Brahmana with reference to the origin of the different handas For in the first five and the last four handas the name of Yamavalkya meets us exclusively, and very fre quently, as that of the teacher whose opinion is appealed to as the decisive authority, whose system consequently is in any case there set forth Further if we except the Yamavalkiya kanda and the cathas in the thirteenth Landa, races settled in eastern or central Hindustan are the only ones mentioned in these kindas, viz. the Kurupanchalas, Kosalavidehas, Sviknas, and Srinjayas Once only the Prachyas (eastern tribes) are opposed to the Vahikas (western tribes), again there is once mention made of the Udichvas(in habitants of the north), and lastly, the (southern) Nishadhas are once alluded to in the name of their king Nala Naishadha (or, as he is here called, Naishidha) From this the remaining kandas—the sixth to the tenth—differ palpably enough. They recognise Sandilya as the final authority t instead of Yajnavalkya, whom they do not even neme . neither do they mention any but north-western races, viz. the Gundháras with their king Nagnaut, the Salvas, and the Kekavas ! May not the above-meationed Vania apply not only to the tenth book, but to these five kandas! Since the latter treat specially of the fire-ritual, of the erection of the sacred fire-alians, their possible north-

[&]quot; The fact that thu to so clear may easily account for the erroun stance that the Purants have here for once a statement in conformity with fact, as they cite Yamavelkya as the author of the White Yapun. We may here mention that the name of Yanavalkya occurs nowhere else in Vedical teruture, which might be explained partly by the difference of locality, partly by his having edited the Whits Yajus after the text of the other Vedas had been fixed, though the latter reason seems maufficient, since other teachers of the White Yasus are mentioned fre quently in later Verlie literature, sa. for instance Arms, Svetaketu Satrarains Jabila, &c., who are either his contemporaries, or belong theren

later times Besides his pairon disaks in tentioned at laist in the Keushtikhy - Upanshad (In two octoons of the Kaushtikh; or, Skikhhyana Aranjaka, which, low seer, are clearly of very late origin Yajanashiya himnelf in actually cated (6) y and 13 1), but have stude (6) y and 13 1), but have tasted on the control of the

mentioned]
+ So do the Sams Sutres, Sandilys is mentioned besides in the Chhandegyoo only
The legral concerning these so

curs in the Cohindogrop

western origin might be explained by the fact that the doctrine upon this subject had, though differing from that of the Persa-Aryans, been kept particularly pure in the north-west owing to the proximity of this latter people * However this may be, whether the north-western origin of the doctrine of these five Landas he well founded or otherwise,142 they at any rate belong, in their present form, to the same period as (the tenth possibly to a somewhat later period than) the first five Landas On this point the mention of Aruna Aupavesi, Aruni. Svetaketu Aruneva. and of Indradyumna (in the tenth book), as well as the frequent reprehension of the Charakadhvaryus, is decisive. That the various parts of the Brahmana were blended together by one arranging hand 113 13 evident in particular from the repeated occurrence of phrases intimating that a subject has already been treated of in an earlier part, or 19 to be found presented more in detail in a later part. A closer investigation of the various instances where this The number of deviations in regard to ritual or readings

The number of deviations in regard to ritual or readings cited in the Brahmans is very great. To these regard is had here and there even in the Sambita itself, two different mantitus being quoted and by side as equally good. Most frequently the citation of such variations in the Embinans is mitroduced by the work sty \$\text{c}_0\$ or tad \$di\theta_0\$, yet pretty often the names of individual teachers are also mentioned, who must here, in part at least, be looked upon as representing the schools which hear their names. Thus in addition to those already named we have Ashadina Savayasa, Barka Varshna, Aupothetys, Pañch, Takshan, Jivala Chailaki, Asun, Madbule, Kohoda Kaushitaki, Varshna Sati variana, Sativarama Tandra, Budha Asyatarafur.

Ought we to bring the Sinf yan is into direct connection with the latter; But then what would become of the connection between Sakayanya (in the Matrayan) Una

n shall and the Salyas [4]

HT See on this my detailed discu sion in [5], yiii 25,—259, where
I call special attention to various
differences in point of language between books 1.—x and vs.—ix.

¹⁰ The strong crn.nre p. d upon the randents on the rane we re
rives an u. 3.1 2¢ must be ascribed
to this "erraying hand, set I St,
xxx 257—Tl at the Wh to Yawa
as arranged an eastern Handa da
second to be proved by the statements
the scient of the Machaela we
my easy on the Fra 19th Stra, pp
101, 105

Rama Augutasyini, Kaukusta, Mahitthi, Mudimbha Andanya. Saumanau Manutentay au, Satyakama Jabala, Sailalı, &c Besides the Charakadhyaryus, Bhallaveya in particular is regularly censured, from which I conclude, as already stated (p os), that the Bhallays-Brahmana should be reckoned among those of the Black Yajus. By the "eke." where these are found fault with, we should prohahly also understand (eg, once for certain in the inst kinda) the adherents of the Black Yaius Once however (in the eighth kanda), a reading of the Kanva school is quoted by "eke" and disputed How the matter stands in the Brahmana of the latter as to this passage, whether it finds fault with the reading of the Madhyamdina school, I am not able to say A collection of passages of this

kind would naturally be of peculiar interest

The legends interspersed in such numbers throughout the Brihmana have a special significance. In some of them the language is extremely antiquated, and it is probable therefore that before their incorporation into it they possessed an independent form. The following deserve special mention from their being treated in detail, viz, the legends of the Deluge and the rescue of Manu, of the emigration of Videgha Mathava from the Saresyati to the Sadanira in the country of the Kosala-Videhas, of the restoration to youth of Chyavana by the Asvins at the request of his wife Sulanya, the daughter of Saryata Manava, of the contest between Kadru and Suparni, of the love and separation of Puriravas and Urvasi, and others Many of them reappear as episodes in the epic, in a metrical garb, and often very much altered obvious that we have here a much more intimate connection with the epic than exists in the other Brahmanes The names Vallaka, Janametava, and Nagnagit have the most direct reference to the legend of the Maha-Bharata, as also the names already discussed above in connection with the Samhita, Amba, Ambika, Ambalika, Subhadra and the use there made of the words arrung and phalguna In any case, we must look for the explanation

^{*} Compare the Mutibhas in the Middhuki (or Paisig) a), and Kausbi-Aitar B - Of the above, only Bu taki are mentioned elsewhere dila, the Saumapan Satyakama,

of this in the circumstance, that this Brahmana substantially originated and attained its final shape among the tribes of the Kurupanchales and the neighbouring Kosala-The king of the latter, Janaka, who is represented in it as the chief patron of the sacred doctrine it embodies, bears the same name as the father of Sita and father-in-law of Rama, in the Ramavana This is, however, the only point of contact with the Ramayana legend which can here be traced, and as the name Janaka seems to have belonged to the whole family, it also virtually disappears Nevertheless I am inclined to identify the father of Sita with this exceptionally hely Janaka, being of opinion that Sita herself is a mere abstraction, and that consequently she had assigned to her the most renowned father possible. As regards the special relation in which the Brahmana stands to the legend of the Maha-Bharata, Lassen, it is well known, takes as the fundamental feature of the latter a conflict between the Kurus and the Panchalas, ending in their mutual annihilation, the latter being led by the family of the Pandus, who came from the west. Now at the time of the Brahmana, we find the Kurus and the Panchalas still in full prosperity, and also united in the closest bonds of friendship as one people t Consequently this internecine strife cannot yet have taken place. On the other hand, in the latest portions of the Brahmana, we find the prosperity, the sin, the expiation, and the fall of Janamejaya Parikshita and his brothers Enimasena, Ugrasena, and Srutissna, and of the whole family of the Paril shitas, apparently still fresh in the memory of the people and discussed as a subject of controversy Maha-Bharata boundless confusion prevails regarding these Janamejaya and his brothers, already mentioned, are represented either as great-grandsons of Kuru, or else as the great grandsons of the Panduid Arjuna, at whose snake-sacrifice Vaisampayana related the history of th

Though certainly in the last postions of the Br the Rosals-Videhas feem to have a certain preponder aree and there had perhaps existed as early as the time of the Samhita (see p 114) a certain invalry between the Kurus and Pascidias

[†] At less I am not able to offer another explanation of the word Kurupabehia it is, moreover, noteworthy that no name of a king of the Kurupabehias is ever ment oned, Such names are quoted only for Kanaryas or Féthehia kings.

great struggle between the Kurus and the Pandus Adonting the latter view, which appears to be the better warranted, from the fact that the part of the Maha-Bharata which contains it is written in prose, and exhibits a pecu harly ancient garb, the supposed great interpegane conflict between the Kurus and the Panchalas, and the dominion of the Pandavas, must have been long past at the time of the Brahmana. How is this contradiction to be explained? That something great and marvellons had happened in the family of the Pankshitas, and that their end still excited astonishment at the time of the Brabmana, has already been stated. But what it was we know not. After what has been eard above, it can hardly have been the overthrow of the Kurus by the Panchalas, but at any rate, it must have been deeds of guilt, and indeed I am inclined to regard this as yet unknown 'something' as the basis of the legend of the Mahá-Bhárata.14 To me it appears absolutely necessary to assume, with Lassen, that the Panday as did not originally belong to the legend, but were only associated with it at a later time.145 for not only is there no trace of them anywhere in the Brahmanas or Sútras, but the name of their chief hero, Arjuna (Phalguna), is still employed here, in the Satapatha-Brahmana (and in the Samhita), as a name of Indra, indeed he is probably to be looked upon as originally identical with Indra, and therefore de titute of any real existence Lessen further (I AK , 1 6.17, ff.) concludes, from what Megusthenes (in Arrian) reports of the Indian Heracles, his sons and his daughter Harbaia and also from other accounts in Curtius, Pliny, and Ptolemy,* that at the time when Megasthenes wrote, the mythical association of Krishna (1) with the Panda ras already ex-

I-4 (Ath, ix 127 7-10), et re, although in At Br, v. 22 (SiAth Br ixi. S), they are referred to fire or year, but see Copt b. Br, xi. 12 Another legend re appering Janamejaya Pf. il. hits is round in the Copatha-Br, it 5

this in I M, it 402-404.

[&]quot;Curtius and Pluty wrote in the first, Armin and Pickerny to the second emptyry A P

isted. But this conclusion, although perhaps in itself probable, is at least not certain, and even if it were, it would not prove that the Pandayes were at that time already associated with the legend of the Kurus And if we have really to assign the arrangement of the Madhyamdina recension (see p 106) to about the time of Megasthenes, it may reasonably be inferred, from the lack of all mention of the Pandavas in it, that their association with the Kurns had not then been established, although strictly speaking, this conclusion has weight not so much for the period when the arrangement of the work actually took place, as for the time to which the pieces arranged belong

As with the epic legends, so also do we find in the Satapatha-Brahmana several points of contact with the legends of the Buddhists on the one hand, and with the later tradition concerning the origin of the Samkhya doctrune, on the other First, as regards the latter Asur, the name of one of its chief authorities, is at the same time the name of a teacher frequently mentioned in the Satapatha Brahmana. Again, though only in the Yajnavalkiya-kanda, we have mention of a Kapya Patamchala of the country of the Madras as particularly distinguished by his exertions in the cause of Brahmanical theology, and in his name we cannot but see a reference to Kapila and Paramiali, the traditional founders of the Samkhya and Yora systems As regards the Buddhist legends, the Sakyas of Kapilayastu (whose name may possibly be connected with the Saka-ranins of the tenth Idnda, and the Sakayanva of the Maitravana-Upanishad) called themselves Gentamas, a family name which is particularly often represented among the teachers and in the lists of teachers of the Brahmana. It is moreover, the country of the Kosalas and Videhas that is to be looked upon as the cradle of Buddhism .- Svetaketu (son of Aruni), one of the teachers most frequently ment ened in the Satzpaths-Brahmana is with the Budday a the name of one of the earner births of Sakvamum

dinghter so often tout ed on in each other, set I & , xiii 3,0, ft] the brancerus, [le laders

^{*} The meast of Hercules with and Arguna occur together in Pan. Hardam must certainly be traced at 3 93, cauno, be considered as a to the nees of Prajina's and his proof of their being connect I with

(see Ind Stud. n. 76, note) - That the manadha of the Samlutá may perhaps also be adduced in this connection is a point that has already been discussed (pp 111, 112) -The words arhani (111. 4. 1 3, ff.), framana (Vrih. Ar, 1v 1 22, as well as Tatt. Ar, 11. 7, beside tápasa), mahábráhmana (Vrih Ar. 11 1 19 22), and pratibuddha, although by no means used in their Buddhistic technical sense, yet indicate how this gradually gross. -The name Chelaka also in the Brahmana may possibly bave some connection with the peculiarly Buddhistic sense attached to the word chela Agatasatru and Brahmadatta, ton the contrary, are probably hut namesakes of the two persons designated by the Buddhists under these names as contemporaries of Buddha (?) The same prohably also applies to the Vatsiputrivas of the Buddhists and the Vatsipuiras of the Vrih Arany (v 5 31), although this form of name, being uncommon, perhaps unplies a somewhat closer connection. It is, however, the family of the Kátyáyanas, Katyayeníputras, which we find represented with special frequency among the Buddhists as well as in the Brahmana (although only in its very latest portions) We find the first mention f of this name in the person of one of the wives of Yamavalkya, who is called Katyayani, both in the Madhu-kanda and the Yajnevalkiya-kanda, it also appears frequently in the lists of teachers, and almost the whole of the Satras belong-

In the tenth book of the Tarts, Ar, Kātyiyana (u. e.) of "nij us a came of Durge, on this was see I St., ii 192 [rui, 22]—In the Game offic to Finna, Kātyājana is wanting. [But Kātyājanā in to be gath seed from Pāgim hirreli, ir I 18

mg to the White Yayus bear this name as that of their anthor

The Satapatha-Brahmana has been commented in the Madhvamdina recension by Harisvamin and Sayana, but their commentanes are so far extant only in a fragmentary form 125 The Vrihad-Aranyaka has been explained by Dviveda Ganga (of Gujarat), and in the Kanva recension by Samkara to whose commentary a number of other works by his pupils, &c. attach themselves. As yet only the first Larga, with extracts from the commentance, has heen published, edited by myself. In the course of the next three years, however, the work will be printed in its entirety 167 The Vrihad-Aranyaka in the Kanya recension has been edited by Poley, and recently by Roer, together with Samkara's commentary and a gloss thereon. 148

I now turn to the Sútras of the White Yems The first of these, the Srauta-Sutra of Katyayana, consists of twefity-six adhydyas, which on the whole strictly observe the order of the Brahmana. The first eighteen correspond to its first nine kandas, the Santramani is treated of in the nineteenth, the horse sacrifice in the twentieth adhydya, the twenty-first contains the human, universal, and Manes sacrifices The next three adhyduas refer, as before stated (p 80), to the ceremonial of the Samayeda, to its several chahas, ahinas, and sattras, yet they rather specify these in the form of lists than present, as the other adhiduas do, a clear picture of the whole sacrificial proceedings The twenty-fifth adhydya treats of the prayaschittas, or expiatory ceremonies, corresponding to the first part of the twelfth lands, and lastly, the twenty-sixth adhyaya contains the prarargya sacrifice, corresponding to the first part of the fourteenth kinda -- Only a few teachers are cited by name, and among these are two belonging to authors of Sútras of the Black Yajus, viz, Laugakshi and Bharadvaja, besides whom, only Jatukarnya, Vátaya, Bádari, Kasa-

^{*} And in very bad manuscripta 16 Thelastfacteulus was published in 18to A translation of the first book, and also of some legenes spe mally mentioned above, is printed in chapters. vol. 1. of my Irducke Street n (1858)

¹⁴³ Poer's translation (18,6) includes the commentary of the first culturing, he also gives several extracts from at in the subsequent

knism, and Kárshnajim are named. We meet with the three last of these elsewhere only 119 in the Vedanta Sútra of Badarayana, Badari excepted, who appears also in the Mimansa Sutra of Jammi. Vatsya is a name which occasionally occurs in the Vausas of the Satapatha-Brahmana . 150 and the same applies to Jatukarnya, who appears in the Vansa of the Madhn- and Yamavalkiya-Landes in the Kanva recension as a pupil of Asurayana and of Yasha. (In the Madhyamdina recension, another teacher intervenes between the last-named and Jathharnya, viz. Bharadvata.) He is also mentioned in the Aitareva-Aranyaka. and repeatedly in the Pratisakhya-Sutra of the White Yajus Besides these, "eke" are frequently quoted, whereby reference is made to other Salhas One passage gives expression to a certain hostility towards the descendants of the daughter of Atm (the Haleyas, Valeyas, Kaudreyas, Saubhreyas, Vimarathyas, Gonavanas), while the descendants of Atra himself are held in especial honour A similar hostility is exhibited in other passages towards the descendants of Kanva, Kasyapa, and Kautsa, yet these three words, according to the commentanes, may also be taken as appellatives, kanva as "deaf," kalyapa as "having black teeth" (Sudiadania), and Lautsa as "doing blamable things" The first adhydya is of peculiar interest, as it gives the paribhdshds, or general rules for the sacrificial ceremonial. Otherwise this work, being entirely based upon the Brahmana, and therefore in no way an independent production. contains but few data throwing light upon its probable are. Amongst such we may reckon in particular * the circumstance that the word riggy, "conquest," se of the

19 hidskniss spears as a gram marin also, he is possibly even earlier than Plains, evel 52 xm. 23 442. On a Vedic commentator hidshuma, see above, pp 42, 91 129 in addition to this there is

" lie us of mans XX. 7 i, to rotefacticle will denote for man a so be instanced as gare right arrowand]

poming to hier times, it be org to the sameclass as gray = 3, 8. ...

1, &c. [This is wrong, a little by
fore, in x 7, 5 is fire-thou is made
of not means, and in x 1, 7 is we have
comply a reference back to the. We
comply a reference back to the.

The second comply and the second comply
and the second comply and the second comply
are the second comply and the second comply
and the second comply and the second comply
are the second comply and the second comply
and the second comply are the second comply and the second comply
are the second comply and the second comply
and the second comply are the second comply are the second comply
and the second comply are the second comply are the second comply
and the second comply are the second compl

co ed mix. 5 t 62 the opinion of a teach r bearing the raise a 14 sies mentioned in the Astar Ar and Santh Ar.

The Katyayana-Sutra has had many commentators, as Yasoga, In Pitribhuti, Karka (quoted by Sayana, and therefore prior to hum In.) Bhartrayana, Sri-Ananta, Devayanuka (or Yajukadera), and Mahadeva. The works of the inree last,† and that of Karka are, however, the only ones that seem to have been preserved. The text, with extracts from these commentaries will form the third part of my edition of the White Yang-In-To this Sutra a multitude edition of the White Yang-In-To this Sutra a multitude

* See Lassn, I &E., 1 & 522 [According to the \$\) F. Feter by Liceorary, the word to the above paragraph of only mean from from the paragraph of the paragra

1 his name minst be read Yasogopi seemy ention, Introd, p via, i 2 A Dhimridyanagotra Rarkt digipals occurs in an inscription published by Dowson in Journal R. 4 S., 1233 (1865) of Sridattakušahn (Prakintariga), dated sim, 380 (but of what ers.)

† [They are, however necessity pleto, in part exceedingly 30]. The earliest MS intherto known of the rightly of Tiginkeders is added samust 1639.—I have given the name of these commentators in the order in which they are cited by one another, no doubt there were other commentation also preceding Yangay. If Yozozoi I in the Feet William 1870.

Catalogue, under No 742, a com mentary by Mahidhara te mentioned. but I question provisionally the correcinese of this statement. [The correct order is Karks, Princhtoti, Yasogopi, Bhartpyajna. They ere so cled by Ananta, who himself seems to have bred in the first halr of the sixteenth century, proviled he be really adentical with the Sri madanantikbyachátormácyavánia. whom l'áráyena, the author of the Mohurtamartanda, mentione as his father, see my Catalogue of the Berhu MSS., No 870. Deva on to 10. 13 quotes a Narayanathashya might not Ananta's son be its au thor II

133 This part was published 1856-59 Ders e Puddheit to books 1-w as there given in full, also has conmentary on book a., the extracts from the scholar to books in-w, are likewas taken from Devis conmutary thors to books in-w there exists, as to style, some differences from the empiral working resulting. from abbreviations, the extracts for books in-wise, come from the exhabits and Rark and from an exectation. of Paddhatis (cuthines), extracts, and similar works * attach themselves, and also a large number of Parisishtas (supple ments), which are all attributed to Katayans, and have found many commentation. Of these, we must specially draw attention to the Neyma-Parisishta, a kind of synonymic glossary to the White Yajus, and to the Pravariahydy, at an enumeration of the different families of the Brahmans, with a view to the proper selection of the secrificial priests, as well as for the regulation of the intermanages forbidden or permissible among them The Charanz-iyāha, an account of the schools belonging to the several Vedas, is of httle value. Its statements may for the most part be correct, but it is extremely incomplete, and from beginning to end is cridently quite a modem compilation. See the second of the schools belonging to the several Vedas, is of httle value.

The Stira of Payardpa, to which I occasionally find allusion in the commentaries on the Katiya-Stira. I am inclined to class among the Sutras of the White Yajus, as I do not meet with this name any where else except in the Vanias of the Stata Br. Here we have both a Vajuvapa and a Vajuvapa, both appearing among the most recent members of the lists (in the Kanva recension I find only the latter, and he is here separated by five steps only from Yasla). A Grithra-Sturr of this name is also cited

from Yasha) A Gridya-Sutra of this name is also cited.

The Kátiya Gridya-Sutra, is in three kándas, is attributed to Parashara, from whom a school of the White

Stenzler

nemous epitome (samkshiptastra) of Deva, the MS of which dates from seriout 1609 Name of these com-

mentanca is complete

By Gaddhara Haribarameles,
Penudikshta Gangdhara de
† Printed, but onfortunately from
a very bad coder in my Catalogue
of the Berlin MSS, pp. 54-62. [See

S. L. 23, 2]

¹⁵⁵ Edited u f St, in 247-253
(1854), tee sits Muller 4 S b

7 555, ff, and R5jendra Lda Murr
in the preface to bu translation of
the Chichocopyonamich, p 3 The
councrations of the Vedec schools
in the Valum Portins in 4, and
especially in the Váru Pardin, chap
it (see Aufrecht's Catalogue, p 54, it see Aufrecht's Catalogue, p 54.

f) contain by far richer interial H all these schools actually existed—but three is certainly a great & al of more error and embelliamens in these statement—then, in truth,

himestably little has been left to us
138 Ses Stemier a account of its
contents in *B. D. M. G.*, vi. (18,5);
and his easy on the aryhedisms
(Pfr., 8, Breshu 1855)—The sections on narrings cereconnil have
been published by Hann, *I. St.*, v.
83, £, whist the sections on the
stackermen have been edited by
Sperjer (1872) together with critical
variants (pg. 17-23) to the MS of
the whole text which was used by

Yajus also (according to the Charanavytha) derived its name The word Paraskara is used as a samina, or proper name-but, according to the gana, to denote a districtin the Satra of Panim, but I am unable to trace it in Vedic literature To this Gribya-Sutra there are still extant a Paddhati hy Vasudeva, a commentary hy Javarama. and above all a most excellent commentary by Ramahrishna under the title of Samslara-ganapats, which ranks above all similar works from its abundant quotations and its very detailed and exhaustive handling of the various subjects In the introduction, which deals with the Veda in general and the Yajurveda in particular, Ramakrishna declares that the Kanva school is the best of those belonging to the Yajus -- Under the name of Paraskara there exists also a Smriti-Sastra, which is in all probability hased upon this Gribya-Sútra. Among the remaining Smritt-Sastras, too, there are a considerable number whose names are connected with those of teachers of the White Yajus, for instance, Yajnavalkya, whose posteriority to Manu quite corresponds to the posteriority of the White Yajus to the Black Yajus-and no doubt also to that of the Katiya-Sútra to the Manava-Sútra, -further, Katyayana (whose work, however, as we saw, connects itself with the Samaveda), Kanva, Gautame, Sandilya, Jabali, and Parasara. The last two names appear among the schools of the White Yajus specified in the Charanavyuha, and we also find members of their families named in the Vanéas of the Satapatha-Brahmana, where the family of the l'arasaras is particularly often represented.*

The Pratifakhya-Sútra of the White Yeius, as well as its Anukramani, names at its close Katyayana as its author In the body of the work there is mention, first, of three grammarians, whom we also find cited in the Pratisakhva of the Rik, in Yaska, and in Panini, viz, Sakatayana, Sakalye, and Gargya, next, of Kasyapa, likewise men-tioned by Panini, and, lastly, of Dalbhya, Jatukarnya, Saunaka (the author of the Rik-Pratisakhya?), Aupasiyi,

^{* [}See I St., 1 156] Pánun, w tanta. [The Párdáreno biskutana.]
3. 110 (a rule which possibly does are mentioned in the Mabábháshya not belong to him), attributes to a also, and besides a Kalpa by Park. Parasarya a Bhikanu Sutra, a.e. a fara, see \$ 8t, xm; 5-0, 445] compendium for religious meadi-

Kanya and the Madhyamdinas The distinction in L I 18. 19 between rede and bidshua, 20, works in bidshift. -which corresponds to the use of the latter word in Panini. -has already been mentioned (p 57) The first of the eacht adhydyas contains the sammas and paribhashas, : e, technical terms and general preliminary remarks second adhy treats of the accent, the third, fourth, and fifth of samebara, ee. of loss, addition, alteration, and constancy of the letters with reference to the laws of euphony, the sixth of the accent of the verb in the sentence. &c . the eighth contains a table of the vowels and consonants, lays down rules on the manner of reading 150 (stddhydya), and gives a division of words corresponding to that of Yaska. Here, too, several sloias are quoted referring to the deities of the letters and words, so that I am almost inclined to consider this last adhyaya (which is, moreover, strictly speaking, contained in the first) as a later addition to We have an excellent commentary on this work by Uvata, who has been repeatedly mentioned, under the title of Matrimodala 137

The Anukramani of Katvavana contains, in the first place, in the first four adhyayas (down to iv 9), an index of the authors, derives, and metres of the several sullans yapunshi "White Yajus formulas" contained in the "Madhyamdıniye Vajasaneyake Yayurıedamnaye sarre [1] sakhile sasulraye," which the saint Yajnavalkya received from Vivasvant, the sun-god For their emiyoga, or liturgical use, we are referred to the Kalpakara. As regards the names of authors here mentioned, there is much to be remarked The authors given for the richas usually agree with those assigned to the same verses in the Rig anukra mani, there are, however, many exceptions to this Very often the particular name appears (as is also the case in

lation, with critical introduction and

^{*} Among them till, kret, anddhela and unadid terms quite agreeing with Paning's terminology

¹⁵⁶ Rather 'reesting, because here too we must dismiss all idea of writing and reading

Madbyamdinas would go for nothing 137 In connection with my edition of this Probitilitys text and trans

explanatory notes in / St, iv 65-160 177-331 Goldetheker in his Panint, pp 185-207, started a spe eal controversy, in which inter alia he attempts to particular to show In that case the mention of the that the author of this soik is ide ! tical with the author of the wirthing to Pinens, see my detailed rejoinder m / St. v 91-124

the Rig anukramant) to he borrowed from some word occurring in the verse. In the case where a passage is repeated elsewhere, as very often happens, it is frequently assumed to an author different from the one to whom it had previously been attributed. Many of the Rishis here mentioned do not occur among those of the Rik, and belong to a later stage than these, among them are several even of the teachers mentioned in the Satapatha-Brahmana, The closing part of the fourth adhyava* contains the dedication of the verses to be recited at particular ceremonies to their respective Rishis, deities, and metres together with other similar mystical distributions the fifth adhydya gives a short analysis of the metres which occur In the excellent but unfortunately not altogether complete Paddhati of Sphala to this Annkramani we find the liturgical use of each individual verse also given in detail.

The Yajus recension of the three works called Vedángas, viz, Sikshá, Chhandas, and Jyotisha, has already been dis-

cussed (n 60) t

We come now to the Atharraveda

The Samhild of the Atharvavela contains in twenty kindas 1-3 and thurty eight praphilakas nearly 750 hymns and shout 6500 venes Besides the division into praphilakas, another into anusikas is given, of which there are

Dublished together with the into twenty books is sitested for the filth adhydyo, and the beginning of period of the suthor of the redritukation, in my edition of the Vépand also by the Gopatha Enthungasiney; Samhutá, introduction, pp. 1. 5, see J. St., mi. 433, whereas the latter of the period of the very superior of th

t For particulars I refor to my Catalogue of the Berlin MSS, pp 96-200 [and to my editions, shready mentioned, of these three tracts]

This division of the Ath S

period of the author of the stortiles, and also by the Gopatha Brilliange 1. 5, see I St. xm 433, whereas both the Ath. S itself (19 22, 23) and the Ath. Par 48, 4-6 still contain the direc, intimation that it fertnerly consisted of author books only, see I St. yt 432-434.

some ninety The division into parvans, mentioned in the thirteenth book of the Satapatha-Brahmana, does not anpear in the mannscripts, neither do they state to what school the existing text belongs As, however, in one of the Parisishtas to be mentioned hereafter (the seventh) the richas belonging to the ceremony there in question are quoted as Paspnalada manirah, it is at least certain that there was a Sambita belonging to the Paippalada school. and possibly this may be the Samhita now extant 159 Its contents and principle of division are at present unknown 100 in their details. We only know generally that "it principally contains formulas intended to protect against the baneful influences of the divine powers, against diseases and noxious animals, cursings of enemies, invocations of healing herbs, together with formulas for all manner of occurrences in every-day life, prayers for protection on journeys, luck in gaming, and the like " +-all matters for which analogies enough are to be found in the bymns of the Rik-Samhita. But in the Rik the instances are both less numerous and, as already remarked in the introduction (p 11), they are handled in an entirely different manner, although at the same time a not inconsiderable portion of these songs reappears directly in the Rik, par-ticularly in the tenth mandala. As to the ceremonal for which the hymns of the Atharvan were used, what corre-

100 According to a track recently published by Roth, Der Atharvased as Kashmir (1875), this is not the case, the citant Samhnif seems rather to belong to the school of the Saunakan, whilst the Parpellon Samhif his come down to its ma a second recension, all preserved in Kashmir.

The strangement in books in the second of the sumber of the sumber of the sumber of the sumber of the second of th

rage, av of the glorification of Vedtys, xvii, by certain con perations, xuni of burnal and the festival of the Manes. Book ziz is s musture of supplementary pieces, part of its text being in a rather corrupt condition , book II con tains, -with one peculiar exception, the so-called Lunidrasulta, -only complete by none addressed to Indra, which are torrowed directly and without change from the Rigveds. Neither of these two last books is soticed in the Atherra Praticalbye (see note 167), and therefore they did not belong to the original text at the time of this work.

Of the stars, too, i.e., of the

⁺ See Roth Zur Litt, und Gesch' des Weda, p 12

sponds to it in the other Vedas is found, not in the Srauta-Sútras, but with few exceptions in the Gribya-Sútras only, and it appears therefore (23 I have likewise already remarked) that this ceremonial in its origin belonged rather to the people proper than to the families of priests in the Shadvinia-Brahmana and in the Sama Sútras we actually meet with a case (see p 78) where an imprecatory ceremony is horrowed from the Vratinas, or Arvans who had not adopted the Brahmanical organisation, we may further reasonably conjecture that this was not a solitary instance, and thus the view naturally presents itself that, though the Atharva-Sambila originated for the most part in the Brahmanical period, yet songs and formulas may also have been incorporated into it which properly belonged to these unbrahmanical Aryans of the vest . And as a matter of fact, a very peculiar relation to these tribes is unmistakably revealed in the fifteenth kinda, where the Sppreme Being is expressly called by the name of Vratys,121 and is at the same time associated with the attributes given in the Samaveda as characteristics of the Vrátyas In the same way, too, we find this word Vratva employed in the Atherve Upanishads in the sense of "pure in himself" to denote the Supreme Being The mention of the magadha in the Vratya-book, and the possibility that this word may refer to anti-hrahmanical Buddhist teachers, have already been discussed (p 112) In a passage communicated by Roth, op e p 38, special, and hostile, notice is taken of the Angas and Magadhas in the East, as well as of the Gendháris, Mújavants, Súdras, Mahavrishas, and Valhikas in the North-West, between which tribes therefore the Brahmanical district was apparently shot in at the time of the composition of the song in question. Intercourse with the West eppears to have been more active than with the East, five of the races settled in the West being mentioned, and two only of those belonging to the

In the Visbout Puring the Sam. dhavas, Saindhaváyattas are men tioned as a school of the Atharvan. In This explanation of the con

tents of this book and of the word in the Prainopanished 2 7, and in (periordiaka, de.)."

the Chalikopanuhad, v 11 (see / St. 1. 443, 445, 12. 15, 16) According to Roth on the contrary (see above p. 112, note) the purpose of the book is rather "the idealising widys is based upon its employment of the devout vagrant or mendicant

East. In time it will certainly be possible, in the Atharya Samhita also, to distinguish between pieces that are older and pieces that are more modern although upon the whole geographical data are of rare occurrence. Its language exhibits many very peculiar forms of words, often in a very antique although prakritized shape. It contains, in fact, a mass of words used by the people which from lack of occasion found no place in the other branches of the literature The enumeration of the lunar asterisms in the nmeteenth landa begins with krittika, just as in the Taittiriva-Samhitá, but otherwise it deviates considerably from the latter, and gives for the most part the forms of the names used in later times 162 No direct determination of date, however, can be gathered from it as Colebrooke imsgined Of special interest is the mention of the Asum Krishna * Kesin, from the slaying of whom Krishna (Angirasa? Devakioutra) receives the epithets of Kesihan, Kesisidans in the Epic and in the Purants In those hymns which appear also in the Rik Samhita (mostly in its last mandala), the variations are often very considerable, and these readings seem for the most part equally warranted with those of the Rik. There are also many points of centact with the Yaius

The earliest mention of the Athar an-songs occurs under the two names "Athar anass" and "Afgrasas," names which belong to the two most ancient Rishi-families or to the common ancestors of the Indo-Aryans and the Persiaryans, and which are probably only given to these songs in order to lend all the greater authority and holiness to the incantations, &c., contained in them? They are also often specially connected with the ancient family of the Bhrigus ¹⁵⁴ Whether we have to tale the "Athar-

346-348

¹⁰² The piece in question proves, on special grounds to be a later supplement, see / St, iv 433 m * An Azura Krishna we find even

is the Rik Sambits and he phys a prominent part in the Buddhist legends (in which he seems to he identified with the Krahas of the epic (17)

t See / St ,: 295 ff. That these names indicate any Ports Aryan in fluence is not to be thought of ,

and if according to the Bharubya Purina (Wilson in Peinauda Men. sur Finde p 394) the Paris (Manu) have four Vedas, the Vada (Vad. nan) Valvardas (Vispered) Vidus (Vendidad) and figuras, this has purely Indian view, though indeed very remarkable.

¹⁶³ See my emay Zwel reducht Texte wher Omina and Portexta, pp

vanus" in the thirtieth book of the Var Samhita as Atharvan-songs is not yet certain, but for the period to which the eleventh, thirteenth, and fourteenth books of the Satapatha Brahmana, as well as the Chhandogyopanishad and the Tantunya Aranyaka (u. and vin), belong, the existence of the Atharvan-songs and of the Atharvaveda is fully established by the mention of them in these works. The thirteenth book of the Satapatha-Brahmana even mentions a division into parcans,* which. as already remarked, no longer appears, in the manuscripts In the eighth book of the Taittiriva-Aranyaka the adesa, e, the Brahmana, is inserted between the three other Vedus and the "Atharvangirasas" Besides these notices, I find the Atharvaveda or more precisely the "Atharsanikas," only mentioned in the Nidana-Sutra of the Samuveda (and in Panina) The names, too, which belong to the schools of the Atharvaveda eppear nowhere in Vedio literature, with the exception perhaps of Kausika, still, this patronymic does not by any means involve a special reference to the Atharvan 1 Another name, which is, however, only applied to the Atharvaseda in the later Atharvan-writings themselves, viz, in the Parisishtas, is "Brahma veda." This is explained by the circumstance that it claims to be the Veda for the chief sacrificial priest, the Brahman,164 while the other Vedas are represented as those of his assistants only, the Hotar, Udgatar, and Adhyarvu,

· Corresponding to the address. enurdias and duiate of the Rik. Yasus and Samin respectively

etherrdaggressh, sa mapic formulas ; in the Ramayana likewise only once 11. 26 20 (Gorr) the mantral chattarrands (the latter passage I everlooked to I St. t. 297) Patampale Mababbashya, however, the Atharvan se cited at the head of the Vedas (as in the Rig Orthysa, as their only representative, see I St., xu 431-32]

264 This explanation of the name, though the traditional one is yet very likely erron-ous by Brahma reds to name which is first mentioned in the Sankh Gribya, 1 16) we have rather to understand the Veda of brahmans, of prayers se, Siman, and Yajus. In Mahne here to the narrower sense of 'in

Members of the family of the Atharvans are now and then men tioned thus especially Dadbyahch Ath, Kabandha Ath, whom the Vishau Purans designates as e pupil of Sumantu (the latter we met in the Onbya Sútras of the Rik, see above. p 57) and others.

I It seems that even m leter times the claim of the Athervan to rank as Veda was disputed. Yaj navalkva (1 101) mentions the two esparately redatherre though m another passage (1 44) the " Athar Yállgirasas occur along with Rich, Code we only once find the frutir cantations." (St. Petersburg Dict.)

—a claim which has probably no other foundation than the circumstance, cleverly turned to account, that there was, in fact, no particular Veda for the Brahman, who was bound to know all three, as is expressly required in the Kaushtiaks-Brahmana (see J St, n. 305). Now the weaker these pretensions are, the more strongly are they put forward in the Atharvan-writings, which indeed display a very great animosity to the other Vedas. Towards one another, too, they show a hostile enough sput, for instance, one of the Parisishtes conniders a Bhárgava, Pauppalada, and Sunaka alone worthy to act as priest to the kings while a Hauda or Jalada as purchifa would only bring misfortune

The Atherva Samhatá also, at seems, was commented upon by Sâyana. Manuscripts of it are comparatively rare on the Continent. Most of them are distinguished by a peculiar mode of necentuation. A piece of the Samhatá of some length has been made known to us in text and translation by Aufrecht (I S., 1 121-120), besides this,

only some fragments have been published ins

only onne iragments are over pountsed:

The Braitman-stage is but very feethy represented in
the Atharvaveda, vir. by the Gopatha Braitmana, which,
in the manuscript with which I am ecquanted (E. I. H.,
2142), comprises a para-a and an uttara-portion, each containing five praphificates, the MS, however, breaks off
with the berninning of a sinth (i.e., the eleventh) provi-

† Dots are here used justeed of lines, and the swards stands mostly beside, not above, the skylara

Yájnavalkya († 312) also re quires that such an one he well worsed atheredispress.

to The whole text has been added long successful flags. The first two books have been translated by me for for, 193-439, and are 139-246, and the nutrial formulae contained in the fourteenth book, together with a great variety of force charms and sampler formulae from the second of the text are found to the fourteenth book together and annuar books manual books manual books may be found to the fourteenth force the fourteenth books and the fourteenth books and the fourteenth for the fourteenth for the fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth for the fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourteenth fourteenth fourteenth for the fourteenth fourte

thaka "In one of the Pansishtas the work is stated to have originally contained 100 prapathalas The contents are entirely unknown to me According to Colebrooke's remarks on the subject, Atharvan is here represented as a Prajapati who is appointed by Brahman as a Demiurge, and this is, in fact, the position which he occupies in the Parisishtas and some of the Upanishads The division of the year into twelve (or thirteen) months consisting of 360 days, and of each day into thirty muhurtas, which Colebrooke points out as remarkable, equally appears in the Brahmanas of the Yajus, &c 155

Departing from the order hitherto followed I will add here what I have to say about the Sútras of the Atharyaveda, as these are the only other writings which have reference to the Samhita, whereas the remaining parts of the Atharvan-literature, corresponding to the Aranyakas

of the other Vedas, have no reference to it whatever

In the first place, I have to mention the Saunakiya chatur adhudunká 1666 a kind of Pratisakhva for the Atharva-Samhita, in font adhydyas, which might possibly go back to the author of the Rak-Pratisakhya, who is also mentioned in the Pratitakhya of the White Yams. The Saunakas are named in the Charanavvuha as a school of the Atharvan, and members of this school are repeatedly mentioned in the Upanishads The work bears here and there a more generally grammatical character than is the case with the remaining Pratical hyas. Saka-

of which appear in the same form as m the Satspaths Brahmens, xi, xil. and are therefore probably simply copied from at. The second balf contains a brief exposition of a variety of points connected with the Srauta ritual, specially adapted, as it seems from the Aitar Br Very remarkable as the assumption in i. 23 of a doshapats, lord of evil (11), who at the beginning of the Dvapara (yuga) is supposed to have arted sa 'pishinam eladelah' This reminds us of, and doubtless rests upon, the Mara of the Buddhists. 1800 The form of name in the

¹⁶⁶ M. Muller first gave un rome information as to the Gopatha Brahmana in his History of A. S L. P 445-455, and now the work steel has been published by Risendra Lab Mitra and Harachandra Vidyabhta shans in the Bibl. Indies (1870-72) According to this it consists of eleven (se. 5+6) propdfhalos only We do not discover in it any special relation to the Ath S . spart from several references thereto under different names. The contents are a medley to a large extent densed from other sources The first half is essentially of speculative, conmogome import, and is particularly MS, is chaturadhydyiba. rich in legends, a good number

tayana and other grammatical teachers are mentioned. In the Berlin MS—the only one as yet known—each rule is followed by its commentary 167

An Anukramant to the Atharva-Samhita is also extant, it, however, specifies for the most part only divine

beings, and seldom actual Rishis, as authors

The Kausika-Sutra is the sole existing ritual Sutra of the Atharvaveds, although I am acquainted with an Atharvana-Grihya through quotations 168 It consists of fourteen adhydyas, and in the course of it the several doctrines are repeatedly ascribed to Kansika. In the introduction it gives as its authorities the Mantras and the Brahmanas, and failing these the sampraddya, te, tradition, and in the hody of the work the Brahmana is likewise frequently appealed to (by its br), whether by this the Gopatha-Brahmana is intended I am unable to say The style of the work is in general less concise than that of the other Sutras, and more parrative. The contents are precisely those of a Gubya-Sutra. The third adhydya treats of the ceremonial for Nimiti (the goddess of misfortune), the fourth gives bhaishayyas, healing remedies, the sixth, &c., imprecations, magical spells, the tenth treats of marriage, the eleventh of the Manes-sacrifice, the thirteenth and fourteenth of explatory ceremonies for various omens and portents (like the Adbhuta-Brahmana of the Samayeda) 151

160 By which is doubtless meant just this Kaukku Stirte. A Street Store belonging to the Atlanyareds has recently come to light, under the name of Validia Stirts see Heurg. I St., is 176. Böhler, Cat of HSS from Guyerd, 1.190, and Amantheraclic of the Berl. Acad. 1871, p. 76, and come fuller accounts in Roths Atlanyaced in Kachnur, p. 21.

These two sections are pubhabed, with translation and notes, in my essay, Levi evidate Texts after Onuso and Portents (1859), the section relating to marriage ceremonies is communicated in a paper by Has, Vietr du Harnabye brunche der olten Inder in I St, v 373, ff.

¹⁰⁷ Of this Profition has also Whitney has given us an excellent edition in Journal Am Or Soc. vii (186a). z 156, ff. (1872, additiona) See also my remarks in I St, iv 79-82. According to Whitney, this work takes no notice of the two last books of the existing Ath, text, which it otherwise follows closely, since therefore the Atharva Sambits in Patamiali's time already comprised twenty books, we might from the directly infer the priority of the ment refer not to our text at all, but rather to that of the Pauppa lida school , ses Roth, Der Atharpa reda in Kashmir, p 15 - Bubler bas discovered another quite different Ath Pritifikhya, see Monateber of the Berl Acad. 1871, P 27

To this Sutra belong further five so-called Kalpas the Nakshatra-Kalpa, an astrological compendium relating to the lunar mansions, in fifty Landikas, the Santi-Kalpa, in twenty-five Landikas which treats likewise of the adoration of the lunar mansions, 179 and contains prayers addressed to them, the Vitana-Kalpa, the Samhitá-Kalpa, and the Abhichdra-Kalpa The Vishnu-Purana and the Charanavyuha, to he presently mentioned, name, instead of the last, the Angerasa-Kalpa Further, seventy-four smaller Parisishtas 171 also belong to it, mostly composed in slokas, and in the form of dialogues, like the Puranas The contents are Grihya-subjects of various kinds, astrology,172 magic, and the doctrine concerning omens and portents are most largely represented. Some sections correspond almost literally to passages of a like nature in the astrological Samhitas Among these Parisishtas, there is also a Charana-vuuha which states the number of the richas in the Atharva-Samhita at 12,380, that of the paryayas (hymns) at 2000, but the number of the Kausikolians parsishidne only at 70 Of teachers who are mentioned the following ere the chief first, Brihaspati Atharvan, Bhagavant Atharvan himself, Bhrigu, Bhargava, Afigiras, Afigirasa, Kávya (or Kari) Usanas, then Saunaka, Naroda, Gautama, Kamkáyana, Karmagha, Pippalada, Mahaki, Garga, Gargya, Vriddhagarga, Atreya, Padmayoni, Kraush-We meet with many of these names again in the astrological literature proper

I now turn to the most characteristic part of the literature of the Atharvan, vir, the *Upanishads* Whilst the Upanishads xar' ¿¿oxip so called, of the remaining Yedas all belong to the later, or even the latest, portions of these

kind are quoted even in the Maha-

¹⁷ An account of the contents of both Jeris 12 given in my second essay on the Nakhatriss pp 350– 393 (1862), Hang in I St., 12 174, ments na an Aranyak Jyotsha, different from the Nakhatra Kalpa.

M Haug ! c, speaks of 72, amongs! them is found a Nigharia, which is wanting in the Berlin MS Compare the Nigama Parisists of the White Fajus, — Texts of this

bhéshya, see f. St., xw. 463

27 One of the Parts shas relating
to this subject has been communicated by mein f. St., x 317 ff., it is
the fifty first of the series. The statements found therein concerning the
planets presuppose the ext time of
Greak influence, of ibid., p 319.

The 413

Vedas, they at least observe a certain limit which they never transgress, that is to say, they keep within the range of inquiry into the nature of the Supreme Spirit, without serving sectanan purposes The Atharran Upanishads, on the contrary, come down as fat as the time of the Puranas, and in their final phases they distinctly enter the lists in behalf of sectarian views Their number is as yet undetermined. Usually only fifty-two are enumerated. But as among these there are several which are of quite modern date, I do not see why we should separate these fifty-two Upanishads from the remaining similar tracts which, although not contained in the usual list. nevertheless call themselves Upanishads, or Atharvopanishads, more especially as this list varies in part according to the different works where it is found, and as the manuscripts mix up these fifty-two with the remaining Upanishads indiscriminately Indeed, with regard to the Upanishad literature we have this peculiar state of things that it may extend down to very recent times, and consequently the number of arritings to be rechaned as belonging to it is very considerable. Two years ago, in the second part of the Indische Studyen, I stated the number at ninety-five including the Upanishads contained in the older Vedas. The researches instituted by Walter Elliot in Masulipatam among the Tehngana Brahmans on this subject have, however, as Dr Roce writes to me, nelded the result that among there Brahmans there are

voponshad) being different from the Isenser—The number now here finally arrived at—number size—a obtained (i) by the sidtion of eig are Upunabed, by two of eight of the side of variop, the second Matopanishad, and there at the Upunabed on timed in the Atharatarias (Garta part, Saray, Devil), (a) by the some see of km, the Podopanishad and the Atharatias (Garta south & Atharatia

This number is wrong , at ought to be ninety three. I there counted the Anandarelli and Bhrguea'lt twice, first errong the twenty three Atherropant Atds owitted by An quetil, and then among the mine Upanishads borrowed from the other Vedas which are found to his work. The number would further have to be reduced to musty two stace I cite Colebrooks & Ampitarindu and Anguetila Ampitinala 23 diatimet Upanishids, whereas in point of fact they are identical , but then, on the other hand, two Upan shads afents hed by me ought to be kept distinct, vin Colebrooke a Printomhotraund Anquetil s Pracou, the latter (Prant

123 Uponishada actually extant, and if we include those which they do not possess, but which are contained in my list just referred to, the total is mused to 147. A list of these 123 is given in two of them, viz, in the Mahavak-ramultavah and in the Mukhayemishad, and is exactly the same in both. According to the statement given above, there must be smoog these 123 fifty-two? in all which are wanting in my own list, and these include the two names just mentioned—A Persian transition made in 1656 of fifty Upanishads is extant in Anquetil du Percon's Latur rendering.

If now we attempt to classify the Upanishals so far known, the most ament naturally are those (1-12) which are found in the three older Vetas only! I have already remarked that these never pursue sectamen mans A seeming—but only a seeming—exception to this is the Satarudriya, for although the work has in fact been used for sectamin purposes, it had originally quite a different significance, which had nothing to do with the misapplication of it afterwards made, originally, indeed, it was not an Upinishad at all § A real exception, however, is the SixtleSixalaroparished (13), which is in any case wrongly classed with the Black Yayis, it is only from its having incorporated many passages of the latter that it has been fosted in here. It belongs to about the same rank and date as the Kirialyopanishad Nor can the Mairdyana.

[.] According to the previous note,

only 145 7 According to last note but one only fifty | In the list published by W Elliot of the Upanishads in the Maktikopan, see Journal As Soc Beng , 1851, p 607, ff , ro8 names are directly cited [and of these 93 are analysed singly in Laylor a Cute logue (1860) of the Orier tal MSS of Fort St. George, 11. 457-474) But to these other names base to be added which are there omitted see I St in 324-326 The atphabe tical list publi had by M Muller in Z D M G, III 137-158 (1865), brings the number up to 149 (170, Burnell, Indian Antiquary, 11, 267)

Since them many new names have been brought to our Lnowledge by the Catalogues of MSS pull hed by the Catalogues of MSS pull hed by Burnell Bubler, Kielborn, Riyendra Ldh Mtra, Hang [Fredham und de Brahmenen, pp. 29-11], &c., so that at present I count 235 Upanachade, many of whech, however, are premany case the names alone are at arrest known to us. I

^{*} hemely, Attareya, Kanebitak, Yabkala, Chhandegya, Satandnya Shahafalli er lait Samhitopan ehad Chhanaleya (!), Tadera, Sivasamhilpa, Purusbesukta, Isi, Vp. had Arsoyake

[&]amp; See on this I St . it 14-47

Yajus, it belongs rather, like the Svelisvataropanishad, only to the Yoga period Still it does not, at least in the part known to me, has pursue any sectarian aim (see pp. 96-99)

Apart from the two Inst-named Upanishads, the transition to the Atharvopanishads is formed on the one hand by those Upanishads which are found in one of the other three Yedas, as well as in a somewhat modified form in an Atharvan-recension, and in the inher hand by those Upanishads of which the Atharvan-recension is the only one extant, although they may have formerly existed in the niher Yedas as well. Of the latter we have only one instance, the Käthaker Upanishad (15, 16), of the former, on the containty, there are several instances (17-20), viz., Kina (from the Simmeda), Eurqueelli, Anandavalli, and Enhanndrayana (Tatt A. viu.=x.)

The Athavopanishads, which are also distinguished externally by the fact that they are mostly composed in case, may themselves be divided into three distinct classes, which in their beginnings follow the earlier Upanishads with about equal closenes. Those of the first class continue directly to investigate the nature of Atman, or the Supremo Spirit, those of the second deal with the subject of absorption (1993) in meditation thereon, and give the means whereby, and the stages in which, men may even in this world attain complete unnou with Alman, and lastly, those of the third class substitute for Atman souro one of the many forms under which Siva and Vishnu, the two principal gods, were in the course of time worstubbed.

Before proceeding to discuss these three classes in their proper order, I have to make some observations on the Athan an-recensions of those Upanisheds which either belong at the same time to the other Vedus also, or at any

rate originally did so

The Atharyan-text of the Kenopanahad, in the first proceed, differs but very little from its Siman text. The reason why this Upaushad has been incorporated into the Atharyan collection seems to be the fact that Umil Harmaruft is here (and for the first time) mentioned, as she

¹⁷⁵ In the remaining parts also there is nothing of the kind to be found.

was probably understood in the sense of the Siva sects With the Atharvan-text both of the Anandavalli and of the Bhriguvalli * I am unacquainted Of the Brihannarayanop † also, which corresponds to the Narayaniyop of the Taitt. Aranyaka, only a few data are known to me, these, however, sufficiently show that the more ancient and obscure forms have here throughout heen replaced hy the corresponding later and regular ones. The two Katharallis for the most part in metrical form, are extant in the Atharvan-text only & The second is nothing but a supplement to the first, consisting as it does almost exclusively of quotations from the Vedas, intended to substantrate more fully the doctrines there set forth The first is based upon a legend (see pp 92, 93) related in the Taitt, Brahmana [ut. 11 8] Nachiketas, the son of Aruni | asks Death for a solution of his doubt whether man exists after death or not After much reinctance, and after holding out enticements of all kinds, which Nachiketas withstands, Death at length mutates him into the mystery of existence Life and death, he says, are but two different phases of development, true wisdom consists in the perception of identity with the Supreme Spirit, whereby men are elevated above life and death. The exposition in this first part is really impressive the diction, too, is for the most part antique. A few passages, which do not harmonise at all with the remainder, seem either to have been inserted et a later time, or else, on the contrary, to have been returned

mot thereby removed. Aroni is Ud.

^{*} Two lists of the Atbarropaniabada in Chambers's Collection (see my Catalogue, p. 95) cito after these two rallis (39, 40), also a modhjuralit

and an attararalli (41, 42)1

+ By Colebrooke at us reckeded as
two Upanushads.

[†] Thus we have essent mestered of eya cha sarya, Kanyak madrim in strad of re. Kdt; dyan per instead of eyandra, &c

[§] See J. St., 1. 195, ff., where the various translations and editions are cited. Since then this Upunehad has appeared in a new editions, with Suphara a commentary in the Billy Indica, vol. vin., edited by Dr Hoer [and translated in vol. xv]

I Two other names, which are given to the father of Nachketa, yet Auddalia and Vajasarasa, conflict with the usual accounts. Vajasarasa appears also in the passage above referred to of the Tait trips Brithmana, whether Auddalia and the property of the passage above referred to of the Tait.

tarija Brahmuna, whether Anddalakt does so likewase I am unable to say [Anddölski as wanting in the f Br, as also the whole passagaitarki.] Beniey (in the Gottinger Oddorte Ancejon, January 18,2, p 129) suggests that we should refer Auddickia Aronto Kachkeles, but the mecompatibility of the wo more!

from a former exposition drawn up more for a liturgical Its polemics against those holding different opinions are very sharp and butter. They are directed against tarka, "doubt," by which the Samhhy as and Baud dhas are here probably intended. The sacredness of the word om as the expression for the eternal position of things is very specially emphasised a thing which has not occurred before in the same way The gradation of the primeval principles (in ut. 10, 11) exactly corresponds to the system of the destical Yoga, whereas otherwise the exposition bears a purely Vedantic character

Of the Atharvopanishads proper the Mundaia- and Prasna - Upanishads (21, 22) connect themselves most closely with the Upanishads of the older Vedas and with the Vedanta doctrine, 176 indeed, in the Vedanta-Sútra of Badarayana reference is made to them quite as often as to these others The Mundaka-Upanishad, mostly in verse, and so called because it "ehears" away, or frees from, all error, 13 very lake the Kathakop with regard to doctrine and style, it has, in fact, several passages in common with it. At the ontset it announces itself as an almost direct revelation of Brahman himself For Anguas, who communicates it to Saunaka, has obtained it from Bháradyáta Sattetáha and the latter agun from Angit. the pupil of Atharvan, to whom it was revealed by Brah

following Up. to other Sikhia But Nárárona, with whom, as regarde the order of the first twenty-eight names. Colobrooks arrees in the main ffrom the point their statemente differ), also Quotes the Stung. kagranthistatara for the Brabma. rendu ha 18, and the sikid Sausskarertild for the Atmopuni abad Yn 23 se authorite for these aumbers, or places of the two Upenschede. The Conclutepant, how ever, is marked by him as the forty eath "Aliane-Pairpale, and the Vandeveranished as the forty ninth kaladragrantia jane, see Rajeo dra Lala Mitra Acres of Sandrel MSS L 18 (1870)

Afficie is a name which occurs

^{17:} The list of the Athersopsul shads because as a rule with the Mundakopsnishad, and, secording to the statements in Navavanabhat tas acholum on the emaller Ath Upautabada now being adited faince (872) in the Bibl Induce by Risme maya Tarkaratos, a settled order of these Upanishade must still have been in existence in the time of Nardyenabhatta, eince ba denutes the individual Uponisheda at, eg. the seventh, the eighth, &c. reckon lug from the Mundaks. This order le occasionally escribed by bim to the Saunaka school. Compare as to this the remarks of Colebrooks, Muse En , 1. 93, according to which the first fifteen Upanufisch ooly would belong to the Saunaklysa, and the mowhere slea.

man himself. Shortly afterwards. Veduc literature is onposed, as the infehor science, to speculation. The former is stated to consist of the four Vedas, and of the six Vedangas, which are singly enumerated. Some manuscripts here insert mention of the windra-purana-nydya-numanad-dharmadastrani, but this is evidently a later addition. Such additions are also found in other passages of this Upanishad in the manuscripts. This enumeration there occurring for the first time) of the different Vedangas is of itself sufficient to show that at that time the whole material of the Vedas had been systematically digested. and that out of it e new literature had arisen, which no longer belongs to the Vedic, but to the following period We may further conclude from the mention of the Treta in the course of the work that the Yuga-system also had already attained its final form. On the other hand, we here find the words kall (the dark one) and karali (the terrible one) still reskaned among the seven tongues of fire, whereas m the time of the dramatic poet Bhayabhita (eighth century A.D.) they are names of Durga—the wife of Siva, developed out of Agni (and Rudra)—who under these names was the object of a bloody sacrificial worship Since evidently a considerable time is required for the transition from the former meaning to the latter, the Mundakop must be separated by a very wide interval from the date of Bhavabhuti.—a conclusion which follows heades from the circumstance that it is on several occasions turned to account in the Vedanta-Sitra and that it has been commented by Samkara.—The Prainopanishad, in prose, seems to be borrowed from an Atharva-Brahmana, viz., that of the Propalada-school It contains the instruction by Propalida of six different teachers, amongst whom the following names are especially significant in regard to the date of the Upanishad Kausalya Awalayana, Vaidarbhi Bhargava, and Kabandhin Katyayana. In the course of

In the colophons, at least, at is once to de cribed , by Samkara, ton, at the beginning of his commentary, a fruit and breimans .- The mame 30

Pappalida as probably to be traced to the conception found in the first weres of the Mundaka m. I (taken it is called brilmana, although this from Rik mond : 164 20) (?)- The proves but little, since with him all same verse recurs in the Svetivia the Upametade be comments pers tarepractor to end in his me

the work Hiranyanahha, a prince of the Kofalas, is also mentioned,-the same doubtless who is specially extelled in the Puranas As in the Mundahopin, so here also some interpolated words are found which betray themselves as such by the fact that they are passed over by Samkara in his commentary They refer to Atharvan himself, and to the half matra (mora), to which the word om, here appearing in its full glory, is entitled in addition to its three morn (a. u. m), and are evidently a later addition by some one who did not like to miss the mention of these two subjects in an Atharvopanishad, as in these they otherwise invariably occur Both Mundaka and Prasna have been several times edited and translated see I St. 1 280, ff. 410 ff. again recently by Dr Roer in vol. vut. of the Bibliothica Indica together with Samkara's commentary 173 - The name of Puppalada is borne by another Upenished, the Garbha-Upanishad (23), which I add here for this reason, although in other respects this is not quite its proper place contents differ from those of all the other Upenisheds, and relate to the human hody, to its formation as embryo and the various parts of which it is composed, and the number and weight of these The whole is a commentary on a fra shiubh strophe prefixed to it, the words of which are passed in review singly and further remarks then subjoined The mention of the names of the seven musical notes of the present day, as well as of the weights now in use (which are found besides in Varaha Milura), brings us to a tolerably modern date, so also the use of Devadatta in the sense of Carus A few passages in which, among other things, mention is made, for instance, of Narayana as Supreme Lord, and of the Simkhya and Yoga as the means of attaining knowledge of him, reappear in the fourteenth book-1 supplementary one-of Yaska's Na-Whether Samkara expounded this Upanishad is as yet uncertain It is translated in Ind Stud, ii 65-71 176 In the Brahriopanishad also (24), Pippalada appears, here with the title bhagaidn Angiras, he is thus identified with the latter, as the authority for the particular

Nº Roer a translation is published 1872, n vol. xv of the E.H. Indica (1853) as p nº Edited with Mirdyana com "mil" pentary in the Ell'othera Indica. table.

eSf2, in his introduction described an pakokakhandi 'elfomta (reid 'mil Munda: Paippakilikhahd

doctrine here taught which he imparts to Saunaka (mahá-(dla), exactly as is the case in the Mundakopanishad. There is, for the rest, a considerable difference between this Unanishad 177 and the Mundaka and Prasna, it belongs more to the Yoga-Upanishads properly so called. It consists of two sections the first, which is in prose, treats, in the first place, of the myesty of Atman, and later on, in its last portion, it alleges Brahman, Vishnu, Rudra, and Akshara to be the four plans (feet) of the nirianam brahma, the first eleven of the nineteen verses of the second section discuss the subject of the Yogin being allowed to lay aside his yamopavita, or sacred thread, as he stands in the most intimate relation to the sútra, or mundane thread, the whole therefore amounts to a mere play upon words The last eight verses are horrowed from the Syetasyatarouanishad, Mundakopanished, and similer Upanishads, and egein describe the mejesty of the One -The Mandulyopanishad (25-28) is reckoned as consisting of four Upanishads, but only the prose portion of the first of these, which treats of the three and a half matras of the word om, is to he looked upon as the real Mandukvopanished, all the rest is the work of Gendapade, whose pupil Govinda was the teacher of Samkara, it dates therefore from about the seventh century A.D Similarly, there are two works by Samkara himself specified among the Upanishads, viz, the Aptatagrasúchí (29), in prose, and the Tripuri (30), likewise in prose, both composed in a Vedanta sense The former treats at the outset of what makes a Bráhmana a Bráhmana, it is not játe (birth), tarna (colour), panditya (learning), but the Brahmavid (he who knows Brahman) is alone a Brahmana t Then it passes to the different definitions of molsha (liberation).

¹⁷ Edited with Natifyana's comm, in Bill. Ind. 1873, in the introduction described as chatsathlands datami, the two sections of the text arem to have been transvosed.

text seem to have been transposed in some of the MSS.

As such, it has been commented on by Samkara under the title dgawa idstra. For particulars see J. St., u.

^{100-109. [}Roer has published the

enture Micedukyopanishad together with Samkara's comm in Bibl. Ind vol. vis., also a translation of sect I in vol. xr 1

t This portion has been used by a Buddhist (Afraghosha), almos hterally, agains' the system of cas's in general, in the tract of the same title which is given by Gildemeister, BML 2, Fract, p vi. not, 'co also

stating the only correct one to be the perception of the oneness of jura (the individual soul) and parametrara (the All-Soul), and lastly, distinctly rejecting all sects, it expounds the two highly important words (at (the Absolute) and from (the Objective) The Propur treats of the relation of Aiman to the world, and stands as fourth prakarana in a series of seven little Vedanta writings attributed to Samkara 113 The Sarvopenishats fropanishad (31), in proce, may be considered as a kind of catechism of these doctrones. its purpose is to answer several queries prefixed to it as an introduction.17 The same is the case with the Kirdlenbopanishad (12),150 which, however, exhibits essentially the Yoga standpoint. The Atmopanished (33), in prose, contains an inquiry by Angeras into the three factors (purushas), the body, the soul, and the All-Soul. The Prundanihotropanishad (14), in prose points out the relation of the parts and functions of the body to those of the sacrifice, whence by implication it follows that the latter is unnecessary At its conclusion it promises to him who reads this Upanished the came reward as he receives who expires in Varanasi, viz., deliverance from trainmigration in The Archiopanished (135) contains a dialogue on the nature of Atman before the Visymutra, Jamadarus. Bharadiaja, Gautama, and Vasishtha, the last of whom, appealing to the opinion of "Khak" (I another MS in Anguetil has " Kapl"=Kapila f), obtains the assent of the others 135

Burnoul In rod & l Hat de Luddh Ind , p 215 [Test and translation tee now in my easy De Vegrariell des Afragianta (1850) Br Hang. Brokmon and die Brakmanen, p. 29, the Upsnu ad is described as sime-

300 See Rijendra Lala Mitra in 65 Taylor, Catalogue of Oruntal M53. of the College Part St George, in

Tro Ed. 2 . See my Ca' slowns of the Berlin MSS., p. 180 Br Rijendra Lata Miles Lowerer (Achers of Boustyst MSS , L 10, 11), a different text is cited as the frincelkar lunichtrys

mortist transpaparulad. 19 See / St. 1 301 edited with described in the introd sa Testion yale | serropemelodin sirel sopia tracke chauredale (11)

Trapplated in J St, il 56, 57 [Test and Nardyana commands Bill ad 1875, described in the introd. 10 Elandatropianti | estiderali grat-

Bannake Mild Saunekomertal. IN Test and Narigant a comm. in Bill Ind. 1873, described in the introd. as skidasi Brunaliye act Taylor ii. 472 Rijendra L. H. I.

Bartell, Catalogue p. 61. name of the Upanished is not yet

certain.

The second class of the Atharvopamshads, as above stated, is made up of those whose subject is Yoza, or absorption in Atman, the stages of this absorption, and the assoption in Atlantages ages of this adoption, and external means of attaining it. These last chiefly consist in the giving up of all earthly connections, and in the frequent repetition of the word on, which plays a most prominent part, and is itself therefore the subject of deep study Yamavalkya is repeatedly named in the Upanishads of this class as the teacher of the doctrines they set forth, and indeed it would seem that we ought to look upon him as one of the chief promoters of the system of religious mendicancy so intimately associated with the Yoga-doctrine Thus, in the Taralopanishad (36) he instructs Bharadvaja as to the saving and am dispelling efficacy of the word cm. 153 and similarly in the Salalyopanishad (37)* Salaly a as to true emancipation 154 The one, however, in which he stands out most prominently is the Jabalopanishad (38), in prose, which, moreover, bears the name of a school or the White Yajus, although no doubt wrongly, as it must in any case be considered as merely an imitation of the Aranyaka of this Veda (see I St., ii. 72-77) Still, it must have been composed before the Badarayana-Sutra, as several passages of it seem to be given in the latter (unless these passages have been borrowed from a common source?) Of special importance with regard to the mode of life of the Paramahansas, or religious mendicants, are also, in addition to the Upanishad just mentioned, the Kathaśruti (39, Colebrooke gives the name incorrectly as Kanihasruti), in prose, and the Arunikopanishad (40), likewise in prose, t both are to be

Jendra L. M. 1, 92 (Commentary by Samkarátianda) There are, besides, quite a number of other Upanishads bearing the name of Játzla viz,

bearing the name of Jabala Viz, Buhanabala, Mahanabala, Laghu patala, Bhasma, Rudra, Budrataha,

This name seems to result as the most probable one from comparison of the variants in Anguetic

IN Sec 1 S. 11 For the Manager V. They presuppose the name V. chast for Benarea. The text of the JdddDnesuled with Nafigens a comm appeared in Bill Ind. 1874 it is described in the intred as yijudi and dichetrion-citiant [this inter however is said of the Kauralyopanuhad also], see also Burnell, p 61, Taylor u 474, Ed

^{*} Translated in I St. u. 176-181 [Text and Nárdysna a common Bibl Ind., 1872, described in the introd as postcharinst. There is also a commentary upon it by Samkurfanada, see Hijendra L. M., 92 — The Kalbaruth, 280 is

recarded as supplements to the Amnyaka of the Black Yams, as the Jabalopenished is to that of the White I njus The Bidlian-Upanuhad (41) also belongs to this class, to judge by quotations from it, and so does the Samtartastruts (42), similarly the Samnydsopanishad (41) and the Paramahansopanished (44), both in prose. The Hansopanishad (45) I have not yet met with, but from its name it probably also belongs to this place 155 The Asramopanishad (46), in prose, gives a classification of the four Indian orders-the Brahmachanna, Grihasthus, Vansprastbas, and Partyrajakas It is even anoted by Samkara, and the names applied in it to the several classes are now obsolete The Erimaddattopanishad (47) consists of twelve flokes but into the month of one of these religrous mendicants, and uniformly concluding with the refram lasyd ham patichamdsramam, "I am his ic. brahman's, fifth Aframa." Apart from the two Upanishais already mentioned, the Mandukya and the Taraka, the investigation of the eacred word ors is principally conducted in the Atharoasilha (48), in pross (explained by Samkara), in which instruction is given on this subject by Atharvan to Pippalada, Sanatkumara, and Angiras, + further, in the Brahmavidya (49), in thirteen Cokus, now and then quoted by Sunkara , I and lastly, in the Saunaka

chited in EM Ied (1873), with Nadyspas a commentary, although under the name Incident is the contraction of the Incident of the Incided the Incident of the Incident due on Feynmeis is Clearable and states that has placefully also propared to the Incident of the Incident Incident of the Incident of the Incident Incident of the Incident of the Incident of the Incident Incident of the Incident of the Incident of the Incident Incident of the Incident of the Incident of the Incident Incident of the Inc

enordies of the Ath. B. (aviii.), these text is therefore given by the editor in the etholism, and their in a double form and, to two MSS. (pp. 131-173); are also Migadra L. M. (a. Marton, B. 463.)

14 Test and Ner e comm in Bill Ind., 1874, described in the introd. as antistraidations | difference By Rijendralds, i. 90, a comm. by Supharianada is specified, see ba-

ades Burnell, p. 65
† See I Bt. II, 55.—Here, therefore we have Populids and Allgras
eppearing side by side (ore above,
p. 250) [Text and his e court
in Edd. Ind., 1873] described in

the letrod as aptent mental ?
Translated to I St., it St.
[Test and Mir's comm. in Bill.

12282 Just

Guj. 152]

The Paramethologomithed B translated in I St., it 173-176.
(Text with Nat a comm in Balt.
I'ved 1874 decembed in the lettered as traillened thermalither plantedman straint.—The Empsylogomiand, too a printed that, 1875, we there also a first reference made to bour

(50) and the Pranaza (51) These two are found in Anquetil only 186 The various stages of gradual absorp-tion into Atman form the contents of the following Upanishada (52-50) Hansanada (in prose), Kshurika (24 Elokas), Nádavindu (20 Elokas), Brahmavindu (22 Slokas, also called Amritarindu), Amritarindu (38 ślokas, also called Amritanada), Dhyanavindu (23 slokas), Yogasikha (10 slokas), and Yogatattra (15 slokas), while the majesty of Atman himself is depicted in the Chulil a (60, in 21 stokas) and Tejorindu (61, in 14 stokas) * in the former direct reference is repeatedly made to the doctrine of the Atharvans The range of ideas and the style are quite identical in all the Upanishads just enumerated. the latter frequently suffers from great obscurity, partly because there occur distinct grammatical maccuracies, partly because the construction is often very broken and without unity Many verses recur in several of them, many again are borrowed from the Svetasvataropanishad or Mastravanopanishad. Contempt for caste as well as for writing (grantha) is a trait which appears egain and again in almost all these Upanishads, and one might therefore he inclined to regard them as directly Buddhistic. were they not entirely free from all Buddhisho dogma. This agreement is to be explained simply by the fact that Buddhism itself must be considered as having been originally only a form of the Samkhya-doctrine.

The sectarian Upanishads have been set down as forming the third class. They substitute for Atman one of the forms of Yishin or Sira, the earlier ones following the Yoga-doctrine most closely, whilst in those of a modern date the personal element of the respective detices comes

("41)} A

¹⁸⁸ See I St., it 52-53 and 49-52, the Pronaropanished is thenwoned by Taylor, ir 328.

^{*} For the Hancada sen I St., 255-25, the Edward is then little, b, 11 171-172, likewise dript ctrudly, b, 50-52, Provinde, ii. 62-64, Dhybarrada, n 1-5; Yequid to the condition of the condition

yana omam (1872-73) excepting the Hausraddopenistad which the Hausraddopenistad which the works, each to be destroited the theory of the Hausraddopenist to the condition of the fair Interdetions to the condition of the Hausraddon of the Hausraddo

more and more into the foreground. A special characteristic of this class are the unmeasured promises usually held out at the close of the work to him who reads and studies it, as also the quotation and veneration of acred formulas containing the name of the particular detry

First, as regards the Upanishads of the Fishnu-sects,the oldest form under which Vishna is norshipped is Nurdyana We find the name for the first time in the second part of the Salapatha-Brahmana, where, however, it is not in any way connected with Visham, it rather stands, as at the commencement of Manu and the Vishau-Purana, in the sense of Brahman (mascul) This is also the case in the Nárayaniyopanishad of the Taittiriya Araquaka and in its Athargan-recension as Embannarevanopanished although in the lafter he is at least called Hars, and in one passage brought into direct relation to Vasudeva and Vishou. It is in the Mahd-Uponuhad (62),-a prose tract, which in its first part contains the emanation of the universe from Narayana, and in its second a paraphrase of the principal passage of the Nari-yaniyopanishad,—that Narayana first distinctly appears as the representative of Victoria, since Sulapani (Siva) and Brahman proceed from him, and Vishna is not mentioned at In the Nardysnopanished (64, in prose),157 on the contrary, Vishnu also emanates from him, exactly as in tho Narivana section t of the twelfth book of the Maha-Bharata (a book which in other respects also is of special a gnificance in relation to the Samlhya- and Yoga-doctrines) The sacred formula here taught is om namo Marcyand, a. There exists of this Upanishad another, probably a later, recension which forms part of the Atharvasiras to be mentioned hereafter, and in which Devakipatra Madhusudan's is mentioned as particularly brahmanya, pious, as is also the case in the Atriagrabodha-Upanishad (65), which like-

or See also Pirendra L M L 12, gr (comm by Samkardarda)

[•] Translated in I St. is 5-8 (see she Taylor, it. 468, Rijendra L M. i. 25], besides it there must have created another Mode Upon. (69) which is caled by the addressure of the Midhara seet as a warrant for their behalf in a personal soul of the universe, difficit from the soul of man.

[†] At the time of the (las' f) enrangement of the present text of the Mahd Bingrate, Mirkyana worship must have been particularly Eurish for.

wise celebrates Narayana as the Supreme Lord, 128 See I St. in 8, 9 He (Narayana) is named, besides, in the same quality in the Garbhopamshad (in a passage recurring in the Nirukti, xiv) and in the Sakalyopamishad

The second form under which we find Vishnu worshipped is Nrisinha The earliest mention of him h therto known appears in the Taitt. Ar, x 1 8 (in the Narayaniyop), under the name of Narasinha, and with the epithets rairanakha and tilishnadanshtra The only Upanishad in which he is worshipped is the Mrssinhatapanruopanishad (in prose) It is relatively of considerable extent, and is also counted as six separate Upanishads (66-71), as it consists of two parts, the first of which is in turn subdivided into five distinct Upanishads The first part treats of the Anushtubh-formula t sacred to Nrisinha, the mantrarera nárannha ánushfubha, with which the most wondrous tricks are played, wherein we have to recognise the first beginnings of the later Malamantras with their Tantra-ceremonial. A great portion of the Mandúkyopanishad is incorporated into it, and the existence also of the Atnorvasikha is presupposed, as it is directly quoted. The contents of the second part are of a more speculative character; but in respect of mystical trifling it does not yield to the first part. In both, the triad—Brahman, Vishnu, and Siva—is repeatedly mentioned. As regards language, the expression buddha for the supreme Atman, which occurs (along with ming, Suddha satya, multa, &c) in the second part, is of peculiar interest, and the expression is still retained in Gaudapada and Samkara, originally it belongs evidently to the Samkhya school (see ahove, pp 27, 129)

This Upamishad has been interpreted by Gaudapada and Samkara, and in addition to much that is quite modern, it presents a great deal that is ancient. It probably dates from about the fourth century Ap, as at that

IIS See also Páyendra L. M., 113 36, Taylor in 323 The shore mentioned lists of Upanishads in the Chambers collection admit a Maddyattown also fee

my Catalogue, p 95] † It runs wyrom tirem makensk

mm jealintar streatomulham | mrimham bhisharam bhadram irribjumpiljim namamy alam, il "waship the tetrible, powerful, mighif Vishipa, the fitning the om mpresent, Nijishha, the dread, the holy one, the death of death "

time the Nrisitha worship flourished on the western coast of India, while otherwise we find no traces of it. 12

The Ramatapantyopanishad (72, 73), in which Rams is worshipped as the Supreme God, shows a great resemblance to the Arismhatapanis on, especially in its second part. This second part, which is in prose, is, properly sreaking nothing but a collection of pieces from the Tarakopanished. Mandukyopemshad, Jabalopanishad, and Nrisiahopanishad, naturally with the necessary alterations valkya here appears as the proclasmer of the divine clory of Rama. A London MS, adds at the close a long passage which is unknown to the commentator Anindarana (s native of the town Kundina) The crowning touch of the sectarian element in this Upanished is found in the circamstance that Rama is implored by Siva (Samkara) himself to spare those a second birth who die in Manikarnika or in the Ganga generally, the two principal scats of the Saya worship The first part, in ninety-five folias contains et the beginning a short sketch of Rama's life, which bears a great similarity to that at the beginning of the Adhyat. maramay and (in the Brahmanda-Purana) The Mantrirys is next taught by the help of a mystical slphabet, specially invented for the purpose . This Upanished evidently belongs to the school of Ramanus, possibly to Ramanuja himself, consequently its earliest date would be the cles enth century AD 120

Under the names Vichnu, Purushottama, and Vasudeva Vishnu is mentioned as the supreme Atman in several

skaf (1854), tent sod Miris comm in Bild, Hed vio (1874) in the state of the state

its Sectet and transitioned this upper dependent of 2 to 3y-3y-3 and specially es the shread-yeard question pp 6, 6, 5, 10 to 8 EZ, does such that Drawley at the first of the shread of the transition of the shread of the shrea

Manira are also mentioned
19 See test and transistion in my
eiers Die Rosis Taponiya Diens

Upanishada, * Krishna Devakiputra appears likewise in some of them (the Atmaprabodha and Narayana), not, however, as appreme Atman, but merely, as in the Chhandogyor, as a particularly prous sage. It is in the Gopfort, and the Country of the Upanishad, the second part at least, in prose, is known to me! It treats urst of the gopfo of Mathum and Vraja, then it passes to the dentification of Mathum with Brahmagura, &c, and it belongs without donbt to a very modern period, as it exhibits hardly any points of contact with other Upanishads in regard to contenjs and language. The Gopfokandanopanishad (76) also probably belongs to this place 12 I know it only by name

know it only by name
At the head of the Upamshads belonging to the Sirasects stands, according to the use that has been made of
it, the Satardurjus I have already remarked, however,
that this is nothing but an abuse. In its germs the worship of Siva may be traced even in the later portions of
the Yajust. He epperus very prominently as Mahadeva
in a portion of the Narayaniyopanshad, end here he is
already associated with his spouse. The Stefaskatropanishad also pays homege to him. Among the Atharvopanishads the most ancient in this regard is the Kariotyopanishad (77), a mixture of prose and flotae, in which
hagardin rahadderah husself instructs Aśvalayana concerning his own majesty, in a similar way he ects as his
own herald is in the Atharraferus (78) in urose. The latter

And also, in particular, under the name Vasuders, in the writings ascribed to Samkars

⁺ The lists in the Chambers collect on specify a Gopd'atdynik Hadhyutapmi Ultaratdpini, and Brikaduttaratdpini I

in the text of this Upsinahad with Vistersar's commentary, is printed in the BEI Indice (1870), clied by Harachandri Vidyahde, shinis and Vistandhadd time Occasionally extracts are added from the commentures by Nariesma and Ursagostemm Acceding to I den dril, 1 is its first a ction is decribed in Mariyam's introduction

as shaichairdrasiati cha purnd cha 'tharropanppale —See an analysis of the second erction in Taylor, ii 472

in So also according to Rajen that , 1 m (count by Nar), 60, it isspecially "a treatise on the merits of paring on sectanal marks on the forehead with an ochrona earth called noucheandard."

As in the Atharva Samhita and in the Sinkhayana Brahmara (see pp 45 110) S Like Krishna in the Bangavad

gid. The Karraltofan...had is translated I St., is 9-14, on Athar cutures see abid, 1 pp 382 385 [Text of, and two commentaries on,

Upauishad has been expounded by Samkara. Under the same title. " head of Atharran,"-a pame that is also borne by Brahman humself although in a different relation there exists a second Upanished, steel a conglomeration of five different Unanisheds referring to the five principal derites, Ganapati (70), Nárayana, Rudra, Súrya (80), and Devi (81) * Its Nárayana-portion is a later recension ci the Nardyanopanished (64, see above, p 166), and the Rudra-portion follows the first chapter of the Athan simas proper All five have been translated by Vans Kennedy In the Maha-Bharata (1 2882), and the Code of Vishou where the Athervasirus is mentioned along with the Bidrundans saman, and in Vishnu also, where it appears beside the Satarudnya (es the principal means of expistion), the reference probably is to the Upanishad explained by Samkara(1) -The Rudrop and Atherranive-Rudrop are known to me only through the Catalogue of the India Office Library Possibly they are identical with those already named, I therefore exclude them from my lat. The Mruyulanghanopanished (82)t is quite modern, and with it is wor-

the Kairalropanished printed In Bib! Ind , 1874, the first commentery lathet of Narirags . the second is described by the editor as that of Samkara, in the colorbon as that of hamkariosada, ittollove, hoverer, from Ralendra Las Mitras Coca long. I 21, that it ie diCerent from the commentary written by the lat ier, and according to the sums authority is 247, it is edentical rather with that of bidyfranes. In Mirifrance tatroduction this Upanighad is desembed (exterly like the Jalufon II as elechatetradet and The Sires or Atlantalires Upana and is likeway prioted in Ball Ird (1872) with Criyana a commiswhich describes it as radedallyiges partillandat See ales Rijendra i 33 (comm. by Sumberfamidal.

43)
• See J. S., it, S.3, and Vana Kennell Researches into the Batare and Asian of Hinduard Asians Mythology P. 442, &c. [Popler, it Aspects]
471 By Lipschyl, I. 62, a Conse

patyops religion to partition of the transport of the States Cut of MCC, from Gri 1 70, 1 Garden for the MCC, from Gri 1 70, 1 Garden for the states of the London Presents of the Dendon Pres 13569, p. 14, a Company president of the Dendon Presents of the London Lo

t bo we have probably to ender stard Arquetlia Annet Louise! moce be but ales another lorm, 3'ral Lantous , tostest of, id ert 'Ac 1 11 remar we push to real 'privat merter [See nov / EL, |r 21-7]; according to this it is dontiful wie ther the name englit not to be witten Mer militalit. An l'ron e's named Mrstyriafytana is menti me by Bullet Cat. of MSS. from Un . L' 120 & Berguitagala, however, appears as 825 Upanishas in the Catalogra of tand t Filt ar a' na library Finally, Berne! In feb. lighing the test la the feders dert.

garry In sid, glees the form I rel

galiferale.]

thily associated the Kálágnirudropanishad (83),193 in prose, of which there are no less than three different recensions, one of which belongs to the Nandikeśvara-Upapurana, The Tripuropanished (84) also appears from its nameotherwise it is unknown to me-to belong to this div. sion, 194 it has been interpreted by Bhatta Bhaskara Miśra. The Skandopanishad (85), in fifteen ślokas, is also Siva-itic 124 (likewise the Amritanadopanishad) The adoration of Siva's spouse, his Sakti,-the origin of which may be traced back to the Kenopanishad and the Naravanivopanishad, - is the subject of the Sundaritananiyopanishad known to me by name only), in five parts (86-90), as well as of the Devi-Upanishad (9), which has already been mentioned The Kaulopanishad (91), in prose, also belongs to a Sakta sectary

Lastly, a few Upanishads (92-95) have to be mentioned, which are known to me only by their names, names which do not enable us to draw any conclusion as to their con-tents, viz, the Pindopanishad, Niloruhopanishad (Colebrooke has Milarudra), Paingalopanishad and Darsanopanishad 196 The Garudopanishad (96), of which I know two totally different texts, celebrates the serpent-destroyer Garuda,† and is not without some antiquarian interest

¹º3 It treats specially of the fra pundratidhe, see Taylor, 1 461, Rajendr, 1 59, Burnell, p 61 184 Seconst Taylor, 11 470, Bur

nell p. 62 155 Identifies Siva with Visbnu, and teaches the doctrines of the Advasta school Taylor, n 467.

Burnell, p 65
* In the legavinda (61) also brahman is described as drawe, fam.

bhara idkta 190 The Pendop and the Aslared nop -thus is its proper name-are now trinted in Bibl Ind. (1873).

with Narayana s comm the former. which treats of the pundas to the pretas is described by Nárássas as

saptamajatepurant, the latter as shodaff at is addressed to Rudra (see also Rajendral 1 51) and constata enly of verses, which closely follow those contained in Vaj S xvi On the Panngalop and Darsanop, sea Taylor, 11 468-471

t As as done in the Nardyaniyopanushed slap and more especially in the Suparnadhidya, which is con sidered to belong to the Rik fedited by Elimar Grube 1875, see also I St xit I, ff - The Garudopanishad is now printed in Bibl Ind. (1874). with Narayana's commentary in the introduction it is described as chatuschatrariniattarii.]

SECOND PERIOD.

SANSKRIT LITERATURE.

SECOND PERIOD

SANSKRIT LITERATURE.

HAVIAO thus followed the first period of Indian Interature, in its several divisions, down to its close, we now turn to its second period, the so-called Sanskirt Interature. Here, however, as our time is limited, we cannot enter so much not detail eave him a histori done, and we must therefore content ourselves with a general survey. In the case of the Vedio hierature, details were especially essential both because no full account of it had yet been given, and because the various works still lie, for the most part, shut up in the manuscripts, whereas the Sanskirt literature has already been repeatedly handled, partially at least, and the principal works belonging to it sie generally soccessible

Our first task, naturally, is to fix the distinction between the second period and the first. This is, in part one of age, in part, one of sibject-matter. The former distinction is marked by the language and by direct data, the latter by the nature of the subject-matter itself, as well as by

the method of treating it

As regards the language, in the first place, in so far as the grounds a distinction in point of ago between the two periods of Indian Interative, its speemal characteristics in the second period, although apparently slight, are yet in reality, so significant that it appropriately furnishes the name for the period, whereas the earther one receives its designation from the works composing it.

Among the various dialects of the different Indo-Aryan tubes, a greater unity had in the course of time been established after their immigration into India, as the natural result of their interrungling in their new homes, and of their combination into larger communities. The gram matical study, moreover, which by degrees became necessary for the interpretation of the ancient texts, and which grew up in connection therewith, had had the effect of substantially fixing the usage, so that a generally recognised language, knewn as the blds'd had arisen that namely, in which the Brihmanas and Sulras are composed. Thow the greater the advance made by the study of grammar, the more strugent and precise its precepts and rules became, and all the more difficult it was for those who did not occupy themselves specially therewill to keep in constant accord with grammatical accuracy. The more the language of the grammatically educated gained on the one hand in punty, and in being purged of everything not strictly regular, the more foreign did it become on the other hand to the usage of the majority of the people, who were without grammatical training. In this way a refined language gradually disconnected itself from the vernacular, as more and more the exclusive property of the higher classes of the people, I the estrange-

which the word didn'y is used in the Oribra Setra of Bitthirans samely, in contradictinction to birrs. plants had goinged til tadt swede by this time become energially modifed and become restricted, preclasty as it is in Pagini to the saws. Fedic, so to say, profess literalure. (The Afra'frana Orthre gives in stead of olfabra, le the correspond ing passer Marais maid Manus dia ma) [This is incorrect ; rather to the passing in question, there words follow the word fidelity and word states, see the note on this point of p. 161 Is the same wey, in the Nir And Q. mentre, Lulpa, brdimana, and the endraldrell (se Lideld) are opposed to such other (and slan Rat laws.

Siman, and the spicelifeith?

Outh the passage cited in his aid, 9 from a farlanges for Kith, air 51 to the eff of that the 18th mins spike both to-give that of the good as well as that of rare, to be takes in this connection or her tile arriferance menty for concepture resembling the Huerice or Security or security in the security of the security

^{*} Depoching the use of the web within a grammation equilabilities. Spraps in the interdection to the (a.g., a.g. a.d. Muller) addaces a legent from a Brikmun, which represents food as the other grammarian (See Lassen, F. A.A., it will be a first of the first of the control of

[†] Elichterwer in Kliffen.
† Elichterwer in Kliffen.
† Elichterwer in Trieben.
† Sant. Speice., il 165. 197
† Sant. Speice.
† Sant. Speice., il 165. 197
† Sant. Speice.
†

ment between the two growing more and more marked, as the popular dialect in its turn underwent further develop-This took place mainly under the influence of those aboriginal inhahitants who had been received into the Brahmanic community, who, it is true, little by little exchanged their own language for that of their conquerors, hut not without importing into the latter a large number of new words and of phonetic changes, and, in particular, very materially modifying the pronnuciation. This last was all the more necessary, as the numerous accumulations of consonants in the Aryan bháshá presented exceeding difficulties to the natives, and it was all the easier, as there had evidently prevailed within the language itself from an early period a tendency to clear away these troublesome encumbrances of speech,-a tendency to which, indeed, the study of grammar imposed a limit, so far as the educated portion of the Aryans was concerned, but which certainly maintained itself, and by the very nature of the case continued to spread amongst the people at large This tendency was naturally furthered by the native inhahitants, particularly as they acquired the language not from those who were conversant with grammar, but from intercourse and association with the general hody of the people In this way there gradually arose new vernaculars, proceeding directly from the common bhasha, and distinguished from it mainly by the assimilation of consonants, and by

eseding in common from. The term directly opposed to it is not samskysta, but raidreta, see, c.y. Ath. Paris 49. I, "ramin purpan rydlhyd sudman probrita us cha vaikritah."] The earliest instances as yet known of the name Samshrit as a designation of the language occur in the Marchbakati (p. 44. 2, ed. Stensler). and in Varaha Mibira's Bribat Sam bits, 8, 3 The following passages also of the Rimirana are doubtless to be noderstood in this sense, vis. ¥ 18 19 29 17 34 (82 3), VL 104 2. Paning 12 familiar with the word Samalysta, but does not use it in this sense, though the Paniniva-Sixthi does so employ it (v 3), in centrada tanction to pruirita

And therefore specially so called down even to modern times, whereas the grammatically refined bland afterwards lost this title, and substituted for it the name Samulysiablidshit, 'the cultivated speech, The name Profesia-thdaid, which was at the same time applied to the popular dislects, is derived from tha word prairit, "nature," erigin, and probably describes these as the 'natural,' 'original' continuations of the ancient badald or does prdirds here againy baring a prairies or origin, a.e., derived f [Out of he argumention original, "lying at the root of (prakruit basta) "un modified, arose that of "normal then that of 'ordinary, 'community 'vulgars, and lastly that of pro-

the custailment or loss of terminations. Not unfrequently, however, they present older forms of these than are found in the written language, putily because the latter has neorously eliminated all forms in any way irregular or observed the control of the contro

12 This exemple is not quite per timent, as the least play is die le of very account date being reflected not only in Zond, but also le Staronic and Lithusoins; see Bopp.

Ferol Cram. 1 1561 (152) The difference to usage between the Eastern and Western forms of speech is once touched upon in the lichmost of the White Yelon where is le and that the Fabitas style Agni Bases, while the Prich yea, on the contrary, call him barra, Yake (il 2) opposes the Kambejis (the Pers Aryson) to the Aryso (the Indo-Aryson) tating that the latter, for instance, possess derivatires mely of the root on whereas the Kam bolts possess it also as a verh (Grammarians of the Kambofus are herdly to be thought of here, as Roth, Zar Lat, p. 67, supposes.) Yacks farther opposes the Prichree end the Udl byes, end the came le done by Pinini According to the Beihmint, the Udichyas were most conversant with grammer face I Rt. I see II 300 fto, stil 353, ff Bunnell a identification of the Kem bojas here, and in the other earlier pursages where they are mentlemed with Lambod a la Parther ladia are his Flenests of Soud la las Falte graphy Th. 31, 3" as is clearly a mietake Far the time of the Fall Terrimois

Abhidbáesrpadiniká (v. Childre. Pd. Det.) this identifeation mig perhans be correct? but the older I di tanta and eren the foscriptions of Payadasi (e.g., most disherly the facultuile of the Khalil Inscription In Consinghtm & Archaelmal For ery 1 247, pl. 111, liee 7), tetro-dote the Kambojus in recordise with the Teranus and this eliteif datermines that the two belonged geographically to the same region in the earth west of ledus, are / Se, il 321 In eddition to Kantiers and thereath all the verious references to this latter name, which point to a very wide ramification of it throughout Ires see I Er. IL 493. To Ferther India the name Kambeja eridently found its way only in later times, like the nemre Ayothra, ledre pesaths, fraesti, Champa; though this fot should have fallen precisely to IL Ferbage carses connected with Baldhirm may bere beiped to leige this clost fire en this point the Jeaser Lumsterning 1875 p. 418; falme det query if 244.) In the middle agrs am grated to

ever considerable were the external modifications w linch it underwent

The second period of Indian literature, then, commences with the enoch when the separation of the linguise of the educated classe, of the written language-from the popular dialects was an accomplished fact. It is in the former alone that the literature is presented to us. Not till after the lapse of time did the vernaculars also in their turn produce literatures of their own, -in the first instance under the influence of the Buddhist religion, which addrussed itself to the people as such, and whose scriptures and records, therefore, were originally, as for the most part they still are, composed in the popular idiom. The enoch in question cannot at present be precisely determined. yet we may with reasonable certainty infer the existence of the written language also, at a time when we are in a position to point to the existence of popular dialects, and with respect to these we possess historical evidence of a rare order, in those rock-inscriptions, of identical purport. which have been discovered at Girnar in the Gujarat peninsula, at Dhauli in Onssa, and at Kapur di Giri 129 in Kabul. J Prinsep, who was the first to decipher them and Lassen, refer them to the time of the Buddhist king Asoka. who reigned from BC 259, but, according to the most recent investigations on the subject-by Wilson, in the "Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society," xil, 1850 (p of of the separate impression)—they were engraved "at some period subsequent to BC 205" and are are still therefore, of uncertain date However this question may be settled, it in any case results with tolerable certainty

¹⁸ This name such probably to be written Angedyn J. See in Special Profession of the Satrunyian Mikhimps, Greek hugy Prils. In these inventions on meeting the large over, we have a text, smaller an part level, presented to use in three shows of this level. See further on this subject the such profession of the relation of the section
^{*} And that not much later, sais vended for by this name of the Greek kings therein mentaned— Alexander, Antigonev, Magos, Plodeny, Antonian. These cannot, it is tree, be regarded are contemporaneous without productions but seen of such large contentions. The contemporary of the contemporary large later of such large large of that the wavelplone or in two bear compared long after their time. See When, I. 6.

that these popular dislects were an existence in the third century ro. But thu at by no means to be set down as the limit for the commencement of their growth, on the contrury, the form in which they are presented to us sericently shows that a very considerable period must lave elapsed since their separation from the ancient 124d-4. This separation must therefore have tall on place comparatively early, and indeed we first alliances to these cernical lars then and there in the Bellmanns themselve.*

The direct data, attesting the phatemonty of the second period of Indian literature, consist in these facts first that its opening phases everywhere presuppose the Vedic period as entirely closed, next, that its oldest portions are regularly based upon the Vedic literature, and, lastly, that the relations of life have now all errored at a stage of development of which, in the first period, we can only trace the germs and begunning Thus, in particular, divine wor ship is now centred on a triad of divinities. Brahman, Visling, and Siva, the two latter of whom, again, in course of time, have the supremacy severally alloited to them under various forms, according to the different seets that crew up for this purpose. It is by no means implied that individual portions of the carlier period may not run on mito the later, on the contrary, I have frequently endearoused in the preceding pages to show that such is the case. For the rest, the connection between the in o penals is on the whole, somewhat loose it is closest as regards those branches of literature which had already attained a definite stage of progress in the first period, and which merely continued to develop further in the eccoud,-Grammar, namely, and Philosophy In regard to these branches on the contrary, which are a more independent

man are neutral gravity that priof specits, "furnall features as sheaket."—I may struck here in posting that it life to its life inter of the file, in figure a letter dector p 35 21, intercently write follows continued to her he has not mad the same better than the first that it is decording to the fittingth little many, foremental to look hitchmany, foremental to look hitch-

⁹ Thus in the second test of the Alterna Bethings the hydrogue as class (1) of the western Sairca, see mentioned as "petited wicks reduced" "speaking a lithy topus," and in the Patcheralia Beth sense, the Yeriya are found finit with for their debased language. The Aurosa are a milety terrogued in the Satepaths Pethinana (1, 2, 8, 24), where, at the same line, the Patch

growth of the second period, the difficulty of connecting them with the earlier age is very great. We have here a distinct gap which it is allogether unpossible to fill up. The reason of this lies simply in the fact, that owing to the difficulty of preserving literary works, the fortunate successor almost always wholly supplanted the predecessor it surpassed the latter this became superfluous, and was consequently put aside, no longer committed to memory, no longer copied. In all these branches therefore—unless some other influence has appervened—we are in hossession only of those master-works in which each attained its culminating point, and which in later times served as the classical models upon which the modern literature was formed, itself more or less destitute of native productive energy This fact has been already adduced as having proved equally fatal in the case of the more ancient Brahmana literature, &c., there, much to the same extent as here, it exercised its lamentable, though natural influence. In the Vedic literature also, that is to say, in its Sakhas, on the venic mercure use, may be used, in the search, we find the best analogy for another knodred point, namely, that some of the principal works of this period are extant in several—generally two—recensions. But along with this a further circumstance has to be noted, which, in consequence of the great care expended upon the sacred literature, has comparatively slight application to it, namely. that the mutual relation of the manuscripte is of itself such as to render any certain restoration of an original text for the most part hopeless. It is only in cases where ancient commentaries exist that the text is in some degree certain. for the time at least to which these commentaries belong This is evidently owing to the fact that these works were onginally preserved by oral tradition, their consignment to writing only took place later, and possibly in different localities at the same time, so that discrepancies of all sorts were inevitable But besides these variations there are many alterations and additions which are obviously of a wholly arbitrary nature, partly made intentionally, and partly due to the mistakes of transcribers In reference to this latter point, in particular, the fact must not be lost sight of that, in consequence of the destructive influ-ence of the climate, copies had to be renewed very frequently As a rule, the more ancient Indian manuscripts

are only from three to four hundred sears old, hardly any will be found to date more if an five hundred your lack wa Little or nothing, therefore, can here be effected by means of so-called diplomat continues. We cannot even depend upon a text as it appears in quo'alions, such quota.... s being generally made from memory, -a practice which, of course, unavoidably entails metales and alterations

The distinction in point of sub, ct-matter between the first and second remods corsists mainly in the circu. stance that in the former the various subjects are cely handled in their details, and almost solely in their relation to the sacrifice, whereas in the latter they are directed in their ceneral relations. In short it is no, so much a retical, as rather a scientific a poetical, and artistic wart il at is here satisfied. The difference in the form under witch the two periods present themselves is in Leeping with this In the former, a simple and complet proce had gradually been developed, but in the latter this form is al andoral. and a thy thing one adopted in its stead, which is employed exclusively, even for strictly scientific exportion. The only exception to this occurs in the grammatical and I'll losophical Satras, and these again are characteried by a form of expression so condensed and trelinical that it can not fittingly be termed prece. Apart from this we have only fregments of proce, occurring in stones which are now and then found ested in the great epic, and further in the fable literature and in the drama, but they are unifer als Internosen with rhythmical portions. It is only in the Buildhist legends that a procestyle has been returned the

MS3 to Patter present " the Analyzka Citra, da el Carat 114 fall figh, marnes dies agien mestimed street "it is the abot Samily t 22 Hat her er to to te. Idi L N m Adem lets (1874) Istalitterfrem 1 + 1 at (19 le October 17:51 jet me're that to ere of the bandy he that Pare Is ele art ent la Cambraige from hege! Le lus rest t e cate 1-Setile berbere te At ters Funter emters a of 1" if

I'm Presiding the are manner el preparati ti, meterial and cond tim of test of ted on Post ore [4] Idla Biera e race let erport, da.ed 15th lelmary 14, 5, ou the serie es I stituted by Lim et set ve l'exares down to the end of the premius year which is appended to he. IX. of his he ar of Earlys 1955 On to revetly some Devarfmet MSS of Jans tests, wer ten o troad stim leaves, have here d'a centantes est ar than saftereine & comme a frame at pete fi se known A face mile ef ear ef three

language of which, however, is a very peculiar one, and is, moreover, restricted to a definite field. In fact, as the result of this neglect, prose-writing was completely arrested in the course of it development, and declined altogether Anything more clumsy than the prose of the later Indian romances, and of the Indian commentaries, can hardly be, and the same, may be said of the prose of the instructions

This point must not be left out of view, when we now proceed to speak of a classification of the Senskrit litera ture into works of Poetry, works of Science and Art, and works relating to Law, Custom, and Worship All alike appear in a postic form, and by 'Poetry' accordingly in this classification we understand merely what is usually styled belle-letters, though certurally with an important modification of this sense. For while, upon the one hand, the poetre form has been extended to ell branches of the literature, upon the other, as a set-off to this, a good deal of practical prose has entered into the poetry itself, imparting to it the character of poetry 'with e purpose.' Of

the epio poetry this is especially true

It has long been customary to place the Epio Poetry at the head of Sanskrit literature, and to this custom we here conform, although its existing monuments cannot justly pretend to pass as more aucient than, for example, Panini's grammar, or the law-book which bears the name of Manu We have to divide the epic poetry into two distinct groups the Hihasa-Puranas and the Kavyas We have already more than once met with the name Itihasa-Puring in the later Brahmanas, namely, in the second part of the Satapatha-Brahmana, in the Taittiriya-Aranyaka, and in the Chhandogyopanishad. We have seen that the commentators uniformly understand these expressions to apply to the legendary passages in the Brahmanas themselves, and not to separate works, and also that, from a passage in the thuteenth book of the Satapatha-Brahmana, it results with tolerable certainty that distinct works of this description cannot then have existed, masmuch as the division into pareans, which is usual in the extant writings of this class, is there expressly attributed to other works, and is not employed in reference to these Itihasa Puranas themselves On the other hand, in the Surpa-vidya ('serpent knowledge and the Devajana-vidya (genealogies of the gods')-to which, in the passage in question, the dis tribution into parans, that is to say, existence in a distinct form, is expressly assigned-we have in all probability to recognise mythological accounts, which from their nature might very well be regarded as precursors of the conc. We have likewise already specified as forerunners of the epic poetry, those myths and legends which are found interspersed throughout the Brahmanas, here and there, too in rhythmic form.* or which lived on elsewhere in the tradition regarding the origin of the songs of the Riks Indeed, a few short prose legends of this sort have been actually preserved here and there in the epic itself. The Gathis also-stanzas in the Brahmanas, extolling individual deeds of prowess-have already been cited in the like connection they were sung to the accompaniment of the lute, and were composed in honour either of the prince of the day or of the prous langs of old (see I St. L 187), As regards the extant epic-the Maha-Bharata-specially. we have already pointed out the mention in the Taittiriya-Alanyaka, of Vylsa Parasarya 100 and Valsampayana, 200 who are given in the poem itself as its original authors, and we have also remarked (p 143) that the family of the

As, for instance, the story of Hardenandra in the second part of the Attareva-Bribmans.

Di Vydon Párdánya is likeware mentioned in the runsa of the barns widhing Brihmans, as the disciple of Vishvaksena, and preceptor of Jai min, eee I St, iv 377 -The Ma habhasbys, again, not only contains frequent allumous to the legend of the Mand Bharata, and even metra cal quotations that connect them selves with it, but it also confains the name of Suka Vary Seaks, and from this it is clear that there was then already extant a poetscal veraron of the Mahd Bhdrats story , see I St., xiii 357 Among the prior births of Buddhs is one (No 436 in Westergaard's Catalogus, p 40) bearup the name Kanha-Dipfyana. e Krichna Dvaipayana!

where frequently, but always mape

cal relation to the transmission of the Yajur Veda By Pinini, it is true (ir 3. 104), he is emply cited generally as a Vedic teacher but the Mahabbashya, commenting on this passage, describes him as the teacher of Katha and Kaliforn In the Cal cutta Scholium, egain, we find further particulars (from what source) of Tiriniths on Siddh Koum , 1 590), according to which (see I St, xm 440) mine Vedio echools, and among them two belonging to the Sama Veda, trace their origin to him In the Reg Gribys he is endently re carded (see above, pp 57, 58), after the manner of the Vishou Purine, as the special representative of the Yapor Veda, and so he appears in the Anukr of the Atreyl school, at the head of its list of teachers spe entity as the preceptor of Yasks

Pauce.

Parasaras is represented with especial frequency in the tansas of the White Yajus * We also find repeated allusions in the Brahmanas to a Naimishiya sacrifice, and, on the authority of the Maha-Bharata itself, it was at such a sacrifice that the second recitation of the epic took place in presence of a Saunaka. But, as has likewise been remarked above [pp 34, 45], these two sacrifices must be kept distinct. and indeed there is no mention in the Brahmanas of a Saunaka as participating in the former Nay, several such sacrifices may have tal on place in the Namusha forest [see p 34], or it is possible even that the statement as to the recitation in question may have no more foundation than the desire to give a peculiar consecration to the work. For it is utterly absurd to suppose that Vyása Parásarya and Vaisampayana, teachers mentioned for the first time in the Tuttiriva-Aranyaka-could have been anterior to the sacrifice referred to in the Brahmanas The mention of the "Bharata" and of the "Maha-Bharata" itself in the Grihya-Sútras of Assalayana [and Sankhayana] we have characterised [p 58] as an interpolation or else an indication that these Sutras are of very late date. In Panini the word "Maha Bharata' does undeed occur, not, however, as denoting the epic of this name, but as an appellative to designate any individual of special distinction among the Bharatas, like Maha-Jabala, Hailibila (see I St. 11 73). Still, we do find names mentioned in Panini which belong specially to the story of the Maha-Bharata-namely, Yudhishthira, Hastinapura, Vasudeva, Arjuna, + Andhaka-Vrishnayas, Drona (?), so that the legend must in any case have been current in his day, possibly even in a poetical shape, however surprising it may be that the name Pandut is never mentioned by him. The earliest direct

This renders Law To's reference (I AA), i 600) of the name Pérféarya to the astronomer or chromoger Parisht, highly questionable, † A worshipper of Vásadeva, or Arjuna here still a name of Indy's I from the context be in to be understood as a Khuttrya, see on the, J Z, xw.

Make Badersh and in the works reat beg upon it. Yet the Buddinsta meetion a mountain tribe of Pidols was, as allot the force of the Sidyas (s.e., the Redshi) and of the in huntants of Unyarin, we be Schief mer, Lebes des Schjemmen pr. 4 as we men the latter passing them to A on forther Line to, I AK is 100 ff. Foreigns, Paya Oher Rel Pa, 19a

225, 229 (25, 20)

³⁴⁹ ff , Ind. Anteq tv 246] . This name only occurs in the

evidence of the existence of an epic, with the contents of the Maha-Bharata, comes to us from the rhetor Dion Chrysostom, who flourished in the second half of the first century AD , and it appears fairly probable that the information in question was then quite new and was derived from mariners who had penetrated as far as the extreme south of India, as I have pointed out in the Indische Studien, it 161-165 Since Merasthenes says nothing of this epic, it is not an improbable hypothesis that its origin is to be placed in the interval between his time and that of Chrysostom, for what ignorant? sailors took note of would hardly have escaped his observation, more especially if what he narrates of Herakics and his daughter Pandara has reference really to Krishna and his sister, the wife of Aruna, if, that is to say, the Pandu legend was already netually current in his time. With respect to this latter legend, which forms the subject of the Maha-Bharata, we have already remarked, that although there occur, in the Yajus especially, various names and particulars having an intimate connection with it, yet on the other hand these are presented to us in essentially different relations Thus the Kuru-Panchalas in particular, whose interneone fend is deemed by Lassen to be the leading and central feature of the Maha-Bharata, appear in the Yajus on the most friendly and perceful footing Ariuna agrin, the chief hero of the Pandus, is still, in the Varasanevi-Samuta and the Satapatha-Brahmana, a name of Indra I and astly, Janamejaya Párikshita, who in the Maha-Bharata s the great-grandson of Aruna, appears, in the last part of the Satapatha-Brahmana, to be still fresh in the menory of the people, with the rise and downfall of himself and his house I have also already expressed the conjecture that it is perhaps in the deeds and downfall of this Janameiava that we have to look for the original plot

It is not, however, necessary to suppose, as I did, I c that they brought this intelligence from the south of India itself they single have picked it in at some other part of their voyage.

t That they were so appears from their statement as to the Great Bear

I In the thirteenth book of the Satipaths - Britimans, Lodra also bears the name Dharma, which in the Maik Bhirats is especially as accusted with ludhishtura him cell, though only in the forms dharma rops, dharma putra he

of the story of the Maha-Bharata, and, on the other hand, that, as in the epics of other nations, and notably in the Persian Epos, so too in the Maha Bharata, the myths relating to the gods became linked with the popular legend But so completely have the two been inter-woven that the marayelling of the respective elements must ever remain an impossibility. One thing, however, is clearly discernible in the Maha-Bharata that it has as its basis a war waged on the soil of Hindustan between Aryan tribes, and therefore belonging probably to a time when their settlement in India, and the subjugation and brahmanisation of the native inhabitants had already Deen accomplished. But what it was that gave rise to the conflict-whether disputes as to territory, or it may be religious dissensions-cannot now be determined -Of the Maha Bharata in its extant form, only about one-fourth (some 20 000 flokes or so) relates to this conflict and the myths that have been associated with it, " while the elements composing the remaining three-fourths do not belong to it at all, and have only the loosest possible connection therewith as well as with each other additions are of two kinds. Some are of an enic character. and are due to the endeavour to unite here, as in a single focus, all the ancient legends it was possible to muster,and amongst them, as a matter of fact, are not a few that are tolerably antique even in respect of form. Others are of purely didactic import, and have been inserted with the view of imparting to the pulitary caste, for which the work was mainly intended, all possible instruction as to its duties, and especially as to the reverence due to the pnesthood. Even at the portion which is recognisable as the original basis—that relating to the war—many generations must have laboured before the test attamed to an approximately settled shape. It is noteworthy that it is precisely in this part that repeated allusion is made to the Yavanas, Sakas, Pahlavas, and other peoples, and that

Which of course stands in glaring contridiction to the statement that the While Ebirata was recited in his pre-size what even of this two thirds

will have to be sifted out as not prignal since in the introduction

to the work [1 81) the express into mation is still preserved that it previously consisted of SSOO iloka, only

Pillers The Angestian with the word Pillers The Noldeke, in a communicate in dated 3d hovember

these, moreover, uppear as taking an actual part in the conflict—a circumstance which necessarily presupposes that at the time when these presages were written, collisions with the Greeks, &c., had already happened. The sum work in its present shape took place, no approach even work in its present shape took place, no approach even of a direct conjecture is in the meantime possible, 200 but at any rate, it must have been some centuries after the commencement of our era. An interesting discovery has

1870, mentions a point which, if confirmed, will prove of the highest moportance for determining the date of composition of the Mahi Bhirata and of the Rimirana (see my Essay on it, pp. 22, 25) as well as of Mann (see I 41) According to this there exists considerable doubt whether the word Paller, which is the basis of Pallera, and which Olshausen (v sup., p. 4, note) regards as having ansen out of the seme of the Par-Marsa, Parthiana, can have ones nated earlier then the first century AR This weakening of the to A to not found, in the case of the word Mithra, for example, before the commencement of our era fin the MIIPO on the coms of the Indo-Serthuns, Lassen, I AK., 11, 377 and in Mcherdates in Tacatas) As the name of a people, the word Pablay became early foreign to the Persians, learned reminiscences ex cepted in the Pahlavi texts them. eelves, for matance, it does not The period when it pasied DCCITE over to the Indiana therefore, won'd have to be fixed for about the 2d-4th century A.D. and we should have to understand by at not directly the Persians, who are called Para sikas, rather, but specially the Area endan Paribiana.

NO Glesperalinkers in this concounts in the statement in 1,758, by the 579, where the Yerma prince Elas the coqualita (Apollodius C), knowing beteven Gutschmid's conjecture regtifer n.e., 160 spyram as somereign of Maru (Marwel) and Naraka, p. 4x ruling Varma like, the west.

and as the old friend of Yudin children father, and S., v 152— In the name of the Tarna purce Externment, we appear to have a refer of the title of the Roma Course, see Ind. Shr., pp. 53, 91. cf. L. Feer on the Accordanampriment of the dividite Svite in the Stim. of Faton of Fator

(1871) pp 47 56, 60. 215 With regard to the existence, so early as the time of the Hahfthishys of a portical version of the Maha Ehirata terend, are I D. THE 355 E "Still this does not an the smallest degree prove the existence of the work in a form at all resembling the shape an which we now have it, and as the final result, we do not advance materially beyond the passage in Don Chry socious (I St., et. 165 ff.), relating to the Indian Homer For the statements of the Greek wnier thems.lyes evidently date from an earlier tune, and although not necessarir derired, as Lassen supposes from Megasthenes himself, yet they at any rate take us back to a period pretty nearly contrident with that of the Bhisbyn."

We have a most appoilable institution of the gradual growth of the Main Bhirats in an appoint of the Main Bhirats in an appoint of the second of Niklamina (i.e., in the course of 60°) certimer) but become expanded by a whole hapter of 47 Falus ere my Ca.J. of the Saubra MSS, 18 the Berias Ch.

recently been made in the island of Bali, near Java, of the Kavt translation of several partures of the Mahá-Bharata, which in extent appear to vary considerably from their Indian forms. A special companison of the two would not be without importance for the criticism of the Maha-Bharata. For the iest, in consequence of the utter medley to presents of passages of wadely different dates, the work, in general is only to be used with extreme caution. It has been published at Calentia, so the feether with the Haratania a poem which passes as a supplement to it.—I Respecting the Jamania-Ehdrata, which is secribed, how you are visited to the secretary of the process of the secretary of the visit of v

24 See the abservations, following R. Friederich's account, in I St., u. 136 ff

136 ff 205 1834-39 in four vols, secently commentary of Nilalantha Bip polyte Fauche e meomplete French translation (1863-72, ten vols) con only pass for a translation in a very qualified ecose, ecc as to this I Sir, ii 410 ff Individual portions of the work have been frequently handled eg., Prese has translated nine pieces (1 aris, 1844) and Fooeaux eleveis (Parie 1862) Bopp, it is well known early made the finest opisodes accessible, beginning with the Nala (Loudon, 1819) whereby he at the same time laid the foundation of Sanskrit philology in Purope lor the criticism of the Maha Bhirata, the ground was broken and important results schieved by La sen in his Indische Alterthums Lunde (vol 1 1847) For the con tents of the work, see Momer Wil hams a Indian Lpic Poetry (1863). ond Indian Hisdom (1875)

"In Albimpis time, the 11th century, it passed as a leading authority see Journ Anof, Aug 1844, p 130 [Subandhu, author uf the Ve avadatid, bid it before him, in

the 7th century, see I Str. 1. 380 A French translation by A Langlois

t See my Catal of the Sanskrit MSS on the Berl Lib , pp 111-118 according to Wilson (Mack Coll , is 1) this book would appear to be the only one in existence, see also Reigle in Z D M Q, il. 278 This book the diramedillam rarea. was printed at Bomb iy in 1863 . 40cording to sta concluding statements as they appear in this edition. Jamunt a work embraced the entire epon, but up to the present, epart from this I th book, bothing further ps known of at, eee as to thus now paper in the Monatiberickie der Berl Acud, 1869 p 10 ff A Kundrese translation of this book is atsigned to the beginning of the 13th century (wied, pp 13, 35), quite recently, however by Kritel, in his Profice to hagmarma a Prosody pp. vi. maddle of the 18th (') century The peculiar colouring of the Krishn's sect, which pervades the whole book, is noteworth; Christian legendary matter and other Western influences are numestal ably present Mongtab. I c. p 37 ff A good part of the con teote hes been communicated by

Side by side with the Itihasa we find the Purana mentioned in the Brahmanas, as the designation of those cosmogonic inquiries which occur there so frequently, and which relate to the 'agra' or 'beginning' of things When in course of time distinct works bearing this name arose, the signification of the term was extended, and these works came to comprehend also the history of the created world, and of the families of its gods and heroes, as well as the doctrine of its various dissolutions and renovations in accordance with the theory of the mundane periods (ungas) As a rule, five such topics are given as forming their subject (see Lassen, I AK, 1 479), whence the epithet Pancha-lakshana, which is cited in Amara's lexicon as a synonym of Purana. These works have perished, and those that have come down to us in their steed under the name of Puranas are the productions of a later time, and belong all of them to the last thousand years or so They are written (of Lassen, ! c) in the interests of, and for the purpose of recommending, the Siva and Vishnu sects, and not one of them corresponds exactly, a few correspond slightly, and others do not correspond at all, with the description of the ancient Puranas preserved to us in the Scholiasts of Amara, and also here and there in the works themselves "For the old parratives, which are in part abridged in part omitted altogether, have been substituted theological and philosophical doctrines, ritual and ascetic precepts, and especially legends recommending a particular divinity or certain shrines" (Lassen, I AK, 1 481). Yet they have unquestionably preserved much of the matter of these older works, and accordingly it is not uncommon to meet with lengthy passages, similarly worded, in several of them at the same time Generally speaking, as regards the traditions of primitive times, they closely follow the Maha-Bharata as their authority, but they likewise advert, though uniformly in a prophetic tone, to the historic

Talboys Wheeler in his History of the tale Bills Eldrain, -in 44 ser-India, vol. 1 (1867), where, too, gas of 6550 unultubl verses. there is a general sketch of the and which appeared in the Benares contents of the Maha Bharats at Pandet 1860 ft edited by Vechana self see I Sir, 11 392-It remains further to mention the re cast of the Maha Bharats by the Jama Amarachandra whichisextantunder

Pandst (1859 E) edited by Vechana Pamasistan This work belongs probably to the 11th century, are Z B, M C, xxvi 170

lmes of kings. Here, however, they come into the most violent conflict, not only with each other, but with clinology in general, so that their historical value in this respect is extremely small. Their number is considerable, amounting to eighteen, and is doubled if we reck on the so-called Upopuration, in which the epic character his been thrust still more into the background, while the ritual element has come quite to the front. Up to this time only one single Purkas, the Bhagavats-Purans, has been published—the greater part of it at least-endited [and translated] by Burnout but of the others we have excellent notices in Wilson's translation of the Vishnu-Purana.

As the second group of Epic Poetry we designated the Kdryac, which are ascribed to certain definite poets (farw), whereas the Ithiasas and Puranas are attributed to a mythical personage, Vyasa, who is simply discovery (Redaction) personalise. At the head of these poems stands the Rdmdyana of Valmfia, whose a wine we found eited among the teachers of the Tattriya-Pratiskhya † In respect of language, this work is closely related to the war portion of the Maha-Bharata although in individual cases, where the poet displays his full elegance, it bears plainly enough on its surface, in hyme and metre, the traces of a later date. In

me As elso to the senarate analy sea of various Purines now collected in vol. 1 of Wilson & Essees on Sanskrit Literature (ed Rost, 1864) Above all, we have here to mention, further the minute accounts given of the Paranas by Aufrecht an has Catal Cod Sange Bibl Bodh pp 7-87 The Fishnu Purana has been recently published at Bombay with the commentary of Rathagarbha bhatta (1867), Wilson's translation of it has been republished edited by Fitzedward Hall in five vols. 4:564-1870), with material additions and corrections There are now also several editions of the Bhagarata Purdua, amongst them one with the comm of Stidharasvitum (Bom bay, 1860) The Markandeya Parana has been edited in the Bill Indica by K. M. Baneries (18cc-1862), and the Agms Purdsa is now

appearing in the same strice (begins 1870, caps 1-214 that far) An impression of the Ralk: Perfora appeared at Calcutta in 1873, and integraphed entitions of the Lifest Perfora (1853) and of portions of the Partne, Stander, Garafe, Brahma amenta, and other Purfana have appeared at Bombay, see T. Str., ii.

245 ft., 301 ft.

The words Late in the sense of singer poet, and kirga, in tast of sang poem, are repeatedly used in the Yeda, but without any technical applications see Vian Sank Spec, in 157 [truyl var endy Lidyam chiander, Sat vin 5 2 4]

4 Whether by this name we have to understand the same per on is of course not certain, but considering the angularity of the name, it is at least not improbable.

regard to contents, on the contrary, the difference between it and this portion of the Maha-Bharata is an important In the latter human interest everywhere preponderates, and a number of well-defined personages are introduced, to whom the possibility of historical existence cannot be denied, and who were only at a later stage associated with the myths about the gods But in the Ramivana we find ourselves from the very outset in the region of allegory, and we only move upon historical ground in so far as the allegory is applied to an instoncal fact, namely, to the spread of Aryan civilisation towards the south, more especially to Ceylon The characters are not real historic figures, but merely personifications of certain occurrences and situations Sita, in the first place, whose abduction by a giant demon, and subsequent recovery by her husband Rams, constitute the plot of the entire poem, is but the field-furrow, to which we find divine honoris paid in the songs of the Rik, and still more in the Gribys niual. She accordingly represents Aryan husbandry, which had to be protected by Rama—whom I regard as originally identical with Balarama "halabhrit," "the plough-bearer," though the two were afterwards separated -against the attacks of the predatory aborigines. These latter appear as demons and grants, whereas those natives who were well disposed towards the Arvan civilisation are represented as monkeys,-a comparison which was doubtless not exactly intended to be flattering, and which rists on the striking ugliness of the Indian abongines as compared with the Aryan race. Now this allegorical form of the Ramayans certainly indicates, d priors that this poem is later than the war-part of the Maha-Bharata, and we might fairly assume, further, that the historical events npon which the two works are respectively based stand to each other in a similar relation. For the colonisation of Southern India could hardly begin until the settlement of Hindustan by the Aryans had been completed, and the feuds that arose there had been fought out It is not, however, altogether necessary to suppose the latter, and the warfare at least which forms the basis of the Maha-Bharata might have been waged concurrently with expeditions of other Aryan tribes to the south Whether it was really the Kofalas, as whose chief Rama appears in the Ramayana, who

effected the colonisation of the south," as stated in the noem, or whether the poet merely was a Kośala, who claimed this honour for his people and royal house, is a point upon which it is not yet possible to form a judgment He actually represents Sita as the daughter of Janaka, king of the Videhas, a tribe contiguous to the Kośalas, and renowned for his piety The scanty knowledge of South India displayed in the Ramayana has been urged as proving its antiquity, since in the Maha-Bharata this region appears as far more advanced in civilisation, and as enjoying ample direct communication with the rest of India But in this circumstance I can only see evidence of one of two things either that the poet did not possess the best geographical knowledge, whereas many generations have worked at the Maha-Bharata, and made it their aim to magnify the importance of the conflict by grouping round it as many elements as possible or else-and this is the point I would particularly emphasize—that the poet rightly apprehended and performed the task he had set humself, and so did not mix up later conditions, although familiar to him, with the earlier state of things The whole plan of the Ramayana favours the assumption that we have here to do with the work, the poetical creation, of one man. Considering the extent of the work, which new numbers some 24,000 slokas, this is saying a gre it deal, and before epic poetry could have attained to such a degree of perfection, it must already have passed through many phases of development. Still.

165]

^{*} It was by them size—by Bhagira tha, namely—thet, according to the Rindyana, the mouths of the Gen geawers discovered Properly they were the Eastern rather than the Southern foreposts of the Aryana † Of these phases we have probably traces in the granthed Sam transfigab his thas Goldstacker in the Zdann, p 2 St, takes exception,

bably traces in the grantinal Sizes frandigal for this Goldstecker in his Pains, p. 28, takes exception, doubtless overetly, see I. St., v. 2711 commission of Pains, in 283, and in the Albydnas and Characters which according to Pains, v. 2 103, ers to be variously designated recording to the different points of the

compass. The term Chicartie still remains unstabligable to me, see $I S_{\gamma}$, 155. [For the rest, as tated by the Chicartie scholars, thurstle, viz 103 a not interpreted in the Biet byse of Fetamphi, it is made at all, but posterar to the time of Fatamphi—The word granula may heave reference either to the outsired fatal-tang (like the German High, Band) or to the name composition which of the two we have to suppose remains at 11 undecades, the former Chicartie of the Chicartie of the German East See that the control of the two we have to suppose remains at 11 undecades, the former Chicartie of the former Chicartie of the former Chicartie of the former Chicartie of the control of the former Chicartie of the control of the chicartie of the short of the short of the short of the chicartie of the

it is by no means implied that the poem was of these dimensions from the first here, too, many parts are certamly later additions, for example, all those portions in which Rama is represented as an incarnation of Vishna all the emsodes in the first book, the whole of the seventh book, &c The poem was originally handed down orally. and was not fixed in writing until afterwards, precisely like the Maha-Bharata. But here we encounter the further peculiar circumstance-which has not yet been shown to apply, in the same way at all events, to the latter worknamely, that the text has come down to us in several distinct recensions, which, while they agree for the most part as to contents, yet either follow a different arrangement, or else vary throughout, and often materially, in the expression This is hardly to be explained save on the theory that this fixing of the text in writing took place undependently in different localities. We possess a complete edition of the text by G Gorresso, containing the so-called Bengáli recension, and also two earlier editions which break off with the second book, the one published at Serampore by Carey and Marshman, the other at Bonn by A. W von Schlegel. The manuscripts of the Berlin library contain, it would seem, a fourth recension.

so ste earliest shape in Boddhist legends, underwent in the hunds of Vilmiki, rest upon an acquaintance with the conceptions of the Trojan cycle of legend, and I have like was endeavoured to determine more accurately the pontion of the work in literary history The conclusion there arraved at us, that the date of sta composition as to be placed towards the commencement of the Christian era, and at all events in an epoch when the operation of Greek inflarnce upon India had sheady set in This chested a repender from Kashmath Trumbak Triang (1873) entitled, Was the Rindyena copied from Homer, as to which see Ind Ant, it 209 I St. zut. 336, 480. The same writer afternards, in the Ind. Ant in 124, 267, pointed out a half Ecks

[·] See my Catalogue of these MSS. p. 119. [Two complete editions of the text, with Rima a Commentary, have since appeared to India, the one at Calcutta in 1859-60, the other at Bombay in 1859 respecting the latter, eee my notice in I Str . is 235-245 Gorreno a edition was completed by the appearance in 1867 of the text, and in 1870 of the translation, of the Uttera-lands Hippolyte Fauche's French translation follows Gorresios text, wherean Griffith's metroni English version (Benares, 1879-74, an 5 vols) follows the Bombay edition. In my Essay, Urber das Edradyanam 1870 (an English translation of which appeared in the Indian Antiquery for 1872, also separately at Bombay in 1873), I have attempted to show that the modifications which the tory of Rima, as known to us which occurs to the Yudd's htada,

Between the Ramayana and the remaining Kavyas there exists a gap similar to that between the Maha-Bharata and the extant Puranas Towards filling up this blank we might perhaps employ the titles of the Kavyas found in the Kayı language in the island of Bali, 207 most of which certainly come from Sanskrit originals. In any case, the emigration of Hindus to Java, whence they subsequently passed over to Ball, must have taken place at a time wher the Kavya literature was particularly flourishing, otherwise we could not well explain the peculiar use they have made of the terms Larr and kávya Of the surviving Kayvas, the most independent in character, and on that account ranking next to the Ramayana-passably pure. too, in respect of form-are two works . bearing the name of Kalidasa, namely, the Rashu-rausa and the Kumdrasambhava (both extant in Kavi also) The other Kavyas, on the contrary, uniformly follow, as regards their subject, the Maha-Bharata or the Ramayana, and they are also plainly enough distinguished from the two just mentioned by their language and form of exposition. This latter abandons more and more the epic domain and passes into the erotic, lyrical, or didactic-descriptive field, while the language is more and more overlaid with turgid bombast,

and the twee or Pataryla Maki bildryk. Bet the twee constant as more proral relation (to finense dands nown servlassife or), and seed not therefore larse been derived from the Edndysma. In stself, consequently it proves mothany rated from the Edndysma. In stself, consequently it proves mothany Patarylal, and this all the levs, as at is expressly cated by Wilmish issued received and the self of the said better the fine dark of 249 E (1875)

"See Friederich, I. c., I. St., in 139 ff.. The numerous traces which are contained in Patappal's Midd blaships of opic or nurature poems then actually estions, and which appear in that work as derect quota toos therefrom, take us back to a far ecolor time, see I. St., Tim., 463 ff.

* They have been edited by Stenglar, text with translation fund repeatedly in India since, with or without the commentary of Malli paths. To the seven books of the Kumdra sambhava, which were the only ones previously known ten others have recently been added, on the cutted questions connected with these ace eg Z D M G, min 174-182 (1873) From the astrological data rontained as both works, H Jacobs has shown in the Monatzber der Berl, Acad , 1873, p 556 that the date of their coin position cannot be pisced earlier than about the middle of the 4th century a D The Raghu vania was most probably composed in honour of a Eboja prince see my E say on the P'u lap Up p 279, I Str. 4 312]

until at length, in its latest phases, this artificial epic resolves itself into a wretched jungle of words. A pretended degance of form, and the performance of difficult inclaand feats of expression, constitute the main aim of the poet, while the subject has become a purely enboration consideration, and merely serves as the material which enables him to display his expertness in manipulating the language. 38

Next to the enn, as the second phase in the development of Sankirk postry, comes the Drama The monor it is Mátaka, and the player is styled Mata, literally dancer! Etymology thus points as to the fact that the drama has devologed out of dancing, which was probably accompanied, at first, with music, and song only, but in course of time also with pandominic representations, processions, and dialogue. We find dancing repeatedly mentioned in the songs of the Rik (e.g., m. 10.1, 9.2 de.) hat with special frequency in the Atharva-Sanhuts and the Yarus. 4 hours derevineer still under the root-form

909 Six of these setificial apics are epacially entitled Mchaklevas These are, in addition to the Ragha vania and Kundra-sanblava -(t) the Bhatis-Livya, in 22 sorgas, composed in Valabil mader king Sri Dharasons (xxit. 35) in the 6th or 7th cent therefore, it deals with the story of Rams, and is written with a special reference to grammar (2) the Macha Lards or Schaidlebadha of Magha, the son of Dattaka, in 22 sarogs (Suprabbadeva, grand father of the poet, is described as the minister of a king Sri Dharmanabhal, and (3) the Kurdidepaniya of Bharavi, in 18 saryas, both prior to Halfyndha (end of the soth cent.), see I St vin. 193, 195, 196 (4) the Nauhadhiya of Sri Harsha, 18 22 surges, of the 12th cent. (see Eubler in the Journal Bombay B- R. A. S. x 35) The Raghavardadariya of Lauraly. in any case later than the 10th cent (see I Str. 1. 371), enjoys a self same words, at once the story of the Rimiyans and that of the

Mahd Rhirata and like the Mala daya, so 4 serpas, which is even escribed to Kalidasa fedited so long ago as 1830 by Ferd, Benary), 18 one of the most characteristically artificial pieces of this class of poetry All these works bave beed frequently published in Judia, and to them are to be added many other similar productions - The Priket poem Sciu-bandha or R4 mana hadha, which relates to the story of Rims, and is reputed to be by Kaledan, also facrits special mention here Of this Paul Gold sebuildt bas already published two chapters (Gottungen, 1873), and Sieg fried Goldschmidt is engaged on an

chiton of the cuttre best.

"Yath rational uniform muscalse companyment, according to the Vall Samh ZZI, where we neet with quate a Jumber of muscans and duneses as well as with the name Saulithan ratill, which, at a later time, at all evists, belongs specially to actors, see I Sir. + 76 83 According to the scholarm on Kdty, XIII 4 3 by those "ratiflygavanya".

nrit The prakritized form nat occurs for the first time in Panini, who, hesides, informs us of the existence of distinct Nata-sitras * or manuals for the use of natas, one of which was attributed to Silálm, and another to Krišaśva. their adherents being styled Sailalinas and Krisasvinas respectively The former of these names finds an analogue, at least, in the patronymic Sailali, which occurs in the thirteenth Landa of the Satanatha-Brahmana, and it may also, perhaps, he connected with the words Sailusha and Kusilava, both of which denote 'actor' (2) + latter name on the contrary, is a very surprising one in this connection, being otherwise only known to us as the name of one of the old heroes who belong in common to the Hindus and the Parsis 1 Beyond this allusion we have no vestige of either of these works Panini further cites § the word natyam in the sense of 'natanam dharma ámnáyo vá' In both cases, we have probably to understand by the term the art of dencing, and not dramatic art -It has been uniformly held hitherto that the Indian drams arose, after the manner of our modern drams in the Middle Ages, out of religious solemnities and spectacles (so-called 'mysteries'), and also that dancing originally subserved religions purposes But in support of this latter assumption, I have not met with one single instance in the Srauta- or Gribya-Sútras with which I am acquainted (though of the latter, I confess, I have only a very super-

ye sampadayeyuh " as the text bas it, we have to understand specially teachers of dancing, music, and singing "In the man who dances and suggs, women take delight.

school, see I St , xm 429] tived from ala and refer to the beet than Patampala.

corrupt, loose morals of those ac desgrated, and the same must apply to Salda, if this be a cog pute word. The derivation from Rufa and Lava, the two cons of Rima, at the beginning of the Ramdyana, has manifestly been in rented in order to a cape the edium

of the name ' ku 'slava." # Ought we here to understand the name hterally, as, perhaps, a kind of mocking epithet to express poverty, with at the same time, pe ibly, a direct monical reference to the renowned Krisasva of old !!

\$ 17 3 129 this rule, also, is not explained in the Bhasbya, perhaps † The e terms are probably de therefore it is not Pininie, but

Sat , m. 2. 4. 6 The two rules in question, iv 3 110, 111 according to the Cal cutta scholust, are not explained in the Bhashya of Patampals possibly, therefore, they may not be Paning's at all but posterior to the time of Patamials, The Soilaline match are mentioned in the Bhashya to ir 2. 56, in the Anurada sutra, the Saildlingh are cited as a ritual

ficial knowledge) 200 The religious significance of dancing is thus, for the older period at least, still questionable; and since it is from dancing that the drama has evidently sprung, the original connection of the latter with religious solemnities and spectacles becomes doubtful also Besides, there is the fact that it is precisely the most ancient dramas that draw their subjects from civil life, while the most modern, on the contrary, almost exclusively serve religious purposes. Thus the contrary, rather, would seem to be the case, namely, that the employment of dancing and of the drama at relimous solementies was only the growth of a later age 210 This does not imply, however, that dancing was excluded from those great sacrificial festivals which were now and then celebrated by princes; but only that it did not itself constitute part of the sacred rite or religions ceremony, and could only, and did only, find a place in the intervals The name applied to the etage-manager in the drames themselves, 'Sutra-dhara,' is referred, and no

a Kansawadhs and Valibandha by so called farbhilas-(comp perhaps the equilibras in Hirdrall, 151, though these are explained es androydide, " wezlers, of sobla, sobhanagareko, I St. us 153]-lead us directly to this conclusion, see I St, mit 354 487 ff "But between the dramatio topresentations known in the Bha abya, which bear more or less the character of religious festival plays, and the earliest real dramus that have actually come down to us, "; must of course suppose a very con siderable interval of time, during which the drama gradually rose to the degree of perfection exhibited in these extant pieces, and here I am still disposed to assign a vertain influence to the witnessing of Greek plays. The lodge drams after having acquated itself brilliantly in the most varied fields-notably too as a drama of civil life-finally reverted in its cloning phases to essen trally the same class of subjects with which at had started-to represents t was from the story of the gods."-Tood, pp 491, 492

⁴⁹ Even now I em acquanted with but hitle from these sources bearing on this point. Announce bearing on this point. Announce the point of the second of the

It is known to the Megha-diffa,

e 36, 36.

In though the unexpected light with a property of Paragini on the time fournaing capital on the time fournaing capital on the time of t

doubt rightly, to the original sense of '(measuring) limeholder, carpenter, smce it appears to have been one of the duties of the architect at these sacrificial celebrations. over and above the erection of the buildings for the reception of those taking part in the sacrifice, likewise to conduct the various arrangements that were to serve for their amusement. (See Lassen, I AK, 17 503) Whether the natas and nartakas mentioned on such occasions are to be understood as dancers or actors, is at least doubtful. but in the absence of any distinct indication that the latter are intended. I hold in the meantime to the etymological sigmiscation of the word, and it is only where the two appear together (eg, in Ramay 1 12 7 Gorr) that nata has certainly to be taken in the sense of 'actor' Buddhist legend seems, indeed, in one instance-in the story of the life of Maudgalyayana and Upatishya, two disciples of Buddhato refer to the representation of dramas in the presence of these individuals + But here a question at once arises as to the age of the work m which this reference occurs, this is the main point to be settled before we can base any conclusion open it. Lassen, it is true, says that "in the oldest Buddhistic writings the witnessing of plays is spoken of as something usual," but the sole anthority he adduces is the passage from the Dulva indicated in the note Dulva, however, that is, the Vinaya-Pitaka, cannot, as is well known, be classed amongst the "oldest Buddhistic writings," it contains pieces of widely different dates, in part, too, of extremely questionable antiquity In the Lalita-Vistara, apropos of the testing of Buddha in the

And therefore has probably nothing to do with the Nata Strain mentioned above? For mother application of the word hy the Bad dhirst, see Lasen, f. AK, u. St. Of a minimum about the teater, at all creatix, we must not think, though the Javanese pupper shows might timple use of one

their mutual addresses after the shows are over." By "speciales' sound we have necessary understand "dramatic speciales," drams. '11 (Freemely the same thing applies to the word exists in the same thing applies to the word exists in the same thing in the Sectar of the Southern Boddlinds, where the where the witnessing of tank at ablations (closical-distance) is most though among the reproductive dureded the same than the same than the word of the Brahman, see Burord, Letters the Brahman, see Burond, Letters the Brahman, see Bur-

various arts and sciences (Foncaux, p 150), nétus must undoubtedly, be taken in the sense of 'mimetic art'-and so Foucaux translates at, but this does not suppose the existence of distinct dramas The date, moreover, of this particular work is by no means to be regarded as settled. and, in any case, for the time of Buddha himself, this examination-legend carries no weight whatever

With respect now to the surviving dramas it has hitherto heen usual to follow what is supposed to be the tradition, and to assign the most ancient of them, the Mrichhakati and Kalidasa's pieces, to the first century BC. while the pieces next following-those of Bhavabhutibelong to a time so late as the eighth century AD Between Kalidasa and Bhavabhuti there would thus he s gap of some eight or nine centuries-a period from which, according to this view, not one single work of this class has come down to us Now this is in itself in the highest degree improbable, and were it so, then surely at the very least there ought to be discernible in the dramas of the vounger epoch a very different sount a very different manner of treatment, from that exhibited in their predecessors of an age eight or nine bundred years earlier. But this us hy no means the case, and thus we are compelled at once to reject this pretended tradition, and to refer those sor-disant older pieces to pretty much the same period as those of Bhavabhuti Moreover, when we come to examine the matter more closely, we find that, so far as Kalidasa is concerned, Indian tradition does not really furnish any ground whatever for the view hitherto accepted we only find that the tradition has been radically misused. The tradition is to the effect that Kahdasa hied at the court of Vikramaditya, and it is contained in a memorial verse which says that Dhanvantari, Kahapanaka, Amarasinha, Sanku, Vetalabhatta, Ghatakarpara, Kalidasa, Varahamihira, and Vararuchi + were the 'mine gems' of Vikrama's

* I have here coped Heltzmann a kramwcharstra (Journ Asiah Mai, † This is obviously the Virachs MSS Labr Trin. Call Camb . P 11. who is mentioned by the Hindustant and Westerguard Catal Cold. Or Bill Reg Haumensus, p. 100.]

words, referring to Amara, in his 1844, p 356) [This recension-excellent little treatise, Ucber den seembed to Vararuchi-of the Sib grechuchen Ursprung des undsehen besannedustringist is actually ex Thurkresse, Karlarube, 1841, p. 26. Lant, eso Aufrecht Col. of Sand shrounder as the author of the Va

court Now it is upon this one verse-a mere waif and stray, that has come, like Schiller's 'Madchen aus der Fremde, from nobody knows where, and which is, in any case, of the most questionable authority—that the assumption rests that Kalidasa flourished in the year 56 BC For people were not satisfied with hastily accepting as genuine coin the tradition here presented-and this notwithstanding the fact that they at the same time impugned to some extent the trustworthmess of the verse embodying it +-they at once rushed to the conclusion that the Vikrama here named must be the Vikramaditya, whose era, still current in our own day, commences with the year 56 BC But then, we know of a good many different Vikramas and Vikramadityas f and, hesides, a tradition which is found in some modern works, and which ought surely, in the first instance, to have been shown to be baseless before any such conclusion was adopted, states expressly (whether correctly or not is a question by itself) that king Bhoia. the ruler of Malava, who dwelt at Dhara and Unayini, was the Vikrama at whose court the 'nine gems' flourished, and, according to an inscriptional this king Bhoia lived

* It is alleged to be taken from the Vikrams chantirs, but Roth, in the Warten chantirs, but Roth, in the General Could be the General

It was asserted, namely, that the word Chitakarpara in the verse was only the name of a work, not of a person this, however, is not the case, as several poems, besides, are found ascribed to him * Sun of might is quite a

general title, and not a name.

lies Sanstrit Anthology, pp 483

Sen Latten, Zeuleh für die Kunde des Morg , VIL 294 ff , Cole brooke, 11. 452 According to Rein and in the Journ, Amaz, Sept 1844, p. 250, Bhoja is mentioned some years earlier by Albiráni, who wrote to and tost, as his contemporary, and Othi alludes to him earlier still, in a.D 1018 as then reigning, see Remand, Mem, sur l Inde p 261 According to a later Hindustani chronicler, he lived 542 year, after Vikramidatya (.ee Journ Assat Mar, 1844, p 354), which would make the date of the latter about Ab 476 Upon what this very pre case statement rest. is unfortunately uncertain, the Vikrama-charitra does not fix re this definite way the interval of time between Bhoja and Vikrama. Roth, at all events, in has apply ses of the work (Journ Anat. Sept 1854, p 281) merely zaya, "ben dra nauées oures (la mort de Vilramaditya) Bhoja parent av about 1040-1090 AP On the other hand, there exist no pointive ground whatever for the opinion that the Vikrama of the verse is the Vikramaditya whose era hegins in EQ 56 Nay, the case is stronger still, for up to the present time we have absolutely no anthentic evidence. To show whether the era of Vikramaditya dates from the year of his hurth, from some achievement, or from the year of his death, or whether, in fine, it may not have heen simply introduced by him for astronomical reasons? If "To assign him to the first year of his era might be quite as great a mutake as we should commit in placing Pope Gregory XIII. In the year one of the Gregorian Calendar, or even Julius Cessar in the first year of this Julius pend to which his name has been given, i.e., in the year 4713 BC" (Holtzmann, op et., D. 10)

souverous pourous" [The text bas eimply "bahuns carridge galdes." Nor does any definite statement of the kind coour in any of the various other recensions of the Sightenesdvátenáská, although a ebzníderoble interval is here regularly assumed to have elapsed between the rule of Vikrama et Avanti and that of Bisoja at Dhard]—To suppose two Bhojas, as Remand does, L c , and Min, sur Cinic, pp 113, 114, is altogether arbitrary Womight determine the uncertain date of Vikramiditys by the pertain date of Bhoys, but we cannot reverse the process. The date toss of Yudhishihira s ers m. J de. L c., p 357, assigned to the accession of Vikramiditys, but at does not appear whether this is the stine! tradition of the Hindustani chrom cler, or merely an addition on the part of the translator Even in the former case, it would still only prove that the chronicler or the tradition he followed, mixed up the common assertion as to the date of Valrams with the special statement shore (To the etstements referred to of the Handustini obrometer, Mur Cher 1 Al Afens, no great impor tance probably, need be attached. They rest substantially on the recen gion attributed to Vararuchi of the

Sublissus draitrables, which, however, in the MS before to Great control of the play were need to be fully were need, so, ep. Rigodrallia Micro m. furn. 25 Apr. 103, p. 250. Collebrooks, i. 475, Lawre, J. A.R., h. 9, 0, 398, Bennad, Micro ser Unit pp. 58 R. 78 R. Bertrand in the Jaure. Acad. Na.

1844. p 357 + We first meet with it in the astronomer Variha Mibira in the fifth or exth century, though even this is not altogether certain, and, as in the case of Brahmsgupts in the seventh century, it might possibly be the era of Silreibans (ber 1.D 78) Lasten does, in fact, suppose the latter (I AE, L 508), but sea Colebrooke, 11. 475 -Albirtini gives particulars [r Reimand Journ Anat. Sept 1844, pp 282-284) so to the ungu of the Salaers, but regard erg the bass of the Somest era of Vakrama hodoes not colarge [Even yet these two questions, which are of such capital importance for Indian chronology, are in an altogether unsatusfactory state According to Kern, Introd to his edition of the

The dramas of Kalidasa-that one of the 'nine gems' with whom we are here more immediately concerned-furnish in their contents nothing that directly enables us to determine their date Still the mention of the Greek female slaves in attendance upon the king points at least to a time not especially early, while the form in which the popular dialects appear, and which, as compared with that of the inserintions of Pivadasi, is extraordinarily degraded, not unfrequently coinciding with the present form of these vernaculars, brings us down to a period at any rate several centuries after Christ But whether the tradition is right in placing Kalidasa at the court of Bhoja in the middle of the eleventh century appears to me very questionable, for this reason in particular, that it assigns to the same court other poets also, whose works, compared with those of Kalidasa, are so bad, that they absolutely must belong to a later stage than his-for example, Damodara Mifra, author of the Hanuman-nataka. Moreover, Kalidasa has allotted to him such a large number of works, in part too of wholly diverse character, that we cannot but admit the existence of several outhors of this name, and, in point of fact, it is a name that has continued in constant use down to the present time. Nay, one even of the three dramas that are ascribed to Kalidasa would seem, from its style, to belong to a different anthor from

Bribat Samhits of Varsha Mihura. t ff. f1866), the use of the so-called Someaf era is not demonstrable for early times at all, while astronomers only begin to employ it after the Year 1000 ar so, According to Westerguard, Om de induke Koner Aouse (1867), p 164, the grant of Dantidurga, dated Esta 675 Samest SII (A.D 754), is the earliest certain instance of its occurrence, see also Burnell, Elem. of South Ind. Pal., p. 55. Others, on the contrary, have no hesitation in at once referring. wherever possible, every Samuel or Samrateure-dated in_cription to the Samtat era. Thus, et Canning ham in his Archerd Survey of India, m 3t, 39 directly asugus on to complion dated Same 5 to the year Ac. 52 Downers, too, has recently

taken the same view, J R. & S., vil. 382 (1874) According to Eggeling (frubaer's Amer and Or Lat Rec. special number, 1875, p 38), one of the mocreptions found in Sir Walter Libot's copies of grants dates as far back as the year Sala 160 (4.0 217). Barnell, however, declares it to be a forgery of the tenth century Fergusion too, On the Saka, Sant rat, and Gapta Errs pp 11-16, 15 of opinion that the so-called samed era goes no farther back than the tenth contary For the present, therefore unfortunately, there is nothing else to guide us it must generally remain an open questen which era we have to do with in a particular interpt on and what date cun cou-nily the inscription

the other two "11" And this view is further favoured by the circumstance, that in the introduction to this play Dhivaka, Saumilla, and Karupetra are named as the poet's prefecesors, Dhavaka being the name of a poet who flourished contemporaneously with king Sri Harsha of Kashmir, that is, according to Wilson, towards the becuming of the twelfth century a D "21" There may, it is

211 In the introduction to my translation of this drama, the Mislavikagnamitra, I have specially ex amined not only the question of its geoninaness, but also that of the date of Kalidden. The result ar rived at in in the first place, that this drama also really belonge to hen -and in this year Shankar Pandil, in his edition of the play (Bombay, 1869), concurs. As to the second point, internal endence, partly derived from the language, partly connected with the phase of cirlination presented to us leads me to saugh the composition of Killulius a three dramas to a period from the second to the fourth cen tury of our era, the period of the Copta princes, Chandragupta. &c. "whose reigns correspond best to the legendary tradition of the glory of Vikrama, and thay perhaps by gathered up to st in oue mogle focus " Lassen has expressed himself to ementially the same effect U AK . 11. 457, 1158-1160) ses also I St, 11. 148, 415-417 Kern, however, with special reference to the tradition which regards Kardisa and Varaba Mihura as contemporaries, has, in his preface to Variha's Bribat-Samhitá, p 20, declared himself in favour of referring the "nine geme" to the first half of the eigth century A.D Lastly, on the ground of the astrological data in the Rumira-rambhava and Raghu vanis. Jacobs comes to the con clusion (Monatater der Bert Aced., 1873, p 556) that the euthor of these two poenis cannot have lived before about A p 350, but here, of course, the prehaumary ques on remount whether he is to be stenta

first with the dramatist. Shakar Engdit, in Trubner's An and Or Lat Ree, 1875, apecual No. 9, 55, assumes this, and first Edition of the middle of the first perchapt formshed by the Middle as phased in the article entiry, Emighten Hu, of Coffon, Emighten Hu, of Coffon, attraction of the middle o

see Z D M G . xxn 713 me The date of Sri Hersha, of shom Dharaka is stated in the Rivya-praktis to here been the protego-Kashmir is not here lu question-has suce been fixed by Hall (Introd. to the Vasaradatia) for the seventh century, rather Hall, moreover, questions the existence of Dharaka altogether (p 17). end is of opinion that be "never enjoyed any more substantial existence than that of a various rending "-This conjecture of Hali s as to the eams of the outbor of the Ratnavall, in which Buhler also concurred, has a noce been brilliantly wentied According to Bübler's letter from Sringara (publ in L St, 117 402 ff), all the Kashmir MSS, of the Kivys praktia read, in the paszaga m question, Bono, not Dhiyaka, the latter name bring alto-gether maknown to the Pand te there "As Manmata wis a nature of Kachmir, tan reading is un doubtedly the correct one '-Comp. note 218 helius

true, have been more Dhavakas than one, another MS. moreover, reads Bhasaka, 23 and hesides, these introduc-tions are possibly, in part, later additions In the case of the Mrichhalati at least, this would appear to be certain, as the poet's own death is there intimated.* This last-mentioned drama, the Mrichhakati-whose author. Sudraka, 13, according to Wilson, placed by tradition prior to Vakramaditya 211 (1 c. the same Vakrama at whose court the 'nine gems' flourished?)-cannot in any case have been written before the second century and For it makes use of the word nanaka as the name of a com . + and this term, according to Wilson (Ariana Antiqua, p 364), is borrowed from the coins of Kanerki, a king who, by the evidence of these coins, is proved to have reigned until about the year 40 AD (Lassen, I AK, 11 413) But a date long subsequent to this will have to be assigned to to the Mrichiekati, since the vernacular dialects it introduces appear in a most barbarous condition Besides, we meet with the very same flourishing state of Buddhism which is here revealed in one of the dramas of Bhavabhitt, a poet whose date is fixed with tolerable certainty for the eighth century AD The Ramayana and the warpart of the Maha-Bharata must, to midge from the use

23 The purps exhibits a great number of arouncedurg, see Fisce, Zee Textelevide u Estimany on Kididan Hallandignosumon (1872), pp. 7, 8 Hall L. c., patient the readung Brisals Resules and Sewilse, Hang, on the contrary Bleins Stamilla, Reporter in Belling Brisals, and the chartes, latted, v 15 Bleins Indian Chartes, latted, v 15 Bleins Indiand on second to the dramas indeed, his series is even put before that of Kidida.

Upless Südraka raja, the reputed author, aimply was the patron of the poet! It is quite a common thing to India for the actual author to substitute the name of his patron for his now.

14 In a prophetic chapter of the Skanda Purana, for instance, he is placed in the year Kall 3290 (i.e., AD 189), but at the same time only worty years before the Randas

whom Chinatya us to destroy he Vikramidity, on the other hand, is suggest its date Kall 4000 te, AD 899 (1), see the text in five mechanica Vidyisigams a Morrage of Headon Widows, p 63 (Calc 1869) and in my Essay on the Eigniyame. P 43

† According to the Vérw bolks, optically bladded by Maldham to V43 Semb 25, 9 at its a stronger of taken to the control of Yaparables 26 Stender, Johns of Yaparables 26 Stender, Johns of Yaparables 26 Stender, Johns of Popular Stender, Johns of Popular Stender, Johns of Popular Stender, Johns of Popular Stender, January 1884, and Maller, 4 S. L. p. 331 dispute the condenses drawn from the occurrence of themselves death of the condenses of their Stender of their Stender of their Stender of their Stender of the S

made of their heroes in the Mrichhalati already have been fayourite reading at the time when it was composed. while, on the other hand, from the absence of allower to the chief figures of the present Puranas, we may perhaps infer with Wilson that these works were not yet in existence This latter inference, however, is in so far doubtful as the legends dealt with in these younger Puranas were prohably, to a large extent, already contamed in the older works of the same name * The two remaining dramas of Bhayabhats, and the whole herd of the later dramatic literature, relate to the heroic tradition of the Ramavana and Maha-Bharata, or else to the history of Krishna, and the later the pieces are the more do they resemble the so-called 'mysteries' of the Middle Ages. The comedies, which, together with a few other pieces, move in the sphere of civil life, form of course an exception to this A peculiar class of dramas are the philosophical ones, in which abstractions and systems appear as the dramatis persongs One very special peculiarity of the Hindu drama is that women, and persons of inferior rank, station, or caste, are introduced as speaking, not in Sanskrit, hut in the popular dislects This feature is of great importance no for the criticism of the individual pieces, the conclusions resulting from it have already been ad verted to in the course of the discussion.

certainly to a later stage. Ought the Sudrake who is mentioned in this work, p. 118, ed. Wilson, to be identified, perhaps, with the reputed suther if the Minchastett.

DE FOR FRENDE, from the reliation in high the Prifty is the several rejusting recommon of the Sakunthal Stands to the rules of the Prifty is grammars Varanth, Prackel has Grammars Varanth, Prackel has Grammars Varanth, Prackel has Grammars Varanth, The Sakunthal Stands of the Prackel has the Sakunthal Stands of the Prachase of the Sakunthal Sak

[&]quot; Besides, the slaying of Sumbha and Nisumbba by Deri, which forms the subject of the Davi Mihatmys, y.- x. in the Markand Purisa, us referred to in the Mrichhakati, p 105 22(ed Stengler) - Whether shid 101 18, Karalaka is to be referred to the jickal of this pame in the Pefichalantra is uncertain - At page 126 o Stenz'er reads gallalla. but Wilson (Hend's Thentre, L 134) reads mullake, and considers at not impossible that by it we have to understand the Arabic malikt-In regard to the state of manners de picted, the Mrichbakati is closely related to the Data kum'ers, al though the latter work, written m the elerenth century [rather is the 35 # airth, see below, p 2111, belongs

From the foregoing exposition it appears that the drama meets us in an already finished form, and with its best productions In almost all the prologues, too, the several works are represented as new, in contradistinction to the nieces of former poets, but of these pieces, that is, of the early beginnings of dramatic poetry, not the smallest remnant has been preserved no Consequently the conjecture that it may possibly have been the representation of Greek dramas at the courts of the Greenan kings in Bactria, in the Panish, and in Gujarat (for so far did Greek supremacy for a time extend), which awakened the Hindh faculty of unitation, and so gave birth to the Indian drama, does not in the meantime edmit of direct verification. But its historical possibility, at any rate, is undemable,217 (specially as the older dramas nearly all belong to the west of India. No internal connection, bowever, with the Greek drama exists "18 The fact, again, that no dramas are found either

nt Sea Cowell in I St, v 475, and as to the Kansa vadba and Vah bandha, the note on p 198 above my Cf the Introduction to my trunslation of the Malavika, p zive, and the remarks on Terrarible to 2 D M G , 217 269; also I, St , 214.

112 The leading work on the fa dian dramas is still Wilson's Select Specimens of the Theatre of the Him dur, 1835, 1871 The number of drumas that have been published in India is already very considerable, and is constantly being increased. Foremost smongat them still remain -the Myrchlatatika of Sadraka the three dramas of Kalidasa (Sakuntald, Urvaff, and Midlamid), Bhavabhatis three (Midlatt-madhava, Mald-vira charitra, and Uttara-rama charitra). -the Raindrais of King Sri Harsha deva, composed, secording to Wall son's view, in the twelfth century and that not by the king himself, but by the post Dbdvake, who lived at his court, but according to Hall, by the poet Bans in the beginning of the goventh century, see Hall, In troduction to the Vasavadatta, p 15% (ci pore 212 acore), I Sr. L

356), Let Cont. BL, 1872, p 614 .the Mandanando, a Buddhistie san sational piece secribed to the same royal author, but considered by Cowell to belong to Dhavaka (see, however, toy notice of Boyd's trues lation in Lil. C. B., 1872, p. 615) — the Vent samidra of Bhatta núriyana, a prece pervaded by the colour ing of the Krabna sect, written, according to Grill, who edited it in 1871, to the sixth, and in any case earlier than the teath century (see Lat. (1 B., 1872, p 612) -1' Vuldha-kilabhakyaki of Raja-Sekla ra, probably prior to the ter century (see I Str. 2 313). — Muded religious of Vilikhadatia, s precs of political intrigue, of about the twelfth century and lastly the Probodha chandrodeya of Krishni mairs, which dates, according to Geldstucker, from the end of the dramas, the Sakuntals and Urvast, are each extant in several recensions evidently in conlequence of their having enjoyed a very special popu lanty Since the appearance of Puschel's pamphlet, De Kaliddane Satuntals Pecentionibut Bresing.

in the literature of the Hindus, who emigrated to the island of Java about the year 500 A.D (and thence subsequently to Bah), or among the Tibetan translations, is nerhaps to be explained, in the former case, by the circumstance that the emigration took place from the east coast of India. where dramatic literature may not as yet have been specially cultivated (?) But in the case of the Tibetans the fact is more surprising, as the Meghadúta of Káhdása and other similar works are found among their translations,

The Lyncal branch of Sanskrit poetry divides itself, eccording to its subject, into the Religious and the Erotic Lyne With respect to the former, we have already seen, when treating of the Atharya-Samhita, that the hymns of this collection are no longer the expression of direct religrous emotion, but are rather to he looked upon as the utterance of superstitions terror and uneasy apprehension, and that in part they bear the direct cheracter of magic spells and jugantations. This same character is found faithfully preserved in the later religious lyncs, throughout the Epic, the Paranas, and the Upanishads, wherever prayers of the sort occur, and it has finally, within the last few centuries, found its classical expression in the Tantra literature It is in particular by the heeping up of titles under which the several deities are invoked that their favour is thought to be won, and the 'thousandname-prayers' form quite a special class by themselves. To this category belong also the prayers in amulet form, to which a prodigious virtue is ascribed, and which enjoy the very highest repute even in the present day Besides these, we also meet with prayers to Sive + especially, which

^{1870),} in which he contends, with great confidence, for the greater ou thenticity of the so-called Bongali recension, the questions connected berewith have entered upon a new etage See a full discussion of this topic in I St, xiv ror ff To Pischel we are also indebted for our knowled, o of the Dekhan recension of the Urvail it opposed in the Monauber der Berl Acad., 1875, PP

this Kavi literature, moreover, we have actually extant, in the Smarsdahana, a embraquent version of the Kumara-sumbbevs, and in the Su mane santaka (f) e similar version of the Raghs vania s.c., works which, ru their organals at least, bear the mame of Kandasa , see / St. iv 133. 141 | Do the well known Javanese puppet shows owether origin to the Indian drams?

⁺ Whose worship appears, in the 4 Whose worship appears, in the

Yet the later emigrants might main, to have exercised the most fav
have them some such them! In emittle influence upon his followers.

for religious fervour and childlike trust will bear comparison with the best hymns of the Christian Church, though, it must be admitted, their number is very small

The Evotic Lync commences, for us, with certain of the poems atributed to Kalidas. One of these, the Meghadidae, belongs at all events to a period ¹²⁸ when the temple worship of Siva Mahkida at Upps in was in its prime, as was still the case at the time of the first Muhammedan conquisors. Together with other matter of a like sort, it has been admitted, and under Kalidass's name, into the Thetian Tandjur, * from which, however, no chronological dedication can be drawn, as the date of the final completion of this compilation is unknown. The subject of the Meghadia is a message which an exile sends by a cloud to his distant love, together with the description of the route fine cloud-messager; is to take—a form of exposition which has been imitated in a considerable number of similar poems A pouliar class is composed of the sentences of Bhartribar,

whereas it is the worship of Erubna that has chiefly countenanced and furthered the moral degradation of the Hindgs.

didn't survey deforts thresological drift would be turnisched by a provided lialindrias saarstoom war rauchd, to he effect that the wress is to be taken in a double sense, act ardering at the same turns to a referring at the same turns to be a referring at the same turns to be a survey of the same turns to be a survey of the same turns of the same and th

*Considering the carrety of the Annale Reserveder, I here go Come Kryös's account of the Tan Jury, contained in vol. xx, 1856, in some detail. "The Batta Heyers as complation in Thetan of all serts of hierary works" (in all seems 3000), written mostly by ancest Indian Papits and some learned Thetans and the server of the server of the consideration of the server of the commencing with the serverth centre of the commencing with the

225 volumes. It is divided into the Reyud and the Mide Clantra and Soura classes, in Sanskrit) The Rgyud, mostly on tentrale rituals and ceremouses, makes 87 volumes. The Mdo, on science and literature, occupies 136 volumes One separate volume contains (CS) hymne or practes on several desties or saints, and one volume is the index for the whole -The Rayud contains 2540 trestmes of different sizes they treat in general of the rituals sud cere monre of the mystical doctrine of the Buddhuts, interspersed with many matructions, hymns, prayers, end incentations .- The Mido treats in general of science and literature an the following order theology philosophy" (the s two alone make 94 volumes), "login or dislectic, philology or grammar, rhetoric, poesy, provody, synonymic, astro nomy, astrology, medicine and ethics some hints to the mechanical arts and histories." See further, n par tuuler, Anton Selueiner a paper, Uder die logischen und grammati schen Berle un Tand, ur, in the Bul letin of the St. Petersburg leademy aread ad September 1847)

Amaru, &c , which merely portray isolated situations, with out any connection as a whole A favourite topic is the story of the loves of Krichna and the shepherdesses, the playmates of his youth. It has already been remarked that the later Kayyas are to be ranked with the erotic mems rather than with the epic In general, this love-poetry is of the most unbridled and extravagantly sensual description. yet examples of deep and truly romantic tenderness of feeling are not wanting It is remarkable that, in regard to some of these poems, we encounter the same phenomenon as in the case of the Song of Solomon a mystical interpretation is put upon them, and in one instance at least, the Gita-Govinda of Jayadeva 219a such a mystical reference appears really to have been intended by the poct, however incompatible this may at first sight seem with the particularly wanton exuberance of fancy which is here displayed.

Of the Educo-Didactic Foetry—the co-miled Ni Sistras—but little has survived in a complete form (some pueces also in the Thetan Tandjur), no doubt because the great epic, the Mahá-Bhársta, in consequence of the character of universality which was gradually stamped upon it, is itself to be regarded as such a Niti Sastin. Shil relias enough of the aphoristic otheral poetry have been preserved to enable us to judge that it was a vary favourite form, and achieved very excellent results = Closely allied

sin Ace to Buble (letter Sep 1875), Jayadera, who doer not ap pear to the Sarasy kunthible flow pubed under kung Lakshmannsens of lands, of shown there is estaut an inscription of the year 1116 and whose cts, still current in Hitchis, begin a, ace to Ind. Ant. 17 300 in

a D 170
m fee Bobbingk a critical edition
of these sphortums, Induced Special
yols, 1856-56 (with \$519 or 1), debton, 1850-53 (with 570 or 1), debton, 1850-53 (with 7013 or 1),
and Anticchia analysis, an the Z
D M O xwil. 15 (1873), of the
formation of the sphortum of the sphortum
tonium of the sphortum of the sphortum of the sphortum
tonium of the sphortum of the sphortum of the sphortum
tonium of the sphortum of the sphortum of the sphortum
tonium of the sphortum of the sphortum of the sphortum
tonium of the sphortum of the sphortum of the sphortum
tonium of the sphortum of the sphortum of the sphortum of the sphortum
tonium of the sphortum of the sphort

Mule . Religious and Moral Sents ments from San Let Writers (1875) Regarding an onthology which, both in extent and antiquity, surprises that of Sirngudhara, viz., the Sadcomp led Sale 1127 (a D 1205) and comprising truotations from 446 poets erothe latest number of Raj Lala Butra a Act ers In 134 149 The statement at the close of the work respecting the era of king Lakabmanusent to whom service the poet a father was, as both an itself phacure and does not well harmoniae with our other information on the Point. On account of the numerous examples at quotes we may also here mention the Sararrati kanthalhara ma, a treatus on poetics attributed to king Bhors deva, and therefore

to it is the literature of the 'Beast-Fable, which has a very special interest for ne, so it forms a substantial link of connection with the West. We have already pointed out that the oldest animal-tables known to us at present occur in the Chhandogupanishid. Nor are these at all limited there to the representation of the gods as assuming the forms of animals, and in this shape associating with men, of which we have even earlier illustrations, but animals are themselves introduced as the speakers and actors. In Panin's time, complete cycles of tables may possibly have already existed, but this is by no mains certain as yet? The oldest falles, out of India, are those of Babrus, for some of which at least the Indian original may be pointed out. But the most ancient book

belonging probably to the eleventh ceptury, see on it Aufrecht, Cuta logue, pp 208, 209 -Tu this class also belongs, though its contents are almost entirely erotic the Prakrit anthology of Hills, consisting properly of only 700 verses (abence its name Santa fatala) which, how ever, by successive recensions have grown to 1100-1200. It was the prototype of the Santa futiof Govardha na, a work of about the twelfth con tury, which in its turn seems to have served as the model for the Satta tal of the Handl poet B bars Lal , ton my Essy on the Sapta salaks of Hills (1870), pp. q. 12, and Z D M G, XXVIII 345 ff (1874) and sko Garrez in the Journ. Asigt . Angust 1372, p 197 ff

For instance the story of Manuand the fill Judia a metamorphosis into the hards ruarders and Appripe In, his appearance in the form of a rum, &c. In the Rik the sun is frequently compared to a valture or falcen hovering in the air

† The words cited in support of this are not Paninis own, but his scholast's (see p 225) [But, at all events, they occur directly in the Mihabhathya, see I St, xiii 436]

486] 111 In my paper, Leber den Zu sammenhang indischer Fabela mit

greechaches (1 St. 111. 327 ff.) as the result of special investigations bearing upon A Wagerer's East on the subject (1853), I arrived at exactly the opposite conclusion , tor in nearly every instance where a Greek fable was compared with the corresponding Inda n one, the marks of origin dity appeared to me to beker to the former in all proba bility the Buddhsate were here the special medium of communication. since it is upon their popular formi of literary exposition that the Indian fable as d fam; tale interature is spe erally board Outo Heller, it is true, in his truet, Ucher die Geschichte der greech Pabel (1862), thauttains, in opposition to my view, the Indian origin of the fables common to India and Greece, and suggests an ancient Assyrian channel of communication His man argument for their Indian onem is derived from the circum stance that the relation existing in Greek fable between the fox and the hon has no real basis in the na ture of the two ammals, whereas the parkal does, as a matter of fact, stand to the hon in the rela tion portrayed in Indian fable But are packals then, only found in In dia, and not also in countries inha bited by Semitic peoples? And is not the Greek enimal fable precisely

of fables extant is the Pancha-tantra The original text of this work has, it is true, undergone great alteration and expansion, and cannot now be restored with certainty. but its existence in the sixth century AD is an ascertained fact, as it was then, by command of the celebrated Sassanian king Nushirvan (reg 531-579), translated into Pahlavi. From this translation, as is well known subsequent versions into almost all the languages of Asia Minor and Europe have been derived.222 The recension of the extant text seems to have taken place in the Dekhan, 23 while the epitome of it known as the Hilopadesa was probably drawn up at Palibothra on the Ganges The form of the Handa collections of fables is a peculiar one, and is therefore everywhere easily recogmisable, the leading incident which is narrated invariably forming a framework within which stones of the most diverse description are set .- Allied to the fables are the

a Semitic growth ! That the Indiana should turn the for of the Greek fable back again into the rackal necessarily followed from the very nature of the case. The setual state of things, namely, that the fackal prowls about after the hou, had in deed early attracted their attention . ane eg, Rik, z 28 4, bot there is no evidence at all that in the older period the knowledge was turned to the use to which it is put in the fable the only characteristics mentioned of the makal being its howling its derouring of carnon, and its comity to the dog (In Sstap , m. 5 2 5, the packal is, it is true, associated with the word sidardhe, and this is certainly noteworthy , but here the term simply significe "burnt" or 'putrid.') Keller a viewe as to the high antiquity of the Indian authors be cites are unfounded. til See on this benfeye transla

tion (1859) of the Palichs tastra, which follows Kolegartons edition of the text (1843). Here there is a full exposition of the whole subject of the liter diffusion of the materials of Indian fable throughout the West, Kielhorp and Builer have published a pow edition of the text in the Bombay Sanskrit Berks [1868

From Benfey's researches, it appears that, in this recension, the original test, which presumably seated an a Buddhistic basic under went very important changes, so that, currously enough, a German translation made in the last quarter of the fifteenth century from a Lates rendering, which in its torn was based upon a Hebrew version, represents the ancient text more faithfully then its existing Sanskit formdoes. Of thus, for the rest, two or more other reconsions are extant. ace I Str. 11. 166. For the 14th chap of the Kalila we Dimna, po Indian neighed bed been known to exist, but quite recently a Tibetan translation of this original has been disc wered by Anton Schiefner, sea bis Bharates Remonso, St. Peters burg 1875 On a newly discovered ancient byrian translation of the groundwork of the Paticha-tantet made, at is supposed, either from the Pahlavi or from the Battekrit steelf, see Benisy in the Augharger Alle Zeil for July 12, 1871

Processly the same thing takes place in the Mahi Blairits also

Farry Tales and Romanes, 22 m which the luxuriant fancy of the Hindis has in the most wonderful dignee put forth all its peculiar grace and charm. These too share with the fables the characteristic form of setting just referred to, and thereby, as well as by numerous points of detail, they are sufficiently marked out as the original source of most of the Arabian, Persian, and Western farry tales and stories, although, in the meantime, very few of the corresponding Indian texts themselves can be pointed out.

As regards the last branch of Indian poetry, namely, Geography and History, it is characteristic enough that the latter can only fittingly be considered as a branch of poetry. and that not merely on account of its form-for the poetic form belongs to science also-but on account of its subjectmatter as well, and the method in which this is handled We might perhaps have introduced it as a division of the epic poetry, hut it is preferable to keep the two distinct, since the works of the class now in question studiously avoid all matter of a purely mythical description. We have already remarked that the old Puranas contained historical portions, which, in the existing Puranas, are confined to the mere nomenclature of dynasties and kings, and that here they clash violently, not only with one another, but with chronology generally We meet with the same discrepancies in all works of the class we are now considering and especially in its leading representative, Kalhana's Raja-taramgini, or history of Kashmir, which belongs to the twelfth century AD Here, it is

Swhele puts in 1.80, are 402 F). be hered tunded trag shared, to 100, 10003 and werds 1000-1040 —The Loss kennis chemical of Depth to Loss kennis chemical of Depth to Loss kennis chemical of the thickness of the tentile of the Loss kennis chemical tradical fewerant century F was edited [Hell, with a excellent extend tradical
¹⁴ Rer, before all as to be mentioned Sunsafera's Kathé sarratóporo de Manadera's Kathé sarratóporo de la companya del companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la companya del c

tme, we have to do with semething more than mere bald data, but then, as a sel-off to the, we have also to do with a poet, one who is more poet than historian, and who, for the rest, appeals to a host of predecessors. It is only where the suthors of these works treat of contemporary subjects that their statements possess a decided value, though, of course, pressely with respect to these, then produced ment is in the highest degree bassed. But exceptions likeways appear to exist, and in particular, in some princely houses, family records, kept by the domestic prices, appear to have been preserved, which, in the minit, seem to be mustably truntworky 22. As for Geography, we repeatedly

Only the family pedigree must not enter into the question, for these genealogical tables go back almost regularly to the heroic families of the sinc.

2.5 Cortain statements in the astro logical treature Odrot Sankud cap Yaga Purday, in which the relations of the Tavanas with India are tonched upon (see Kern, Pref to Britat Samhitt, p 13 ff), appear to have a real historical significance Báns's Harela charita too, seems to be a work embodying some good information are Hall, Pref to the Várra dattá, p 12ff (1850) And the same remark applies to the Vikraminia charsts by Billians of Kashmir, in 18 sargus composed about a p 108% just edited with a very valuable introduction by Bubler This work supplies most important and authentic suformstion, not only regarding the posts native country and the chief offices of India visited by him in the course of prolonged travals, but also as to the history of the Chalukya dynasty whose then representative, Iribbu vana mails, the work is ratended to eralt. In Bubler a opinion, warmay hope for some further accresion to our histories! knowledge from the still existing libraries of the Jamas and, I might add, from their speers! hierature also, which as peenlarly nch io legendary works (charatra) The Satrappage rad direge of Dha

nefere, in 14 surges, composed in Valebbi, under king filiditys, 18 the end of the rigib century, yields, at is tens, but seant historical matenal, and consusts for the most part marely of popular fales and lagends, see my paper on it (1856), p 12 ff. (Bübler, L c. p 16, places this work as late as the therteenth century; signisely, Laren, I Al, ir bis. but see my Essay on the Basgavall, of information has been preserved by the Jalous, which deserves attention, for example, respecting the sament Lings Vikramirks and Sflerabana, though, to be sare, they, too have become almost wholly envilueal figures 1 he Vira chartire. of Anenta, lately sunfreed by H. Jacobs on J. St., xiv 97 ff., describes the fauds between the descendants of theen two kings, introducing a third legendary personage, Sudraka, who, as led by the Milava king, the son of Vikramarka, accorde an onating the 202 of billivibins from Pratenbfbana. It is written in a fresh and graphic style, but, to all appearance, it has only a very slight really historical nucleus, mideed it expresly chims to be an implation of the Pamigenal The Soundeana dottrafild too a work extent in several recensions, of which one, the Fulrama chambra fues store. p 200), as attributed to Vararuchi, is almost solely, as the Vchila paw

find, in the various Puranes, jejune enumerations of mountains, twers, peoples, and the like ²³ But modern works, also upon this subject are quoted these, however, are known only by name—A leading source, besides, for bustory and geography, is supplied by the exceedingly numerous inscriptions and grants, which, indeed, being often of very considerable extent, might almost pass as a special branch of the literature. They are usually drawn up in prose, though mostly with an admixture of verse, off coins the number is comparatively small yet they have furnished surprisingly inch information regarding a period previously quite unknown in its details, the period of the Greena langs of Bactina. ²²

From this general view of Sanskrit poetry, we now turn to the second division of Sanskrit literature, to the works of Science and Art

channed us engle verly, made up of netter of the fury tall de comption. The storas is the Bloss-predamilia of lung Bloss and his court of his Bloss and his court. Beliffer, in belter from Raih wild I St. zw 103, 403, 1434; that had not if St. zw 103, 403, 1434; that had not if St. zw 103, 1434; the state of the Raih land, as also the Tarampath of Raih-mandra and Hedrigs, for the Rain-trangerl stelf there is thus prospect of upportant course has prospect of upportant course.

The Ot special interest in this regrad are the sections styled Karner
siblidgia in the a relogical texts
see Kern Pref to Brik. Simh p
12 and in 15 kg. 2 cost Cun
ninghams otherwise most merito
roms work, Ancent Georgaby of
India (1571) has enfortunately
saker on scorner of these

· On metal plates firs men

tioned in Yiparrikya's lawbook and in the Paficha tapira in Manus Code they are not yet known [Sea the special secounts given of these in Eurnell's Elect of S. Ind. Palang,

p. 63 H TWINDS A Trong Antopus (184) and Lasters a January at the control of the

others, may be men' out

We give the precedence to the Science of Language, and take Grammar first.

We have already had frequent occasion to allude to the early beginnings and gradual development of grammatical It grew up in connection with the study and recitation of the Vedic texts, and those works which were specially devoted to it, protected by the sacredness of their subject, have, in part, survived. But, on the other hand. we have no records of the earlier stages of that grammatical study which was directed to and embraced the entire range of the language," and we pass at once into the magnificent edifice which bears the name of Panini as its architect, and which justly commands the wonder and admiration of every one who enters. † Panini's grammar is distinguished above all similar works of other countries. partly by its thoroughly exhaustive investigation of the roots of the language, and the formation of words, partly by its sharp precision of expression, which indicates with an onignatical succinciness whether forms come under the same or different rules This is rendered possible by the employment of an algebraic terminology of arbitrary contrivance, the several parts of which stand to each other in the closest harmony, and which, by the very fact of its sufficing for all the phenomena which the language presents, bespeaks at once the marvellous ingenuity of its inventor, and his profound penetration of the entire material of the language. It is not indeed, to be assumed that Panini was altogether the inventor of this method . for, in the first place, he directly presupposes, for example, a collection of primary nifites (Un-adi), and, in the second place, for various grammatical elements there occur in his work two sets of technical terms, the one of which is peculiar to lumself, while the other, as testified by his

· Only in Yaskaa Nirukii are beginnings of the kind preserved,

get here ettmology and the investi

²²⁷ The general assertion to the Machifething to 1 if f 44 fekhen durat antimin blacental, which as rethes Vedic mage to Stara in general, is explained by Kuyrta in the sense that, not the candidata inferior for example, but only the replacementaries are here meant, since these latter belong to the Veda is rough see 7 V, 210 4 50

ration of roots and of the formation of words are still in a very crude stage

† Eg. of Père Pons so long aro as 3733, in the Lettres Étifantes, 25
221 [lans]

commentators, is taken from the Eastern grammanians. But et any rate, it seems to have been he who generalised the method, and extended it to the entire stock of the language. Of those of his predecessors whom he mentions directly by name, and whose names recur in part in Yáska's Nirukti, the Prátifakhya Sútras, or the Áranyakas some may possibly hive worked before him in this field, in particular, Sákatayana perhaps, whose grammat is supposed (Wilson, Mack. Cell., 1 160) to he still in existence, although nothing definite is known about it. 22

The question now arises. When did Panini live? Bohtlingk, to whom we owe an excellent edition of the grainmar, has attempted to fix his date for the middle of the fourth century BC, but the attempt seems to be a failure Of the reasons adduced, only one has any approach to plausibility, which is to the effect that in the Katha-saratsagara, a collection of popular tales belonging to the twelfth century, Panini is stated to have been the disciple of one Varsha who lived at Pataliputra in the reign of Nanda, the father of Chandragupta (Zavopokumros) not only is the authority of such a work extremely questionable in reference to a period fifteen centuries earlier, the assertion is, besides, directly contradicted, both as to time and place, by a statement of the Buddhist Hinan Thrang, who travelled through India in the first half of the seventh century For Hiuan Thsang, as reported by Remand (Mem sur l'Inde, p. 88), speaks of a double existence of Panini, the earlier one belonging to mythical times, while the second is put by him 500 years after Buddha'e

humed a Jame in mainteduction demokes Skinfig ma she as such a member and the manufacture of the state of the

See Böhtlingk in the Introduction to bis Pánins p xii, and in his tract, Ueber den Accent im San algie p 64

The penicy a Orient and Oce dan, is 651-705 (1853), and in 181, 182 (1853). Busher has given an account of a commentary (chind monerating to the Saldentidents of Saketyana according to which from 1970) Fennus work world appear to be simply 'an improved completed that in part remodelled edition of that of Saketyara. The author of this commentary 1 saketyanaman,

Hiuan Thang's assertion, no credit whatever need at mesent be attached to the statement in the Rais-timment If Panini did not really flourish until 100 years after Kanishka, to AD 140,221 it is self-evident that the com mentary upon his work cannot have been in existence. and still less have been introduced into Kashmir under Abhimanyu, Kanishka's immediate successor !- But, apart altogether from the foregoing considerations, we have in Panini's work itself, a very weighty argument which goes to show that the date to be assigned to him can by no means be so early as Bohtlingk supposes (about BC 350) For in it Panini once mentions the Yavanas, se, Taores, Greeks.* and explains the formation of the word varantal

24 But no such inference is deducible from Husen Theang's account, now that we are in pomention of the exact tener (see note 210 above) the statement of the Raja-

tarament is thus in no war am pugned by 18 Lutten (7 AE, L 729) asserts that the most encient meaning of the word warans was probably 'Arabia,' because incense, which came from Arabia was termed eswant but this assertion is distinctly arroneons So far as we know at present, this latter term first occurs in the Amara koshs, and there along with furuality, which can acarcely be e very ancient word. It mey con economily either date from the time of the commercial intercourse of tha indians with Arabia shortly before Mohammad, or even with the Mobammadan Arabe, or efec-lika according to Bohtlingk Pies, 'lead,' not 'tin' | and gusana-priya, 'pep-per,' the chief articles of traffic with the Greeks of Alexandris-it may possibly have been named, not from the Arabe, but from the Greeks, wha brought incense as well as tip and pepper from India (Lessen, J AK., 256 ml! Whereter we find the Yavania mentioned in the epic or other similar ancient writings, only the Greeks can be mesnt. [The

with the Kamboign Seken Ac. is conclusive as to this, see / Sr. D. 321, I SL, Int. 371 The naue Yarana was then in conne of time transferred to the political energyors of the Greeks in the empire of Western India, that is, so the Indo-Sorthiers themselves, to the Pererans (Páracikon, whose women, for example, are termed Tavante by Káledása in Raghar, iv 61), and, lastly, to the Arale or Moslems , see I St., am 308. Recently, it le trut, Rajendra Idia Mitra, in the Jours As Soc. Beng. 1874 D 246 ff. hus pronounced against the view that the Greeks were originally meath by the Yavanas , but his arguments are in great part of a very curious kind Of further on this point my letter en the Ind. Anisq, 17 244 2 (1875) where, in perticular, I point out that the name Yavena first became popul larised to India through Alexander, Le. through ble Perman foterpretors, although it may possibly have been known ereviously through the medlum of the Jodian saxiliaries who served in the army of Danna !- There sa a remarkable legend in the To rams and the twelfth book of the Maha Bharata, of the fight of Krishna with Kan-Yavana, 'the Black Favana,' so called, it would appear, in contradictinction to the (White) Tavantal Ought we here elmost countant as occation of them to understand African or dark Bem

-to which, according to the Verticla, the word lyps, 'writing,' must be supplied, and which therefore signifies 'the writing of the Yavanaa,' **2.—In the Pancha-tuntra, Panin is said to have been killed by a lion, but, independently of the question whether the particular verse containing this alliance belongs to the original text or not, no chrosological inference can be drawn from it.*23

the races that had some into colla sion with the Indiana? At the time of the Data kumdra, the name Kila-Yavana (as well as Yavana itself) does, in point of fact, expressly designate a scataring people -aupposed by Wilson to be the Araba. In the legend in the Pa rines and the Mahi-Bhirata, on the contrary, up reference to the eca is traceable, and Wilson therefore (Viahpa Pur , 565, 566) refers it to the Gracks, that is, those of Bactria. This view is perhaps confirmed by YAYARA 18 25. ocisted with a Gargya . since it is to Garge, at least, who uniformly appears as one of the earliest Indian astronomers, that a weren is accribed, in which the Yavanus (here unquestionably the Greeks) are highly catolled Pos sibly this is the very reason why Gargya is here associated with halls

For the different explanations that have been attempted of this word, see / St, v 5-8, 17 ff , Burnell, Elem of S Ind Pal, p 7, unlikely that dips has been introduced into Indian from the Persian dips. Benfer also in his Geschichte der Sprachwasenschaft p 48 (1869), understauds by Yaranunt 'Greek writing but he places the comple tion of Paning a work as carly as B.C 320. In that case, he thinks Pánina had already had the opportunity dur ing aix years of becoming acquainted with Greek writing in his own im mediate neighbourhood without interruption Alexander baying as as well known, established satrapies in India it alf and in the parts adjoin

ing"-in the vicinity of the ludus. namely, near which Pfninis birthplace was. But to me it is very doubtful sedeed that a space so short as air years should here sufficed to give rise to the employment by the Indians of a special term and affix to denote Greek writing - (which surely in the first years after Alex anders invasion can hardly have attracted their attention in so very promment a way 1) -- so that the mere expression 'the Greek' directly signified "the writing of the Grerks" and Panini found himself obliged to atplain the formation of the term in a special rule. "The expression could only have become so very familiar through prolonged and fre queut use-a thin, concertable and natural in Puninis native district, an those provinces of North Western. India which were so long occupied by the Greeks But this of course pre_opposes that a lengthened period had intervened aince the time of Alexander '-/ St. 17 89 (1857)

213 Since the above was written the question of Panitis data has been frequently discussed Huller first of all urged, and rightly. the real import of Hiven Theang s eccount, as apposed to my argument, Apart from this bowever, I still firmly adhere to the reasoning in the lext , see I St, 17 87, Y 2 ff To the vague external testimony we need hardly etlach much unportance Paning wocabulary itself (cf va randah can alone yield us certain path that Goldstucker proceeded in Laterature (September 1861) - a work distinguished in an emineut

Paniru's work has continued to be the basis of mamme tical research and the standard of usage in the language down even to the present time Owing to its frequent obscurity it was early commented upon, and—a circumstance to which there is no parallel elsewhere in the literature-some of these earliest interpretations have come down to us At their head stand the Paribháshás, or explanations of single rules, by unknown authors, next come the Varitikas (from writts, 'explanation') of Katvavana. and after these the Mahabhashva of Patamiali With regard to the date of Katyayana, the statement of Hiuan Thiang, to the effect that 300 years after Buddha's death, se, in BC 240.† " le docteur Kia to van na" lived at Tamasavana in the Panjab, is by Bohtlingk referred to this Katvavana, but when we remember that the same traveller assigns to Pánini's second existence a date so late as 500 years after Buddha, such a reference of course becomes highly precamous Besides, the statement is in

degree by truly profound surestiestion of this aspect of the question as well as of the literature ammediately bearing upon it The conclusion be strives at is that Fdring as older than Buddha, than the Praticially se, excepting the three Simbits of the Rik, Simen, and Black Yejusolder than ony indevidual author in whatever field, with the single ex ception of Yaka (p 243) In May 1861, before the separate publication of this work, which had previously (Nov 1860) appeared as the preface to Goldstucker's photo lithographed edition of the Manara Kalpa Satra, I endearoured-and, sa I believe. successfully-ia e detailed refalader in I St. v 1-176, to rebut these various deductions, point by penal. For the post-fluiddistor data of Pánini, compare la particular the evidence adduced, pp 135-142 which is excellently supplemented by Bühler's paper on Sikatayana (1863, are note 229 abore) To the mention of the 'Yaraufal hee to be added a neculiar circumstance which Burnell bas recently noticed

(Elem S Ind. Pal, p 95) The denoting of numbers by the letters of the alphabet in their order (1=2), te which Goldatticker (Paning p 51) first drew attention, and whith, se cording to the Bhishys, is preniut to Panent, occurs in his work only, and as "pricisely similar to the Greek and Semitie notation of nomorals by letters of the alphabet." If, further, the Greek accounts of the conjederation of the Oriogical and Makket be correct . if that is to way, their alliance first took place through fear of Alexander whereas they bad up till then lived in con stant enmity then in all probability Apidali, and & fortiors Papini also, would have to be set down as enter quent to Atexander , ace / Et, xnt.

375 m bo there mentions several of

these Parabháshás.

† That is, if wa adopt the chronology of the Southern Buddhetz, but rather, only no to, amos Kanlaha, whose date, as we saw, is fixed by come for a p. 40 mby lituan Thang flaced 400 years after Buddha's and

itself an extremely indefinite one, the "doctour" in question not being described as a grammarian at all, but simply as a descendant of the Katya family 221 Even admitting. however, that the reference really is to him, it would still be in conflict with the tradition-in itself, it is true, of no particular authority—of the Katha sant-sagara, which not only represents Katyayana as the contemporary of Panini, but identifies him with Vararuchi, a minister of King Nanda, the father of Chandragupta (Σαυδροκυπτος), according to which, of course, he must have flourished about BC 350 As regards the age of the Mahahhashya, 22 we have seen that the assertion of the Raja-tarameini as to its introduction into Kashmir in the reign of Ahhimanyu, the successor of Kanishka, ie, between an 40 and 65, is, for the reasons above assigned, in the meantime discredited 20 For the present, therefore, we are without information as to the date of those interpretations, just as we are regarding the date of Panini himself. But when once they are themselves in our hands it will certainly be possible to gather from their contents, by means of the great number of words they contain, a tolerably clear image of the time when they originated,227 in the same way as we

24 It is this only that has weight. whereas no importance whatever is to be attached, as we have already sten (cote 230), to the second existence of Pinion On the various hátyas, Kátyáyanas at the time of the Bhishya strelf, for instance, see

I St., xm 399

ns Thanama Patampah (we should expect Pat") is cer cinly somehow connected with that of the Prizm chala Kapya of the land of the Ma dras, who appears in the lageaval kiys kinds of the Satap Br occurs again (see below p 237) as the name of the author of the kogs Sutras. Patamjali appears as name af one of the prior births of Buddha tho 242 m Westergaurd's Cate logus, p 39) In the Prararddhysys § 9 (Yapul Paris) the Patempalu are classed as belonging to the family of Visvainitra - According to leter accounts, by Conardiya, who is ested f ur times in the Ehd bya, we have

to understand Patampali himself . and the same applies to the name Conskaputra, see on this I St, w 15%, INC. 316, 323, 40%

ass Dy no meass see note 231 On the baus of the lithographed edition of the Mahabbashys, published at Benares in 1872 by Rivaramatistrin and Bilatistrin with Karrata a commentary (of about the seventh century (1), see I & v 16) I have attempted in I S. xiii. 293-902, to eketch such so outline The first section of the work, with Karyata, and Nagesa a gloss belong mg to the eighteenth century was published so long ago as 1856 by Ballautyne A photo-hthographed auge of the entire Bhashya, pre pared under Goldstucker a supervi stop at the expense of the Indian Government, has recently appeared m London, in 3 vola (vol 1., the Ehfahya vol 11 Bhabya with Karrata a Cumm , vol 101, Nagoji

can even now attempt, although only in broad outline a picture of the time of Panini. With regard to the latter, the condition of the text, in a critical point of view forms a main difficulty A few of the Sutras found in it are already notoriously acknowledged not to be Pinini's, and there is the further peculiar circumstance, that, according to the scholasts of the Calcutta edition, fully a third of the entire Satras are not interpreted in the Mahibhishys at all. † The question then arises whether this is merely

bhatta e Schol, on Karvetal Gold stlicker, in his Panini p 218 ff. mainly upon the ground of the statement in the Bhishys "arened Yopanah Saketam," which he connecte with an expedition of Menander (R.C. 144-120) aguest Ayodbys, fixed the date of the composition of the work for the penod of this expedition, or specially for B.C. 140-120 The objections urged by me (I St. v 151) scanst this secumption were in the first place, materully weekened by a remark of Kern's in his Prefere to the Brib. Sunh of Vaniha-Mibira, p 37, according to which the statement in the same passion of the Bhishra "arunad Larono Madhyamakan" is not necessarily to be referred to the Raddhistia school of this name, first founded by Naciryues, but may possibly here reference to a trabe edled Midhromika, mentioned elsewhere In the next place, Bhandar ker, in the Ind. Antiq, L 299 E. il, 59 ff., ettempted to prove that Patamials wrote the particular section where he speaks in the above terms of Menander (who is assumed, on Go'dstucker's authority, to be meent by 'Tavana) between A.D. the same time speaks of sacrifices as still being performed for Pashpamitra (a.D. 178-142) In my reply in / S., x111. 305 ff, I emplies sed these points fir t that the identity of the Yevana and Menender is by no means made out, pert, that it does not at all recessirily follow are directly discussed, and God from the passage in question that

Patameli and Pushyamira (thatie the correct form) were contemporarice, and, listly, that Peternishmey possibly have found these examples already current, in which case they cannot be used to prove anything with second to him but only with regard to his predecessors—it may be, eren Panini bimsell, And al though I am now duposed in presence of Bhandarkar a further objectrong, to admit the buttories! bearing of the statement reference to Push yamitra fout see Bohthogk a opposite view in Z D M G. Eris. 13: El still, with respect to all the examples here in question, f must by special etrers en the possibility fust mentwomed, that they may belong to the class of an Carildahahaha Illustrations (Mid., p. 315) We must for the present rest autuSed, theref To fa 319), with placing the date of the composition of the Ehishys between B C sac and a D. Co -- a result which. considering the wretched state of the chronology of Indian Literatura generally, iz, despite its ludefattances,

of Do mean importance. * Bes 7 St. L 141 157 [The beginning beremiderame to a smoot still for want of the Habibblabre ! f In the case of some of these, it is remarked that they are not axplaned here, or a'se not separately Acquaistance with the Mahibh febys itself will alone yield us satisfactory information up this point [From Aefrocht a secounts 12 ha Cutal. Codd Sanak Bill Book, i appeared that of Pinmi's 3953 rules only stro because these particular Sútras we clear and interligible of themselves or whether we may not also here and there have to suppose cases where the Sutras did not yet form part of the text at the time when this commentary was composed The so-called gangs or lists of words which follow one and the same rule, and of which, uniformly only the initial word is cited in the text itself, are for the present wholly without critical anthenticity, and carry no weight, therefore, in reference to Panim's time Some such lists must, of course, have been drawn up by Paniti, but whether those now extant are the same is very problematical indeed to some extent it is simply impossible that they can be so Nay, such of them even as chance to he specified singly in the Mahabhash a can strictly speaking. prove nothing save for the time of this work itself too, another word of caution is necessary, -one which ought, indeed, to be superfluous, but unfortunately is not, as experience shows,-namely, that care must be taken not to attribute to words and examples occurring in the scholia, composed so recently as fifty years ago, of the Calcutta edition of Panini, any validity in reference to the tune of Panim himself No doubt such examples are usually derived from the Mahahhashya, but so long as this is not actually proved to be the case, we are not at liberty at once to assume it, and besides even when it is clear that they are actually borrowed from the Mahahhashya, they are good only for the time of this work itself, but not for that of Panini 223

chicker then showed that the Bhdshya is not so much a commentary on Pánus as rather a d'fence of him against the unjust attacks of Kátyáyua the author of the ráritskes, see I St zim 297 tl.]

* See I St., 1 142, 143, 151 [xm. 298, 302 329]

This is not quite streety to the purpose Max Muller was the first to point out that P mins a Sukras were evidently from the beginning accompanied by a definite interpretation, whether oral or written, and this a considerable proportion of the examples in the Bhishwa must have some from this source my, the

Bhishya has steelf a special name for these, such examples being styled murdhabhashakta, and I St., xus 315 Unfortunately, however, we have not the slighte.t clue (I Sir., n. 167) to enable us to decide, in mdividual instances whether an ex ample belongs to this class of murdh or not -On the other hand-as re sults not only from the data in the Raja tarangeni, but also, m particular, from the attements at the close of the second book of Harr a Va kyapadiya, which were first cited by Goldstucker, and have lately been published in a corrected form by Kielhorn in the Ind Antiq . 111 283In addition to Pannins system, there give no in course of time several other grammatical systems, having their own peculiar terminology; and grammatical literature in general attained to a most remarkably rich and extensive development. The Thetan Tandgur liberary combarrs

257-the Ehf.hva has underrune manifold viesentudes of for one, her been more than once well-sma, and array ged afreeh, so that the possitiller of considerable chapma adh tions, and interpolations cannot be cen el. Streng speakage, therefore 15 ex-h sadiesdad case 16 se-Tame & strong unortain whether the example is to be credited to Paternali him tal to to these subrequest remod-lings of the text for reversely, to Fatamial a pre-Gerrara, crevento Pigaritimedi. 2.0 / S', 2: 1, 320 329, Ind Antig, tr 2.7 Erelpern, 11 14 true, 19 Ind. An o. IV 100 Las protested very strong'y against the siem " that at some time or other the tert of the Habibhishys had been lost, that it had to be reconstructed." de. He will only "perhaps allow a break so far as remards 1 a trads ternal interpre-ation," while we are for the time be og bound "to re med the test of the Mahalatters as given by our MSS, to be the sema es t' exa ed about 2000 reats and Let us then trut the ar Komena he has to cour in support et the for he prover alone will harder suffice to the tare of the S'a er ents on the sunger that are t'ill preserved in the tradition it-On three separate organions. Us enthets emidrata, Berastia, natures are employed of the work. And there is the further evenmetance that, secording to Barnels test many (Prel to Vania Brill, p munt, the South Innan MSS, of the tex appear to vary ma erally see also Earnell's Elen. 8 Ind Pal, pp. 7, 32.

ed ing of which his now been undersken by Kee 2 m, or meets itself specially with the Maki

Midden - The FAUM of Variance s easer comme toy on Pay ... is at present being educat by Dila-Metria in de Benires Parific. A. cording to him at was composed to the thateen b pentury, as Go ! sticker had already h ared, where a the dete previously swapped to to maccordance with Dabtland start, was towards the eighth on mr. see I St. v 67 Cappeller's lawed to Vimens Edepolarshiremin. PR TIL TIL-To AD To bi we ove es eduon (Bose, 1875) d Trpraisis is a Commentary (of the thurweeth cen my of so) on the to Santiene and Jal Erg legal engaged on an edition of the Gara--Of Phattell Dash ta & Status Loumadi (errenteenth centure) we have now a reward good edition by Táráná ba Vácharna I (Cata, 154-15-5) -A highly mestorius work to theed and with Esplishers on, on Varidation Legis Legis Lare will by J P. Estlantine (crimeal'r pal-Ished at Muzzpore 19.7) -Sara paras Pl (Some) were edical by Kielbren in 1855, and to him we also owe an expellent edition of Majojebhatta e Parithisterda u Mara, a work of the Lat ton unv (Bomber, 1868-7.) -01 granteown lines, depar my from Par mi, we have Voyaders . Martha lotha of the thirteenth century in an etion, amount others by Fittingk (SE Petersburg, 1847) the Sdrama of Aunthid - state Little arseared a Bombsy at 1861 in a hthegraphed ed uon the Adaretes of Serversmen, with Dermittee Eggent 12 the Pil. Index (2

a tolerable number of grammatical writings and these for the most part works that have been lost in India itself to As regards Lexicography—the second branch of the

As regards temography—no second ordered of the second or language—we have already pointed out its first beginnings in the Nighantus, collections of synonyms, &c., for the elucation of the Vedic texts. But these were of a practical character, and wholly confined to the Veda the need of collections towards a dictionary of Susskrit, being, on the conterty, more a scentific one, was naturally only awakened at a much later time. Here, too, the earliest attempts in this direction have perabled, and the work of Amara sinks, the oldest of the kind that has come down to us, appeals capressly in the introduction to other Tantras, from which it was itself compiled. Its commentators also expressly mention by name as such Tantras, then when the was itself compiled. Its commentators also expressly mention by name as such Tantras, then the Uniquity, and the works of Rabbass, Katyayna, Vyadi,* and Vararuch, the two latter as authorities for the seedler of words.

1571 is bud reached to 10 4. 50) The system of this grammar is of peculiar intere . so this secount, that a special connection sowews to exet between a and the Itla grain mar of Kachchayana, particularly to regard to the terminology employed. Kathmir loub in / S' the 402 E 1 the Kd antre is the special grammar of the Kdim'ra and was there frequent'y commented upon in the 12th - toth centuries Of older grammatical texts he has further discovered the Parchlands of Vrade and Chandrs of also the Farma Su me and Stad-Masha c'andrus of the latter likewise an Aryoux ery' and Didta tarament by a Les Jerá, da s preceptor) and a ... beautiful bhurya MS of the Kald In one of these MSS this la tramed work as ascribed to Jamana and Jayidity . (Jayarida!). nherely the earler view as to me date scain gains credit.-For a line of 'Sanscrit-Grammars, &c. see Co'etrocks a Muse fas 11 38 ff. .d. Cowell -It remains still to men don bere Corelle edition of the Prolente prolete of Yararocks

(1851) further, an edit of recently (1872) published at the recently (1872) published at Bob by of Herrachandran Jacontémig to Blodd Dily a no 1005-1712. Perfect Granuler service production of the Perfect of Creation of the Perfect of the Perf

No See S.A efforts paper on the logical and grammatical writings in the Tandyur p 25, from the Builten de la Clo se hart plut de Latend. Las de Se det Peterborg v. Non 18, 19 (1847), from wis ch it appears that the Chandra Fydlorma Stre, the Roidya Stre at the Sarverof I Yeldowns-latter un.

purticular, are represented there

A Vyton is cuted in the Ric
Frindithys [and in Gold-tuckers
Ponum he plays a very special part
The Sampred a several times men
tuned in the Dhahya, and there
mangred to Diditid you is by Na
reformable nearliers; as a work in

The question now is to determine the are of Amam. sinha-a question which in the first instance exactly coincides with the one already discussed as to the da'e of Kalidása, for, like the latter, Amara is specified by tradition among the 'nine gems' of the court of Vikramsthat Vikrama whom Indian tradition identifies with knie Bhoja (A.D 1050), but to whom European criticism has assigned the date B.C. 56, because—an era bearing this name commences with that year The utter groundlessness of this last assumption has been already exposed in the case of Kalidasa, though we do not here any more than there, enter the lists in defence of the Indian traction. This tradition is distinctly contradicted, in particular, by a temple-inscription discovered at Buddhagaya, which is dated 1005 of the era of Vakramaditya (se. AD 010) and in which Amara-deva is mentioned as one of the 'nune newels' of Vikrama's court, and as builder of the temple in question. This inscription had been turned to special account by European criticism in support of its view, but Holtzmann's researches (op est, pp 26-32) have made it not improbable that it was put there in the same are in which Amara-sinha's dictionary was written, seeing that both give expression to precisely the same form of belief, a combination, namely, of Baddhism with Vishanism-a form of faith which cannot possibly have continued very long in vogue, resting as it does on a union of directly opposite systems events, inscription and dictionary cannot he so much as 1000 years apart, -that is a sheer impossibility Unfortunately this inscription is not known to us in the original, and has only survived in the English translation made by Ch Wilkins in 1785 (a time when he can hardly have heen very proficient in Sansknt 1 the text itself is lost

too coo flokes—at imbuted to as vydy, meaning in all labellmed the sine Vydy, when the three tense toored in the highly three properties of the Goldsideker the strength of the Goldsideker described by the Goldsideker high petween Pápin, who is dang nath Dicksidy, one in the Bhablys, and this (Vydy) Eddidgeme, only he former must be "at least way.

generations" prior to the litter And on this he grounds a specific ** lastored argument" for the determination of Pla on date, for it yield, Plannes for e dart colisterally, stead in the Ris Pr., then of course this work may be litter than Planne, see squart all the J S., v 41, 217-133, x 10, 210.

with the stone on which it was incised That the dire tionary belongs, in any case, to a period considerably later than the first century BC -the date commonly assumed to it-is sufficiently indicated by data furnished by the work itself For, in the first place it enumerates the signs of the zodiac, which were unquestionably horrowed by the Hindus from the Greeks, and, according to Letronne's investigations, the completion of the zodiac did not take place among the Greeks themselves before the first century AD , so that, of course, it cannot have become known to the Hindus till one or several centuries later Again, in the Amara-kosha the lunar mansions are enumerated in their new order, the fixing of which was due to the fresh life infused into Indian astronomy under Greek influence, the exact date being uncertain, but bardly earlier than AD 400 Lastly, the word dindra occurs here, which, as pointed out by Prinsep, is simply the Latin denarius (see Lassen, I AK, 11, 261, 348) The use of the term tantra in the sense of 'text-book' may perhaps also be cited in this connection, as it belongs only to a definite period, which is probably the fifth or sixth cen tury, the Hindus who emigrated to Java having taken the word with them in this sense 211 All this, of course, yields us no direct date. If it be correct, as stated by Remand (Mem sur l'Inde, p 114), that there existed a Chinese translation of the work, "redigee an vie siècle," this would give us something tolerably definite to go by But Stan Julien does not, it would seem, in the passage cited by Remand as his authority, express himself in quite such definite terms, as be merely speaks of the "traduction chinoise de l'Amerakocha, qui parett avoir éte publice " + nor are the positive grounds he adduces in sup

" † nor are the positive grounds he adduces in sup nort of this view directly before us so that we might test

It also occurs to the Puncha tantra, in a legical of Boddh to origin —I now here also remark in passing that the nord dramma, see, fraging is employed in the twelfth century by End kara as well as min scriptions [cf. Z. D. J. G. vi. 420]. 20 Of monal interest all on the

ad Of special interest all 0 is the Arabico Persian word pdu for ele phant, of Kumdrila on Janu., 1 3

^{5,} cited by Colebrooks, Buc. Es.,
1 314 (329) Gildemeister in
Z D M G . xxvii 697

[†] The meaning of paratire, how ever, is doubtful it can signify either "seem or be clear" (ac cording to all evidence),—in the hiter sence like the Latin apparers, and the Eogli b "appear being a devil derived from expansive.

Of the Tibetan translation of the work in the Tandjur no particulars are known How great the difficulty 19 of arriving at any sort of decision in this matter 19 shown by the example of one of the most celebrated of living Indianists, H. H. Wilson For while, in the pieface to the first edition of his Sanskrit Dictionary (1819). he tather inclined to the view that Amara-sinha flourished in the fifth century A.D. and while regio in the second edition of the work (1832) under the word 'Vararuchi. he expressly transfers the 'mine gems' to the court of Bhojz (A.D 1050), -in the preface (p vi) to his translation of the Vishna-Parina (1840) on the contrary, ho makes Amara-sinha live "in the century prior to Christianity "-But, independently of all that has hitherto been advanced, the mere circumstance that the other dictionaries we possess, besides the Amara Losha, all belong to the eleventh, twelfth, and following centuries, constrains us to come to a conclusion similar to that which was forced upon us in regard to the drimanamely, that as the Amara-Losha as an no way specifically distinguished in character from these other productions. so it cannot be separated from them by a very wide interval of tune (Holtzmann, p 26)*12

Resides the dictionanes, we have also to minion a cass of lexical works quite peculiar to the Hindús—aimely, the lists of roots styled Dhidin-pardigenes or Diditional and the parameter They are written partly in prose and partly in slokas. The latter is the form adopted in all the discussion of the integrity of the text, the interlacing of the different verses rendering interpolation well may be introduced.

and by Aufrecht (London 1821) if Haldrudhas Abhiddingers on mill belonging to about the end of the eleventh center. A PA invision of the Amus Lolls by Notes and belongs to the world

to Since the above was written, mothing new has appeared on this question. To the editions of the Arr ra Lodia, then edited path in the district path in the

century, see I Sir, ii 330

For the literature of these, se
these expected perfect to be excellent Endices Lingua Sensenta
(Bann 1841)

f See Holaman , on ci' p 17

Lastly as a third phase of the science of language, we have to consider Metric, Poetics, and Rhetoric

With the beginnings of Procedy we have already become acquainted in connection with the Veda (see p 23) The treatise ascribed to Pingala even appears as an appendage to the Veda itself, however little claim it has to such a position specifying as it does the most highly elaborated metres, such as were only used in later times (see p 60) The tradition which identifies Pingala with Patamials the author of the Mahahhashya and the Yoga-Sastra must answer for itself, for us there exists no cogent reason for accepting it 253. The other existing treatises on metre are Likewise all modern they superseded the more ancient works, and the same is the case, in an equal degree, with the writings on poeties and rhetoric. Of the Alamkara-Sistra of Bharata, which is often cited as the leading authority on these subjects, only the few quoted passagewould seem to have survived, although, according to one commentary," the work was itself but an extract from the Anni-Parana, A. W von Schlegel in his Reflexions sur l Étude des Langues Asiat . D 111, speaks of a manuscript preserved in Paris, of the Sahitya-darpana, another leading work on this subject, as dated sale 949, 20, AD 1027, and this, if correct, would naturally be of the highest importance for the age of the works therem quoted. But a prior: I am firmly persuaded that this statement rests on a mis take or misunderstanding, 244 for the oldest manuscripts with which I have had any opportunity of becoming acquainted are, as already mentioned (p 182), not so much

Of on the I S., van 158 H.

Seem, Octal of the Sonait MES
va the Borl Lib P 227 [Pespect
ing the Ndtyn Setre at Elemans
fuller information was first supplied
by Hall in this edition of the Datarapa (1865), at the close of which
he has given the test of four chap
ters of the work (18-20 5), we also W Heymann account of 4 in
the 65 onger Gel America, 1874, p

²⁴¹ The Sahity's darpens was only composed towards the middle of the Efficients convey to E. Benezh, on

the banks of the Brahmaputra, see Jagan andhan-aurman in the pre face to han edition of the dram face of the

as 500 years old, and it will be difficult to find any of a yet greater age—For the rest, in the field of rhetoric and poetics, the Hindú mind, so fertile in mice distinctions, lias had free scope and has put forth all its power, not seldom in an extremely subtle and ingenious fashion ²⁴

We now come to the consideration of Philosophy, as the second branch of the scientific Sansart literature.

I rank it here after the science of language, not because I grant as of later organ, but because the existing text-books of the philosophical systems seem to me to be posterior to the text-book of grammar, the Sattra of Painni, since they appear, to some extent, to presuppose the existence of Upanishads, writings which, in their extant form, manifestly belong to a very late period, comparatively speaking

The beginnings of philosophical speculation go tack, as we have already more than once seen (see especially pp 26 27), to a very remote age. Even in the Samhits of the Rik, although only in its later portions, we find hymns that beyeak a high degree of reflection. Here, too, as with all other peoples, it was especially this question as to the organ of the world that more imme-

example, adopted the Vaidarbha rilig ece Bühler, Vikramfüka-char 1 9.
—Vimana a Karyfilmilan-cestii hat Istely been edited by Cappeller Jena, 1875), and belongs, he tuinks, to the tweifth century Mammata & Adrysswaiffe, several times published to India, belonge, in Bühler's opinion, to the same date, since Mammita, secording to Hall (Introd to Fdura . p. 50) was the maternal uncle of the author of the Nauhadhira, see Bubler to Journ Bord Be H A S 2.37 my I Sw , i 336 and my Loav on Hillae Sapta-Attaka, p. 11 Cl here also infreehts secount of the Saratrall kanthibharana (note 220 abovel -A rich accession to the Alamkin hteratore a'so will resu't from Bubler's journey to Kashmir the works range from the pinth to the thirteenth century

[&]quot;is Dandin's Edmidderia, of the with century, and D'anamiaya . Dala repo, of the middle of the tenth century, have been published in the Bill. Irdica, the former edited by Premschandra Tarksvielis (1561). the latter by Hell (1865) From thee we learn, amongs other thanes the very important fact that in Dandin's day two definite, provin cially distinguished, varieties of givia (riti) were already recognised. namely, the Gauda attle and the Vandarbhe style, to which in course of time four others the Parchall. LAR, Arantiti and Megad's were added , of my faray on the Pand yaps, p 76 and / E, zir 65 ff Ban passes for the apeulal repre e ntative of the Parchs a style, see Aufrecht in Z D V G, xxvii 93 whereas the Librirs Bilbans, for

diately gave rise to philosophical contemplation. Ine mystery of existence of being, and of life forces itself directly upon the soul and along with this comes the question, how the middle is to be solved and what is its cause The idea that most readily presents itself and which is therefore, in fact, everywhere recognisable as the earliest one, is that of an eternal matter a chao'ic mass, into which order and system are gradually introduced, whether-and here we have two distinct views, each of which has its intrusic warrant and which must therefore have been early opposed to each other-by virtue of an indwelling capacity of development, or by impulse from without, whereby of course an object or Being existing outside of this chaotic mass is so ipso postulated. This point reached, the idea is then a very natural one to regard this Being, v hence the impulse proceeds, as higher and more exalted than the primary chaotic matter it-elf, and, as speculation advances, this primary matter continues to sink to a more and more subordinate position till at length its very existence appears as dependent upon the will of this Being, and so the idea of a creation arises The steps of this gradation may actually be followed with tolerable distinctness in the Vedic texts. In the more ancient portions the rotion everywhere still is that the world; were but 'fixed,' 'arranged' (stabhita, slabhita *), by the aid of the metres (it is thus that the harmony of the universe is explained), only at later stage is the idea acveloped of their carjana, 'emission' or creation As time goes on the creative Being is conceived as more and more transcendental and supernatural, so that as a means of communication between him and the real universe intermediate grades of beings, demiurges are required by classifying and systematising whom speculation strives

[.] It is interesting that i'e Ger man word schaffen is derived from the root said slath, 'enable h triginally therefore it had not the sense in which it is now u.ed. The idea of the exable hment, rapgement of the worlds may run sibly therefore data from the epoch when Teutons and Indians sail dwelt together or has the same use Lelong to schaben scolere existren]

of the word grown up is dependently with both peoples? Perhaps the sam gambhirars gmunga eng migh also to pratamend as a similar Entractive souton! [The connection here supposed betwe n shafen and stall, stall estates is very ques

to introduce order, but naturally only with the result of producing greater confusion. We have thus three distinct views as to the origin of the world - that of its 'development,' that of its 'arrangement,' and that of its 'creation.' The two former agree in so far as the theory of development requires an 'arranger' also, they are, however, sufficiently distinguished by the circumstance that in the former this Power is regarded as the first production of the capacity of development residing in primary matter, in the latter, on the contrary, as an independent Being existing outside of it. The theory of a creation starts generally with a desire on the part of the Creator to he no longer alone, the expression of which desire is immediately followed by the emanation itself Either it is a female being that first proceeds from the Creator, in connection with whom, by a process of begetting, he then accomplishes the further work of creation, or it is the breath of life that first of all emanates and in its turn produces all the rest, or sgain, the mere expression of the destre itself involves creation, rach or speech bere appearing as its immediate source, or the process is conceived in a variety of other ways Tho notion that the world is but Illusion only belongs to the latest phase of this emanation theory-It is impossible at present to attempt oven an approximate sketch of the gradual growth of these three different theories into complete philosophical systems, the Brihmanas and Upanishads must first be thoroughly studied. Nor until this has been done will it be possible to dec.de the question whether for the beginnings of Greek philosophy any connection with Hindu speculation can be established-with reference to the five elements in particularit a point which for the present is doubtful have already stated generally (p 29) the reasons which lead me to assign a comparatively late date to the existing text-books (Sutras) of the Hindu philosophical systems.

in Meens'henes of the Incest of the Inuin Herakles with bis daughter refers to this. + And the doctring of metempty

cace of S.c Max Muller in Z D M O.

[.] By incret therefore the story vi ISE [Cl my review of S.10] ters book, Arti stder Vidand, na eine Tochter & r Stati guld min Lit. Cent El 1974 P 294)
TH Cf Cowell an toto Colebrooks a

Me En, J 304 "The barre se we bare them eannot be the eriginal

235

Unfortunately we are not yet in possession of the treatises themselves, * and for what follows I have had to depend mainly upon Colebrooke's Essays on the subject 247

The most ancient philosophical system appears to be the Samkhua theory, which sets up a primordial matter as the basis of the universe, out of which the latter is by successive strees evolved The word Samkhua itself occurs first in the later Upanishads, t while in the earlier Upanishads and Brahmanas the doctrines afterwards belonging to the Samkhya system still appear in incongruous combination with doctrines of opposite tendency, and are cited along with these under the equivalent designations of Mimansa (I man, speculation), Adesa (doctrine), Upanishad (sitting) &c. I am especially induced to regard the Samkhya as the oldest of the existing systems by the names of those who are mentioned as its leading representatives Kapila, Panchasikha, and Asuri. The last of these names occurs very frequently in the Satapatha-Brahmana as that of an important authority for sacrificial ritual and the like, and also in the lists of teachers contained in that work (namely

form of the doctrines of the seteral schools. They are rather a recept thation of a series of preceding de velopments which had gene on in the works of successive leachers."

Inc works of incleasive inclease, inclease per perceival relate, about of the dedition of the Veldata Stars with Samplara perceival relate, about of the veldata Stars with Samplara to see a copy, only the edition of the Naya Start is shown to me. The whole of these texts are at present increase with the Paglish translation [These editions entitled Applaration of the Vendaya Perdaira, Peyn, &c. extend to all the sax spraems, such according to the Vendaya Perdaira, Veyn, &c. extend to all the sax spraems, such according to the Vendaya perdairy followed by unfactioned by only it for numbers of each have appeared.]

In the new edition of Celelooke a Leava (1871) these are weempanied with excellent notes by Profes or Cowell Since the above was written much new material has been added by the ial ours of Roer Bal tantre Hall Cowell Buller, Gough,

K. M. Benerges, Barth St. Hilars, In he B22, Feders and the Benerge Pended many highly important edit are now in per eating of the Schitzer of all the six systems together with their leading commentaries three of them in translation shot Section of them in translation shot Section 1997 of the Schitzer
photographone from to the lime of the control of th

as disciple of Yájnavalkya, and as only one or a few generations prior to Yaska) Kapila, again, can hardly he unconnected with the Kapys Patamchala whom we find mentioned in the Yamavalkiya-kanda of the Vrihad-Aranyaka as a zealous representative of the Brahmanical learning Kapila, too-what is not recorded of any other of these reputed authors of Sútras-was himself afterwards elevated to divine rank, and in this quality we meet with hum, for example, in the Svetasvataropanishad.* But it is above all the close connection of his tenets with Buddhismeia -the legends of which, moreover, uniformly speak both of him and of Panchasikha as long anterior to Buddhawhich proves conclusively that the system bearing his name is to be regarded as the oldest. 249 The question as to the possible date of Kapila is thus closely linked with that of the origin of Buddhism generally, a point to which we shall revert in the sequel in connection with our survey of the Buddhistic literature. Two other leading doctors of the Samkhya school as such appear towards the sixth century of our eta, Isvara-Krishna and Gaudapada the former (according to Colebrooke, 1, 103) is expressly stated

• In the invocations of the Pitys which (see Shore, pp. 55.6) form park of the ordinary ceremonal Sapita, Asuri Panchasikha (and with them a Vedha or Bodas) uniformly occopy a very honounable place in later times, whereas notice a more rarely lighter of the remining suffices of the property of the property of the proves that the former are more ancient than this latter.

140 Thu relairs, according to Willows, to the community of the fundamental propositions of total are regarded to the charactery of matter, the print of the charactery of matter, the print on (Wilson, Works, in 346, et least 1 in opposition to thus also true, Max Multerexpressly desuccess, personal connection whaterer fewering special connection whaterer fewering special connection whaterer fewering received on the control of the contr

explanation of this, when he asys that the existing butters of Kapi'a are "of Inter date, posterior, not anterior, to Buddha." On the subfect shelf, are specially L.S., in-

In the sucred texts of the Jamas also not only is the Safthitenta (Chashty-tantra, explained by the comm. 23 Edpila-Sastra) speci fied along with the four Vedas and their Afiras, but in ano her purrage the name Kavila arpears along with it, the only other Brib manical system here mentioned be ing the Balgesiya (Varseshika) (The order in which they are given is Belsenya, Buddha-sheins Kávila, Logdrata, Satthe lanta.) So also m a similar engineration in the a a its tutam, after Simkbya logs only Vaufeshika as further specified. See my paper on the Bhanavati of the Junas, ir 246-248

to be the author of the existing Samkhya-Sútra, while the latter embodied its doctrine in several Upanishads 2.3

Connected with the Samkhya school, as a further development of it, is the Yoga system of Patamjah had nome describes him as in all probability a descendant of the Kapya Patamchala of the Vinhad-Aranyaka. Along with him (or prior to him) Yajnavalkya, the leading authority of the Satapatha-Brahmana, is also regarded as a main originator of the Yoga doctrine, but this only in later writings? Whether Patamial is to be identified with the

-Fi The Scitras of Kapila, the so called Sinkhya praeschana are now published, with the commentary of Vining-bhikshu in the Bibl. Ind edited by Hall (1854-56), a trans lation by Ballantyna also appeared. in the same series, 1862-65 In his preface to the S Pray as well as in the preface some years later to his edition of Vijuana-bhikshu a Samboya dra, Hall gives a special arcount, with which, however, he to Limitelf by no means satisfied (see his noteto Vilson s Viehpu Pu" in 301) of Kanila and the leading works extant of the Samkhya vstem He re gards the Samkhaa pravachana as a very late production which may here and there even be suspected of occasional obligation to the Kárskás of lavarakrushna (Simkhya mira Pre face p 12) Of course this does not affect either the antiquity of Kapila with the Samkhy4 (p 20) Cowell, 100 (Colebrooke Misc Ess 1 354. note) regards the Samkha school its if "as one of the earliest while tre Satras on the contrary, are of late origin, maximuch so they not only refer distinctly to Sedanta texts but also 'expressly mention the Vargeshiks in , 25 , 8c for the Nyays of v 27, 86 and for the logs 1 90 Besides the Val écululas (1 23), only Pallehséikha (v 12, v: 68) and Sanandanichárya (n 69) are actually mentioned by rame An interesting detail is the onposing of the names Spenter and Patalipatra (1 28) as on illustration of separate locality (similarly in the

Mahabhashra, see I St sin 3781 an the Yoga Satra ascribed to Patamiali (likewier called Saminga. Prayachana Sutral, with extracts from Bhops commentary upon it, was edited, text with travelation, to the extent of one balf, by Ballantyne th his Aphorasms, the second half appeared in the Pardit Nos. 28-63. edite 1 by Govinda dera sistrin --An Aryd pa Schditts by Sesta (whom the editor (dentifies with Potamial) in which the relation of profest and purushe is elucidated to a Vais' niva sense was edited by Edla dstrip in No 56 of the Pandit, trere exis s sises Suva sdaptation of it by Abli navagupta see Z D M G Extil According to Buhler's letter (2 St, Elv 402 ff), Albinavagun a as supposed to have died in a D GSa but Bubler has not himself verified the date, which is stated to occur in the hymn written by Abbinara on

has denibled

? Particularly in the twelfth book of the Mahd-Endrata, where with Jamala, he is rustically described as Jamala, he is rustically described as and helge of them teachers he is a precasely the Laddeps difference manufagers (M. Bh. sm. 1898 50c the Yagaszalikos-kinds the's borb gave appeared in studied to the grant or appeared in south to the grant or appeared in studied. So the first of the Laddeps difference with the suppose of the studied to the grant or appeared in studied. So was use extra the suppose of the suppose of the studied with the suppose of th

author of the Mahabhachy a remains for the present a quest.on. The word ross in the sense of 'union with the Supreme Being, absorption therein by virine of meditation, first occurs in the later Upanishada, especially in the tenth book of the Tarturya-Aram aka and in the Kathakoproushed, where this very doctrine is itself connected ... As there presented, it seems to rest substantially upon a dualism, that is, upon the 'arrangement' theory of the universe, in this sense, however, that in the Kathakonamshed at leget, purushe, primer al soul, is conceived as existing prior to anyakta, primordial matter, from the union of which two principles the right's atms, or spirit of life. is evolved. For the real its special connection with the Somebya system is still, in its details, somewhat obsome. however well attested at is externally by the constant juxtanosition of 'Sambhya-Toga,' generally as a combound. Both systems appear, in particular, to have conntenanced a confounding of their purisha, Grara with the chief divinities of the popular religion, Rudra and Krishna, as may be gathered from the Sretasrataropanished. the Phogavad-gits, and many portages in the twelfth book of the Mild-Bharata. One very peculiar side of the York

v. Uru. Emp in in sin T. dounder of war of Livrary classicion so homest or embly as the author of forcible objection ont by how att the America carell es of the York amount that some of the no.cts STORT

at I'm to these and make Upmaken, as a sa ta Mant's Dharmouters (cl. Johan zen's Essay on the Lor Book of Mann 185th that we have to look for the entirest permis

and the dessite Togs systems. and spendly as regula the monethrust o Tour stratem at embodier and doctrious of Chris satisfy at to be saremed or not , see J \$1, 1 423. my of this poem. From the pent Bim. The Up. pp. 277, 350. The

too, which he cross are not without secretaines but on the whole to

Las speaks over est maked the some of the signment the presupe a Months It's

" More percentally with regard and records of the athentic Eligibles to the Enigerals, Pilleburites, and Pitapata Coctrana IA Sitra di in my paper on the bretters the Pisthanten school, that name y, ter mouted I had to leave the point of Sardituled by Balanton to the undetermined whether, for the EU Index, 1851), is apparent a period to which this work belongs, rectioned by Sembin, Vedick S. Bh. at 2.45 It reza, Beeming'r, ayen the Ebsgaved gid, and lave organn'mor on h the corresponding special stress upon faith in the Sapress Being (that is there), are on it Corellano o m Co'chrockes Him. congress, on the other hand, as his Ess 1.438 Cirthedevelopment of the translation of the Phagered gitt doctrose of Fight, Wilson surnists Breslan, 1860) un exercity as Christian concepates to have hid some such an aconspirator in the same afferage, escall paper on the

doctring-and one which was more and more exclusively developed as time went on-is the Yoga practice, that is the outward means, such as penances, mortifications and the like, wherehy this absorption into the supreme God head is sought to be attained. In the epic poems, but especially in the Atharvopanishads, we encounter it in full force Panini too, teaches the formation of the term yourn The most flourishing epoch of the Simkhya-Yora he-

longs most probably to the first centuries of our era, the influence it exercised upon the development of Gnosticism in Asia Minor being unroistikable, while further, both through this channel and afterwards directly also, it had an important influence upon the growth of the Sufi philosophy . Albironr translated Patamiah's work into Arabic at the hemning of the eleventh century, and also, it would appear, the Samkhya-Sútra though the information we have as to the contents of these works does not harmonise with the Sanskrit orginals

The doctrines of the two Miriansas appear to have been reduced to their present systematio shape at a later period than those of the Samkbya, 23 and, as indicated by their respective names, in the case of the Purra-Mimansa earler than in the case of the Uttora-Mimansa The essential purpose of both Mimansas is to hring the doctrines enunciated in the Brahmanas or sacred revelation into harmony and accord with each other Precepts relating to practice form the subject of the Purva Mimansa, which is hence also styled Karria - Mimansa, while doctrines regarding the essence of the creative principle and its relation to the

Náraga-Pafickerátra (ed ted m Bill Ind by K. M Banery-a, 1851-6,) 19 aritual nota philosophical Vaishnava text-book.

[.] See [Las en, I AK , m. 379 ff] Gildemeister Smit Arab de reb Ind., p 112 ff † Pennaud in the Journ. Anat.

^{1°44,} pp 121-124 H M. Filot, medan India, 1 100

²³³ Now that the antiquity of the extart form of the Samkhya Satras according to Hall, has become an e-redingly doubtful, the view above expressed also becomes un its turn

very questionable Besides, as we shull presently see, in both the Miman 4-Sutras teachers are repeat edly c ted who are known to us from the Vedic Satra literature while nothing of the kind occurs in either of the Samkbya pravachana Sutras This does not of course touch the point of the higher ant quity of the doctrines in question , for the names Kapela, Patamush, and Yamavalkva distinctly carry us back to a far earlier time than do the names Jaumins and Bidarerens-namely. into the clos og phases of the Britmana literature stack

universe form the subject of the Littara-Miminsi, which is hence also designated Brahma - Mimanin Shriraka. Mandasa ('doctune of embodied spirit'), or also Vedinta ('end of the Veda') The term 'Mimansa' originally denotes merely speculation in general, it occurs frequently in this sense in the Brahmanas, and only became a technical expression later.254 as is probably the case also with 'Vedanta's word first occurring in the later Upanishads in the tenth book of the Tautinya-Aranyaka, the Kathakopanished, Mundakopanishad, &c.

The Karma - Mindned - Sulra is ascribed to Jamini. who is mentioned in the Puranas as the revealer of the Samayeda, though we search in vain in Vedic literature for any hint of his name. Still, of the teachers who

134 In the Mahdhhdabra, mindaeale, according to Karysta, to to be taken in the sense of minduda adhite; and as the term also occurs therein contradistincticuto autities 16 might 10 point of fict, refer to the subject of the Purva Mitmidal Still the proper word here for one epeci ally devoted to such atodies would rather seem to be pepasks, see I

St. xiii. 455 456. With the exception of two probably interpolated passages in the Griby's Sutras of the Rik (see pp 56-58) -Nor is there anything bouring on it in the Gapapatha of Panish of which todeed, for the present, only a negative use can be made, and even this only with proper cautiun But as the word is it regularly formed (from Jaman we should expect Jamen; theserrence stance may here, perhaps carry some weight (Apparentiy it is not found in the Mahabid bys either, see I St x 112 455 On the other hand the name James occurs in the concluding randa of the bams ridhina-Bribes (v I St av 372) and here the bearer of it is described as the disciple of Tyles Parkerys and precepter of a Pauling odga which answers exsettly to the statement in the V shau Pur Jul. 6 1, 4, where he appears as the tracher of Panshpupp (of also Ra

ghur, 18 32, 33) The special relation of January to the Same Veda appears also from the statements in the Ray Gribyas (see note 49 abore) which agree with Vishnu Par, in 4 8.0 Indred, the Charage vythe apecuses a Jammuive reception of the Semen , and this recension an pears to be still in existence (and note 60 above) In the Pravara section of the Atral Scanta-S , 211. to, the Jarminu are classed as belonging to the Bhrigen -All thus, however, does not afford us any direct clue to the date of our Jaimini shove, whose work, besides, is properly more related to the Yajur than to the Bama Veda According to the Panchetentra, the "Mindanikert Jammin was killed by an elephant-a statement which, considering the autiquity of this work, is always of some value, although, on the other hand, notortun stely su consequence of the many changes ats text has undergone we have no guarantee that this particaler notice formed part of the orig mal text which found its way to Pages on the sixth century (of I St . van 1591-There is also an astro lament (Jitaks) treatise which goes by the mame of Jamun Sutra , see Catal of Str MS N W Pro (1874) pp 508, 510, 514 5."]

are cited in this Satra-Atreya, Badari, Badarayana, Labukáyana (1), as Aitasayana—the names of the first and second, at all events, may be pointed out in the Taittiriya-Pratisakhya and the Sranta-Sútra of Katvayana respectively, while we meet with the family of the Aitasayanas in the Kanshitaki-Brahmana. Badarayana is the name of the author of the Brahms-Mimansa Sutra, but it by no means follows from the mention of him here that his Sútra is older than the Spira of Jaimini . for not only may the name, as a patronymic, have designated other persons besides, but in the Sutra of the Brahma-Mimansa the case is exactly reversed, and Jaimini in his turn is mentioned there. All that results from this, as well as from the fact of each Satra frequently citing its own reputed author, is rather that these Sútras were not really composed by these teachers themselves, but only by their respective schools t The name Badaravana is not to be found "in Panini," as has recently been erroneouslyiasserted t but only in the gana-patha to Panini, not a very rure guthority for the present -As leading expounders of the Jamini-Sútra we have mention of Sabara-svamin.256 and, after him, of Kumarila-bhatta, 2.60 the latter is said to have flourished prior to Samkara \$

³⁸ In the pissage in question (in 7, 37) ought we not to real Láras Képtan! Thus is the name of a teacher who is aswerd times men tissued in the Science Strine see J. 7. v. 754, 373—The apparent mention of Buddha in 12, 33 Ged-John Company of the Science Strine see J. 7. v. 754, 373—The apparent mention of Buddha and the Science Scienc

terised as the scum of the Ehrigu line "papushtha Ehriquindes." + See Colebrooke 1 102, 103, 328

By Max Muller in his otherwise must rainable contributions to our knowledge of Indian philosophy an the Z D M Q vn. 9.

and above p 40

in The commentary of Scheravémme, which is even cité by Samkars (Feddras-Streak, in 3; 3), with the text of Jammu itself, as at present still in course of publiación and the Saff Jed, et by Ma teste and the Saff Jed, et by Ma 1859; the heit part, 1871, brange h dawn to tr. 1 s.)—Millhars Jamonirs nying mills rutars edited by Quidatubler (1865 ff.), as in off unfambed, seemy I Sr. 1, 1966 it unfambed, seemy I Sr. 1, 1976 it

Tutistia. At all events, Tunistica or Tunistica, is interpreted by the activated of the Prabodia-chandrodays, 20 Q, ed Brockhaus, to mean Kumfrida and the same explanation is given by Aufrecht in his Okalobyas, p. 217, in the case of the Tutistica menhaned in Micharys.

\$ See Colebrooks, 1, 298 yet the tolerably modern title bhaffa ewakone some doubt as to this it may

Sura-dariana ameraha.

The Brahma-Sutra belongs, as we have just seen, to Badaravana The notion that creation is but Illusion, and that the transcendental Brahman is alone the Real but throning in absolute infinitude without any personal existence, is the fundamental doctrine of this system. The attempt is here made to demonstrate that this doctrine is the end and aim of the Veda itself, by bringing all Vedic passages into harmony with this monotheistic pantheism, and by refuting the various views of the Samkhya, or atherstic, the Yoga, or theistic, and the Nyaya, or destic schools &c The notice thus taken of the other systems would of itself seem to prove the posteriority of the Brahma. Satra, still, it is for the present uncertain whether its polemic is in fact directed against these systems in the form in which we now have them, or merely perhaps against the original tenets out of which these systems have sprung The teachers' names, at least, which are mentioned in the Brahma-Sútra recur to a large extent in the Srauta-Sútras, for example, Asmarathya in Asvalasana, † Badarı, Karshnajımı and Kasakrıtsnı in Katyayana [see above, p 130], and, lastly, Atreys in the Taithriya-Pratisakhya. The name Audulomi belongs exclusively to the Brahma-Sutra 137 The mention of Jaimini and of Badarayana himself has been already touched upon -Windischmann in his excellent "Samkara" (Bonn, 1832)

not have belonged to him originally perhaps? (According to Cowell, note to Colebrooke's Muc Ess., i 121, there actually occurre Samkara 'allusions to Kumárala bhatta, af no direct mention of him." the title blaffs belongs quite specially to him "he is emphatically de signed by his title Bhatta,' For the rest, this title belongs likewise to Bhatta Bhashara Misra and Bhattotpala, and therefore is not by any means 'tolerably modern 1 . This pame Uself occurs to the Bhagarai giti, xu 4 Lat here at may be taken as an appellative rather

than as a proper name + We have already seen (p 53) that the Asmarathah Kalpah in in stanced by Pfnint a schohast as an

example of the new Kalpan, in contradistinction to the earlier ones and so m regarded at of the same see with Panin II, as in bkely the scholant took this illustration from the Mahabhashya fout this is not the case, v 2 St | 244 455) then this statement is important. I may mention to passing that Asma rathya occurs in the gong 'Garra Audelome in the yana Biles, Krish nignam the games 'Tike and Upa ke " in the latter shee Kalakritana The Gons patha, however is a most sencertan authority, and for Panini a tune without worth.

25 Its foundin the Mahibhishya aleo, on Panint jv 1 85, 78. see

1 St. 211 415

has attempted directly to fix the age of the Brahma-Sutra. For Badarayana hears also the additional title of Vyasa whence, too, the Brahma-Sutra is expressly styled Vyasa Sútra Now, in the Samkara-vijaya-a biography of the celebrated Vedanta commentator Samkara, reputed to be by one of his disciples-we find it stated (see Windischmann n 85. Colebrooke, 1 104) that Vyasa was the name of the father of Suka, one of whose disciples was Gaudapada, the teacher of Govindanatha, who again was the preceptor of Samkara, 258 so that the date of this Vy isa might be conjecturally set down as from two to three centuries prior to Samkara, that is, between 400 and 500 But the point must remain for the present undetermined," since it is open to question whether this Vyasa ought really to be identified with Vyasa Badarayana, though this appears to me at least very prohable 259

³³ See now in Andrecht's Case (1994), 25%, the praints in question from Michlaus s' () Sankari, vilaya, y (justiev v tog according to the do of the work published at Sumbay in 1854 with Dhanspati sur's commentary), and stof, pader of the contract of the contract another work. The Samikar supof Annidagen, on the contract Antreatin p. 21% (flowed so in the BIAL Ind., edited by Jayandrifyan, 1860–1875) contains nothing of

* Samkara, on Brahma Sutra, m 32 mentions that Apantamas lived as Kri. hna-Dvaipeyana at the time of the transition from the Kali to the Dvápera guga and from the fact of his not at the same time ex pressly stating that this was Vyisa Bulardyana, author of the Brahma Sutra, Windischmann concludes. and justiv that in Samkara e eyes the two personages were distinct. In the Mahs Bharita on the con trary 111 12145 ff Suka sexpressly given to the son of Krishna Dear privent (Vvisa Pardiarva) But the episode in question is certainly one of the very latest mourtions as me elear from the allumon to the Chi

mas and Hinns, the Chinese and

Huns. 220 In the meastime, the pame Riderivana is only known to occur. beader in the closing parts of the Sima Vidhare Br tee I St. 17 377 , and here the bearer of it anpears as the disciple of Paralaryiya na, four etera later than Vydsa Pardfaren, and three later than Jaimini, but, on the other hand, as the teacher (1) of Tandin and Satyayanin Besides being mentioned in Jaimini. he is also cited in the Sandilya Satra In Varaha Mihira and Bhattotpala an astronomer of this name is re ferred to, and he, in his turn, ac 329), allades in a passage quoted from him by Utpula, to the Yarana. traddhas and, according to Kern PreL to Brib. Samb , p 51 "ex habits many Greek words, -The text of the Brahma Suira, with Sunkara a commentary, his now been published in the Bib! Ind edited by Hoer and (from part 3) Rama Naravana Vidyaratna (1854-1863 of the translation of both by K M Bauerjea, as of that in Ballan type a inharams only one part has appeared (1870)

In respect of their reduction to systematic shape, the logical Sutras of Kanada and Gotama appear to rank But this by no means indicates that these logical mouries are themselves of later origin-on the contrary. the other Sutras almost uniformly begin with such-but merely that the formal development of logic into two philosophical schools took place comparatively late Neither of the schools restricts itself to logic alone, each embraces, rather, a complete philosophical system, built up however, upon a purely dialectical method. But as yet little has been done to elucidate the points of difference between the two in this regard 200 The origin of the world is in both derived from atoms, which combine by the will of an arranging Power - Whether the name of the Hoduvas, who are described by Strabo as contentious dialecticians, is to be traced to the word pravidua, 'proof. as Lassen supposes is doubtful. The word tarka, 'doubt,' segain, in the Kathakopanishad, ought rather, from the context, to be referred to the Samkhya doctrines and should not be taken in the sense, which at a later period is its usual one, of 'logic' In Manu too (see Lassen. / AK, 1 835), according to the traditional interpretation tarken still denotes one versed in the Minima logic on Yet Manu is also acquainted with logic as a distinct

In this remeel Boar in partiouler has done excellent service in the copious potes to his translation of the Varieshika - Sotra he has throughout special regard to thus very point (in Z D M C, vols xx: xx: 1867, 1868) Before him, Muller with some of Ballan two a writings as a beau, had all ready taken the same line in yols vi and vn of the same Journal 1852 1853) The text of the Varieshiks Sutres with the com mentary called Upankars, of Sam kare mira, appeared in Bell Ind. in 1860 1861, edited, with a glors of his own, by Jays Wardyans Turkapanebanana, In the Pandst (Nos. 32-69) there is a complete transla tion of both text and commentary DY A T. Goude -Very Martyans has also since then (1864-65) mequivalent to arthandda wimanid.

edted in the Bibl Ind , the Nyara dericus of Gotama with the commentary of Vaterayene (Pakelile avainam) The earlier adition (1828) was accompanied with the com mentary of Visvanaths The first four backs have been translated by Ballantyne in his Antoristis

My We find the atomic theory especually developed among the James. and that me sastemalistic form wet so that the stopus matter and the tital principle are conceived to be so eternal mumste counce tion, tes my Lesay on the Bhaca watt of the Jennas, 11 165 176 190 226 We have a myshological application of it in the s-sumption of a prajápati Marichi see / St., 12.0

In Parast in 6 (vidler milespes exists the velat), 'ar'su

science as well as with the three leading methods of proof which it teaches, though not under the names that were afterwards usual According to the most recent investigations on the subject * "the terms navedurka and keralanamanka (Pan, 11. 1 49) would point to the Nyaya system as antecedent to Paning ' these words, however, do not occur in the text of Panini at all (which has merely the word kevala 1), but only in his scholiast t-Kanada's system bears the name Vauleshika Suira, because its ad herents assert that visesha, 'particularity,' is predicable of atoms, the system of Gotama, on the other band, is styled Nudua-Sútra, xar' eFoyne Which of the two is the older is still uncertain The circumstance that the doctrines of the Varseshikas are frequently the subject of refutation in the Vedanta-Sutra -- whereas Gotama's teaching is nowhere noticed, either in the text or in the commentaries upon it, as stated by Colebrooke (1. 352),-tells & priors in favour of the higher antiquity of the former, to but whether the author of the Vedanta had these doc trines of Kanada' before him in their systematised form. as has recently been assumed to a point still requiring investigation.204 - For the rest, these two systems are at

* By Max Muller I c. p. p. † This is one of the cases of which I have already spoken (p.

In the Simpley Sitts they are reve expressly manufactured by name (see p. 337), also in the sacred text of the Janus (v note 249)—The cureumstance that the Goisson Suria does not, like the other fire philosophical text-books, begue with the customary Sitts formula, "afth dath, may perhaps also be regarded as a sgu of their compensations."

t M. Küller, & c,p 9 "Whereas Kandda's doctrines are there fre quently discussed."

204 In neither of the Stitus are there references to older trackers whose names might supply some chronological guidance. As regards the names of their antions themselves, hand as or Karabbuy (Karabbaha) is menuoned by Var ha Mahra and Sunkara, while Akthaphaha so the Mahra and Sunkara, while Akthaphaha so far

as we know at present, se first men though by Middhava. Their patronymica, Kaivera and Gentama (this form to preferable to Gotama) date, st in true, from a very early time, but, berond this, they tell us nothing Of laterest, certainly, although without decience weight, is the iden tification-occurring in a late commentator (Anantayajvan) on the Pripmedba-Sutra of Gautame be longing to the Sama Veda-of this letter Gautama with Akshapida, see Burnell . Catalogue, p 57 -From Cowell's preface to his edition of the Kurumanjalı (1864) it epears that the commentary of Pa kahila-erdinin, whom he directly identifies with Vátsyáyana, was com peaced prior to Difindge, that is to may (see mote 210 above) comewhere about the beginning of the sixth century Uddyotakara, who as men troned by Subandles in the seventh century, wrote aramet Dubnica, and present and have been for a long time past, those most in favour in India, and it would also appear that among the philosophical writings contained in the Tibetan Tandjur, logical works are the most numerously represented

Bendes these six sistems, all of which won for themselves a general currency, and which on the whole are regarded as orthodyr—however sight is the title of the Sunkhya theory, for instance, to be so esteemed—as have trequent mention of certain heterodox users, as those of the Charvakas, Lankayatikas, 88 Báthaspafyas Of this last-mentioned smoot there must also have eusted a complete system, the Barhaspafya Sútra, but of all this nothing has arrivated axe occasional quotations, introduced with a view to their refutation, in the commentaires of the orthodox systems

We now come to the shill braish of the scientific literature, Astronomy, with its auxiliary sciences. We have already seen (pp 112, 113) that estronomy was cultivated to a considerable extent even in Vedic times, and we found it expressly specified by Strabo (see pp 29) so) as a favourite pursuit of the Brahmars. It was at the same time remarked, however, that the astronomy was still in a very elementary stage, the observations of the heavens being still wholly confined to a few fixed stars, more expectally to the twenty-seven or twenty eight limit asterning, and to the various phases of the moon itself we The circumstance that the Veduc year is a solar year of 360 days,

so did Vichteptil miles in the steath and Udvana, the surbe of the Russinshiphi, in the trellib century see also Cowells nobe to Colchendes after Eq., 128 Gas gaden Nigas Continuent, the most the continuent in the continuent of the continuent in the continuent in the continuent of the continuent in
nention of a "ramph Bhdgurl lokijatarya, see I St. xul 343

A Blaguri appears among the treather crite on the Brhaded-text. The Lokdystan are also repudented by the Buddhatt, Northern as wall as Southern, v Bursonl, John Anderson to bound be pp. 459, 479. The sums too, ranked by knowledge with force was a proper with force to the period of the first sums and the production of Syrra-darman suggrahu.

[&]quot;See ! St. 41 235-257
"IS The comment or astronomical data met with in the Bribmania are all of so extremely children and nave

description, see / St, 11 7,8 ff.

247

and not a lunar year, does indeed presuppose a tolerably accurate observation and computation of the sun's course. but, agreeably to what has just been stated, we can hardly magine that this computation proceeded upon the phenomena of the nocturnal heavens, and we must rather assume it to have been based upon the phenomena of the length or shortness of the day, &c To the elaboration of a quinquential cycle with an intercalary month a pretty early date must be assigned, since the latter is mentioned in the Rik-Sambita The idea of the four mundane ages, on the contrary-although its origin, from observation of the moon's phases, may possibly be of extreme antiquity 267 can only have attained to its complete development towards the close of the Vedic period Megasthenes, as we know, found the Yuga system flourishing in full perfection That the Hindu division of the moon's path into twentyseven (or twenty eight) lunar mansions is of Chinese origin as asserted by Biot (Journal des Savants, 1840, 1845, see Lassen, I AK, 1 742 ff) can hardly be admitted 253 Notwithstanding the accounts of Chinese writers, the contrary might equally well be the case, and the system might possibly have been introduced into China through the medium of Buddhism, especially as Buddhist writings adhere to the ancient order of the asterisms-commencing with Krittika-precisely as we find it among the Chinese 250

Courtes Observations sur quelqu's Points de l'Histoire de l'Astronome, (4863) and, lestly Whitney in the second vol or his Oriental and Lin guistic Studies (1874) To the viene expressed above I still event ally adhere, Whitney, too, melines to wards them In favour of Chalden having been the mother country of the system, one circumstance, smongst others, tells with especial force was, that from China, India, and Estylon we have precisely the same accounts of the length of the longest day , whilst the etatements, eg , in the Bundehesch on this head, exhibit a total divergence see Windisch mun (Zoronstrucke Sudien, p 105) 253 This assertion of Biot a has not

been confirmed the Chinese list commences with Chitrs (i.e. the autumnal equinox), or Uttara hadha.

²⁰⁷ Roth disputes this origin in his Essay, Die Jehre von den vier Bellaltern (1860, Juhngen)

⁴⁶ On the questions dealt with in what follows, a special discussion was rid of between J D Boot my self, and Will tray, in wheth A SS self, and Will tray, in wheth A SS self, and Will tray, in wheth A SS self, and Mix Miller alvo took put. Cf the Journal de Sewants for 18,9, and Boot a positionnous Little's ser I As to contain Indiance of Chanwas (255), and good propers, Dot Fedinders Indiance of Chanwas (255), and the SS self, and the SS

To me, however, the most probable view is that these lunar mansions are of Chaldren origin, and that from the Chaldwans they passed to the Hundre as well as to the Chinese For the nixto of the Book of Kings, and the n no of the Book of Job. m which the Biblical commentators errone ously refer to the zodiac, are just the Arabic . Lies. maneions, and here even Biot will hardly suppose a Chinese onoin The Indians may either have brought the know ledge of these lunar mansions with them into India, or else have obtained it at a later time through the commercial relations of the Phonicians with the Paulib At all ovents they were known to the Indians from a very early period and as communication with China is altogether inconcervable at a time when the Hindus were perhaps not even acquainted with the mouths of the Ganges, Chinese influ ence is here quite out of the question. The names of some of these asterisms occur even in the Rik Sambita (and that under peculiar forms), for example, the Aghas, se, Maghas, and the Arunyau, ie. Phalaunyau-a name also applied to them in the Satapatha Brahmana-in the nuptial hypin, mandala x 85 13, further, Tishya in mandala v 54 13, which, however, is referred by Sayana to the sun (see also z. 61. 8) The earliest complete enumeration of them, with their respective regents, is found in the Taittiriya-Sam-

(the winter seletice), both of which rather correspond to an arrangement in which Revati pames as the sign of the vernal equinos, see my first i esay on the Nakahateas p 300 -Cl here slee the account of the twenty-eight lunar asterisius, contamed in a letter from Wassiljew to Schiefner (see the latter a German translation of the Preface to Warnifen o Russian ren daring of Tirandtha s history of Bud dlasm, pp 30-32, 18691, and commin picated according to the commentary en the Buddhistle Lexicon Bald Typtpatti from the book Sannipita (Chipese Tatti king) According to this account, it was the astrono mer Klinroslitha (am s-lip) -a name which, as well as that of Zargair, who as Armenian suthonties state. englasted the ecience of astro

mome in Chaldre Washlew com pares with Zoronster but in which the Kraushtuki whose acquanit ance we make to the Atherra Pans Ises Fit. C Bt, 1869 P 14977who stranged the constellations to the order quoted in the Dictioners an question, that is, beginning with Articlet Afterwards there came enother Richt, Rile (Time I), who set up a new theory is regard to the motion of the constellations, slid so in course of time Chitra came to be named as the Erst externm To all appearance, this actually proves the fate and Buddhistic, origin of the Chinese Klo lust, oce Nakakatras, 1 106

on On this point see specially S. L 217

hitá, a second, which exhibits considerable variation in the names, betokening a later date, occurs in the Atharva-Samhita and the Taittiriya-Brahmana, the majority of the names are also given in Panini . This latter list contains for the most part the names employed by the later astronomers . and it is precisely these later ones that are enumerated in the so-called Jyotisha or Vedic Calendar (along with the zodiacal signs too!) To this latter treatise an importance has hitherto been attributed to which its contents do not entitle it. Should my conjecture be confirmed that the Legadhe, Lagata, whose system it embodies, is identical with the Lat who is mentioned by Albirini as the author of the ancient Surya-Siddhanta [see, however, p 258 n], then it would fall in the fourth or fifth century of our era, and even this might almost seem too high an antiquity for this somewhat insignificant tract, which has only had a certain significance attached to it on account of its being ranked with the Veda."

A decided advance in astronomical science was made through the discovery of the planets. The earliest mention of these occurs, perhaps, in the Taittinva-Aranyaka, though this is still necestain, an beyond this, they are not noticed in any other work of the Vedic period 272 Manu's

on the Fromba, p to / St 12. 363, 442 2 239, 240.-The two Rik pas eages which are thought by Alf Ledwir, in his recently published Nachrichten des Rig und Atharon. Veda Wer Geographie, de , des alten Induse, to copte p an allusion to the planets (1 105 10, x 55 3), can bardly have any such reference. Neither the Satrayanaks, cited by Siyana to i 105 to, nor Siyana humeelf, hasany thought of the planets bere (see ! SL, IZ 353 n) For the derechard graddh of Ath. 8, 19 9. 7, the Ath Pansishtas offer other parallels, showing that here too the planets are not to be thought of especially as immediately afterwards,

m v 10, the grands chandramasth rahund' are enumedelityith rated where distinctly, the aliasion is only to eclipses This particular section of the Ath S (19 7) is, moregver quite a late producti u,

BLC I SL. IV 433 IL

^{*} This is why it adheres to the old order of the lunar asterisms, as in done even at the present day in writinca that bear apon the Veda [Ac cording to the apecial examination of the various points here snyelved, in the introduction to my Fran on the Jyotisha (1862) a somewhat earlier term is possible usuming of course, as I there do, that those verses which betoken Greek munence do not veally belong to the text as it origi-nally stood. The author appears occanonally also under the name Lacadichirva, see above, p. 61. nots 1

[&]quot;I The passages referred to are to fact to be understood in a totally different sense . see I St. 12 762 x

²⁷¹ m2 The Maitrayant Up forms the single exception but that only meta last two books described as Lloke see above notes 103 104. On the subject itself, see further my Louv

law-book is unacquainted with them, Yajnavalkya's Code, however -- and this is significant as to the difference in age of these two works-inculcates their worship! in the dramas of Kalidasa in the Mrichhakati and the Maha-Bharata, as well as the Ramavana, they are repeatedly referred to . Their names are peculiar, and of purely Indian origin, three of them are thereby designated as sons respectively of the Sun (Saturn), of the Earth (Mars) and of the Moon (Mercury), and the remaining two as representatives of the two oldest families of Rishis,—Angiras (Jupiter) and Bhrigu (Venus) The last two names are probably connected with the fact that it was the adhe rents of the Atharta-Veda-which was likewise specially associated with the Rishis Afigiras and Bhrigu-who at this time took the lead in the cultivation of astronomy and astrology † Besides these names others are also common . Mars, for example, is termed 'the Red.' Venus, 'the White' or 'Beaming,' Saturn, 'the Slow-travelling,' this last being the only one of the names that testifies to any real astronomical observation. To these seven planets (sun and moon being included) the Indians added two others. Rabu and Ketu, the 'head' and 'tail' respectively of the monster who is conceived to be the cause of the solar and lugar eclipses The name of the former Rahu, first occurs in the Chhendegyopanishad.273 though here it can hardly be taken in the sense of 'planet,' the latter, on the contrary, is first mentioned in Yajnavalkya. But this number nine is not the original number -if indeed it be to the planets that the passage of the Tuttiriya-Aranyaka, above instanced, refers as only seven (sapta sur udh) are there mentioned The term for planet, graha, the seizer, is evidently of astrological origin, indeed, astrology was the focus in which astronomical inquiries generally converged and from which they drew light and ammation after the practical evigencies of worship had been once for all satis Whether the Hundus discovered the planets inde-

^{*} In Pan 18 2.26 token might be referred to the planet Sukes but it is preferable to take it in the sense of Soma pince

[†] Wacote Bhargara came to ag

mily 'an unitologer, see Daiakumaira ed Welson, p. 162-11 27 Of also lithula as the name of Buddhas son, who, however, also appears as Lighuia, see I St. un.

appears as Lighulz, see 1 St.: 130, 149

pendently, or whether the knowledge came to them from without, cannot as yet be determined, but the systematic peculiarity of the nomenclature points in the meantime to the former view.²¹

It.was, honever, Greek influence that first influence a real life into Indian astronomy. This occupies a much more important position in relation to it than bas butherto been supposed, and the fact that this is so, or just implies that Greek influence affected other branches of the literature as well, even though we may be unable at present directly to trace it elsewhere ²⁷⁵. Here it is necessary to insert a few particulars as to the relations of the Greeks with the Indians.

The invasion of the Panjab by Alexander was followed by the establishment of the Greek monarchies of Bactria, whois sway, in the period of their prime, extended, although only for a brief season, over the Panjab as far as Gujant 123 Concurrently therewith, the first Seleucide, as well as the Proletmes, frequently maintained direct rulations, by means of ambassadors, with the court of Patalnuits. 2 and thus it comes that in the inscription

¹⁴ Still it has to be remarked that in the Atharva Parisinhas, which, with the Jyotuba, represent the o cast remains of Indian astrology the sphere of influence of the planets appears in special connection with their Greek names, see I St, vin

All3, x 319
Ct my poper, Indische Beitrege
wr Geschicht der Aussynathe des Gruchischen in the Monateberuchts der Beil Acad, 1871, p 613 translated in Ind An q n 143 ft, 1873.

⁷⁴ According to Göldsinsler, the statement in this Michibidays as to a then precut step of Sakets (Ouds) a Yavana punce has reference to Mennder; while the accounts me the Yoga Purine of the Gârgi Sam hitt even monk of an expendition of the Lavanias after as Plat's patra. But then the questions of the Saket putter and the precision of the Saket putter. The purity of the Parket was a present of the Parket who are meant feet? Saket 13(8), or possibly marrly there 1000-Seythan or other successor.

to whom the name was afterwards transferred, sea I &, and 306, 307, also note 202 above.

Thue Magasthensa was sent by Selvucus to Chandragupts (d B C 291), Demachus, again, by Au trockus, and Dionysius, and must probably Banks also, by Ptolemy II to Amproxdens Amitraghata, son of Chandragupta [Antiuchus con-cluded an allianca with Zupaya efeus, Bubbagasena (1) Seleucus even gave Chandragupta his daughter to wife, Lassen, I AK, n 208 Talboya Wheeler, History of India (1874), p 177 In the retinue of this Greek princess there of course came to Pataliputra Greek dames's as her waiting maids, and these must have found particular favour in the eyes of the Indians, especially of their princes. For not emiy bre guederor ederbeit Toot way Austra mentioned as an article of traffic for India, but in Indian in scriptions also we find lavant girls

of Piyadasi we find mention of the names of Antigonus. Magas, Antiochus, Ptolemy, perhans even of Alexander himself (cf p 179), ostensibly as vassals of the king, which is of course mere empty boasting. As the result of these embassies, the commercial intercourse between Alexandra and the west coast of India became particularly brisk, and the city of Unayini, 'Other, rose in consequence to a high pitch of prosperity Philostratus, in his life of Apollonius of Tyans-a work written in the second century Ap, and based mainly on the accounts of Damis, a disciple of Apollonies, who accompanied the latter in his travels through India about the year 50 ADmentions the high esteem in which Greek literature was held by the Brahmans, and that it was studied by almost all persons of the higher ranks (Respand, Mem sur I Inde. pp 85, 87) This is not very high authority, it is true [of Lassen, I AK, m 358 ff], the statement may he an exaggeration, but still it accords with the data which wa have now to adduce, and which can only be explained upon the supposition of a very lively intellectual interchange For the Indian astronomers regularly speak of the Yavanas as their teachers but whether this also anplies to Parassra, who is reputed to be the oldest Indian astronomer, 13 still uncertain To judge from the quotations, he computes by the lunar mansions, and would seem, accordingly, to stand upon an independent footing But of Garga, who passes for the next oldest astronomer,

. The name of Pardiara, as well se that of Garan belongs only to the last stage of Vedit literature, to the Armyakas and the Sutras in the earlier works neither of the two names is mentioned. The family of the Parasaras as represented with particular frequency in the later members of the sunfer of the batapatha Brahmana a Garga and a Paratire are also named in the Applerament as Rights of several hymna of the Rik and another Parasara appears in Parim as author of the Blukehn Satra see pp 141 185 The Gargas must bave played a very susportan part at the time of the Mahibhishya, in the eyes of the sutbor at all events, for on almost

specified as tribute, while in Indian literature, and especially is Kall clica, we are informed that Indian princes were waited upon by Yavanis, Lassen 1 AK, 11 551 957, 1159, and my Preface to the Millsvika p alva The meter of these damagle being dayoted to Eron, at is not a very far fetched conjecture that it may have been owing to their influence that the Hindu god of Love like the Greek Eres, bears a dolphin (makira) on his banner and like him is the son of the goddess of Beauty , see Z D M Q, ny 160 (For makara = dalphin, see Journ Bont Er R A S, v 73 34, / Str. u. 169], and ef is ther ! SL, u. 380]

an oft-quoted verse has come down to us, in which he extols the Yayanas on account of their astronomical knowledge The enc tradition, again, gives as the earliest astronomer the Asura Maya, and asserts that to him the sun-god himself imparted the knowledge of the stars I have already elsewhere (I St. n. 243) expressed the conjecture that this 'Asura Maya' is identical with the Ptolemaios' of the Greeks, since this latter name, as we see from the inscriptions of Piyadasi, became in Indian 'Turamaya,' out of which the name 'Asura Maya' might very easily grow, and since, by the later tradition (that of the Jnana-hhaskara, for instance) this Maya is disunctly assigned to Romaka-pura an the West Lastly. of the five Siddhantas named as the earliest astronomical systems one-the Romaka-Siddhanta-is denoted by its very name, as of Greek origin, while a second—the Paulisa-Siddhanta-is expressly stated by Albruni to have been composed by Paulus al Yunani, and is accordingly, perhaps, to be regarded as a translation of the Eloayarri of Paulus Alexandrinus 277 The astronomers

every occasion when it is a question of a patronymae or other amains a fair their name in introduced among those given as extraplet as a fair their name in introduced among those given as extraplet as a fair that the state of the state of the state of the state of their state

Sir, in [47]

Sir, in [47]

Sir in [47]

Sir in [7]

Sir in [7]

Sir in [7]

Sir in [7]

Sir in [8]

Sir in the Berl Lob, pr 258 in reference to the nums Romana I may make as observation in pessing whereas in Balda Bhérata an lock bern created from the runs kayas (harp porce) or Virabh dra, at the destruction of Dakhas swarffee at the time of Refingions 15 g, their nate mus. have been 11 unknown, more other tubes or 11 unknown, more other tubes or 11 unknown, are supringing from the word kepts I field the author been word kepts I field the author been consistent of the supringing from the contact of the supringing from the supringinging from the supringing from the supringing from the supringing f

sequented with the name, he would scarcely here failed to make a sunder one of it to that found in the Make Bharata. [Cf. my Essay on the Ramayana, p. 23 ff.]

† Albirúni resided a considerable time in India, in the following of Mahmud of Ghanna, and sequired there a very accurate knowledge of Sauckrat and of Indian literature, of which he has left us a very valuable arcount, written a.p. 1031 Ex tracts from this highly amportant Norl were communicated by Remand. in the Journ. Apat for 1844 and na has Men. sur i Inde to 1819 falso by Woopeke fold, 1853] the text promised so long ago as 1843 and mo t eagerly looked for ever since has, unfortunately, not so yet ap peared [Ed Sachau, of Vienna, 19 at present engaged in editing it and, from his energy we may now at

length expect that this grievous wan will be speedily supplied]

The Such a direct somection of the Pulisa Siddhanta with the Escretz sattended with difficulty.

and astronomical works just instanced-Garga, Maya, the Romaka-Siddhanta and the Paulisa-Siddhanta-are, it is true, known to us only through isolated quotations. and it might still be open to doubt, perhaps, whether in their case the presence of Greek influence can really be established; although the assertion, for instance-that Pulisa, in opposition to Arrabhata,278 began the day at midnight, is of itself pretty conclusive as to his Western onem. But all doubt disappears when we look at the great mass of Greek words employed in his writings by Varaha Mihira, to whom Indian astronomers assigned, in Albiruni's day, as they still do in our own, the date 504 AD -employed, too, in a way which clearly indicates that they had long been in current use. Nay, one of his works -the Hora-Sastra-even bears a Greek title (from won), and in it he not only gives the entire list of the Greek names of the zedizcal signs and planets, but he also directly employs several of the latter-namely. Are, Asphunt, and Kona-side by side with the Indian names, and just as frequently as he does these. The signs of the

from the fact that the appliations from Pulsis do not accord with it. being rather of an astronomical than an estrological description. That the Elegraphy however, was steelf known to the Handris, in some form or other, finds support in the erroun stance that it alone contains nearly the whole of the technical terms adopted by Indian altronomy from the Greek, see Kert's Preface to his edition of Varion Mibira's Buhat Samb., p 49 -Considerable interest attaches to the argument put forward by H Jacobs in his tracts De Astroloma Induce Hord Appellato Orangins (Boon, 1872), to the effect that the system of the twelve manage occurs first as Far micus Maternus (AD 336-354), and that consequently the Indian Horstexts, in which these are of such fundamental significance, can only bave been composed at a stul later date

We This, and not Aryshhetta, is the proper spaling of his name, as is shown by the metre in his sam

work (Gando-pida, v 1) This was pointed out by Eban Daji is J R. A S, L 392 (2864) See Colebrooks, is, 451 (415 ed.

Cowell) + These are the following Briva apibs, Tovurs ralpot, Jetama blovuos, Kulira abhospo (1), Lega hlur, Pa thene restirot, Jaka torer, Kaurpus greenes Taukshika referes Akokers alydrepur, Hridrogs phogrow It'ha txees, further, Hels Thies Histona Loung, Ara Appe, Kone Kobros, Iyan Zeve, Asphujit 'Appellin Then, names were made known to long ago as 1827 by C M Whish, m the first part of the Transactions of the Laterary Society of Madras, and have since been frequently pubished, see in particular Lassen, in Zettich f d Lunde des Morg , 17 206, 318 (1842), lately again in my Catal of the Sansl. MSS in the Berl Lab , p 238 -Hord and Len drs had long previously been iden tified by Pero Pons with Gon and Elerpor ace Lettres Edil , 25 235, 237, Pans, 1743

Although most of these names denote astrological relations, still, on the other hand, in the division of the heavens into zodiacal signs, decans, and degrees, they comprise all that the Hindus lacked, and that was necessary to enable them to cultivate astronomy in a scientific spirit And accordingly we find that they turned these Greek aids to good account, rectifying, in the first place, the order of their lunar asterisms, which was no longer in accordance with reality so that the two which came last in the old order occupy the two first places in the new, and even, it would seem, in some points independently advancing satronomical science further than the Greeks themselves did Their fame spread in turn to the West . and the Andubarius (or, probably, Ardubarius), whom the Chronicon Paschalet places in primeval times as the earliest Indian astronomer, is doubtless none other than Aryabhata the rival of Pulisa, who is likewise extelled by the Araba under the name Ariabahr For, during the eighth and ninth centuries, the Arabs were in astronomy the disciples of the Hindus, from whom they borrowed the lunar mansions in their new order, and whose Siddhantas (Sindhends) they frequently worked up and translated,-in part under the supervision of Indian astronomers themselves, whom the Khalifs of Bagdad, &c., invited to their courts. The same thing took place also

^{*} See / St 11 254.

ing to Jacobs i e To this list be long further the word karrya = d for Kern i e p 20

[†] The Chromicon Patrinle num

nally dates from the time of Constantin (330) it underwent however a fresh recension under Herzehus (610-641), and the name Andubrius unsy have been introduced then

in tegard to Algebra and Arithmetic in particular in both of which, it appears, the Hindús attained, quite indepen-dently, 250 to a high degree of profinency 251 It is to them also that we owe the ingenious invention of the numerical symbols," which in like manner massed from them to the

famous paper On the Algebra of the greet them their special significance Hardins (1817) in Misc. Lin , 21 446. Weepeles in his above quoted Miss. 401 ed Cowell Weepeles, indeed sur la propag des Chifres Indices (Alen sur la propagation des Chafres (Journ Asiat, 1863), is af opinion Indiens. Paris, 1261, pp 75-91), is of opinion that the eccount an the Lahta Vistara of the problem from fates he the Neo Pethagoreana solved by Buddha on the occasion of Alexandria, and that the soof his marriers examination, relative to the number of atoms in the length of a system, so the buse of the "Areuerun" of Archimeden tion are only one of the latest elages (se 187-213) But the age of the of laden purpercal notation, and Lahta Vistara to by no means so that a great many other netations well ascertuped that the severe preceded them According to Ed might not equally well be the case. 10 / St , Till 325, 326 , Reinsud, Mem sur l Inde p 101

the oldest known trace of these occurs, currously, to Pragala a Treatme on Proscay, on the last chap ter of which (premimably a literadd) tion), the permutations of longs and shorts possible in a metre with e fixed number of spilebles are set forth in an emematical form, see I Sh, was 425 E, 324-326-Ou geometry the Sulva-Sitras, appear tumpe to the Scauts ratus), furnish highly remarkable information, see Thibaut a Address to the Arran Section of the Landon International Concress of Grientalists, pn the energy number of Trainer's American and Original Laterary Record, 1874, pp 27, 28, according to which there Spires even contain attempts at aquaring the circle . The Indian figures from 1-9

are abbreviated forms of the mutual letters of the numerals themselves of the smaller nota sen of the musical tones] the zero ton, her arisen out of the first letter of the thursons unfortunder) Zer Ge word tinya, supty lis occurs even schol's der Mathemain (1074) p in Pingala, L c His the decunal 329 ff, declares Weepchre opinion

250 But at Colebrooks in his place rates of three figures which that even prior to their adoption by the Arabs they bad been obtained called Gobar Sgures are traceable to there But against this it has to be remarked that the feures in ones ward Thomas to the Journ Anat for the same year (1852) the earliest enstances of the use of these firms a belong to the guddle of the secontly conture , whereas the employment of the older numerical symbols is memonstrainte from the fourth contury downwards Breake I St, vin. Valebal Plates scotts to be that whose letters most closely approach the forms of the figures Burnell has gothe recently, in his Elem S fad Pal . p 46 ff , questioned altogether the pouncetion of the figures with the first letters of the nume rals, end he supposes them or rather the older 'Care Numerals.' from which he drestly denves them, to kare been introduced from Alexandres staggether with Greek Astrology In this I canuo' in the membiane agree with bim are my remarks in the Jenser La Z 1875 No 24, p 410 Arrongst other thorgs, I there call epectal attention to the executations that Hermann

Hankel an his excellen a ork fore

Arabs, and from these again to European scholars. By these latter who were the discribes of the Arabs, frequent alfusion is made to the Indians, and uniformly in terms of high esteem and one Sanskrit word even—uchcha, signifying the apex of a planet's orbit—has passed, though in a form somewhat difficult to recognise (aux, genit, augus), into the Latin translations of Arabian astronomers. (see Remand, p. 325)

itemand, p 325]
As regards the age and order of sequence of the various Indian astronomers, of whom works or fragments of works still survive, we do not even here escape from the uncertainty which everywhere throughout Indian literature attends questions of the kind. At their bead stands the Aryabinta already mentioned, of whose writings we possess at present only a few sorry scraps, though possibly fuller fragments may get in course of time be recovered ⁵⁶⁴ He appears to have been a contemporary of Palisa, and, in any case, he was indebted to Greek influence, since in reckons by the zoducial signs. According to Albirini, he

to the effect that the Neo Pyths gurrans were acquented with the new figures having place value and with the error be acrossed, and the entire passage in Boothus on which this opinion is grounded to an interpolation of the teeth or eleventh century!

***See also Weocke, Sur Fistro

25 See also Woopcke, Sur l'Intro duction de l'Arithmétique Induenne en Occident (Pome, 1850).

ms As also according to Remand a ingenious conjecture (p. 373 ff.) the name of Ujiayud treelf—through a micreading namely of the Arabic place of Arabic and Ujiayud because the coupole of Arabic coupole of Arabic coupole of Arabic population of Ujiayud because the coupole of Arabic population of the Arabic place of Arabic place place of Arabic place of Arabic place place of Arabic place place of Arabic place pla

104 The researches of Whatney in Jers 4m Or See vs 550 ft 18500 and of Bhún Dáis in J. R. A. S. 1902 ft 18505 have brought in s full light upon this point. From these in appears that of Arpabhata there are still extent the Dologits Suiva and the Arpabhatanta both of which have been already edited by Kern 18734 under the title Argabhatigs.

together with the commentary of Peramidistara of A. Barth in the Retue Critique 1875, To 241-257 According to his own eccount therein given Aryabhata was born a D 476, lived in Lastern India at Ku uma purs (Palibothra) and composed this work at the early aga of twenty three In at he teaches, amongst other things a quite peculiar numerical notation hymeans of letters .- The larger work extant under the title Arra Sid dhants in eighteen adh jayas is evidentiy a subsequent production , see Hall on Journ. Am. Or Sec. vi 576 (1860) and Aufrecht, Calalogus. pp 325 326 Bentley thinks it was nut composed until A.D 1322 and Bhin Dajl, i c, pp 393, 394, be heres Bentley ' was here for once correct."-Wilson Mack Coll, 1. 189 and Lassen, I &K, 11. 1136 apeak also of a commentary by Arra bhata on the Súrya Siddháuta this ry doubtless to be ascribed to Laghu Aryathata (Bhiu Diji, p 405) See also Kern Pret to Prob Sonh , p 59 F

was a native of Kusumspura, 26, Patahputra, and belonged consequently to the east of India. Together with him the authors of the following five Siddhantas are looked upon as ancient astronomers-namely the unknown author of the Brahma-Suldhanta or Pastamaha-Suldhanta next, the author of the Saura-Siddhanta, who is called Lat hy Albiruni, and may possibly be identical with the Lagata Lagadha mentioned as author of the Vedanoa treatisa Jyotisha as well as with Ladha, a writer occasion ally quoted by Brahmagupta, t further, Pulisa author of the Paulisa-Siddhanta, and lastly, Srishena and Vishnuchandra, to whom the Romala-Siddhania and the Varishiha-Siddhanta-works said to be based upon Arvabhata's system 25-are respectively attributed Of these five Siddhantas, not one seems to have survived. There exist works, it is true, hearing the names Brahma-Siddhanta. Vasishtha-Siddhanta, Sarya-Siddhanta and Romaka-Siddhanta, but that these are not the ancient works so entitled appears from the fact that the quotations from the latter, preserved to us by the scholasts, are not contained in them. 296 In point of fact, three distinct Vasishtha-Siddhantas, and, similarly, three distinct Brahma-Siddhantas,

" Albironi names Brahmarupta as the author of this Brahma-Sid dhanta but this is erropeous. Per have Renaud has misunderstood the Dansage (p. 332)

+ Lidha may very well have areen ont of Lagadha, [the form ldta, however see Kern, Pref to Brib Samh , p 53 points rather to Asser \$1

29 See in this point Kern Pref. to Brib, Sunh In 43-50 Up to Ci Kern, Le., pp. 44-46

the present only the Burys Siddhinta has been published with Rangensthe commentary, in the Bibl. Ind. (1854-59), ed. by Fitzedward Hall and Bird Dera Sixton , also a trans lation by the latter, thid (1860, 1861). Simultaneously there appeared in the Journ Am. Or Sec., vol tr. s translat on, nominally by Eb. Bargess, with an excellent and very thorough commentary by W D Whitney, who has recently (see Oriental and Linguistic Studies, it. 260) assumed "the entire responsi helity for that publication in all its parta ' In his view p 326, the Surre Seddhints 15 "one of the most agreent and original of the works which present the modern astronomical accepte of the Hindus." but how far the existing text 118 sdentical to substance and extent with that of the original Surya Sid

dhants" to for the present doubtful

²rd As also upon Ldts. Vanishtha. and Vijayanandin, according to Bhan Daji, t e, p 408 In the latters opinion the Romaka-Sad dhanta is to be assigned to Sake 427 (A.D 505) and was "composed in accordance with the work I some Roman or Greek author Bhattot pale likewise mentions, amongst others a tavancevara Sphupdbraja (or Auphe), a name in which Bhis Din loke for a Spensipping but Kern (Pref to Brib. Samb . p 48) for an Aphrediana.

are cited. One of these last, which expressly purports to be a recast of an eather work has for its author Brahmagupta whose date according to Albfram, is the year ap 664, which corresponds pretty closely with the date assigned to him by the modern astronomers of Unavied, a D 625 207 To him also belongs according to Albertini + a work named Ahargana, corrupted by the Arabs into Arkand This Arkand, the Sindhends fre, the five Saldhautas), and the system of Ariabahr (Arvathata) were the works which, as already remarked, were principally studied and in part translated by the Ataba in the eighth and ninth centuries -On the other hand, the Arabs do not mention Varaha-Mihira, although he was prior to Brahmagupta, as the latter repeatedly alludes to him, and although he gathered up the teaching of these five Siddhantas in a work which is hence styled by the commentators Punchasidiliantila. but which he himself calls by the name Karana This work seems to have perished,259 and only the astrological works of Varaha-Mihira have come down to us-namely, the Samhifat and the Hora Sastra The latter, however, is

Albirum gives a notice of the contents of this recust it and it e Paulida Sulthinta were the only two of these Sulthintas he was able to procure

produce

of this litter date is based on
he soon sends in the Britains Sphars
Schädheite, 4, 7, 5, when, a such
acted the composed 550 years after
acted the sound of Juliano and the here
acted for the sound of Juliano and the here
acted for the sound of Juliano and the here
acted for the sound of Juliano and the here
acted for the sound of Juliano
ac

200 Ye terday I heard of a second MS of the Lubchased thantiff

Bublers letter of 1st April 1875 See now Bublers special report on the P-dechaseddhantika in Ind Antiq 1 18 316

1 ln a double edition as Brekat Samheed and to Sambia Samheed. Of the former Albirdal gives an some extracte, see also my Cutal, of the Sansk MSS in the Bert Lib ip 233-254 [Fur an excellent edition of the Bubat Sambita (Bibl Ind. 1864-65) we are indebted to Kern. who is at a publishing a translation of at trhees 1 -lazzer thus farl in the Journ R A S 1v - 71 (1870-74). There al o exists an excellent commentary on it by Bhattotpala, drawn up Sale 883 (a.b 966) and distin guished by sta exceedingly copious quotations or parallel passages from vardha Nichira e predecessors. In the Britar Jataka, 26 5, the latter calls himself the son of Adiryadisa and ar Arantika or native of Avanta te, Ujjayma)

incomplete, only one-third of it being extant.* He men tions a great number of predecessors, whose names are in part only known to us through him, for instance Maya and the Yavanas (frequently), Parasara, Mamitha 25 Sak-tipurva, Vishnugupta p Devasyamin, Siddhasena, Vaira Jivasarman, Satva 200 &c Of Arvabhata no direct mention is made, possibly for the reason that he did nothing for astrology in the Karana be would naturally be mentioned. While Aryabbata still computes by the era of Yudhishthira, Varaha-Mihira employs the Sala-I ála, Saka-bhuna-kula, or Sakendra-kula, the era of the Saka king, which is referred by his scholiast to Vikramas cra 222 Brahmagupta, on the contrary, reckons by the Sala-nrypanta-which, according to him, took place in the year 3179 of the Kali age-that is to say, by the era of Salivahana. - The tradition as to the date of Varaha-Mihira has already been given as the statements of the astronomers of to-day correspond with those current in Albiruni's time, we may reasonably take them as trustworthy, and accord-

of the names of teachers quoted in the Ephant Samhrif, among whom are Eidardyana and Karebbul, see Kerna Preface, n 20 ff. 1

Erm a Prelace, p. 29 E.]

**Erm Frelace, p. 51, remarks
that, according to Unpula, he was
also called Bandatta, but Aufrecht
in his Catalogue, p. 329 h. Eladanta. In the Jyottry of Scharma.
Sarya stands at the head of the
sages at Vikingua scout. reo Z. D.

**M. G. TRI, TRIL, TRI

³⁴ And as a matter of fact we find is Bhatterleis a quotation from the work in which he is men toned see hern, J. R. A. S. xz. 933 (1851), Bhiu Didj. 1. c. 405. In nother such quotation Variabi Mihar refers to the year 247 of the Sala kila, and also to the Romaks Sulfilluta and Paulis. Bhid Didj. 1. 407.

This statement of Culebrooke a marts (428 ed. Coneil), of aboot Lause J. A.R. u. 50, is unfounded. According to Kern Preface, p. 6 ff. both in Variba-Mibra and Utpain, only the so-called era of Civil bane is meant.

^{*} Namely, the Játake portion (that relating to netivities) alone . and this in a double arrangement. as Laghu-Jataka and as Brahay-Idials the former was translated by Albirani into Arabic [The text of the first two chaps, was published by me, with translation, in I St , it. 277 the remainder was edited by Jacobs in his degree dissertation (1872) It was also published at Bombay in 1867 with Bhattotpale s commentary similarly, the Britan Jutaka at Benares and Boutboy Kerne Pref, p 26 The text of the first three chaps of the Faird appeared, with translation in I St z. 161 ff. The third part of the Horá Sástra, the Funda parala, is etill inedited.]

Thu name I conjecture to represent Manetho author of the Apotelesmats, and in this Kern agrees with me [Frel to Brib Samh, p 52]

[†] This is also a name of Chana kya, Dasakum. 183.5 ed. Walson. (For a complete list and examination

ing to these he flourished in AD 504. Whi Min is at various, on the one hand, with the tradition which regards him as one of the 'mine geins' of Vikrama's court, and which identifies the latter with king Bloque, which required about AD 1050. What and on the other hand, also with the assertion of the astronomer Satanands, who, in the introduction to him Bhasvati-Karana, seemingly as knowledges himself to be the disciple of Mihim, and at the same time states that be composed this work Sake 1021 (AAD 1099). This passage, however, is obscure, and may perhaps refer needly to the institution drawn by the author from Mihima's writings, so therwise we should have to admit the evistence of a second Varha-Mihima, who flourished in the middle of the eleventh century, that is, contemporaneously with Albfrand. Strango in that case that the latter should not have mentioned him!

After Varha-Mihim; and Brahmaeyupa various other.

After Varians-Nillita and Brahmagupta various other astronomes distinguished themselves Of these, the most emment is Blaskara, to the question of whose age, however, a peruliar difficulty attaches. According to his own account, ho was horn Salz 1036 (AD 1114), and completed the Siddhants stroman Salz 1072 (AD 1134), and with this the modern astronomers agree, who assign to him the date modern astronomers agree, who assign to him the date Salz 1072 (AD 1159). But Albfuril, who wrote in AD

Ti Kern Profuce, p 3, thinks this is perhaps his birth year the vear of his death being given by Amaraja ascholiaston Brahmagupts, an Sale 509 (A.D 537)

This identification falls of course. If Varshis Militra really was one of the bine gens of Vikrains a court, then this particular Vikrama must simply have regard in the airth century. But the pre-liminary question is whether he was one of these gens. See the state ments of the Jyotavad thermap.

⁷¹⁵ See e q , Aufrecht Cutatoguz, p 327h 328h

Moreover Satisfands, at the clee of his work—in a fragment of it in the Chambers collection (see my Chial of the Corp. MS3 Pol.

Lib , p 234}-steme to speak of himself as bring Sale 017 (4.0 995) How as this contradiction to be ex pluned ! See Calebrooke, at 390 ftar ed Cowell The passage in question probably does not refer to the author's lifetime, unfortunately it is so uncertain that I do not under stand its real meaning As, howe ever, there is mention immidiately before of halt #200 = A.D 1000, ex actly as in Colebrooke this date is pretty well established. The allu men to Mibira might possibly, an undicated by the scholast Balabbadra, not refer to Varsha-Mihira at all, but merely to making, the sun i]

This also agrees with an in ecription dated Sale 1128 and re lating to a grandson of Bhaskara, whose Saddhanta-aroman is hero

1031 (that 1s, 33 years before Bhaskara's burth) not merely mentions him, but places his work—here called Karunasara—132 years earher, namely, in A B 899, so that there is a discrepancy of 224 years between the two accounts I confess my nashity to solve the riddle, so close 1s the coincidence as to the personage, that the £1 of Albirtini s expressly described, like the real Bháskara, as the son of Mahádeva. But notwithstanding this, we have scarcely any alternative save to separate Albirini's Easthar, son of Maháde, and author of the Karunasafra, Charles Auththala'n—more especially as, in addition to the discrepancy of date, there is this peculiar circumstance, that whereas Albiraling usually represents the Indian 8h by 6 h

eleo mentioned in terms of high bonour, ees Bhau Daji & e pp 411, 416 Again, in a passage from the Biddbants & roment, which is ested by Madhave in the Kala orneys. and which treats of the jears having three interculary months, the war of this description which fell Sake kdle 074 (A D 1052) is placed in the past, the year 1115, on the con tracy (and play 1250, 1375), in the future -Bhaikara a Lilávati (arath metic) end Vija gansia (algebra) have, it is well known, been trans lated by Colebrooke (1817), the former slee by Taylor (1816), the latter by Stracber (1818) Constidying has been translated by Roer in the Jours As S Bengal, ix 151 ff (Lassen, I AK , IF 849) , of the Golddhyaya there is a translation by Lancelot Wilkinson in the Bibi Ind (1861-62) To Wilkinson we the Goladhyaye and Gantadhyaya (1842) The Librail and Vina ganita appeared to 1832 1834, like wite at Cilcutta. Bapa Ders Sas tro les elso usued a complete edi tion (1) of the Siddhaute siromam (Benares 1866) Cf also Herut Brockhaus beber die Algebra der Blatiara laprig 1852 vol 17 of the Berichte der Aon Sachs Git der Westensch on 1-45

Pr 33, 237 and the colly possible way out of the delemin Fuller therefore, we have to time to that cloter Bidskara. "who wu at the ded Bidskara "who wu at the ded Bidskara "who wu at the part of the commonitation of drys blate, and is repeatedly cited by Pithidskara form, who was himself anterior to the surface of the Sirve must, "Collevoice, in 470 (43) ed Cowell), or rhe under Romondo 3.2. (pr 335 337) there links not be suffered to the control of the surface of th

a Bhabtara et all, but perhips e
Pushkara. It is certainly strange,
however that he should be styled

and author of a Karame tara. Can it be that we have
here to do with an interpolation in

Alturání ř

(eg, b-hy = bhurja, balb-hadr = balobhadra), and for the most part fauthfully preserves the length of the vowels, neither of these is here done in the case of Basbhar, where, moreover, the s is changed into sh

Bhaskara is the last star of Indian astronomy and After his day no further progress was made, and the astronomical science of the Hindus became once more wholly centred in astrology, out of which it had originally sprung In this last period, under the influence of their Moylem rulers, the Hindus, in their turn, became the disciples of the Arabs, whose masters they had formerly been * The same Alkindi who, in the ninth century, had written largely upon Indian astronomy and arithmetic (see Colebrooke, it 513, Remand, p 23) now in turn became an authority in the eyes of the Hindus, who studied and translated his writings and those of his suc-This results indisputably from the numerous Arabic teclinical expressions which now appear side by side with the Greek terms dating from the earlier period These latter, it is true, still retain their old position, and it is only for new ideas that new words are introduced, particularly in connection with the doctrine of the constellations, which had been developed by the Arabs to n high degree of perfection. Much about the same time. though in some cases perhaps rather carlier, these Arabie works were also translated into another language namely, into Latin, for the benefit of the European astrologers of the Middle Ages, and thus it comes that in their writings n number of the very same Arabic technical terms may be pointed out which occur in Indian works Such terriens trehnun of Indian astrology at this period are the follow-

ing + muldrind عَارِية d conjunction, mularila مَقَارِية

تسديس quartile aspect tashs تربيع opposition, tarait

tranlations, as no Arabic texts on authology have been punted and the legicosa are very meager on this respect. [Cf now Otto I oth smen textous paper. Al-Anad. als Astrolog in the Bengralands. the Prachingen 1874, pp. 253-300 published in honour of Fleischer a jubile.]

^{*} Theore is even taken the name for astrology itself in this period, namely, topika, topika tistra, which is to be traced to the Persian of Arabic.

⁺ See I St, is 263 ff Host of these Arabic terms I know in the meantime only from medizeral Latin

* sextile aspect, tastl 'Lin' A trano aspect, further hadda so fractio, musallaha kallan, skratela Jiri perfectio, induvara, Jusi deterioratio, itthifala and muthasila Jiri and musaripha and musaripha and an degunctio, tearophi and musaripha latio, yamaya kee congregatio, manasi prohibitio, kamvala jir receptio, garrikamvila in interestio, seahama sors, inthick and munthaha keein and several others that caunot yet bo certainly identified

The doctrine of Omens and Portents was, with the Indians, intimately linked with astrology from the earliest times Its origin may likewise be traced back to the ancient Vedro, nay, probably to some extent even to the primitive Indo Germanic period It is found embodied. in particular, in the literature of the Atharva-Veda, as alen 13 the Gribya-Sutras of the other Vedas 200 A promurent place is also accorded to it in the Samhitas of Vernha-Mihira, Narada, &c , and it has, besides, produced an independent literature of its own. The same fate has been shared in all respects by another branch of superstition-the arts, namely, of magic and conjuration. As the religious development of the Hindus progressed, these found a more and more fruitful soil, so that they now, in fact, raign almost supreme On these subjects, too, general treatmen exist, as well is tracts on single topics belonging to them Many of their notions have long been naturalised in the West, through the medium of the Indian fables and fairy tales which were so popular in the Middle Ages-those, for instance, of the purse (of Fortunatus), the league boots, the magic mitror, the magic continent, the invisible cap, &c 200

³⁸ Of my paper, Zwo Iedzele cap, for metanes are probably to be Treate often Counce well Perfects traced to not synthogograd superett (15.4) concleasing the Addibuta town notions of the primitive Iedo Perfections, and oddy Zur of the Orestrone time. In the Stra Kardika Sutra.
³⁸ Some of they? the grandble p Zyr he have the Proposed Forty

We have now to notice Medicine, as the fourth branch of the scientific literature

The beginnings of the healing art in Vedic times have been already glanced at (pp 29, 30). Here, again, it is Athira-Veda that occupies a special position in relation to it, and in whose literature its oldest fragments are found—fragments, however, of a rather sorry description, and limited mostly to spells and incantations. The Indians themselves consider medicine as an Upiveda, whence they expressly entitle it Ayur-Veda,—by which term they do not understand any special work, as has been supposed. They derive it, as they do the Veda itself, immediately from the gods—as the oldest of human writers upon it they mention, first, Atreys, then Agrire's, then Charako, Mi then Dhanvantari, and, lastly, his disciple

natur, p 94, see Ld G EL, 1874, p 943, 242 — Migra further stands in a special relation to the sectarum. Tantur fattle, as well as to the Sega doctrine. A work of some extrustion that subject hear the sums of Nigstynes, a nume of high senoms among the Beddhuis see my Catel of the Bert Sanal MSS, p 270 are 50 Wirrig Gerbarum a paper,

among the Buddhists see my Catal See Virgil Grobmann a paper, Medunnuches aus den Athares Feda mil besonderem Berug auf den Takman in / 81, 13. 331 ff. (1865) -Sarpa-sidyd (serpent-scance) is mentioned in Sitap Br Tist, as a separate Veda, with sections entitled parten, may it not bare treated of medical matters also ! At all events to the Afral Sr. Vulta vidyd (science of poisons) is directly coupled with it. As to the contents of the Payo sided (bird science), mentioned in the same passage of the Sat. Br. 1 as difficult to form a conjecture These Fadedtexts are referred to elsewhere also in the Sat. Br (in ar arr) and appear there his the Pardyska in the Mahabhashya as ranking beside the Veda. A Varticks to Pan Iv 2 60, teaches a special affix to de note the study of text, the names of which end in ridyd or lakehana, and we might alm a suppose the

Plant himself was appeared with taxts of the description From what Paremiali etares, berides bards and serpents, cattle and horses allo formed the subject of such works All the erecul date of this sort of the Mahabba hve rount to practical observations from the lue, and out of these, in course of time, a litera ture of satural butory could have been developed, see I St. 211
459-401 The lakehane services 10 the Atherta-Paralishtes are either of a caremonial or satrological me temploment purport while, on the other hand the a trolorreal Sambits of Varabe Mihurs for metaner, contame much that may have been directly derived from the old sideds

and debrays:

"In the Charaka Sambid itself
Bhardrigh (Pener-co) Aspachitch
Bhardrigh (Pener-co) Aspachitch
Colleges and Colleges and Colleges
In Joseph (Pener-Colleges)
In Joseph (Pe

Susruta The first three names belong specially to the two divisions of the Yajns, but only to the period of the Sutras and the school-development of this Veda.200 The medical works bearing these titles can in no case therefore be of older date than this How much later they ought to he placed is a point for the determination of which we have at present only the limit of the eighth century A.D. at the close of which according to Ibn Beithar and Albirani (Remand, p 316), the work of Charaka, and, according to Ibn Abi Usarbiah, the work of Susruta also, were translated into Arabic That Indian medicino had in Panini's time already attained a certain degree of cultivation appears from the names of various diseases specified by him (in. 3 108, v 2 129, &c), though nothing definite results from this In the gana 'Kariakaujapa' (to Panini, vi 2 37) we find the 'Sunsrutaparthavas' instanced among the last members, but it is uncertain what we have to understand by this expression The ganas, moreover, prove nothing in regard to Panini's time, and besides. it is quite possible that this particular Sutra may not he Panini's at all, but posterior to Patamjali, in whose Mahahbashya according to the statement of the Calcutta scholiast, it is not interpreted. Dhanvantari is named in Manu's law-book and in the epic, but as the mythical physician of the gods, not as a human personage 201 In the Panchatautra two physicians, Salihotra and Vatsya-

garda Atresah." Quite te uniformly. however, it is stated in a closing yerse at the end of each adkydys that the work is a soning composed by Agmyela and rearranged (proff-

samakrata) by Charaka. 101 The same thing applies andstantially to the names mentioned in Charaka (ace last note)-Bharad rais Agnivess (Hutafevela fi. Ja tukarna, Pardfars, Harita . mongst the names of the sages who there appear as the astociates of Bharadvaja, we find, besides those of the old Rishis, special mention amongst others, of Asralayana, Bádudrana Kitraiapa, Bajavapa, &c. to medical authorities are further

vis), Krisa, Samkpitydyana, Lanka-

yana, Krahpatreja. and "Saufruta" occurs in the Bha abya , 18, however, expressly denved from sufrut, not from Subrata Consequently neither this manie nor the Kutara Saufruta mentioned in another passage has anything to do with the bufrala of medical writers . see I St, xiii 462, 407 For the time of the author of the Vartukas we have the fact of the three hum ours, ritta, pitta, Meshman, being already ranked together, I c . 7 462

204 Assuch he appears in the verse to often mentioned already, which ancestes him as one of the 'nine gems' at Vikrama's rourl, together rited, amongst others free the St. with Kahdas and Varsin correlatory Diet. Supplement, vol. see Jyotered abharana, i c with Kalidas and Varalia Mibira;

vana * whose names are still cited even in our own day, are repeatedly mentioned 305 but although this work was translated into Pahlavi in the sixth century, it does not at all follow that everything now contained in it formed part of it then, unless we actually find it in this translation (that is, in the versions derived from it) † I am not aware of any other references to medical teachers or works. I may only add, that the chapter of the Amerakosha (11 6) on the human body and its diseases certainly presupposes an advanced cultivation of medical science

An approximate determination of the dates of the existing works we will only be possible when these have been subjected to a critical examination both in respect of their contents and language ! But we may even now dis-

* This form of name points us to the time of the production of the Billias, to Vitsys. Ilt to found to Taitt Ar 1 7 2 es patronymic of

a Patiehnpama] specialty to bere reterinary medicine this name il-elf eignifies 'horse'), that of Vareya yous the ore amands Of the for mer a work there are in London two see Dietz different recensions Analesta Medica p 152 (No 61) and p 156 (No 70) According to Sir of Mul Ind , p 263 a work of the kind by this outhor was translated into Arabie in ap 136s Kama Sutrs, also, of Valeyayana which by Madhusudana Sarasvall an the Pranthant bheda is expressly classed with Aist Veda is still ex tint This work, which, judging from the account of its contents given by Aufrecht in his Catalogua p 215 ff. in of an extremely interesting character appeals, in regionem giors om to most imposing angientauthors ties-namely Audellaka Svetaketa. Babliravya Panchala Gonardiya (a.c. Patampili, inther of the Mahabha shyaf) Gonikápatra, &c. It meleo erted by Subandha and samkara him elf is said to have written a commentary on it see Anfrecht vatal year p 256=

+ This was rightly insisted upon by Bentley in opposition to Cole brooke who had address, as an ergum at to prove the ege of Va he is mentioned in the Palichatantra (this is the same has say which is also referred to in the Vikrama Charitre, are Roth, Journ Anat Oct 1845, p 304) [here, it is true, an his Pref to the Brib Sambits, pp to 20 pronounced very decidedly against this objection of Bentley s but arongly, sait arems to me for, according to Bonfer a researches the present text of the Panchatantra se a very late production, of pp 221 240 above.

According to Tornour, Malifegunns, p 25c, note the medical work there named in the text by the Singhalese king Buddhodies (a.D. 339) entitled Saratiba Sangaha, is etill an existence (in Sanekat too) in Cevlen and is used by the native medical practitioners, ace on this Davids on the Transactions of the Philol Society 1875 pp 76 78.

The Tibetan Landjur, according to the accounts given of il confains a cons derable number of medical writings, a circumstance not with ont upportance for their chronology, Thue Coma keepsi in the Journ As See beng January 1825, gires

miss, as belonging to the realm of dreams, the naive views that have quite recently been advanced as to the are for example, of the work bearing Susruta's name. In language and style, it and the works resembling it with which I am acquainted manifestly exhibit a certain affinity to the writings of Varaha-Mihira 206 "If then"-here I make use of Stenzler's t words-"internal grounds should render it probable that the system of medicine expounded in Susruta has borrowed largely from the Greeks, there would be nothing at all surprising in such a circumstance so far as chronology is affected by it 207 But in the meantime, no such internal grounds whatever appear to exist on the contrary, there is much that seems to tell against the idea of any such Greek influence In the first place, the Yayanas are never referred to as authorities, and amongst the individuals enumerated in the introduction as contemporanes of Susrata, there is not one whose name has a foreign sound & Again the cultivation of medicine

the contents of a Tibetan work on medicine, which is put into the mouth of Bilyamuni and, to all appearance, is a translition of Su áruta or some aimilar work * To wit, by Vullers and Ressler , by the former in an essay on Indian

medicine in the periodical Janua, edited by Henschel, by the latter in the preface to his so called transla tion of Sisruta [1844-50]. 100 The Charaka Samhud has

rather higher pretensions to antiquity, its prote here and there re minds us of the atyle of the Srauta-

+ From his examination of Vallerge view in the following number of Janut, # 453 I may remark bere that Wilson a words, also quoted by Wise in the Preface to his System of Hindu Medicine (Cale 1849) P Avit, have been utterly musunder stood by Vullers. Welson fixes "as the most modern bunit of our con jecture ' the nintle or tenth century, se, an, but Vollers takes it to be no!! [C! now Wilson a Horiz 11) 273 ed Rest]

27 This is evidently Path sopumon

also (are Z D M C, Ext. 441, 1872) Here, after expressing a wish that Indian medicina might be thoroughly dealt with by competent "only a comparison of the prin medicane can enable un to judga of the origin, age and value of the former, and then further on (p 448), apropos of Charaka a Infanc trons as to the duties of the physiciam lo his patient, he cite some remarkably comemient expressions from the oath of the Ashlepads.

+ Heasier, indeed, does not per ceive that they are proper names,

but translates the words straight off With the single exception per hape of Panshkalavata, a name which at least seems to point to the North West, to Housekabrus [We are further pointed to the North West of India (of the Kapsle Boke) by the name of Bharadray, Kapi which, moreover, assignato the neigh bourhood of the Himsvant (retrice Homogotah subhe) that gathering of earce, out of which came the is hy Snáruta himself, as well as by other writers, expressly assigned to the city of Kasf (Benars)—in the period, to be sure, of the mythical king Dividias Dhanvantan, a incarnation of Dhanvantan, the physician of the gods And lastly, the weights and measures to be used by the physician are expressly enjoined to be either those employed in Magadha or those current in Kalinga, whence we may fairly presume that it was in these eastern provinces which never came into close contact with the Greeks, that medicinar recurred its special cultivation.

Moreover, considerable entical doubts arise as to the authenticity of the existing texts, since in the case of some of them we find several recensions cited. Thus Atri, whose work appears to have altogether perished, is also cited as last r-Atri, brihad-Atr., Atreya, similarly, as brihad-Atreya, ariddha-Atreya, madhyama-Atreya, kanishtha-Atreya, Spérnta, also as criddhe-Suéruta. Vagbhata, also as criddha-Vagbhata, Hárita, also as truddha-Harita, Bhoja, also as erriddha-Bhoja-a state of things to which we have an exact parallel in the case of the astronomical Siddhantas (see pp 238, 259, and Colebrooke 11 391, 392), and also of the legal literature The number of medical works and authors is extraordinarily large. The former are either systems embracing the whole domain of the science, or highly special investigations of single topics, or, lastly, vast compilations prepared in modern times under the patronage of kings and princes The sum of knowledge embodied in their contents appears really to be most respectable. Many of the statements on dietetics and on the origin and diagnosis of diseases bespeak a very keen observation. In surgery, too, the Indians seem to have attained a special

instruction of Ebaradrija by Indra. Again, Agoired in binness, Afdi. 19 count described as Chieferblid, and in probability for gains. The probability for gains "ke-ridd, to Pistin iv I 43) a soot inted with the Chandrabded, one of the great rivers of the Panjib. And Litly, there is also mentioned shad, 12 iv 6, an ancent physical hankfajan, probability the Amakha we hills of the trible (see Rennat). I see It I be trible (see Rennat).

expressly termed Valuke bhishaj We have already met with his name (p 153 above) amongst the teachers of the Atharra-Paris, shizz]

[&]quot;Safrata is himself and, in the introduction, to have been a disciple of his. This assertion may, however, rest simply on a confusion of this Dhane, natur with the Dhan vantari who is given as one of the "ame rims of bitrams court."

proficiency. 208 and in this department European surgeons nught perhaps even at the present day still learn something from them, as indeed they have already borrowed from them the operation of rhinoplasty The information, again, regarding the medicinal properties of ininerals (especially precious stones and metals), of plants, and animal substances, and the chemical analysis and decomposition of these, covers certainly much that is valuable. Indeed the branch of Materia Medica generally appears to be handled with great prechlection, and this makes up to us in some mersure at least for the absence of investigations in the field of natural science so On the diseases, &c., of horses and elephants also there exist very special monographs For the rest, during the last few centuries medical science has suffered great detriment from the increasing prevalence of the notion, in itself a very ancient one, that diseases are but the result of transgressions and sins committed, and from the consequent very general substitution of fastings, alms, and gifts to the Brahmans, for real remedies -An excellent general sketch of Indian medical science is given in Dr Wise's work Commentary on the Hindu System of Medicine, which appeared at Calcutta in 1845 810

Attitum, which appeared at Catuta in 1845.**
The influence, which has been abredy glanced at, of Hindid medicine upon the Araba in the first centuries of the Hipri was one of the very highest significance, and the Khalifs of Ragdad caused a considerable number of works upon the subject to be translated.* Now, as Ara-

Works in 350 ff, ed Rost,

20 Of the remarks in note 300 on

the sulfat and the sendpta.

1) New of 1280 (I sudon) of also to suffert. 13th y abort, papers by Wilson On the Wild of and shar y of Science of it i Hands, in value of the State of the S

the editor it makes but alow proeress (Part 2, 1871, brenks off at adiy \$) It furnished the occusion for Reth's already mentionel mono graph on Charaka, in which he com municates a few accilons of the work In 8 (' How to become a doc tor | and 1 29 ('The Bangler) in tracelstion From the Bhela Sam hits (see note 301 abore) Pirnell m bes Elem of & Ind. Pal , p 94 quotes a verso in a way (namely, as 31 4) which elearly surfrentes that be had acced to an entire work of this name . S.a Gillemeister Script Arab

derebus Indices pp 9, 97 (Fingel following the Fibrist of ut is in 2 D U O, 21 148 ff 325 if (1857);

han medicine constituted the chief authority and guiding principle of European physicians down to the seventeenth century, it directly follows—just as in the case of astronomy—that the Indians must have been held in high esteem by these latter, and indeed Chrinka is repeatedly mentioned in the Latin translations of Avicenna (Ibn Sina), Rivizes (Al Rasi), and Serapion (Ibn Serah) ** Besides Ayur-vêda, medicine, the Hundis specify three

Besides Ayur-veda, medicine, the Hundás specify three other so called Upavedus—Dhanir-veda, Gándharia eda, and Artha-sástra, to the Art ni War, Music, and the Formative Arts or Technical Arts generally, and, like Ayurveda, these terms designate the respective branches of

literature at large, not particular works

As teacher of the art of war, Vstvamutra is mentioned, and the contents of his work are fully indicated, ⁵¹² the name Ebaradvája also occurs ⁵¹² Eut of this branch of literature hardly any direct monuments seem to have here preserved † Still, the Nitk-Bastras and the Epic compriso many sections hearing quite specially upon the science of var, ⁵¹² and the Agni-Purana, in particular, is distinguished by its very copious treatment of the subject ⁵¹³

Music was from the very earliest times a favourite pursuit of the Hindds, as we may gather from the numerous allusions to musical instruments in the Vedur Intarture, but its reduction to a methodical system is, of course, of later date Possibly the Nata-Sütras mentioned in Panim (see above, p. 107) may have contained something of the

^{*} See Poyle On the Antiquity of Hindu Medicine 1838 311 By Madh asúdana Surasvati pa the Pranthána bheda, I St., 1 10,

m Where Bharadvija can appear m such a position, I am not et precent awars, perhaps we ought to read Bháradvija, e.e., Drona? † Who the exception of some

works on the rearing of horses and elephants which may perhaps be classed here, although they more properly belong to medic ne 113 The Kimandakija Miti Sistra

manneteen cha, a, to which the espe

Rigners. Leis Mats in the EM and (Righoff) with extracts, which however, out a reach as fir as the marth clap from the commentary marther and the state of the st

Was '(Worke, 17 290 ff)

kind, since music was specially associated with dancing The earliest mention of the sames of the seven notes of the musical scale occurs so far as we know at present in the so called Vedangas—in the Chhandas ³¹³ and the Sis sha, ³¹⁴ and they are further mentioned in one of the Athrochamishads (the Garbha), which is, at least, not altogether modern. As author of the Gandharra-veda, ⁴ c., of a treatise on music, Bharatla is named, and, hesides him, also favans, Patana, Kalinatha, ³²¹ Narada, ³²³ but of these the only existing remains appear to be the framements ofted in

\$15 Bec on this ! Et , FRL 259-272. The dearmation of the serce notes by the initial fetters of their names te allo found here, in one recension of the text at least, did, p 256 According to Von Bohlen, Das alte Indien 11 195 (1830), and Penfay, Indien, p 299 (in Erics and Greber s Eneveloperdia, vol. Ivil., 1840) thus notation passed from the Hindus to the Persians, and from these scale to the Araba and was introduced into European music by Guido d Arego at the beginning of the ele renth century Corresponding to the Indian so of og maps dis so we have in Perman, along with the designation of the notes by the first seven letters of the alphabet (&-G). the scale da re ris fo sa la le, see Richardson and Johnson's Pers. Dict a. v Dury s mufarsal .- Does the word gamme, gamut, Fr games, of Guido d'Arezzo to expresa the musical ecole itself come from the equivalent housent term grdma (Prike odma), and so exhibit a direct trace of the Indian erigin of the teven notes! See Ludwig Geigers precisely opposite conjecture in his Ursprung der Spruckt L 458 (1868) The usual explanation of the word is, of course, that it is derived from the I (gemms) which designates the first of the twenty one notes of Gardo's scale, and which was "known and in complor of not um ury before his time, ser Ambros

Genhalde der Menth, in 151 (1564)

"There leany already a G and a 31 or

"There leany already a G and a 31 or

the second of the

24 And the not mertly in the Shahi ettributed to Pania, but hi the whole of the tract belonging to this category, see my Essay on the Pratises Sairs, pp. 107-109, Haug. Actest, p. 59

This title is derived from the Gandharvas or colest all musiciana.

This mame is also written Kalla

mithe (Kepila in Lasen, I. 4K, it 8p; in probably a ministry), by Sir W Jines On Die Mannel Hodes of the Hinde in 43 Fa. in 329, and by Aufrecht, Citalogue pi 210. Ballet, however, Ch.d. of J MSS from 60°, in 274, has the spelling given as the batt. But, at any site with the control of the second of the secon

and See the data from the Narada fikaha m Heog, Uder des Fickes des led. Accrets, p. 5h. The 'gan dharra Narada is probably ongs mally only Cloud personaled, se-

1 &L, L 204, 453 iz. 2

the schola of the dramatic literature. Some of these writings were translited into Persian, and, perhaps even earlier, into Aralio. There are also various modern works on music. The whole subject, however, has been but little investigated.²³

As n gards the third Upaveda, Artha-Sastra, the Hindus, as is well known, have achieved great distinction in the technical arts, but less in the so-called formative arts. The literature of the subject is but very scantily representations.

sented, and is for the most part modern

Painting, in the first place, appears in a very rudimentary stage. Portrait-painting, for which perspective is not required, second to have succeeded best, as it is frequently alluded to in the dramss the first Sculpture, on the contary, no mean skull is discertible. Among the reliefa carved upon stone are many of great beauty, especially those depicting scenes from Buddhe's life, Buddha being uniformly represented in purely human shape, free from mythological disfigurement—There exist various books of

10 Bendes Sir W Jones I e, see slo Patterno in vol 12 of the ds Res Insten I AR, in 8,2, and more parieularly the special notices in Aufrecht's Catalogus pp 199-202. Stringadow, author of the Sangi torutoffers entre si authorities Abhinavagotts, Elfudbara, Robala, Abhinavagotts, Elfudbara, Robala, of music especially ungung bet also of music especially ungung bet also of dancier restrictistion.

MM On modern pauting see my passay, blook results as Generalest p 34 ff.—It is noteworthy that the accounts of the monner of engage of the production of themeses? as I haddwar (Scheffer, p. 278 ff) expre...ly point to the time of Adoks and Nagfriuma as the most flourshing sport of the Yakha and Naga actuat. In so address recently delivered to the St. Activating According (see the Ball engage of the Nagara and the Adoks and the Nagara communicated from the Kagara come Ancedotes of Inhum Artasts."

ns which among other things, aponal reterence is made to the Yavanas as excellent painters and craftumen On pactorial representations of the fight between Kanza and Kri han see the data in the Mahishichay, / Sr, mm 54, 459 and on likenesse of the gods for sale in Pánnis a time Goldstucker's Pánna, p 228 ff. /)

St, v 148 znt 331

Through the recent researches of Fergusson, Cummprham and Lentper the question bas been raised whether Greek influence was not here also an amportant factor Highly remarkable in this regard are for example the parallels between an smace of the sun god so his car on a column at Buddhagaya and a well known figure of Phosbus Apollo as shown in Plate xxvii of Cunningham a Archaeloyood Survey of India, vel us 97 (1873) The same type m also exhibited on a coin of the Bactman king Plato, lately described by W S W Vaux in the Aurusm Chronicle 27 3-5 (1875)

instructions and treatises on the subject == according to the accounts given of them, they deal for the most part with single topics, the construction of images of the gods, for example, but along with these are others on geometry and design in general

A far higher degree of development was attained by Architecture, of which some most admirable monuments still remain it received its chief cultivation at the hands of the Buddhists, as these required monasteries, lopes (stipan), and temples for their cult. It is not, indeed, improbable that our Western steeples owe their origin to an imitation of the Buddhist topes. But, on the other hand, in the most ancient Hindd edifices the presence of Greek, influence "is unmistakable" (See Benfey, Ridin, pp. 300–305). Architecture, accordingly, was often systematically

m Eg. also to Variba Mabira's Righat Sambid, one chapter of which, on the construction of statues of the gods, is communicated from Albirdai by Rainaud in bis 58th sur! Inda, p. 419 ff. See also 1 & . XIII 344-346

His 344-346 Fits in the fifth wel, which has just appeared, of his Archeologuest Survey of India p 18; ff , Conning bam distinguishes an Indo Persian etyle, the prevalence of which be sasigns to the period of the Person supremacy over the valley of the Industrios 1301 and three indo Gre cian styles, of which the fonts prevalled in Tallelula, the Counthian in Gaudhars, and the Dorn in Kash mir Rijendra Lile Mitra, it sa true, in vol 1 of his aplended work, The Antiquities of Orusia (1875) holds out naturalizally against the idea of any Greek influence whatever on the dayelopment of Indian architecture, &c (At p 25, by the way, my coe secture as to the connection between the Asura Maya, Taramaya, and Prolemanos see shove, p 253 / St. 11 274, 18 stated in a sadly distorted form) Looking at his plates, how ever we lave a distinct engresses of Greek art, for example mutbe two

fountain nymphs in Plate xvi., ho do while the Byaders to Plate xvi. No 59 from the temple of Bhurancistan, middle of asventh century (p. 31), seems to be return gher right hand on a dolphin beside which a Cupin (f) is crouding, and might therefore very well be an imitation of anner representation of

Vanue (Cf Ra; I. M , p 50) Indiana were not acquainted with stone building prior to the time of Alexander-en opinion which is confuted by Cumpingham, & e. in 08. The petalal manuteness, indeed. with which the erection of brick altars to described in the Vedio exc rificiel ritual (of the Silve Satrie) might lead us to suppose that such structures were still at that time But, on the one band, this would take us back to a much earlier time than we are here speeking of a and, on the other, this scrapulous numuteness of description may emply be due to the circumstance that a epscifically ascred structure is here in question, in connection with which therefore avery single delast was of direct consequence .

treated of, 23 and we find a considerable number of such works cited, some of which, as is customary in India, purport to proceed from the goods themselves, as from Vidvakarman, 24 Sanatkumara, &c In the Sambita of Varaha-Mihhra, too, there is a tolerably long chapter devoted to architecture, though manly in an astrolegical connection.

The skill of the Indians in the production of delicate woven fabrica, in the nursing of colours, the working of metals and precious stones, the preparation of essences, and in all manner of technical arts has from early times enjoyed world-wide celleting and for these subjects also we have the names of various treatises and monographs Metition is likewise made of writings on coolery and every kind of requirement of domestic life, as dress, ornaments, the tible, on games of terry description, dice, for ex-

1-3 Sen Laisen, ! 4E, w 877 Dim Raz a Lisay on the Architecture of the Hindus (1834) is specially based on the lifusours in fifty eight adhydway presumably composed an S India (p 9) Máyamsta (Maya e system, on which see Ráj L M , Notices, it 306), Kášyapa, Veikhá nasa, and the Sakalidhikira asonbed to Agustya were only ecconducily consulted The portion of the Arms Puring published in the Bill Ind treets sat at, of the building of houses temples, &c. The Ratha Sutra and the Vasta Vidya sre given by Salikba (Schol on Katy, & I (1) as the special rules for the sathakdra. The word Satra dhara men euring hue bolder," builder went fies at the same time stage man ager and here perhaps we have to think of the semporary exections that were required for the actors spectators, &c , during the perform ance of dramas et the more import sut festivals. In this latter screptation indeed the word might also possilly refer to the Nata Sumas the observance of which had to be provided for by the Satra-dlare! See above, pp. 198 199
121 On a Visva karma proki a and

s Visvakarniya Silpa see I mendra Lala Mitra Adices of Sinal MSS

is The art of perfamory eppears to have been elready taught in a special Sairs at the time of the Bhatsbys, of the observations in J St, xim 450 the chaindenogenetake, Pain w 565, perhaps the 'dreaten (Painsa Mairram, harysta) Bhatspe to Fán w 2 104, belongs to this

class elso . In I St., 1 to, I have translated, doubtless ancorrectly the expression chatuhshanhfriald sistra (cited to the Prasthana-bheda as part of the Artha distra) by 'treatise on chesa referring the 64 Falds to the 64 equares of the chees board whereas according to As Res & 211 (Schlere) Relet sur l'Etude des Langu & Amat, p siz), it aignifies treatise on the however (p 140 ed Wilson) the chatubshashte Lalagana is expressly distanguished from the Artha sisters -See an enumeration of the 61 leilds from the Siva tentra in Radha kintedera's Salda-lalpa-drima, e (On the game of Chatar-anga bee new my papers in the Monats ber der Berl Acad 1872 pp 60 ff 502 ff., 1873, p 705 ff , 187., p zu ff , end ules Dr Ant van der Lande u beautiful work Ceschichte des Sohar appela (1874, 2 vola)

ample, nay, even on the art of steahing—an art which in fact, was reduced to a regular and complete system [ed. Wilson, Das'shum, p 69, on Karmsuta, and Hindu Theatr 163]. A few of these writings have also been admitted into the Thetan Twodure.

. rom Poetry, Science, and Art, we now pass to Law. Custom and Religious Worship, which are all three com prehended in the term 'Dharma, and whose literature is presented to us in the Dharma Sauras or Smrit-Sauras The connection of these works with the Gribya-Sutras of Vedic literature has already been adverted to in the introduction (see pp 19, 20), where, too the conjecture is expressed that the consignment of the principles of law to writing may perhaps have been called forth by the crowth of Buddhism, with the view of ngidly and securaly fixing the system of caste distinctions rejected by the new faith, and of shielding the Brahmanical polity generally from innovation or decay. In the most ancient of these works, accordingly-the Law-Book of Manu-we encounter this Brahmanical constitution in its full perfection The Brahman has now completely attained the goal from which, in the Brahmanas, he is not very far distant and stands as the born representative of Deny resent, while upon the other hand, the condition of the Sugra is one of the atmost wretchedness and haroship. The circum stance that the Vardehas and the Lichbavis, as Lusen De doubt rightly, conjectures for Nichhavis) are here num bered among the impure castes, is - as regards the former - certainly a sign that this work is some bostenor to the Satapatha-Brahmana, where the Vaidehas appear as the leading representatives of Brahmanism The position allotted to this tribe as well as to the Lichhavis may, perhaps, further be connected with the fact that according to Buddhist legends, the Vandehas and especially

this Lachhavi family of them, exerused a material influence upon the growth of Buddhum. The posteronity of Mana to the whole body of Vidio literature appears, besides, from tray other special indications, as, for instance, from the repeated mention of the several divisions of this literature, from the connection which subsists with some passages in the Upraishads; from the completion of the Yuga system and the trind of delies, as well as, generally, from the minute and nicely elaborated distribution and regulation of the whole of life, which are here measured to us

I have likewise already remarked, that for judicial procodure proper, for the forms of justice, the connecting link is wanting between the Dharma-Sastra of Manu and Vedic literature That this code, however, is not to be regarded as the cylicst work of its kind, is epparent from the very nature of the case, since the degree of perfection of the addictal procedure at describes metrifies the assumption tl at this topic had been frequently handled before " The sume conclusion seems, moreover, to follow from the fact of occasional direct reference being made to the views of predecessors, from the word 'Dharma-Sastra' itself being familiar t as also from the circumstance that Patamiali, in his Mahabhashya on Panini, is acquainted with works bearing the name of Dharma-Sútras 205 Whether remains of these connecting links may yet be recovered, is, for the present at least, doubtful.t For the demestic relation" of the Hindus, on the contrary-for education, marriage, household economy, &c -it is manifestly in the Grihya-Sutras that we must look for the sources of the Dharma-Sastras, and this, as I have also had frequent occasion

See Stend vin I St., 1 244 fit † let neither circumstance is etricily conclusive, as, considering the peculiar composition of the work, the arcycal passages in quertion night perhaps be later additone.

^{458, 459} 2 Alica cos to redic al cases are of

rery erro occurrence we him the cromead him see I wange of Vedic literature but where Signs with m Br .hey do occur, they moully a ree EL, 1071 p 223]

with the presents of Manu So size, for example, a trees in Yakasa Marack, in a, concerning the disability of woman to inherit, which, brades, directly appeles to 'Manub' Strik-mblurrab'. This is the first time that the litter is mentioned as a heaverite [See also Sinth Oph in 15 Apact, in 16 it, or 1 Lother On's video phases of command has see Bornell, First to State with an ID p x , Jist C

to observe (pp 58, 84, 102, 143), is the expl-nation of the circumstance that most of the names current as authors of Grilya Stitas are at the same time given as authors of Dharma-Sastras. The distinction, as a commentator from the marks, is simply this, that the Grilya-Sitris confine themselves to the points of difference of the various schools whereas the Dharma-Sastras embody the precepts and oblications common to all?

"In the case of Manu, toe, there would seem to have actual a Manus Oply Sutra as the bass (9), and the reference to the great see to only a submitted (1). This surmus of mune, expressed with difference has above at pp 19, 100, and in J St., t. 69, has surce been generally accepted, and will, at a hoped, find full confirmation in the actual basis of the case of the difference of the diffe

+ Afirks on the Karms pradite of Katzayana. SET to his Hit of Ane Sens Lit (1859) Max Muller gave some necount of the Dharma Sutra of Apastamba, which is extant under the title Samaracharika Sutra. Ha also ebaracterued three of the Dhar ms Satras printed at Calcutta (the Gautama, Vi hnu and Vasishiba) as being Disrma Sutras of a similar kind , expressing himself generally to the effect (n 134) that all the metrical Dhatma Sastras we powers are but "more modern texts of earlier Sutra works or help-dbarmas belonging originally to certain Vedic Charanas.' (The only authority eited by him is Stenzler in / St. L 232, who, however, in his turn re fers to my own earlier account, shall pp. 57, 69 143) Johantien in Manu (1863) adopted precisely the same view (see, eg, p 113) Bubler dually, in the introduction to the

Direct of Hindu Law, edited by bun, jointly with R. Irest (vol 1. 1862), furnished us for the first time with more specific information as to these Dharma Sutras, which sonnect them.elves with, and in part directly belong to, the Vedio Sutra stage. In the appendix to this work be likewita communicated various sections on the law of in herstance from the four Dharma Sufras abore mentioned, and that of Landharana lle sleo publiel ed eeparately, in 1868, the entire Satra of Apastamia, with extracts from Haradatta a commentary and an index of words (1871) Sairs, in point of fact, forme (ees above, motts to sand tool two profess of the Ap Stauts Sutra . and a similar remark applies to the Satra of Baudharana, Acrording to Buhlera exposition, to the fire Satras just asmed hare to be added the small texts of this class consists ing of prose and verse intermingled. which are ascribed to Usania, Ka frapa, and Bodhe, and, perhaps, also the Smntrauf Hartis and Soukha. All the other existing Smetter on the contrary, bear a more motern character, and are cather (r) use " ed reductions of abelent Dharma-Saires, or fragments of such redsotions (to these belong our Manu and I fenaralkys, na well as the Smritis of Marada Parkars, Linhaspath, Samvarta) -or (2) secondary reduc tions of metrical Dharmanaletras.orizimetrical vers onsof the Gribys Sugras -or lastly, (4) forgeries of the Handh seets - I be material in vol. 1 of Bubler and West sweet he tem

As regards the existing text of Manu, it cannot, apparently, have been extant in its present shape even at the period to which the later portions of the Maha-Bharata helong For although Mann is often cited in the enic in literal accordance with the text as we now have it. on the other hand, passages of Manu are just as often quoted there which, while they appear in our text, yet do so with considerable variations. Again, passages are there ascribed to Manu which are nowhere found in our collection, and even passages composed in a totally different metre And, lastly, passages also occur frequently in the Maha-Bharata which are not attrabated to Manu at all. but which may nevertheless be read rerbatim in our text. Though we may doubtless here assign a large share of the blame to the writers making the quotations (we know from the commentance how often mistakes have crept in through the habit of citing from memory), still, the fact that our text atterned its present shape only after having been, perhaps repeatedly, recast, is patent from the numerous inconsistencies, additions, and repetitions it contains. In support of this conclusion, we have, further, not only the fabulous tradition to the effect that the text of Manu consisted originally of 100,000 flohus, and was abridged, first to 12 000, and eventually to 4000 slokes +-a tradition which at least clearly displays a reminiscence of various remodellings of the text-but also the decisive fact that in the legal commentaries, in addition to Mann, a Vriddha-Manu and a Brikan-Mano are directly quoted I and must therefore have been still extant at the time of these com-But although we cannot determine, even approximately the date when our text of Manu received its present shape, 228 there is little doubt that its contents,

utilised critically in its legal braring, by Aurel Mayr, in his work, Dusenduche Erbrecht (Vicona, 1873) see on it Lit C Bl 1874, p

340 ff See Holtemann, Urber den griechischen Ursprung des erdichtes Therkreise p 14. [As to Manua position in Varfah Militar, zee Kern Pref to birth Samh pp 42, 43 and on a Pált edition of Manu, Rot. at N. 2, 255 ft]

+ Our present lext contains only

2684 Hotas 2 Sen Stenzler, L.c. p 235

is Johanten (pp 86, 93) issumes as the latert limit for its composition the year inc. 350 and as the earliest limit the Bith earliest limit the Bith carbony. But this rate in speak part part paon has further assumption (p 77) that the Bith mans. Upanishads, do. known to us are all of later dat—an exemption which is produced in

compared with those of the other Dharma-Sistras, pre, on the whole the most ancient and that, consequently, it has been nightly placed by general tradition * at the head of this class of literature. The number of these other Dharma-Sistras is considerable, amounting to fifty-six. and is raised to a much higher figure—namely, eighty if we reakon the several reductions of the individual works that have so far come to our knowledge, and which are designated by the epithets laghu, madh jama, brikat, priddha 229 When once the various texts are before us. their relative age will admit of being determined without great difficulty It will be possible,f in particular, to characterise them according to the preponderance, or the entire absence, of one or other of the three constituent elements which make up the substance of Indian lar, that is to say, according as they chiefly treat of domestic and civil duties, of the administration of justice, or of the regulations as to purification and penance. In Mann these three constituents are pretty much mixed up, but upon the whole they are discussed with court fulners. The code of Yajnavaikya is divided into three books, necording to the three topics, each book being of about the same The other works of the class vary

With regard to the code of Yajnavalkya, just mentioned-the only one of these works which with Mann in as yet generally accessible-its posteriority to Manu follows plainly enough, not only from this methodical dis ribution of its contents, but also from the circumstance I that

the highes degree doubtful by the remarks he homself makes in arreement with Hüller and myself, upon the probable engin of the work from a Gribra-Sutra of the Minara school of the Black Yards as well se open the rations redardiors it has undergone, and the relation of the work itself and the varidus schools of the Yajus to Buddh, sun (pr. 112, 113), to 1 Er, 11 =78 Which there Hindus who emi

grated to Java also took with them Ebler, Le p 13 ff, enn marates 78 Smrits and 30 ditternt redactions of indirifual empites,-

these, however we have stul to all for example, from his Co alone of MSS from Gujarda vol. 114, the Smrites of Rokila, Co h la Sarra rors. Links and widd's largers. loghe-Lyberpate legie tarcals. while to the collective titles pur forely emitted by lies from his tracts from 24 and 36 Same 1 Esparata-we have probably to add from the same sparce the bhades u and Shine eralif The Are-a Sur to is also specified in the Cash & a MSS A II Fre 18-4 p 122

+ See Stenzler Le. P 3,5 See Stengler in the Int to bli in all, a total of 114 such texts. To edition of I (justalkys, pp 12.-11

it teaches the worship of Ganesa and the planets, the execution, upon metal plates, of deeds relating to grants of land, and the organisation of monasteries-all subjects which do not occur in Manu, while polemical references to the Buddhists, which in Manu are at least doubtful, 330 are here unmistakable 331 In the subjects, too, which are common to both, we note in Yajnavalkya an advance towards greater precision and stringency, and in individual instances, where the two present a substantial divergence, Yamavalkya's standpoint is distinctly the later one The earliest limit we can fix for this work is somewhere about the second century A.D. seeing that the word nanaka occurs in it to denote 'coin,' and this term, according to Wilson's conjecture is taken from the coins of Kanerki. who resented until AD AO . Its latest limit, on the other hand, may be fixed about the sixth or seventh century, as, according to Wilson, passages from it are found in inscriptions of the tenth century in various parts of India, and the work itself must therefore date considerably carlier Its second book reappears literally in the Agni-Purana, whether adopted into the latter, or borrowed from it, cannot as yet be determined Of this work also two recensions are distinguished, the one as bribad-Yamavalkya the other as wriddha-Yamavalkya (see also Colebrooke, 1, 103) As to its relation to the remaining

³⁵³ Buddhist brakmarkarinis be really meant as asserted by Kulluka then this particular proc. pt-which puts the violation of their perons on the same footing with violence done to "ather public women, and ponishes the offence with a small fine only -is to be taken not merely, as Talbors Wheeler takes it (ffer of India, 11 583), sa a bitter sarrasm. but also as evidence that the work was composed at a time when the Buddh si n is Lad plready really deteriorated of the numerica in a similar instance in regard to Panem 1 SE T TET 341 Cf Johantgen pp 112 113

See above, p 205 the usine ap plies also to the Vaddia Gutama law book. Meepring to Jacob.

De Astrolog & Indica Orioinibus, n 14, the statement in Yajuavalkya. 80 that confus must take place matte sudan' rests upon an acquaintance with the Greek astrological doctrine of the 'twelve houses' fand, in fact, this is the sense in which the Mitch shard under stands the passage), so that, in his op mon, Yipavakya cannot be placed earlier than the fourth cen tury of our era. This interpreta tion however, is not absolutely forced upon ws. a. with a might equally well refer to one of the lunar phases or mansions which from an early period were to garded a suspension for procreation and buth, see Lit C BL, 1875, p 757 1

codes, Stenzler, from the preface to whose edition the foregoing information is taken, is of opinion that it is antecedent to all of them, 22 and that, therefore, it marks the

next stage after Manu."

But in addition to the Dharms-Sastras, which form the basis and chief part of the Internture dealing with Law. Custom, and Worship, we have also to rank the great bulk of the enic poetry-the Maha-Bharata as well as the Ramayana -as belonging to this branch of literature, since in these works, as I remarked when discussing them, the didactic element far cutweighs the epic. The Maba-Bharata chieffy embraces instruction as to the duties of kings and of the military class, instruction which is given elsewhere also, namely, in the Nitz-Sistres and (apparently) in the Dhanur-Veda, but besides this, manifold other topics of the Hindu law are there discussed and expounded. The Puranas, on the contrary, chiefly contain regulations as to the worship of the gods by means of prayers, rows, fastings, votive offerings, guits, pious foundations, pilgrimages, festivals, conformably to the shape which this worship successively assumed, and in this they are extensively supported by the Unapurinas and the Tantras.

Within the last few centuries there has further grown up a modern system of junsprudence, of scientific legal literature, which compares and weighs one signate another, the different views of the anthors of the Dharma Sastras In particular, extensive compilations have been prepared, in great measure by the suthority and under the suspices of various kines and princes, with a tew to meet the prac-

Bublet a opinion (p. XXVII.) Manu and Tájmavalkya, although only "versifications of older Sútria," may yet very well be of higher autiquity "than some of the Sútra works which have come down to our times."

²⁸ Müller has, it a true, claimed free above note 571 or the Diagram-Sietras of Valora, Gautana, and Valora the character of Dharra-Sutras and Dubber (pr. xii.-xiv.) expressly adds to the latt the number and Dubba and she, though with and Bubba and she, though with a reservation three of Hérits and Saithis (Valorita belone; per bubbr to the Draftyrama shood of the Sama Ved, see by 70° 55° and Ned Sama Ved, see by 70° 50° as his was associated). Self, as

Thus, to be sure, is at variance with 1 4 5, where the my different Dharma Skitte authors are cent merated [amongst them lajnaval dya himself] these two verset are perhaps a later addition [5].

tical want of a sufficient legal code *** The English themselves, also, have had a digest of this sort compiled, from which, as is well known, the commencement of Sanskrit studies dates These compulations were restly drawn in in the Dekhan, which from the elsewalth century was the refuge and centre of hierary seturity generally. In Himdustan it had been substantially arrested by the unroads and ravages of the Muhammadans, * and it is only within he last three centures that it has again returned within especially to Kafi (Benarcs) and Bengal. Some of the Mogul emperors, notably the great Akbar and his two successors, Jehangu and Shah Jehan‡—who together respend 1556—1566—were great patrons of Hubbl plerature.

This brings us to the close of our general survey of Sanskrit literature, but we have still to speak of a very peculiar branch of it, whose existence only became known some twenty or thirty years ago, namely, the Buddhistic Sanskrit works. To this end, it is necessary, in the first place, to premise some account of the origin of Buddhism receil '5".

² Sec Colchrocks account of these in his two prefaces to the Dogst of Handa Law (1795) and the Two Treatisms on the Hinds Law of Inheritance (1810), now in Cowells edition of the Misse Las 1 461 ff, also Buhlers Introduction, I c, p

This finds expression of an technique of the companion of the samprapic in kalan kule I indisplayed with a "No kind polymeral kule I indisplayed with a polymeral kule I polymeral kule I polymeral kule I polymeral kule I in the Kala age the Bulmans dwelling north of the Yundhya are denured of the sacrifice and averse from Jyoth vistra and in this

verse from mother Dharma tastra

Vindhyasya daktine oblage yatta
Godaran eshald | tatta tedas cha ya
juda cha bhanashyanti lalan yaye |
In the Kali ago the Vedas and

in the Kain age the vector and sacrifices will have their bome to the south of the Vindbya in the region where flows the Goddvari." Similar expressions occur in the Law book of Atri and in the Jagin mobing.

[†] As well as the latter's son, Dára Shaloh

³³⁴ Cf C F Koppen a excellent work Die I dignon des Buddha 13857, 3850, 2 vols.).

Of the original signification of the word buddha, 'awakened' (se from error), 'enlightened,' as a complimentary title given to eages in general,* I have already more than once spol en (pp 27, 167) I have also already remarked that the Buddhist doctrine was originally of purely philosophical tenor, identical with the system afterwards denominated the Samkhya, and that it only gradually grew up into a religion in consequence of one of its representatives having turned with it to the people † Euddhist tradition has itself preserved in individual traits a reminiscence of this origin of Buddha's doctring, and of its postemonty to and dependence upon the Samkhya philosophy 225 Thus it describes Buddha as born at Kapila-vastu, the abode of Kapila, and uniformly assigns to Kapila, the reputed founder of the Simkhya system, a far earlier date, Again, it gives Maya-devi as the mother of Buddha, and here we have an unmistakable reference to the Maya of the Samkhya 250a Further, it makes Buddha, in his prior birth among the gods, bear the name Svetaketu 230-a name which, in the Satapatha-Brahmana, is borne by one of the contemporaries of Kapya Patamehala, with whom Kapila ought probably to be connected And, lastly, it distinctly ranks Panchasikha, one of the main propagators of Kapila's doctrine, as a demicod or Gandharva. Of the names belonging to the teachers mentioned in Buddhist legend as contemporaries of Buddha, several also occur in Vedic

there might perhaps actually be here an early complimentary allman to Ruddha ! A "Paririent" () bhigher Atreysh" is named shortly after in Mays however, belongs not to the Samkbys, but openally to

the Vedinta doctrine

The bane bleggrand, which is also upfield to Budda in particular, is likeware a grean lute of become, still preserved smong the Brahamse to designate Bahan of every kind, and in betomed very specially on the betomed very specially on contracted form, Schound, it actually supplies the place of the pronoun of the econd person (I St., is 23), xiii 515, 352] 1 Sect St., 1435, 445, and above,

pp rs In the list of ancient signs at the beginning of the Charaka-Sam hits, we find newtion strongst others, of a "Guttumb Simshipah" and expression which the modern editor interprets, "Baudihyn esha Gaustum ygwritage" bat in truth

²²⁰ Can the leyend in the Mahi-Bidrala in 2256, here any connetion heterothin—to the effect that Srelabeth and downed by his fa ther Uddi'ala because of his being ranglad graphs appelaren 11-being ranglad graphs appelaren 11-being ranglad graph appelaren 12-being ranglad graph appelaren 12-being ranglad graph appelaren 12-being page 12-being 12-being 12-being 12-being 12-being 12-being 12-being 12-being 12pears to be intributed 12-being 12-bein

literature, but only in its third or Sutra stage, eq. Katyayana, Katyayaniputra, Kanndinya Agnivesya, Maitrayaniputra Vatsiputra,* Paushknasadi, but no names of teachers belonging to the Brahman's period are found in these legends 237 This is all the more significant, as Buddhism originated in the same region and district to which we have to allot the Satapatha-Brahmana for instancethe country, namely, of the Kosalas and Videhas, among the Sakyas and Lichhavis The Sakyas are the family of which Buddha himself came according to the legend, they had immigrated from the west, from Potala, a city on the Indus Whether this tradition be well founded or not, I am, at all events, disposed to connect them with the Sakayanins who are referred to in the tenth book of the Satapatha-Brahmana and also with the Sakayanyas of the Maitras and-Upanishad, which latter work propounds precisely the Buddhistic doctrine of the vanity of the world &c (see above, pp 07, 137) 338 Among the Kosala-Videhas this doctrine, and in connection with it the practice of subsistence upon alins as Pravrajaka or Bhikshu, had been thoroughly disseminated by Yamavalkya and their king Janaka, and a fruitful soil had thereby been prepared for Buddhism (see pp 137, 147 237) The doctrines promul gated by Yamavalkya in the Vrihad-Aranyaka are in fact completely Buddhistic as also are those of the later Athartopanishads belonging to the loga system Nay, it would even seem as if Buddhist legend itself assigned Bud-

+ bas Csoma Lorost, Journ dr. Soc Beng, Aug 1833, Welson,

dream daths, p 2:2 The truth of the legend may be questioned, but here the may be questioned, but here to the probability arimates and the second probability are the control of Pathalms who were maters of Pathalms who were maters of Pathalms who were maters may pearably have been invented in the time of Kamerki one or these stake kings, with a view to fatter him for the seal he displayed on behalf of Buddhem

228 ho, too, Johantgen Ueber das Gesetbuch des Manu, p 112 refers the traces of Buddhatte notions exhibited in that work specially to the school of the Midnayas from which it spring

auton te chia

To these names in -pub's, which are peculiar to Buddhut legend and the entio of the Satapatha Brith mana, belouge also, in the former, the name Scriputra, Scrikaputra

[&]quot;Unless Buddhas preceptor Arida may have something to do with the Arida may have something to do with the Arida may have something to do with the Arida may fit of the Arida may

dha to a period exactly coincident with that of Janaka and consequently of Yamavalkya also, for it specifies a king Austrasatru as a contemporary of Buddha, and a prince of this name appears in the Vrihad-Aranyaka and the Kaushitaki-Upanishad as the contemporary and rival of Janaka 339 The other particulars given in Buddhist legend as to the princes of that epoch have, it is true, nothing analocous to them in the works just mentioned, the Aistasatru of the Buddhists, moreover, is styled prince of Magadha, whereas he of the Vrihad-Aranyaka and the Kaushitaki-Upanishad appears as the sovereign of the Kasis (The name Apatesatru coours elsewhere also, eg, as a title of Yudhishthura.) Still, there is the further circumstance that in the fifth kanda of the Satapatha-Brahmana, Bhadrasens, the son of Apitasatru, is cursed by Arum, the contemporary of Janaka and Yapavalkya (see L St. 1. 212), and, as the Buddhists likewise cite a Bhadrasenaat least, as the sixth successor of Autasatru-we might almost be tempted to suppose that the curse in question may have been called forth by the heterodox antibrahmanical opinions of this Bhadrasena. Nothing more precise can at present be made out, and it is possible that the two Austasatrus and the two Bhadrasenes may simply be namesakes, and nothing more—as may be the case also with the Brahmadatta of the Vnhad-Aranyaka and the two lings of the same name of Buddhist legend -It is, at any rate, significant enough that in these legends the name of the Kuru-Panchalas no longer occurs, either as a compound or separately, 300 whilst the Pandavas are placed in Buddha's time, and appear as a wild mountain tribe, living by marauding and plander. Buddha's teaching was mainly fostered in the district of Magadha, which, as an extreme border province, was perhaps never completely

so Hoghly notworthy also is the peculiar agreement between Bud dist legends and there of the Yihad Armyaka in regard to the six teachers whom Agickstra and Janaka had before they were in airured by Buddha and Yeperalkya respectively, see I St, int. 156.

The Lurus are repeatedly

mentioned by the Southern Bud dista, see I St. m. 160 161

The allission to the five Pandus is the introduction of the Lista Victars (Foundary, p. 65) is probably, with the whole passage in which is occurs, as interpolation, being totally arreconclable with the other references to the Pandavas contained in the goal.

brahmanised, so that the native ublabitants always relaunced a lond of influence and now gladly seried the opportunity to rid themselves of the brahmanical hierarchy and the system of caste. The bostile allusions to these Migadhas in the Atharva-Sambita (see p. 147—and in the thirtieth book of the Vaysaueyi-Sambita? pp. 11, 112) might indeed possibly refer to their anti-brahmanical tendencies in times anticedent to Buddhism. The similar allusions in the Sama-Sutras, on the contrary (see p. 79),³⁴ are only to be explained as referring to the actual flourishing of Buddhism in Magadha.

With reference to the tradition as to Buddha's age, the various Buddhist eras which commence with the date of his death exhibit the widest divergence from each other Amonest the Northern Buddhists fourteen different accounts are found, ranging from BC 2422 to BC 546, the eras of the Southern Buddhists, on the contrary, mostly acres with each other, and all of them start from BC SAA or 543 This latter chronology has been recently adopted as the correct one, on the ground that it accords best with historical conditions, although even it displays a discrepancy of sixty-six years as recards the historically authenticated date of Chandragapta. But the Northern Buddhists, the Tibetans as well as the Chine'e-independently altogether of their era, which may be of later origin than this particular tradition t-agree in placing the reign of king Kanishka Kanerki, under whom the third (or fourth) Buddhist council was held, 400 years after Buddha's death, and on the evidence of coins. this Kanishla reigned down to A D 40 (see Lassen, I AK. 11. 412. 413), which would bring down the date of Buddha's death to about the year BC 370 Similarly, the Tibetans place Nagarjuna-who, according to the Raja-taramgini, was contemporaneous with Kanishka-400 years after the death of Buddha, whereas the Southern Buddhists make him live 500 years after that event Nothing like

And on another occasion in the Haudhavana Sutra also, see note 126 * For other points of contact in

the later Vedm interature are pp. 129, 138 [98 99 151] Lausen but trawn att p'ion in I AA . 2. 79

to the Buddhistic names of the mountains about Rajagraha, the capital of Magadha, found in Maha Bharata is 799

[†] Which is met with so early as the seventh tentury A.D in Buran Thomas

positive certainty, therefore, is for the present attainable \$12 A muors, however, it seems probable that the council which was held in the reign of Ling Kanerki, and from which the existing shape of the sacred scriptures of the Northern Buddhists nominally dates, really took place aco, and not so much as 570, years after Buddha's death, It seems prohable also that the Northern Buddhists, who alone possess these Scriptures complete, preserved more authentic information regarding the circumstances of the time of their reduction-and consequently also regarding the date of Nagarjuna-than did the Southern Buddhists, to whom this reduction is anknown, and whose scriptures exist only in a more ancient form which is alleged to have been brought to Ceylon so early as BC 245, and to have been there committed to writing about the year BC 80 (Lassen, I AK, u 435) -Of these various eras. the only one the actual employment of which at an early neriod can at present be proved is the Ceylonese, which, like the other Southern eras, begins in BC 544 Here the period indicated is the close of the fourth century AD . since the Dipavausa, a history of Ceylon in Pali verse, which was written at that date, appears to make use of this era, whereby naturally it becomes invested with a certain authority

If, now, we skip the secounts of Buddha's personality of all supernatural accretion, we find that he wat a lange son, who, penetrated by the nothingness of certify things, forsook his kindred in order thenceforth to live on ning, and devote himself in the first place to contemplation, and thereafter to the instruction of his fellow-nine. His doctine was, "that "men's lots in this fellow-nine. His doctine was," that "men's lots in this life are conditioned and regulated by the actions of a previous existence, that no evil deed remains without punishment, and no good deed without reward. From this fate, which dominates the individual within the circle of transmignation, he can only

²²¹ Nor have the subsequent due cussons of this topic by Max Multer (1859), HL 'A S L p 264 ft by Westergrand (1850) Leber Buddhas Tolleyahr (Brahau 1852) and by Ken, Over de Jauridling det Zudd Enddhietin (1874), so far 31°ded

any definite result, cl. my I Str., it. 215, Lit C Bl, 1874, P 719.

"Though it is nowhere set forth man successed form streadts, however, as the sum and substance of the ranous fegends.

escape * by directing his will towards the one thought of liberation from this circle, by remaining true to this aim, and striving with steadfast zeal after meritorious action only, wherehy finally, having cast aside all passions, which are regarded as the strongest fetters in this prisonhouse of existence, he attains the desired goal of complete emancipation from re-birth" This teaching contains, in itself, absolutely nothing new, on the contrary, it is entirely identical with the corresponding Brahmanical doctrine, only the fashion in which Baddha proclaimed and disseminated it was something altogether novel and unwonted For while the Brahmans taught solely in their hermitages, and received pupils of their own caste only, he wandered about the country with his disciples, preaching his doctrine to the whole people, f and-although still recognising the existing caste-system, and explaining its origin, as the Brahmans themselves did, by the dogma o rewards and punishments for prior actions-receiving as adherents men of every caste without distinction these he assigned rank in the community according to then aga and understanding, thus abolishing within the community itself the social distinctions that birth en tailed, and opening up to all men the prospect of emancipation from the trammels of their birth This of itself sufficiently explains the enormous success that attended his doctrine the oppressed all turned to him as their redeemer ! If by this alone he struck at the root of the Brahmanical hierarchy, he did so not less by declar-

See Schmidt Douglan der Beise und der Thor, Pref, p

[†] See Latsen I AK, n 440 441 Burnouf, Introd & PHirtoire du Buddhisne Indun, pp 152-

² Under these circumstance, it is indeed surprising that it should have been po sible to dislodge End dhism from India. The great numbers and influence of the Brahman catte do not slone completely secount for the fact for, in proper tion to the whole people, the Brahmans was effect all only a very small.

mmontly My does a that theatree more than the most and the second of tasisherate became in the long run inhome to the people, the original of the most and the second of the the Endament knew how to turn both coronators to the best at vantage. Expline-working as they expensed it, defined far more sattle expensed it, defined far more sattle required in the people, while the vantage of the Sax are founded as the shortly for the second of the second of the people of the people preceding to a cruthom of the business of the people of

ing sacrificial worship-the performance of which was the exclusive privilege of the Brahmans-to be utterly unavailing and worthless, and a virtuous disposition and virtuous conduct, on the contrary, to be the only real means of attaining final deliverance. He did so, further, by the fact that, wholly penetrated by the truth of his opinions, he claimed to be in possession of the highest enlightenment, and so by implication rejected the validity of the Veda as the supreme source of knowledge These two doctrines also were in no wa; new, till then, however, they had been the possession of a few auchorites, never before had they been freely and publicly proclaumed to all

Immediately after Buddha's death there was held, according to the tradition, a council of his disciples in Magadha, at which the Ruddhist sacred scriptures were compiled These consist of three divisions (Pitalas), the first of which—the Sutras —compuses utterances and discourses of Buddha himself, conversations with his hearers, while the Vinaya embraces rules of discipline, and the Abhidharma, dogmatic and philosophical discussions A hundred years later, according to the tradition of the Southern, but a hundred and ten according to that of the Northern Buddhists, a second council took place at Pataliputra for the purpose of doing away with errors of discipline which had crept in With regard to the third council, the accounts of the Northern and Southern Buddhiets are at issue (Lassen, I AK, il 232) According to the former, it was held in the seventeenth year of the reign of Asoka, a year which we have to identify with EC 216-which, however, is utterly at variance with the equally traditional assection that it took place 218 years after Buddla's death, se, m BC 326 At this council the precepts of the law were restored to their encient purity, and it vas at the same time resolved to send forth missionarres to propagate the doctrines of Buddha. The Northern Buddhists, on the contrary, place the third council 400 Jears after Buddha's death, in the reign of Kenishka, one

^{*} The name alone might suggest the Sitrs, not in the Britman., tot Endans handle four-shed in proof.

of the Thuishia (Saka) kings of Kashmir, who, as we have seen, is established, on numerantie or idence to have reigned until AD 40. The sacred scriptures of the Northerir Bud dhirts which are alleged to have been fixed at this council, are still extant, not meetly in the Sanskirt originals themselves, which have recently been recovered in Nepal, but also in a complete Thetan translation, bearing the name Kagyar, and consisting of one hundred volumes, † as well as, partially at least, in Chinese, Mongolan, Kalimuck, and other translations. The scriptures of the Sauthern Buddhists, on the contrary are not extant in Sanskirt at all With reference to them, it is alleged that one year after their circumpenent at the third council, that of A60 & (c., in the year B0 245), they were brought by Mahendra, the abostle of Cevilon, to that siland, and by him translated

. By the British Rendept there. B H Hodgson, who are cuted MSS of them to the Assats Societies of Calcutta, London, and Paris I arm collection was further enriched in 18,7 with copies which the Sociéte Auxtrage caused to be made shrough Hodgeon a sgency Tha kd Pur mout to write his great work fritre duction à l'Instoire de Buddhame Inds n Farm 1844 [followed in the end of 1852 by his not less amportant production, the translation of the 155 F, 1864 The British Museum and the Univer ity Library in Cam I ridge are now al o in nos es tun of south r MSY A satalo, no comtiled by Cowell and Exchang of tue Horigana co lectron of Buddha.t. Sanskrit MSS in the oil resign of he Royal Assatic Society has put appeared]

† Regarding the compass and contents of the Thirst a translation our first, (and Intherto almo tour sold) information was amplied by a Huurarian traveller. Coma Loross the Acquetid in Perron of this century, a man of rare rigour and energy who or resided for a tery long turnsy in their, and who by his Thetan grammur, and dictionary has conversed that and the result of the residence of the content of the

language for European science Two pretty extensive works from the haggur have already been edited and translated the Deanglun in St Petersburg by Schmidt and the Egya Cher hol Pa (Lalita Vistara) in Paris by Foucaux [Suce the i valuable service in this field by his Textestirés du Kandjous (1864-71 1) parts), also Schaefner, eg, by bis editions of the Isingle praimottera reframálá (1858)-the Sanskrit text of which was sub equently edited by Ponesur lef alm I St 1 210ff)and of the Bharnton Re ponsa (1875) Schrefner has further anst assued a translation from the haryur of a floup of Buddhist tale, under the title, Mihdiatedyma und Konig Tachanda Praduca The motio of these stories contains (es p vii 26 ff) whal is now probably the olde-t version of the so-called 'Phile o phera Ride," which bere, as in the Patichatantra (ov 6) as related of the king himself, whereas in an Arabian tale of the nigth century communicated in the appendix (p 66) and in our own mediaval version it is told of the kings who coun aellor

202

into the native Siuchalese ses Not until some 165 years later (1e, in BC 80) were they consigned to writing in that language, having been propagated in the interval by oral transmission only 344 After a further period of 500 years (namely, between AD 410 and 432) they were at lenoth rendered into the sacred Pali tongue (cf. Lasson, I AK. 11 435), in which they are now extant, and from which in turn translations into several of the languages of Farther India were subsequently made . As to the relation of these semptures of the Southern Buddhists to those of their Northern co-religionists, little is at present known he vond the fact that hoth present in common the general division into three parts (Sútra, Vinaya, Abhidharria) In extent they can hardly compare with the latter.315 nor even, according to the foregoing exposition,f in authonticity 240 Unfortunately but little information has as vet

\$45 It was not the Pils text steelf but only the oral commentary (erric kathd) belonging to it, which was translated into Singhalese (See the following notes) So at least it is stated in the tridition in the Mahavanes. For the rest, it is extremely doubtful how much of the present Tip take may have actually been in existence then For if we compare the statements costained in the Lhabra missive-addressed by king P vadges to the synod of Magailba, which was then engaged in the accommoderson of echients that had errung up-relative to the sacred texts (dhamma palipiyani) as ther then stood a mighty difference be comes apparent! See Burnouf, Lotus p 724 ff , I St., 10 172 ff 344 See Mahayensa chan xxxva

p 207, Turnour, Preface, p xxix., Must Oreg Sansk Texts, u. 69, 70 (571) I St v 26

* That is to say, translated back again() for this sacred languege must on the came that Mahendra brought with hm? [Not the texts them selves only their interpretation (atef akatha) was now rendered back again into Pali, paracly by Boddhapho, he who came from Magadha, and res ded a mamber of years in Ceylon | here I Et. in 176 ff., where certain

The extent of the Palls Tipitaka se also very considerable, see the accounts in Hardy's Eurern Monachura po 167-170 On the ear liest mention of the name Tipitaka in a Sanskeit macription of Buddha ghoshs at Kanheri (in the John. Borbay Br R. A S. v 14), to E L

St + 26 f If n deed the case be as here represented! I can up the mean while only report. [Unfortunately, I had trusted to Lassen's account in the parage cited in the text, anatead of referring to Turnour ham self (pp triz. rrz.), the troostate of the case (see the preceding notes) I have set forth in I St , m 254. Is The question which of the two reductions that of the Northern or that of the Southern Buddhists ie the more original has been warmly debated by Turnous and Hodgson (The latter's articles on the aubject

are now collected in a convenient form in his Eight on Languages Let and Pel of Aspal and Tilet 1874.) Euroouf also has discussed the question in his Loties de la Eorne La p 262 ff, and has decided in promple so doubt rab le that be't possess an equal titl

293

been imparted regarding their contents, &c.* Southein Buddhim, however, supplies us with copious and possibly trustworthy accounts of the first centuries of its existince, as well us of the growth of the Buddhiss fath generally. Pall historical literature having grown up in Ceylor at a comparatively early period, we of the most important works of which—the Mahavaness of Mahmamy, composed towards AD 480—has already been published, both in the original text and in an English version.

doubts are arged by me a amat some of his as umptions, as ollo stecially with regard to Eucldhathonhas highly significant part in the shap ing of the Pala Tipitaks Kern bas recently in bus Fotay Over de Jour telling der zusdeliske Buddhis en gone far beyond those objections of mine , but an it seems to me he goes fur ther than the case requires , see L t U Bl , 1974 p 719 At any rate, even fully acknowledging the part be'onging to Buddhaghosha at ap lears to me now that the claim of the Pdls Troutaka to Superior error mility is after all, far etronger than the of the Sandret texts of the No thern buddhiste from which as from the serred writings of the Jan n. , if redictings , hed, greatly to me idiaptare by ite comparative a m plicity and breview C. al a S Beal & very pertinent ob ervations in the

Ind Antiq 14 90 The most authentic information as yet is to be found in the Intreduction to G. Turnout a edition of the Mahayansa (1875 Ceylon) and m he scattered essys of thuscholar ulso though only in very & neral. en line, in Westergaard's Catalogue of the Copenbagen Indian MS: (1846, Havnize) which comprise a tolerable number of the e Tan vorks purchased by the celebrated Rall in Ceylon Clough a writings too contain much that bears up is this enbject elso Spiegel a An efeta Palica Exceedingly copious infor mation regarding Son here Bud dhem is contained to a work that has just reached me by R Spence

Hordy, Essires Manachum, an is count of the torque, Lora, &c., of the Order of Hendusts founded by Go tense Buddha I codon, 1850, 444 pp. 1b; an ambientary in Orylon and as lyin majoritary in Orylon and as lyin majoritary in Orylon and as excited to propose. [This was to lowed us. 1855 by his Manuel or Endes souly of Phil and its litera of the Company of the Company of the Title souly of Phil and its litera particularly through the labour of

V Fambol (Diumnagada, 1855)
Pa Jatoko 1851 Domendaji tela 1871, I ca Jatoka 1872 Domendaji tela 1871, I ca Jatoka 1872 Thi Jatoka 1872 Thi Jatoka 1873 James ne Alive (Introduction to Acabriagnosa Carantus 1803, Attanagalumnas 1806) Pares (Daharras via and others o heavy Pala antita, in Pare (Daharras via and others o heavy Pala antita, in Pares (December 1876)

Grambel (Letrastat Writte 1870)

Feer [Daharawa and others of
Feer [Daharawa and others
of Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Let and Committee of
Le

Northern Buddhi m has like aise found its historians like Thetan Tarabitha (se note 35-) cites as his precursors Bhatighati Indradatta Kehemendrabhadra. 204

With respect now to the scriptures of the Northern .Buddhists, the Sanskrit originals namely-for it is these alone that concern us here-we must, in the first place. keep in view that, even according to the tradition, their existing text belongs only to the first century of our era. so that, even although there should be works among them dating from the two earlier conneils, yet these were in any case subjected to revision at the third In the next place, it is d prior improbable-nor is it indeed directly alleged-that the whole of the existing works owed their origin to this third council, and amongst them there must certainly be many belonging to a later period And lastly. we must not even assume that all the works translated in the Tibetan Kagyur were already in existence at the time when translations into Tibetan began to be made (in the seventh century), for the Kagyur was not completed all at once, but was only definitively fixed after a prolonged and gradual growth. From these considerations alone, it is abundantly plain how cautious we ought to be in making use of these works. But there is still more to be borne in mind For even supposing the origin of the most ancient of them really to date from the first and second councils,347 still, to assume that they were recorded in writing so early as this is not only prima face questionable, but 19, besides, distinctly opposed to analogy, since we are expressly informed that, with the Southern Buddhists, the consignment to writing only took place in the year EC 80 long subsequent to both councils. The main purpore of the third council under Kanishka may possibly just have been to draw up written records, had such records been already in existence. Buddhism could hardly have been split up thus early into eighteen different sects, as we are told was the case in Kanishka's time, only 400 years after Buddba's death Why, during all the eighteen centuries that have since elapsed no such amount of schism has sprung up, evidently because a written basis was then secured. Lestly one important point which must not be

Tip tan translations date from the smooth to the thirteenth contines. principally from the min h

[.] treerding to Cooms Koro I, the Bhabra missive as to the dhanna palsyfying sa they then stood render such a suppos tion extremely doubt ful here just as in the case of the

³⁰ The data contained in the Pah Tipitaka (see note 343)

jost sight of in estimating the authenticity of the existing-Buddhist scriptures is the circumstance that the sources from which they were drawn were in a different language True, we cannot make out with absolute certainty in what language Buddha taught and preached, but as it was to the people he addressed himself, it is in the highest degree probable that he spoke in the vernacular idiom Again. it was in Magadha * that the first council of his disciples assembled, and it was doubtless conducted in the dialect of this country, which indeed passes as the sacred language of Buddhism The same remark applies to the second council, as well as to the one which, according to the Southern Buddhists, is the third, both of which were likewise held in Maradha t Mahendra, who converted Cevlon in the year following this third council, took with him to that island the Magadhi language, afterwards called Pali I this, too, is the dialect in which the inscriptions of this period, which at least bespeak Buddhistic influence, are composed 348 At the last council, on the contrary, which falls some 300 years later, and at which the existing scriptures of the Northern Buddhists are alleged to have

down to us officially under the name of Miscadbi, and we ch presents apecial features of resemblance to that enslect retur which is em ployed in the tracilotions of Girnar Thequestion has therefore been raised whether Pfit is really entitled to the name Migadhi, which in the Pali I terature as applied to at, or whether st may not have received this title merely from motives of erele instacal policy, having reference to the sigsufficance of the land of Maradha in the history of Buddhi m Wester gandeven surmie (Ceb rden ähesten Zestraum der indischen Geschichte p Sym . (Soc) that Pale is identical with the dislict of Uggymi, the mother tongue of Mahendra who was born there and I rust Kuhn (Benray sur Pau Grammatil p 7 1874) adouts this opinion. Put Pischel (Jenaer L. Zeit 1875 p. 115) and Chilliers (Pall Duck, Pro face p vil pronounce again, t it.

^{*} In the old capital (Répignite) + In the new capstal (Patalogutea) I That I all could have been de

v loned in Caylon from an imported Sanskrit is altocether inconcerrable 345 The edicts of Piyadam gresent themselves to us in three distinct dialvets One of three, that of Dhault exhibits a number of the

peculianties which distinctively be lone to the Ardhumagadht of the James and the dislest designated Macadhi by the Prakrit comman It is in 1 that the Bhabes mesave addres ad to the third council ts composed -- a circums ance which copelusively proves that it was then the official language of Buddhistn. and, in point of fact, Mfredhi (since Dazuli belongs prographically to *bis district) see I St ju 180 and my Es,ay on the Bhagavati of the Janus, 1, 396 Put then on the other hand this dislect di plays a particularly marked divergence from Pali, the largare -hi h has come

been compiled, the language employed for this purpose was not Magadhi, but Sanskrit, although not the purest The reason of this lies simply in the locality For this concluding council was not held in Magadha, nor even in Hindustan at all, whose rulers were not then favourably disposed towards Buddhism, but in Kishmir, a district which-partly no doubt in consequence of its being peopled exclusively by Aryan tribes,* but partly also (see pp 26. 46, 178) because, like the North-West of India generally. it has to be regarded as a chief seat of the cultivation of Indian grammar-had preserved its language purer than those Aryans had been able to do who had emigrated to India, and there mingled with the native inhabitants Those priests, therefore, who here undertook the compilation and recording in writing of the sacred scriptures were, if not accomplished grammarians, yet in all probability sufficiently conversant with grammar to be able to write nassable Sanskrat t

Agreeably to what has just been set forth, 20 it is in the highest degree ruky to regard, as has hitherto been done,

 The Greeks and Scythians were both too scanty in numbers, and too short a time in close contact with the nature, to exercise any influence in the way of modifying the language

f And it was evidently priests, educated men therefore, who formed the third council. In the first two, laymen may have taken part, but the Buddheste hearsthy had had time to develop sufficiently in the interval.

7 Burnouf thinks differently, Ilist du Buddh., pp 105, 106, as also Lassen, I Ah. ii. 9 491-493 [but see I St., iii. 139, 179 ff]

40 Bende the two braches of

Buddhette literature discussed in the foregoing pages—the Phi texts of the Southern and the Cawleytexts of the Northern Buddhets there stands a third group, occupying from its original constitution, a kind of intermediate place between the other two-mainly, the Ardisa migadhi texts of the Janes 1 like sect of the Janes 1 like sect of the Janes is tail probability

to be recarded as one of the schie matic mote that branched off from Buddhum in the first centuries of its existence. The legendary narrations of the personal activity of its founder Mahieirs, not only re fer at exclusively to the same dis trict which Buddhism also recognises as at holy land, but they, moreover duply so close an affinity to the accounts of Euddha's minutry that we cannot but reorganes in the two groups of narratives merely varying forms of countries retter scences. Another andication that the Jama sect arese in this way out of Eud dhiem although by some it has even been regarded as of pre Buddhistic origin—is affinded by the circum stance, amongst others, that its sarred texts are styled, not Samus, but Angue and consequently, in contra distinction to the oldest Buddhist texts which date from the Vedic Sutra period, belong tather to the Affige stage, that is to say, to the period when the Afigas or Vedangas works posterior to the Vedic Sutres.

the dart yielded by a Buddhistic literature fashioned in this 1 ay as valid for the epoch of Buddhis himself, which is removed from the last council by an interval of four, or, if we accept the Southern chronology, of nearly six, centures of Total traditions, committed to writing in a different language, after such a series of years, and more over only extant in a mass of writings that he several centures apart, and of which the oldest portions have still to be critically sitted out, can only be used with extreme caution, and a pri ors the data they furnish serve, not so much to chracterise the epoch about which they tell as rather the epoch, in porticular, in which they recall their present shape. But however doubtful, according to

were produced But there as a further evenmetance which is onite conclusive as to this point-mamely that the language in which thees texts are composed and which, ac cording to the scholasts, as Archa migadhi, axhibits a more de veloped and considerably later phase than the language of the Pali texts, to which, in its turn, the Pali scholia expressly apply the designation Micaibs (At the same time there are also dia lectic differences between the two) See my paper on the Bhagavati of the Jamas, pp 441, 373, 395 ff, 415 To the eleven principal Angie have to be added a large number of other writings styled Upirgs, Mula Sutra, Kalpa Satra, At An enumeration of the entire set, showing a total of fifty norks contasting of about 600 000 clol as may be bein in Rajendra Lais. Mitra's Actives of Sanskent MSS, our knowledge of the James m otherwise d rived from Erubmann. sources only -sli that has butherto been published is a fragment of the fifth Ahea or Bhagwa'l Sutra, dating perhaps from the fret can turnes of our erz, ed ted by mys-If (1366-67) In I S , x 254 ff (1867), I bave also given an account of the Eurya-praynapts, or seventle Updaga Sutra a commentary on

which is said to have been composed by Bhadrabáburyámin enthor of the Kulpa-Satra, a work atemingly written in the aventh century Lastly, there is a translation by Stevenson (1843) of this Kalna Sutra strelf, which stands thurtieth in the list of the sacred texts Cf also S J Warren Over de godedunst ige en wijngerige Begripgen der James 1875 Thanks to C Buhler friendly exertions the Royal Library in Berlin has lately acquired possee soon of nearly all thees fifty sacred texts, with or without commen tames, and in good o'd MSS, so that we may hope soon to be better informed regarding them -But the Jamas have al p a great fur naficance an connection with Santkrit literature, more especially for grain mar and textcography, as well as on account of the bistorical and levend arymatter which they have pre erved (see above, p 214 end of my paper on the Satrum, aya Maharmya 1858) One of their most honoured name as that of Hemschardra who flours hed in the time of the Gur 112 prince Kumárardla (1088-1172) Under the title Yoga St. ta Le com posed a compendium of the Jama doctrines in twelve gralder the first four of which, treating of their ethics have recently been edited and trapslated by Ernet Windisch (Z D M G XXVIII. 18, E, 1874).

this yiew, are the valuity and authority of these writings in reference to the subjects which they have hitherto been taken to illustrate, they are nevertheless important, on the other hand, for the history of the inner development or Buddham itself, though even here, of course, therefrustworkiness is altogether relative. For the many marvelloss storics they recount both of Baddha himself and of his disciples and other adherents, as well as the extravagant mythology gradually developed in them, produce upon the whole the impression of a wild and formless chaos of fantashe in renhous

Our chief object must now, of course, be to establish a relative chronology and order of sequence amongst these various writings-a task which Bornouf, whose researches are our sole authority on the subject," also set himself. and which he has executed with great judgment and tolerable conclusiveness And, first, of the Sutras, or accounts of Buddha himself Burnonf divides these into two classes the sample Sútras, and the so-called Maharaipulya- or Mahayana-Sutras, which he declares to be the more modern of the two in point of language, form, and doctrine As far as the latter point is concerned, he is no doubt right For, in the first place, in the Mahavaipulva-Sútras Buddha appears almost exclusively surcounded by gods and Bodhisatty as (beings peculiar to the Buddhistic mythology), whereas in the simple Sutras it is human beings who mostly form his following, with whom gods are only now and then associated. And, in the second place, the simple Sutras do not exhibit any trace of those doctrines which are not common Buddhistic property, but belong to the Northern Buddhists only 23, for example the worship of Amitabha, Manjuán, Avalokitesvara, Adibuddha t and the Dhyanibuddhas, and further, do not contain any trace of mystic spells and maric formulas, all of which are found, and in abundance, in the

⁹ I cannot refram from expressing bere, in a few words at least, my sincers and profound sorrow that row, as the a absets, which I would so gladily have submitted to his ludgment are passing through the press Eu die Europaf has been taken from among us. His premis

ture death is an irreparable loss to learning, as well as to all who knew him, and which is the same thing gevered and loved him.

† The word is found in a total j

defferent sense in those portions of the Mirdukyopanishad which are due to Gunfiphia.

Mahavamulya-Sútras only But whether the circumstance hat the language of the lengthy poetical pieces which are inserted with special frequency in these last, appears in a much more degenerated form-to wit, a medley of Sanskrit, Prakrit, and Pali-than is the case with the prove portions is to be taken as a proof of the posteriorit, of the Mahavaipulva-Sutras, does not seem to be quite so certain as yet. Do these poetical portions, then, really agree so completely in form and substance, with the prose text in respect to the several nomits just instanced that they may be regarded as merely an amplification or recapitulation of it? Or are they not rather distinguished from it precisely in these points, so that we might regard them as fragments of older traditions handed down in verse, exactly like the analogous pieces which occur so often in the Brahmanas?* In the latter case we should have to regard them as proof, rather that the Buddhist legends, &c, were not originally composed in Sanskrit out in vernacular dialects. From the account of the

. We mu, t be content with sample sulting the question, as we are sull unfortunately without the Sanskyit text of even a single one of these Salra, the sole exception being en ineignificant fragment from the Lalita to tard one of the Mabavas pulva Sutras, communicated by Fou caux at the end of his edition of the Tibetan translation of this work The entire text of the Lahta vi tira, in twenty seven chapters has since appeared in the Bill Ind , edited by Rajendra 1413 Mitra (1853 ff), the translation breaks off at chapter in Fourier pabhabed the fourth chapter of the Sad dharma-pundarika in 1852 and Loon Feer un Avadans, mamed Pratidaria, in 1867 Eastly, the Karanda vyula a terribly inflated Mahárána Sutra, za honour of Ava I htefenra bae been edited by Sattavrota Mm Lrams (Cal-, 1872) A tran a ton of the Labita vistara b zun h S Leimann in 1874. embrace o far the first five chap er , and is accompanied with

very comens notes -The conjectors" expressed above as to the not wal portions bad previously been advanced-although when I wrote I was not aware of the fact-in the Journ At Sot. Beng , 18,1 p 231. see I Et, he 140 It was subs quently worked out in greater detail by Ligendra L. Mitra, in a mental ex ay on the dialect of these Gathan likewise in Journ da boc Beng (1854, No 6) Here the date or their compas from in even carried back to the period immediately the creding Buddha's death, see diur, Ong S Texte 1 2 115 ff Kern Opr de Jea-kill og p 105 f. c 03 not see in these Gathas any peral ar dialect, but merely later versions of stanzas empanally compos i in pure Prikret Lastly, Edward Mu'ler, in bis trick Der D ciekt der Gatha des Lalita en tena (Weimar 1874) per corres in them the work of poets who were not quite at home in Sanchest and who extended to it the laxnes of their own verno E ilze

Chinese tra eller, Fa Hian, who made a pilgrunage from China to India and back in AD 309-414, it would apnear that the Mahavamulva-Sut-as were then almany pretty widely diffused, since he mentions several of the doctrines peculiar to them as extensively studied to

Of the simple Sútras, it is at least possible, in the au sence of evidence, that such as are concerned solely with Buddha's personality may be more ancient than those relating also to persons who lived some hundreds of years later, but beyond this we cannot at present determine anything Their contents are of a somewhat multifarious description, and for the several divisions we also find special technical designations * They contain either simple legends, styled Ityul ta and Vyalarana (corresponding to

59 The accounts of Fa Ham ere far surpa led in moment by those of Hittan Thisng, who travelled over India in the Team 620.645 a D Of enecial importance also are the Chinese translations of Buddhuste works, which ere nearly all based upon the texts of the Morthern Buddhists and some of which pro fear to be very ancient. Of four such translations of the Lahta victors, the first is eard to bare been made at a date so early as A D 70-76 the second in A D 208 and the third in 652, see on this / 11, 110 vin 325 Simplarly. the Sad dharma oundaril a meand to have been thrice translated first in AD 280, next in AD 397-402, and again in AD 601-605 beat, in the Indian Aut. 7,14 90,91, mentions no only a translation of the Brah ma dla Sutra of the Year 4.D 420 but al a whole set of ffly Sutras (among tibem en , the Samara ala)

700

AU 70 to 600 and by various selv lars, all of them from Sansket or Páli -ill, therefore, from the ladian original, whereas the frame iztions of later times were mostly derived through the medium of the Tabetan For the everyone of the respective texts, fuller particulars of these, to part so ancient, transla

tions would of course be of great amportance Of one of these works. a version of the Abhinish ramana Saira, a complete translation ber recently less published by B ... under the title The Romantic Le gend of Salga Buddha, 1875 The special points of relation here found to Christian legendaste very striking The question which party was the borrower Beal properly leaves un determined, yet in all likelihood we have here simply a timber case to that of the appropriation of Christian legends by the worshippers of hr h as - Highly important for the his tory of Northern Buddhism 19 W We silew s work, drawn from Libeta Chinese sources, Der Bud dhiarwa, 1860 as also Taranitha's Hastery of Buddbisty in India a work romposed to late as 1808, but resting upon older, and an part Sandret, authorsties rendered into Russian by Wassiljen, - labetan text, with German version, by translated at different dates from Schiefner, 1869 cl auso Lazzen

I AK. u 6. note According to Spingel in his re availed invarif by a, of Burnoul a work, in the Jahrd for wiss her in 1845, p 547, most of these Names

Buddbists

the Itihasa-Puranas in the Brahmanas), or legends in the form of parables, styled Aradána, in which we find many elements of the later animal-fables, sst or further, tales of presages and wonders, Adbhuta-dharma, or again, single Stanzas or songs of several stanzas (Geya and Gatha) serving to corroborate preyious statements, or lastly, special instruction in, and discussion of, definite topics, denominated Unadela and Audina All these reannear in a similar " 1, only in a much more appique muse and under different names,* in the Brahmanas and Aranvakas, as well as in the prose legends interspersed here and there throughout the Mah 1-Bharata, which in style also (though not in language) offer the preatest resemblance to these Buddhistic Sútras Quite peculiar to these latter, however, are the passages called Jatakas, which treat of the prior births of Buddha and the Bodhi-attvas

Now those dat's in the Satuas which have hitherto been taken as valid for Buddha's time, but which we can only consider as valid, pumanly, for the time when the Saturas vere composed, are chiefly of a kind bearing upon the his very of the linding religious. For just as Buddha recognised the then existing Hindé Pautheun! But is must not by any means be imagined that in Buddha's time this Partieon had attained to that phase of development which we here find in the Suttas assuming that we follow the

If I jum the Chuses bandston a Stan Julian has published question of such actors, for the must part very short (let Aradams Control of the Aradams and Gary tale, as how on triful treefs to Bester and the introduction to its translations of the Pachatams.

^{*} Only Githd and Uprdess (Adesa it least) occur also in the Brith mana?

[†] Although connecture limbs are i mind here and there in the Maha Ehiarstaalso especially in the twelith cook indeed, many of the Buddhat.

legends stand distintily related to corresponding Bribmanic populatal a and legend, which they have sumply transformed for conversely, mto which they have themselves been transformed to suit the object

² Laures a secretion (I AK in 459) that 8 Budha recognised no gcds refers only to the arcun-ciance that they too mer a garded by him as subjected to the external succession of causeon, there with similar than the subject of the terral succession of causeon, there will be subject to the subject to the subject of the subj

Southern chronology and place Buddha in the sixth cen tury BC, that is, doubtless, in the period of the Brahmanas -worls in which a totally different Pantheon prevails But if on the other hand, he did not teach until the fourth century BC, as must be the case if the assertion of the Trbetans and Chinese be correct, to the effect that the third conneil took place under Kanishka (who lived A.D. 40), four hundred years after Buddha's death-and this view is favoured by the circumstance that of the names of teachers who are mentioned as contemporaries of Buddha such as reappear in the Brahmanical writings all belong to the literature of the Vedic Shiras, not to that of the Brahmanas-there would at least be a greater possibility. d priors, that the Pantheon found in the Buddhistic Satras. torether with similar data, might have some validity for the time of Buddha, which on this supposition would be much nearer to them The details of the subject are briefly these The Yakshas, Garadas, Kinnaras, 8.2 so often mentioned in these Satras, are still quite unknown in the Brahmanas the name Dánava, too, occurs but scidom (once as an epithet of Vritra, a second time as an epithet of Sushna), and never in the plural to designate the Asuras generally, 203 nor are the gods even -tyled Suras there 24 The names of the Nagas and Mahoragas are never mentioned, although serpent-worship itself (sarpa-ridud) is repeatedly referred to the Kumbhan-

meetion of the term in Nir. 111 S. as patently an anterpolation, as it as quite foreign to the Vedic texts " "In the sense of elephant the word mang occursonce in the I fihad Aranyaka, Madby 1 I 24 (Er rata first Germaned) [Also in the Art Br , van 22 , whereas in the Sat Br , 21 2 7 12 muhandga is better saterpreted, with Signas, se serpent The antiquity of this latter meaning is favoured by etr mology of Logl snake, see hubne Zatrhnft, 15 233 234]

+ In the Athania Samhita, in particular, many prayers are drased to the Sarpar, in the Sat. Br they are once identified with the lolar can the term have originally trongly maiged into a turs. The denoted the stars and other spirits

² Where the Kinners and their wives oppear as 'heavenly chorus ter., an eg, in the Meghaduta Ra chuvanes and Maha Bharata, I con C'ure the word to be a popular 'ymological adaptation from the Greek girled, although the letter is properly only used of mournful, plaintive tones Amendra stacif is iormed after the model of kon provede 3.3 This is a mistake the Dinus,

Danavas, appear even in the Rik nay, the former in the Avesta sa well, see Alda Yesht, \$73, Parard y \$ 37, 38 (here as earthly forst) as Sura is a bastard formation from asura testing on a misunder etanding of the word, which was

das * too, are absent. This lack of allusion in the Brahmanas o any of these genu might be explained by supposing them to have been principally the divinities of the inferior classes of the people, to which classes Buddha specially addressed himself, and to whose conceptions and range of ideas he was therefore obliged to have particular regard. In this there may be a great deal of truth, but the remaining cycle of deities, also, which appears in the Buddhistic Sutras. is completely that belonging to the epic poetry Brahmanas, on the contrary, the name of Kuvera, for instance is only mentioned once + (and that in the Brahm in a of the White Yajus) . 15. Siva and Samkara only occur along with other appellative epithets of Rudra, and are never employed alone as proper names to denote him, the name of Narayan 1 ag sin, is of extremely 1sis occurrence, whilst Sakia, S. Vasava, 37 Hari, Upendra, Janaidana, Pitamiha, are totally unknown We thus perceive that the Buddiustic Sutis, in all of which there names are prevalent represent pricisily the same stage as the Epic literature! The

of the air! [Serpent-worthly has unquestionably mythological, by mythological, by the best of the color hand, it has also a theretily estaint, background]. The Mastrayani Upanahah dore, nied g. meran bas Swax latabas, and Urqua but this Upanahah dore, the g. salahat between the Swax latabas, and Urqua but this Upanahah dore, the period. It is allied to the Faddhashe Skard allied to the Faddhashe Skard and content, and probably also mage. "A kind of derifs with "testicle

as large as jars (!) In the later Brahmanical writings they are styled Keshindhaus Kushindhaus (gour!!), see also Mabidiams on Vy Sanh, xx 14 [Cf the Aur bla murdus in Ath vin 6 15 x 19 17 and perinspe 2 other maddens in Rib, Vii 21 5 x 99 3;

Roth on Nor., p. 47] † The Taitteriya Aranyala, which contains several of there name—can not exactly be ranked with the Brah

mana literature

2.5 Also in the parallel passages in
the Hik Satra and once besides in
the Ath S (viii 10, 28)

the Ath S (vin 10, 25)

2.6 As an appellature epithes of

Indra Salvacecurs in the Rik everbut it is there employed of other godaes well

As an epithet of Indra that

not as a name for him) Vd.ava or curs once in All S, vi 8z 1 In the Miruku siso xii 41, tt appears in direct connection with him but it the same time also with Agni Indeed sits with Agni and not with Indra that the Vasus in chiefly associated in the Erdhmanns, see I St. v 2,0,

The Mara to frequently mention ed would almost appear to be a purely Buddhistie invention, in Brahma meal writings I have nowhere met with him [Minayeff's conjecture and he introduction to his Gramme re Palse fred parStan Guyard p vin . that the name Mara is direc ly related to Marrya, an epithet of Ahri man in the Avesta, and in such a way that both " remonfent a une enneue antérioure à la éstitation des Immens et des Handous is rendered ex r mely doub ful by the mere currentance that nothing of the sort occurs anywhere in the Veda

non-mention of Krishna 28 proves nothing to the contrary, the worship of Krishna as a divinity being of altogether uncertain date 29 hesides, it is still a question whether we have not really to understand him by the Asura Krishna who is repeatedly referred to in these Sutres (see p. 148) -Although-to notice other points besides the Pantheon -the lunar asterisms in the Sutras begin with Krittild, that is to say, still retain their old order, we cannot adduce this as proof that a comparatively high antiquity ought to be assigned to these writings, for the new order of the asterisms probably only dates from the fourth or fifth century A.D., all that results from this is, that the particular passages are earlier than this last-mentioned date As an indication, on the contrary, of a date not specially ancient, we must certainly regard the mention of the planets, as also the occurrence of the word dinara (from denarius), which Burnouf (p 424, n.) has twice met with in the olde Sutras (see Lassen, I AK, ii. 348)

As regards the second division of the Buddhist scriptimes, the Fringis-Pistes or precepts concerning disopline and vorship, these are almost entirely wanting in the Paris collection, doubtless because they are looked upon as peculiarly holy, and are therefore kept as secret as possible by the priests, being indeed specially intended for

(Gopsila Br., 1.25 see note 166, is only an apparent exception, due probably to Buddhistic anternee) if, therefore, a direct connection really exists between Mara and Anna Minuth it can only have come about in historic times, and for this there is nowher any amilogr.

180 Whether the Soehern Bed terts that are assumed with Krabba Kindid is not yet clear. Buddhas proce that a Kindid is not yet clear. Buddhas proced have been produced by the start polished in Familial redution, expect to the start polished in Familia redution, the produced by the start polished in Familia reducing the start polished in Westermand Caisal, p. 41) cm. than he had been cutter, but whit of the Jataka as any and the start produced by the start polished in Westermann and the start produced by the start produced

that of hythms 10' Z, na. 161), as unfortunately not before to it a bu onescul text might not be print; supply men, "Your hur in yet black?" The fact of Eryelina appearing in the Addoldanaponally pld as a name of Vulnon grover, of course, just as a name of Vulnon grover, of course, just as inthe for the ancient facts, and the Addoldanaponally pld as a name of Vulnon grover, of course, just as a little for the ancient facts, and the Addoldanaponally have a name of the Addoldanaponally for the ancient facts, and the course of the Addoldanaponally for the facts of the Addoldanaponally for Eryelmonthy to Krathan.

2- On the agrantance of the data contained as the Minhthshay on the point, see I S., will, 349 for the earliest occurrence of Ariston in an inscription, see Exply in Joins 44. See, Berg 1854, P. 51 ff., with which et I Sr., it. 81, and my Liar Coher Liquidas, 6 Chertrest

the clergy -- Like the Buddhist mythology the Buddhist hierarch, was a thing of gradual growth. Buddha, as we have seen, received all without distinction as disciples, and when ere long, in consequence of the great numbers, and of the practice of hiving constantly together, except in the winter season, some kind of distribution of rank was required, it was upon the principle of age * or ment + that this took place As the Buddhist faith spread more and more, it became necessary to distinguish between those who devoted themselves entirely to the priestly calling, the bhilshus t monks, and bhilshums, nuns, on the one

. The ared were called stlangs. a word not pufrequently added to a proper name in the Brahmanical Satras to dis inguith a particular person from younger namesakas po nts of connection barewith are to to found in the Erdiments also Regarding the winter seston see Libiliary Pale Dict. s. v votes)

The venerable sere styled ora ant (dayus), also a title bestowed upon teachers in the Brohmanas.

t When Pinini speaks of Bhikshin Sutras, and gives as their authors Pfritarya and Karmanda, teaching for 3 110, 111) that their respective ad kerents are to be styled Parasurines and Karmandina, and (iv 2 80) that the Sutra of the former is called Parisiriya, the aliesien must be to Brahmanical mandicants, since these names are not meationed in Bud dhistic writings. By Wilson too, in the second edition of his Dictionary. karmandia is given as beggar, reil lions mendicant, member of the fourth order ' [According to the St. Petersburg Dictionary, from Amara 11 7 41, and Hemschandra, Eog 1 But the circumstance must not be overlooked that, according to the Calcutta schonasts neither of these two rules of Panisi is explained in the Mahabi ashya, and that possibly therefore, they may not be Panini s atall, but posterior to the time of Pa tamial: (The PittiSarine bhiksha wah a least, are really mentioned in the Bhahya to iv 2.66 see ! St. THE 340] - That mendicant can Balaba Store, et I S., L 470.

mecks must, as a matter of ist. have been part u arie namerous in Pings time is apparent from the many rules he gives for the sormetion of words an in a connection a.d. Statetochurs, 11 2 17, Shateidia, ul. 2. 155, britishu, 114 2. 103 the Late from billaid in the eense of Elibiandy contras iv 2 33 Com pare interior, it should to April the formation of the name for fema's mendicants (framena, and, in the gang, pracrantil is treated of, which can only refer to Buidhistic female mendicinus (This hat rate, which gives the epithe, 'virgin' as a second (not as an initabensable) quality of the frament taken in connection with my 1 127, can hardly be said to throw a very favourable light on the "vergenity of the class generally, ef. Mann, von. 357 note 3 to above The words sarrianing T 2 9, and laulkulika, ir 4 6, likewise exhibit a very distinct Buidustic colonnog, on this see I St., centa at the time of the Bhishya. see the data codected in I St xin. 340 E. The sature institution of the fourth order rests essentially on the Santhya decume and its extention was certainly due to a large extent to Buddhom The red or red dua vellow garment (bashiyanasana) and the tonsure (moundys) are the Principal badges of the Buddhis dutalins see alore, pp 78, 237 On a commentary exant in India.

hand and the Buddhist lasty on the other, upasukas and upds:lds* Within the priesthood itself, again, numerous shades of distinction in course of time grew up, until at length the existing hierarchy arose, a hierarchy which differs very essentially from the Brahmanical one, masmuch as admission to the priestly order is still, as in Buddha's time, allowed to members of the lowest castes on the same conditions as to any one else. Among the lasty the Indian castes still continue to exist wherever they existed in the past, it is only the Brahman caste, or priesthood by birth, that has been aholished, and in its place a clergy by choice of vocation substituted. The Buddhist cult, too, which now is second to none in the world for solemnity, dignity, pomp, and specialities was originally exceedingly simple, consisting mainly in the adoration of the image of Buddha and of his relica Of the latter point we are first informed by Clemens Alexandrinus Afterwards the same honour was paid to the relics of his most eminent disciples also, and likewise to princes who had deserved specially well of Buddhism. The story of the ashes of Menander, related by Plutarch (see Wilson, Ariana, p 283), is doubtless to he understood in this sense t Now this relic-worship, the building of steeples-traceable, perhaps, to the topes (stupas) which

bha, who is uniformly placed in the western country Sukbayett, may be identical with Amyntas, whose name appears us Amita on his coins . in the name Beauly, too (in Schmidt's Dsanglun p 331), he discovers the word florikers [But Schiefner calls my attention to the circumstance, that as far back as 1852 in his Erganungen und Berichtigungen zu Schmidt's Ausgabe des Deanglun p 56 to p 256, I 3 of the Tibeian text he withdrew the identification of Basili with Bankeyr his connec tion, too, of Amita with Amyntas, which, had been questioned by Kop pen, is 28, note 4, he now re, ards as doubtful] The legend of the Western origin of the Sakyas I have already characterised (p 285) as per haps invented as a compriment to Kanighka

Or specially buildhopdscha, budchopderld, as we find 1' several times
 In the Musichelest

in the Mynchakry!

I For I regard Menander, who on his cours a called Manands, as siden his cours a called Manands, as siden his course as the Manands of th

owe their origin to this rehe-working—the system of monachism, the use of bells and reasnes," and many other details, offer such numerous features of recemblance to Christian triad, that the question whether Christianity may not perhaps have been here the borrowing party is by no means to be summarily negatived, particularly as it is known that Buddhist mesionaries penetrated at an early period, possibly even in the two centuries preceding our era, into Western countries as far as Asia Minor This is still, however, an entirely open question, and requires investigation.⁵⁵⁰

The third division of the Buddhet secred semptines, the Abhidarma-Pidaka, contains philosophical, and especially metaphysical, discussions It is hardly to be imagined that Buddhe hunself was not clearly cognisant of the philosophical hasis of his teaching, and that he simply adopted this latter from his predecessors, so that the courage and energy pertaining to its public promugation? constituted his sole ment. But it seems just as certain that he was not concerned to propagate a philosophical system, and that his aim was purely a practical one to

Atterwards adopted by the Berdmans also [The very name return has possibly ansen from a continuou of the two Indian words, 2007 milds and 1997 milds and 1997 milds are my paper, Utier Kruinas a Gebertafest, pp. 300, 314, Koppen, Die Relayen des Est data, 11 310, and also my letter an the Indian Antiq 17 250.

200 See Ind. She. "P. & (1857), and the data from the Abbé Bue's Turvila in Tibet in Köppen, b. 55, in 16. According to the international to the International Conference of the International Conference of the Marian and Josephat, one of the Sanisan and Josephat Conference on According to the Sanisan and Sanisan

feeted the growth of Boddh at ritual and worship, as they did that of the Beddhut legends, by any means to be dismused out of hand. Indeed, oute smrt from the oft ventuated question as to the significance of such unfluences in the further de velopment of Krishp's Worship, there are legends connected with the Siva cult also, as to which it is not at al! a far fetched hypothesis that they have reference to acathred Christian missionaries see / St. 1. 421, 11 398, Z D M G, zarit. 160 (v 263) -That Western influenc. has played a part in Tibet finds support in a letter of Schiefner's, tecording to which, in a work of Dails Pandits, Gaien to mentioned as the physician of the Persians, and is said to have been consulted by the first Tibetan king, along with a celebrated Indian and a celebrated Chinese physician + Inthis confuge the circumstance that he belonged by birth to the mulstary caste finds expression.

awaken virtuous actions and dispositions. This is in accord with the circumstance, that whereas the Buddhisis allege of the Sutra-Pitaka and the Vinas a-Pitaka that they were delivered by Buddha himself, in the case of the Abhidharma-Pitaka, on the contrary, they start with the admission that it is the production of his disciples. According to Burnouf, the doctrines of the Abhidharma are in reality only a further development or continuation of the views here and there propounded in the Satras, indeed, the writings in onestion often merely add single words to the thoughts expressed in the Sutras "but in any case there exists an interval of several centuries between the two and that difference which distinguishes a doctrine still in its earliest beginnings from a philosophy which has arrived at its furthest development." In the Brahma-Sutra of Badarayana doctrines are repeatedly combated which, on Samlara's testumony, belong to two distinct schools of Buddhist philosophy, and consequently both of these, and perhaps also the other two schools which are ranked with them, belong to a period preceding the composition of this Brahms-Satra.-The doctrines themselves cannot be recognised with perfect distinctness, and their affinity, although undemable, to the doctrines of the Samkhya system is still enteloped in some obscurit; to On this point, however, so much is clear, that, although Buddha himself may actually have been in full harmony with the doctrines of Kapila, as they then existed, tyet his adherents developed these in their own fashion, in the

of individual crutture was certainly the goal to which Buddha say red hardly lowerer, the readering of the ensteam time no ching but only its return to the americant and on the goal of the companion of the control of the companion of the control
[•] Whether now, after there work of Eurouff, be, etc., p. 522 Lasconi's new (f A5, n. 455) as teached the foot that "although the foot that "although of Although and the states of Although and the sureman date, get they must be asserted to the period preceding the enterior others, get they must be asserted to the council (fun tily) council in a. c. 75 being here espready date the council of the states o

der Daneser Geschiehts der Amer, 1 234ff (1857) Köppen, 2 214 ff.— The extinction, the blowing out

Del., a r suredra f be elect ford with the Scharappe of the Management Upanished (seep oy) weahou'd have in this work to exactly direct existent to the above effort.

same way as the followers of Kapila also pursued their own with, and so eventually that system arose which is now extant under the name Samkhva, and which differs essentially from the Buddhist philosophy* To the four schools into which, as we have just seen, this philosophy was split up at a comparatively early period, four others were afterwards added-or perhans these superseded the former-lint neither have the doctrines of these later schools been as yet set forth with anything like sufficient certainty 32 The question, too, whether Buddhistic conof phone may not perhaps have everessed a direct influence on the development of Gnostic doctrines, t particularly those of Basilides, Valentinian, and Bardesanes, as well as of Manes, must for the present be regarded as wholly undetermined, 263 it is most intimately bound up with the question as to the amount of influence to be ascribed to Indean philosophy generally in the chaping of these doctrines The mun Channel of communication in the case of the latter was through Alexandra, the Buddhist missignames, on the contrary, probably mostly came from the Paniah through Persia.

Besides the three Pitakas, the Sanskrit manuscripts that have been procured from Nepal contain other works also, consisting, in part, of a large number of commentames on and elucidations of the Pitakas in part, of a

[&]quot;Whether we go II of the las panubad are to be taken with the commentator, as specially referring to the Buidhiste as I assume in I St., 1 298 299, appears to me doubtful now the polemic may a maly be directed against the Sam

khya teneta in general 41 Our information regarding them is derived exclusively from Hodgson's Essays (now collected see note 345) Their names Srabba-riks, Aisvarika Karmika, Yainika, are to far unsupported by any other literary evidence Only for the nomes Bautrantiks Vanbbashika, Mádbyamika, Yogárbára, 19 such te timony found Tarandtha for example, is sequainted with these latter only and they are also the unitr only and they are also the Lazers is 415 -- Cf also Beal J only ones known to fi will winks R. & S is 424 (1856)

special work on Tibelan and Chinese Buddhism. See on this point Lif.

C EL, 1875 p 550 † See F Neve, L'Antiquité Chré-tienne en Orient, p 90, Louvain

³⁵ CL row Lanen / Ah, iii

Renan, Hut de Long Sim 2d ed 18.8, pp 274, 275 That their in fluence upon the growth of the doc trines of Manes in rort cular was a most amportant one is shown, for example, by this circumstance slone, that the formula of abjurgion for these who renounced these doctrines expressly specifics Bodda and the ZerSiare fetemingiy a separation of Beddha Sikyamun into 170}-

and protection ***

most peculiar class of writings, the so-called Tantras, which are looked upon as especially sacred, and which stand precisely upon a level with the Brahmanical works of the same name. Their contents are made up of invocations of various Braddhas and Bodhasatwas, as also of their Saktis, or female energies, with a motley admirture of Sivatic detrics, to which are added longer or shorter prayers addressed to these beings, and directions how to draw the most orderstans and margic cardles that secure their favour

^{***} Of Emil Schlagnatweit's Rud. poetry, as to which see Klait in claim is The (1855, with a folse the preface to his edition of the three Laws the come from Kepel free (1872) Stankert MSS containing works from (1873)



SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES.

in the Brihaddevata, 3 24, between Rik-Samh. 1 73 and 74. For according to Meyer, their pratikas prove to be identical with those given by the scholiast on Sankh, Sr. 9. 20 14, for the 'trisatam suparnam' there mentioned in the text, which again is specified under this name in the Sankh, Br itself (18. 4) as part of the Asvina-Sastra. Probably, too, the other portions of text, which, as stated by Meyer (I a, p xxv ff.), appear in the Bribaddevata as well as in the Rigvidhana, as belonging to the Rik-Sambita, whereas they are found neither in the vulcatethe Sakala-Samhita-itself, nor in its khela portions, will have to be assigned to the Vashkalas In point of fact, the saminana khula also, to which (see above) the concluding verse of the Vashkala-Samhitá belongs, is mentioned in both texts (Meyer, p xxii.) An exact comparison of the Rik-verses cited in the Sankhayana texts will probably throw full light upon this point. - In Bubler's letter from Kashmir (published in I St, xiv 402 ft.) the interesting information was given that he had there discovered an excellent blurga-MS, some five to six hundred years old, of the Rik-Samhita in the Sakala recension. This MS is accentrated, whereas the Kashmir Vedic MSS are not wont to be so, but the accent is decoted in a totally different manner from that customary in India, the addita alone being marked by a perpendicular line, pre-cisely as according to Haug is usual in one of the two schools of the Maitravani Sambita and as we ourselves do, of my remarks in the Jenger Lat Zeal, 1875, p 315 On this MS see now the detailed report of Buhler a journey in the Journal Bomb Br R A.S., 1877, extra No., pp 35, 36

Pp 35, 36, note § See also Mynantheus, Die Ascurs (Munich, 1876), and James Darmesteter, Ormani et Ahri-

man (Pans, 1877)

P 41, note 2 See Alfred Hillebrandt, Varuna und

Mitra, em Beitrag zur Exepsie des Veda (Breslan, 1877)
P 43, note z Max Muller's uscue of the text alone of the Rik has now appeared m a second edition (London 1877)
Samhid-pidha and pade-pidha are here printed on opposite pages. Respecting the latter it has to be remarked that, as in Muller's previous editions, so again in this one the so-tabled gallaha are in no way marked, the text which a particular passage shows the first time

it occurs heing uniformly simply repeated, without any reference to what is done in the MSS themselves in these cases This is all the more surprising as, after I had pointed out this defect, in my review of the last volume of his large edition in the Lat Cent Blatt, 17th April 1875, Muller himself, in an article which appeared in the same periodical a year and a half later (16th December 1876) fully recognised the critical importance of the galitas-Aufrecht's edition has also been reprinted (Bonn, 1877) the preface (comp desideratum at note 28) contains a variety of critical remarks - Complete translations of the Rik-Sambits, by Alfred Ludwig (Prag. 1876) and Hermann Grassmann (Leipzig, 1876-77) have appeared -- Very mentorious, also, is the edition of the Rik-Samhita which is appearing in monthly numbers at Bombay, under the title 'Vedarthayatna, with English and Mahrathi translation, as well as with Mahrathi commentary the latest No brings it down to 1 100 The name of the excellent editor, Shankar Pendit, is an open secret - Lastly, there remains to be mentioned M Haug's Veduche Rathselfragen und Räthielsprücke (Rik, 1 164, 1876)
P 48, note 132 Räjendra Läla Mitra's edition, in the

Bibl Indica of the Attareva-Aranvaha with Savana's commentary, has now been completed. A MS acquired by Buhler in Kashmir shows a number of variations, see his

Report of Journey, l c, p 34. P 50, 6 (cf. p 285) Panchálachanda appears in a Pali Sutta among the mahasenopates of the Yakkhas, for the conclusions to he drawn from this see Jenaer Lit Zeit,

7th April 1877, p 221

P 56,8 The Sankh Grih (4. 10 3) inserts between Visvamitra and Vamadeva, the two representatives of the third and fourth mandalas, the name of Jamadagni, to whom in the Anukramani to the Sakala-Samhita only the last three verses of the third mandala (iii, 62, 16-18) are in this place ascribed,-but in addition to these, also five entire hymns and four separate verses in the last three mandalas Have we here also to do with a divergence of the Vashkala school? (In Sankh Grib., 4. 5 8, however, there is no trace of this variation from the vulgate, rather, the verse up 62 18 appears there as the concluding verse of the third mandala)

P 58, note ²⁰ The Sankh Grihya has been published with translation and notes, by Herm Oldenberg, see 152, xv 1-165 There exusts also another recensor of it, which is designated as Knushtaka-Grihya, but which is designated as Knushtaka-Grihya, but which according to Oldenberg, as rather to be understood as Sámhavya-Grihya. Its text is 'nowise identical' with the Sinkh Grih, 'but it has borrowed from the latter by far the greatest part both of its matter and form' The last two books of the Sankh Grih, are not used in it, and a great deal is lackup besides.

P 61, note * On the Jyotisha a very mentorious work

has just appeared by G Thibaut.

P 62, 6, 26 ff On the Bribaddevata and Rigvidhána see

R. Meyer's edition of the latter work (Berlin, 1877).

P 55, as The forty-eighth Atharva-parisistia, see I St, 1v 432, gives indeed the came beginning, but a different concluding verse to the Sama-Samhita, namely, the last verse but one of the first part of the vulgate, accordingly, it did not reckon the second part as belonging to the Samhita at all, while for the first part also it presents the discrements stated

P 65, note The Armys-Sambita, with Sa, and sommentary, has been edited by Satysvarta Samafamum, and that in a double form, namely, separately (Calcutta, 1873), and also in the second part of his large edition of the Sama-Sambita, p. 244 ff.

P 65, note a This edition of the Sama-Samhita, in the Bibl Indica, has now reached, in its fifth volume, as

far as 2 8 2 5

Pp 73 74 The Talavakára- or Jammiya-Bráhmana, to which the Kenopan belongs, has been recovered by Burnell (letter of 19th April) Also a Samaveda-Pratisákhva.

Pp 74, 75, notes n. The Arsheya-Brahmans and Samhiropanshad-Brahmans have also been edited by Burnli (Yaingalore, 1876, 1877), the former with a lengthy introduction containing an inquiry into the Ganas, the secondary origin of the Samhita from these, the chanting of the stmans, &c. On this compare A. Barth's detailed notice, in the Perus Critique, 21st July 1877, pp 17-27. The Arsheya-Brithmans has, further, just been issued a second time by Burnell, hardley, in the text of the Jai

miniya school, which he had meanwhile recovered (Man-

galore, 1878)

Pp 99-101 According to the catalogue (1876) of M Haug's collection of MSS, there are now in the Royal Labrary at Munich, with which this collection was moorporated in the spring of 1877, not only two MSS of the Maitravani Samhita, but also several more or less complete, but, unfortunately, in great part modern, comes of Apastamba, Manava, Bharadyaja, Baudhayana, Vaikhanasa, Huranyakesin — The description (in notes 108, 109) of the Dharma-Sútras as part of the Stauta-Sutras is not quite correct, rather both are portions, possessing an equal title, of a collective Satra-whole, to which in each case there also belonged a Grihy a- and a Sulva-Sútra, and which we might perhaps designate by the name of Kalpa-Sútra -IThe North-Western origin of the Katha school (cf Kabata, I St, xun 439) is also, in a certain measure, "ttested by the fact that, according to Bubler's letter from Kashmir (dated September 1875, published in I St, All .02 ft) on the results of his search for MSS in that province, this school is still in the present day the prevailing one in Kashrar The Brahmans there call themselves, it is true, chatureeds, but they follow the rules of the Kathaka Gribya Sutra of Laugakshi. Besides portions of all tle Vedas, the Bhattas learn he heart the Paddhati of Devapala, the commentary and prayoga to the Kathaka-Gribya. 'Of these Gribyas I have acquired several MSS. among them an old one on bhurja. To the Kathaka-Sutra are attached a Prayaradhyava, an Arsha, the Charayaniya Siksha, and several other Pantishtas -Additional note in scond German edition \ According to Buhler, Z D M G AMI, 327, the Dharma-Sutra of the Kathal a school is identical with the Vishnu-Smriti On this, and on the Kathak a school nu Kashmur generally, see now Bubler, Report

of Jonnes, I c, pp 20, 36, 37 P 103, note 128 The latt Praticially a has also been cuted in the Bill Indice by Rayendra Luls Mitra (1872) Pp 117, 118 The forty-eighth Atharva-Parishita specifies a recension of the Vay Sunh, which beguns with

1 1, but which ends with 23 32 | See I St, 1v 432 P 114 For the formula Ambe ambile 'mbalike.

which differs in all three Yayus texts, Panini (vi. 7 118)

has a fourth reading, on this and the other points of connection between Panini and the vocabulary of the Yajus

texts, see I St . 19 432 P 138, 23. According to Mahavansa, p Q 12 15, the name of Buddha's wife was Bhadda- or Subhadda-Kach-

P 130, note 147 Satap. 3, 1, 1-2 2, 18 translated in Bruno Lindner's dissertation, Veber die Dikaha (Leipzig, 1878), other portions in Delbruck's Altend. Wortfolge (1878).

P 142, note 155 The Paraskars has been edited by

Stenzler (1876)

P. 150, note 185 In the forty-eighth Atharva-Pansishts, the commencement of the Atharva-Sambits is given just as in the published recension, but it ends there with Book XVL, see I St, 17 432

P 151, note 200. With the deshapate compare the papman dours in the Nrisishop, see I St, ix 149, 150.

P 153 ff. Cf. Paul Regnaud Materiaux pour servir d l'Historre de la Philosophie de l'Inde, 1876, and my review of this work in the Jenaer Let Zeit of 5th February 1878
P 182, note 188 The dates of the Nepalese MSS appa

rently reach back as far as AD 8831 See Dan. Wright, History of Nepal, 1877, Jenaer Lit Zeil., 1877, p 412.

Pp 187, 188, note 2010 On Olshausen's explanation of the word Pahlav-the basis of the Indian Pahlara-from Parthapa, 'Parthians,' see now also Th Noldeke in Z D

M G. XXXL 557 ff.

P.180, note 24 According to Kern, Over de oud-Javaansche Verlahng van't Mahabharata (Amsterdam, 1877), p 7 ff., the Kavi translation of the Adi-part an, from which he there communicates the text of the Paushyachanta. dates from the beginning of the eleventh century

P 189, note 208 For the criticism of the Maha-Bharata. Holtzmann's researches (Indusche Sagen, Preface, Stnitgart

1854) are also of great importance.

P 191, note 226 The Index to Hall's edition of Wilson's translation of the Vishnu-Purana (vol v part il) appeared in 1877 The edition of the Agm-Purana in the Bibl Ind has now reached adhy 201

P 195, 15 The identity of the author of the Raghuvansa and Kumara-sambhava with the dramatist Kalidasa is contended for by Shankar Pandit in the Transactions

of the I ondon Congress of Orientalists (London, 1876), p. 227 8

P 106, note 208 Bharava and Kalidasa are mentioned together in an inscription of Pulakesi II, 'in the Saka year 507 (AD 585-6), at that date, therefore, they must have been already famous See Bhau Dan in Journ. Bomb Br R A S, 1x. 315, and J F Fleet in Ind. Antiq. v 68 -On the Kashmir poets Chandraka and Mentha, of about the fifth (1) century, Ratnakara of the minth, Kshemendra and Bilhana of the eleventh, Somadeva, Mankha, Kalhana, &c, of the twelfth century, see Bubler, Report of Journey, I c, p 42 ff.

P 100, note + For the text of these Snits see now Grimblot, Sept suttas Pális (Paris, 1876), p 89, 'nachcham gitam vádstam nekkham akkhánam str vá etr ovarúpá visukadassand (exhibitions, p 65, spectacles, pp 179, 215) From this it appears that the word here properly in question is not so much the general term vioula as rather, specially, pellha (prekshya), 'exhibition,' spectacle, translated by 'theatricals,' pp 65, 179, 'representations dramatiques, p 215, comp, prekshanaka as the name of a species of drams in Bharata (Hall, Dasarupa, p 6), and drifte in the Sahitya-darpana as the name of dramatic poetry in general

Pp 200, 12, 205, 20 According to Hall, Vasavad. Introd, p 27, Bhayabhúta would have to he placed earlier than Subandhu, and if so, of course, a fortion, earlier than Bana the latter, however, does not allude to him in the classic passage in the introduction to the Harsha-chanta. where he enumerates his predecessors (Hall, ibid, pp 13,

14) See also Ind Streefen, 1. 355

P 201, note | According to Lassen, I AK, m. 855 1163, Bhojs died in 1053 An inscription of his in the Ind Antiq, 1877, p 54, is dated in the year 1022

P 203, note. According to Buhler, Ind Antiq, V 112 (April, 1876), a grant of King Jayabhata is 'older than

the year 445 AD, and dated in the Vikrama era." P 204, note m In Z D M G. xxx. 302, Jacobi cites

from the Urvasi a (chronometrical) datum betokening Greek influence

P 207, note 118 Of new publications, &c., of Indian dramas have to be mentioned Bhandarl ar's edition of the Maliti-madhata (Bombay, 1876). Carpeller's chinon of the Ratmavall (1877, in the second chitton of Bolthingl's Sanskrit-Girestorachin), the Bengall recentson of the Saruntala, edited by Pischel (see Cappeller in the Jenar Lit Zeit, 1877, p. 121), the two little dramas translated by Ludw Fritze, lastly, Regnaud's translation of the Mirchhaltuka (Paris, 1876)—On the question as to the various tecensions of Kalidasa's Saluntala—discussed in f. St., xiv 161 iff—see also Buhler's Report of Journey, l., p. 1xxv if, where the first act of the Kashmir recension of this dama is printed.

P 210, note 22 To this place also belongs Sinvaria Subháshitavall of the fifteenth entury, containing quotations from more than 350 poets, see Buhler, Report of Journey, I c., p 61 ff., further, the Subháshita minhairs by Kinshon Shestri Bhatsadelar (Bombay, 1872)—Here, too, have to be mentioned the four papers Zur Kristi und Erklärung verscheiner undischer Weite, published by O Bohtlinck in vols 11 and van of the Michangs Anatomus

of the St Petersburg Academy (1875-76)

P. 212, note *** Comp Benfey's Introduction to Bickell s edition and translation of the 'Kalling und Daming' (Leipzig, 1876) It now appears doubtful whether the ancient Pahlari version realig rested upon ene individual work as its basis, or whether it is not rather to be regarded as an epitome of several independent texts, one my notice of the above work in Let C B., 1876, No. 31, Buller, Beport of Journey, p. 47, Frym in the Jewer Lat

Zeit, 1878, Art 118

P 215 note 22 Read 'recast by Kahemendra' It is only to Kahemendra that the statements from Buhlers latter, given in the next sentence, refer Buhler now places him in the second and third quarter of the eleventh century, Report of Journey, I e, p 45

P 213 On the Raja-taramgun see now Buhler, Report of Journey, pp 52-50, lwn-kxxxx (where an amended translation of I 1-107 is given), and on the Nila-mata, of

about the sixth or seventh century, ibid, p 38 ff, Iv fl. P 214, note = The Harshi charita appeared at Calcutts in 1876, edited by Jivanenda—On the Sinhasia-Ivainidika see now my poper in f. St. xy 186 ff

P 215, note 27 In the interpretation of Indian inscrip-

kons, Bublier and Fleet elso, in particular, have of late done rety active service (especially in *Ind. Antig.*, vols v, v.). P 221, note ²³ Goldstucker's 'facsimile' (comp note ¹⁴, p 100) edition of the Manavakalp is not 'photo-lithographed', but bithographed from a tracing

P 226, note 223 Kielhorn has come forward with great vigour in defence of the Mahabhashva, first, in a lengthy article in the Ind Antir, v 241 (August 1876), next in his Essay, Katyayana and Patampali (Bombay, December 1876), which deals specially with the analysis of the work into its component parts, and, lastly, in his edition of the work itself, which exhibits the text critically sifted in direct reference thereto (the first number, Bombay, 1878, gives the naidhnikam) Cf , further, two articles by Bhandarkar, On the Relation of Katyayana to Panin, and of Patamali to Katuduana in Ind Antio . V 345 ff (December 1876), and on Goldstucker's Theory about Panini's Technical Terms (reprint of an earlier review of G's Panini), ibid . vi tor if To this place also belongs an article on the Mahabhashya, which was sent off hy me to Bombay on oth October 1876, but which only appeared in the Ind Antiq vi 301 ff. in October 1877

"P 225, note \$\iiiiii \text{On the antiquity of the Kasika senow Bubler's Report of Journey, p 72. The issue of the work in the Fandit is perhaps by this time completed. It is to be hoped that it will appear in a septited editor—Bubler's information regreting Vysdi, the Mahabhashya, Katantta, &c., is given in detail in his Report of Journey—On Burnell's essay, On the Audita School of Sanskrid Grammarians (1875), which contains rich inderials, see my critique in the Jener Lit Zeit, March 1875, by 25 —Of Hemachandria Prakhit Grammar Pischel has given so new edution (Halle, 1877, text and good index of

words)

P 229, note † This note, according to Birth, Revue Critique, 3d June 1876, is to be cancelled, as paralire can only have the sense of 'scem' (schemen)

P 231, note ²⁴³ On Kahemendra's Loka-prakais see Buhler, Report of Journey, p 75

P 231, 29 See note above to p 182

P 231, note 244 The translation of the Sahitya darpana in the Pibl Indica 1, now finished—For the rich informa-

tion supplied by Bühler rejading the Alamkin literatus in Kashnir, see his Report of Jennoy, p. 64 ff. According to this, the Alamkars-darta of Ehatta Udbhata dates from the time of Josphila (779-813), whose subhipart the author was Vinnaya, too, in Bühler's opinion, belongs to the same period. Anandavardhana and Ratnikara belong to the nith century, Mukula to the tenth, Abhanvagupta to the beginning, Rudrata to the oud, of the eleventh, while Ruyyaka Bourshed at the commencement, and Jayaratian at the close, of the twelfth century, Mammata is to be placed still later

P 235, note ? 7 Of the Sarva-dursana samgraha there is now a true-lation, by Cowell and Gough, in the Pandit,

1875 ff
P 237, note to The Samkhya-tattva-prodip has been translated by Govindadevasistrin in the Pandit, Nos 98 ft

P 237, note 2 Abbinavagupta was still living in AD 1015, Buhler, Report of Joanney, p 85 — The Suvatura in Kashmir, id.d., pp 77–82, is divided into two groups, of which the one connects itself with the Spandassira of Vasugupta (854), the other with the Pratyabbynassira of Sománanda (ah 900) and Uipala (ah 930) Is is nit the latter—which appears to rest upon Samkara—thit Abbinavagupta is the leading representative

P 241, note 25 The last number of this edition of Sabarasyanin brings it down to 10 2 73, the edition of the Jamini's anyaya mala-sistan has just been completed by Cowell. The Jamini-sistan has just been gublished in the Bombay monthly periodical, 'Shradarsana chintanka', begun in Jardary 1877—text and commentary 11th a

double translation, in English and Mahrathi.

The state of the s

designating them by the ep. nets materals and supre. artera By Srinvasades lumsel (p. 115) the teacher are mentoned in the following order Vyasa, Eodhayana, Guhadere, Bharuchi, Brahmanandi Dravdacharya, Sri-Parnihashutha, Yamunamuni, Yativara—Here is also to be monitoned the edition in the Fardit, by Vechanamasatini, of two commentaries on the Vedanta sura, viz, the Saiva-bhashya of Srikantha Sivacharya (see Z. D. 16 a. viz. 160), and the Vedanta kustuha prabha of Kedana Kasinfrablatta.—Further, in the second edition of Kedana Kasinfrablatta.—Further, in the second edition of new transition of the Vedanta-cara, and the Vidvanmanoraljuri of Ramatirtha, a commentary thereon, has been published, tax with transition, in the Fandit by Gough and Govundelevsásstin. In the same journal has los appeared the Advanta-makaranda of Lakshmidhara.

P 245, note * A translation, by Keśavaśastin, of the Nyfyv-dardana and of Vatsyayana's commentry thereon, has begun to appear in the Pandit (new series, vol 11) The fourth book of Ganges's Nyaya-churtaman with the commentary of Ruchdarda, has also been edited, thid

(Nos 66-93) by Balasastrm.

P 247, note 25 Of importance as the names, communicated to me from Alburdin by Ed Sachar of the medial in Soghd and Khanzam, the list of which begins with throught, se, with kritide, and that under the name anneal the second of the second o

H Jucon in Z D M G, xxx 306, that no Indian ritings, which caumerate the planets in the order—Sun, floor Mars, &c—can have been composed carlier than the third century AD, has application to Yajinvallaya well as to the Atharva-parasistics, which in point of fac hredy ob no this color see I S v. 317

P 253 note * The absence of mention of the Romakes in the Ramayana may perhaps also rest upon geographical grounds, namely, on the probable origin of the poem in the east of India in the land of the Kosalas, whereas the 'war-part' of the Maha-Bharata was in all likelihood composed in Central, if not in Western India.

P 256, note 22 Cf Thibent's paper 'On the Sulvasutras' in the Journ As Soc Bengal, 1875 (minutely discussed by Mo- Cantor in the hist lit div of the Zeitsch fur Math and Physic vol XIII), and his chition of the Sulva-sutra of Bandhayana with the commentary of Dyarakanathavaivan (text with translation) in the Pandit

May, 1875-77 P 256, note * The explanation of the Indian floures from the initial letters of the numerals has recently been rudely chaken, see Buhler in Ind Ant, vi 48 .- through the deciphering, namely, of the ancient 'Nagari numerals' by Pandit Bhagyanlal Indrau, ibid, p 42 ff These, it appears, turn out to be other letters, yet the derivation of the later figures from them can hardly be called in question What principle underlies these aucient numerals is. for the rest, sull obscure the zero has not yet a place among them, there are letter-symbols for 4-10 (1-3 being merely represented by strokes) for the tens up to 90, and for the hundreds up to 1000 Comp pp 222, note 2-3, and 257, noto 136

P 260 note * The remainder of the Yatra has now

ocen edited by Kern in I St, xiv and xv

P 266 ff In complete opposition to the former dreams shout the high antiquity of Indian medicine, Hans has recently, in Z D M G. xxx. 617 ff and xxx1 617 ff. haracterised even the most ancient of the Indian medical texts as quite modern productions, to be traced to Arabian sources In the accounts given by the Arabs themselves of the high repute in which Indian medicine stood with them, and of the translation of works of the Lind, which are specified by name, from Sanskrit into Arabic, he recogmises hardly any value As regards the latter point, however, there exists absolutely no ground for throwing doubt upon statements of so definite-a character made by the old Arab chroniclers, while, with respect to the former, point the language of Sufruta, Charala &c, is distinctly

opposed to the assignment to them of so law a date. At the same time, every real proof of the presence of Cieck (or even Arabian) conceptions in the vorks in question will have to be thinkfully received. But the early existence of medical knowledge in India would in no way be prejudiced thereby, as its beginnings are well attested by evidence from the Vedic period, especially from the Atharvavede.

P 270, note 516 Churaka, as Buhler informs me, has now also been printed at Bombay, edited by Dr Anno

Mureshyar Kunto, Grant Medical College

P 271, note 315 The Kava translation of the Kamandatu-int probably belongs at the carbest, to about the same date as the translation of the Main-Bharata, see remark above to note 221—Progress has been made with the printing of Nirapeksha's commentary in the Bhbl Indica

P 273, note ²¹⁹ On modern Indian music, see now the numerous writings of Sourindro Mohun Tagore, Calcutta, 1875, I, of Jonac Lie Zeit, 1875, I of ST—It is possible that the investigation of the gánes of the Sama-veda, in case there are still in actual use and could be observed, might yield some practical result for the engine it laukka music

alse

P 274, note * 1 Lor such representations of Venus, unported on the tail of a dolphin or with a dolphin and Cupid behind her, see J J Benouelli, Apirodite (Leipzig, 1873), pp 245 370, 405 See also numerous representations of the kind in the Musée de Sculpture par Le Corate F de Clarac (Purs, 1856-37), vol n., pl 593, 507, 610,

612 615, 620, 622, 626-628, 634

P 2/8 note 27 Bubler has also published a translation of Apstatuble at a now being repinted in the series, of 'Sacred Books of the East' which is appearing under Mrx Mullers direction—Gaurtina has been edited by Stenzler (London 1876), and is also comprised in Jivamand's large collection 'Dharmashistrasinggraha' (Cdcutta, 1876), which all inaccuracies notwithst unling, is yet a vij mentionous publication, on account of the abundance of raterial it contains. It embraces 27 large and small Suinti-taxis, namely, 3 Atris, 2 Vishning, 2 Hautes Yeljana'dly, a 2 U raya' Auguris, Yena, Apa stemba, Samvarta, Kdiyayuna, Brihaspati, 2 Panasa, 2 Vyssas, Sailaha, Likhita, Dalasha, 2 Gautamaa, and 2 Vasasithas—Máradas Simriti has been translated hy Jolly (London, 1876), see also his papers, Uder du rec't luir Stellung der Francus bei den Indern (Minneh, 1876), and Utber des wilders Kulutdrekt (Minneh, 1877).

P 250, note The Aruna-Smrit, Buhler informs me, is quite a late production, probably a section of a Perant. P 231 As Yijawall, no numerate the planetau ther Greek order (1 295) the earliest date we can assign to this work is the third century AD (see remark above to p 251, note 74, following Jacob).

P 284, 5 See remark on Panchilachanda above, note

top 50

P 288 E. Schart, in his ingenious work, La Ligend du Boudoha (Paris, 1875), trees the various logends that are narrated of Buddha (and in part, identically, of Krishne also) to ameient solar myths which were only subsequently applied to Buddha, comp my detailed notice and partial reconduction the Linear Lat. 1866 (20th Avril). n. 282 ff.

rejouder in the Jenker Lit Zeit, 1876 (29th April), p. 282 ff.
P. 291, note + Schiefner's Indusebe Erzahlungen,
from the Kdgyur, in vols vii and viii. of the Melanges
Assatinues of the St Petersburg Academy, embrace already

forty-seven such legends

P 292, note 32 Whether the Buddhaghesh of this insemption is, as Stevenson assumes (p 13), to be identified
with the well-known B must still appear very doubled,
as the princes mentioned in the rest of these inscriptions
helong to a far older period, see Ebandarbar in the
Transactions of the Lordon Congress of Orientalists (1876),

p 306 ff

Tog, note * Septentian Falls, tarks du Dohard dya, from the papers of Paul Grumblet, were published by his widow in 1876 (Pars), text with translation.—The second part of Fausboll's edition of the Jatvia appeared in 1877—the Mahaparinibana-suita was edded in 1874 by Childers in the Journal R A S, vols via and via a separate impression of it has just appeared. The same journal via contains an edition of the Patimohl his hy Dickson. An edition of the whole Vin'iya-pital a by Herm Oldenberg is in the press.

P 297, note 343 A collected edition of the sacred Afigns

of the James was published last year (1877) at Calcutta by Dhanapatisinhan the text is accompanied with the commentary of Abharaders and a bháshá-explanation by Bhagvan Vijava.

P 300, note 3.5 On this compare also S Beal The Buddhist Trimtala as it is known in Chine on I Japan

(Decomport, 1876)

P 303, note † On pesculae points of connection between the Avesta and Buddinism see Jipaer Lit Zett, 1877, p 221

P 305, note ! In Gautama the word blak he appears expressly as the name of the third of the feur distartas. in place of it Manu has wet-

B-BM4 240 1 m, 10-0



SANSKRIT INDEX

Atherna-Parisis de, Grech order of 4k-haráda, 85 c45 alaham, 'syllable, 15. 16 the planetem the Ach Parachias. - philos 161 323 Agasty's, 53 275 (archi') ~ Parmele, 153 160 - Prautilher, 145 151 April 31 40 63 153 76 303 - etalant, 1.0 (-71) - Feda 8 12 29 145 ff. 249 265. - Purdna, 191 2,1 271 27, 281 - fibhare, 161 - 6thc. 164, 167 318 - rahama, 118 120 A horrefura, 154, 166 169 170 Atheres Scrainte, 11 col 318 Aguivess, 265 66 263 (mrd.) Agaistánia, 79 Athorodigurases, 11 72 93 121 127 149 150 (orr. a sung egra, 190 arhás, 218 Athored-04, 113 124 148 149 Anga, 25 2 6 (* Fratiga) 205 Athor-possished, 23 157 ff. 230 297 336, 327 (Jam) e há rak 245 265 Adh stacherno, 301 (Buddh.) Angas, 147 Adita'a Brdimare, 69 152 Anger, 158 Angirii, 31 52 153 158 160 162 adequez, 171 164 250 325 (S= 14) Adraga makeranda, 12% - (Jupiter) 250. adl idetalam, 121 Angurasas, 124, 148 ff edhiyaynam 121 Ajstasatru, 51 127 138 286 fbia adhyayana, 8 six teachers) edhydtmam, 121 - copits . 82 Admitmardmayana, 168. atikrushia, 111 edited to 14 31 32 107 117 atthalathd, 202 adhydyddin, 66 Atri, 31 3% 53 102 103 140 Ved adharys, 14 80 149 adhparyse (pl), 8 80 86 87 121. - to: 283 325 (jur) Ananta, 141 (comba) - 260 med. - daughter of, 38 140 Anantadaya, 101 Anantayejvan 85 245 - brited, 269 (und) anapid, 255 (Greek) - larit, 259 (mrd) Aredraments, 24 32 33 61 64 65 74 83 85 87 88 90 103 104 Atharvan, 151 (as prajerati) 153 (brika pa's and chagarart) 155 107 143 144 145 152 164 Armada Sulra, So. 81 84. 88 93 - (= d.s. Velil 73 Anshrákrana, 12 82, Athorna Paringtus, 2.3 253 253 emirahrana, 62

- the forty eighth A. Par . 313.

316 317 318

Anabhatgraldes 07

Anuthu hvarupi harya, 225

330 SANS A undenbs, (3. energies, 31-33-63-94, 107-109 124-145

124 145
- ster/rement, 32. 64
otter/scheeles 121. 127
onations, 127 122. 127
onations, 84
onations, 84
onations, 84
Anthony, 157
Anthony, 157
onations, 157
onations, 157
onations, 157
onations, 157
onations, 157
onations, 257

Abhilder et etc 129 — talentille 29 Abhres-2-ply 2,7 273 3-ebhiner-20 279 Abhres-2-ply 2,7 273 3-ebhiner-20 279 Abhushare-2-2-479, 200 Abhres-2 gald 45 Abhres de 122

datiograf gases 25 Abbirs, 3: ablina kis 122 (starmis 10, 220 227 E 267 Amurachardas, 190 Amurachar, 213 Amurachar, 213 Amurachar, 214 Amurachar, 215 Amurachar, 216 Amurachar, 216 Amurachar, 216

Ambility 20%.

Ambili

Ayodbys, 80, 178, 224 Aruna, 133, 344, 93. — 6mpus, 280, 236 Aruna, 03 (and flur) Arkalinia, 33. aryuna, Arjuna (and Inda), 37, 50 114, 116, 132, 136, 137, 127, 129

156 aryanyan 248. Arthad or 271 27, 27, and 5 73 (1) about 1 a 1

erdharon et 5, ra = 55 er? arhant, 3° t 5° 505 Alarddamistra, 251 522 Aradina, 299 (and Baddh). Aradinterran, and aradin, and aradin, and Arayaman, 227 autocha, 119

| a.lipetta, 119, |
| A.oka, 179, 273, 270, 271 |
| Afreybreha, 161, 162, |
| - 0.toria, 113, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |
| 161, |

| dramet's 54.114.126 | -0.55'2, 118. | Mrsh 53, 120. | Arbidia, 133. | a frida 31.32.42.43.69 | al. dalpid, 118 | agers 302 from formed from) | -1.agraps of the 4.8, 180.

- North 148, 324 - Vage, 253, 274 shoryzon, 258 ah, 35 aff c 66, 76 79. 80, 133.

eff c (6 ; 6 79. 80. 139. Abobilsum, 101 dbd 128 dbina, 154. dbina, 152. 193.

- f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| - f 32 45.

| -

As area, 71 142 153 A areataga, 153 (dare, 73, 77 & 121 Ataira, 63 125

6-9-0 171 6-9-7 176 161 H -(redr) 233

— (radel 23) A marai ettopenukal, 10% 167 163 Atmininta, 40

Attropassilat, 152 162 Attropa, 87-89 91 02 93 102 103 Tast, 153 LA 2 1 242 (phil) 265 284 (201)

- landfir : n (mel) - land : ch (mel) - medical : ch (mel) - medical : ch (mel)

- (*12 (w), 254 - (*12), 123, 149, - (*12), 152

Athan - 21 52 149.

* **serrimmedroponus ol,154 170.

1'1 2 131

Ad 172 Mes, 259.

Add addns. 20 6han 72 121 100 235 75 An. ad. gun, 51 243. - máng, se - tirthe, 4. 51 - yapa, sc3 - verdban , 322 Inandarallt 94 151 156 157 nortly, 55 Audha . o. Arastunba, 83, 30 ff 100 for 10-— Tra ma €ra, 101 10° 106 27€ 325 Ap 16, 202 dr. 'lero 255 (Greek) 1 161 1. rugins i Ablupr-idris 2, 135 CICHESI Amora a. 26t dearr, marren in 53 120 As dethins 1 2 4 m teda a r af7 271 dr + 254 (Grick) Apartala, 8 as 20 43 02 - Landa, 113 - 1. of sho, 15 -- suralité 6 frengagina, 64. 65 'rar /a Sardud 316 Arad. Aralbi, 285 Aruna on Arun , 51 69 71 123 133 132 137 157 286 Ares lorges led, 163 16. Arunu & Q 1rung , 132 1 7 Artubas, 18. Aryas, 3 79 178 Arrabhata 61 254 255 257 E. Arvabial va. GI 257 Aryus ididnta 257

Aridia cadila 237 Armishtulats, 257 Arth programmed, 162. Artha & Kelps 75 77 Arriga E at nava, 74. 313 316 Alambiyana, 51

Arantiks, 200. Avantiká, r is 232 Áfárka, 8a. 278 A(marathah 1, pat, 45 53 2,2 Asmarathya 53 242 dirana enopanished 164 - (bhilshu), 327

A+11.0 85

A5v 1 1/2- , 133 Afralásana, 32 24 40 50 % 50 62 20, 85 101 15h 163 236 - Part'u' to. 62 - Britmana, en A's -d-lang, 314. A-unf etri-, 323

Acutt, 128 131 133 137 2.5 nda. 113 e Pr 14, 251 (Breck) A phun(didhyana (1), 250 akkarála, af a (Arabi.) Pamel A. So Itary 48 Ruders 24 72 01 122, 124 1." 159 100 191 It new present, 121 183 301 stthe, 251 (f resk) uthi d'a 254 (Arabir)

t yealth, 300 encland, 264 (Aral to) endurara, 261 (Arib) Indra, 32 40 52 63 12, 127 174 (cran m) 186 211 265 (me) \ 303 -and Amena, 37 50 115 126 185 186 facresanant e 193 I idradutta, 293

Indrota, 34, 125 Irae-ti, 173 is res 14m 45 110 fire an had, 116, 100 -An 64 arg. 238 Ifvary, 272 mus 15var-krishna 236 237 Larchio, 264 (\rabic)

Indredsmens, 132.

Indiania tha 173

uktapratyskiam, 122 uktha, 67 S1 ulthártha, 83. Ukha, 91 Uerasena, 125 135 uchcha, 257 Un-yinf 185 201 207 252 257

Unveladatts, 226 1.4.1/s. 210 226 Uttarett' wai 160 Uttaramireinet, 239 ff. Uttererémucharus, 20%

259 295

ULaracalli, 157

etteré etteré-diés, f.z. fiz. utterfalarian act Tran 263 250 300 I paint, = Taran, 25 talana 31. anticipant 122, 225 ubotic is in 123. Boldmin. 63. 32. 223. 232. 232. 15% === Digwotaling 205 Dilabata Total Torrento-Surg 12 Es Dranken, 100 trains, to Beauty smallet 2... Trendrich med 17 14 - money of 154 155 - Il's Brisness Eparatoria, 171, 191, 2.2 Eparatoria, en 55 Francia, 25 2 273 Sperial Printer, 122 STREET, SA. Troffit, 112 Tracking, 25- (Com.). mpatrages, En eposita, Ada est. Trendra 37 Lbarren anis-na. El The said Frank Cr Erret, Fa. 27 (0700) 208 wites and Truck Co Ummar (Liter # 15 -----Lament 23 Dehrati, 71. ent on 3 Date, 34 42 53 57E TYPER ICE Theorem, IT TOTEPS, E. I de Serie d a m ti le ti f - and Euro-E, restinct of 717 engine LL For 35 - Larbert MS 314 --- pa ider de, 31., 515. Pro- Sides, 6th 1- (3) 313 74 Frence & 3- frencegenter), e3

121, 123, 127

I file Loup District 7-75 - sumber pl, 122, 137, Je , I != I ere, 12 1.5. - Infrance is -- Inch. 6. Partment II. Lamer, c. c. Creation 120 Company II. Sahaus, 170. ends, 60 7- 70 50, 137 dr, 134 200 Line in 17 112 - 12 6 50 70 E3 - 1220- 16 4 E 70 - "ering 3. fc. - 'em, eg la 1; - con and cl TY Language 53 2 7 42 7 المستدر المستدر المسلم בשישק השימוש complete and THINKS 52 منظية إلى عند Lake to Andrews and ADDRESS TO என்ன. 🍾 Learning of Carl of tent Landston E. Luciaru, 17 Attractary 75 Leurs, In Lune 10. Lundiller, 1 finting, 21. Avelantich ... Francisc 1.5 = Francisco (ile), 515 Emiliana III 200 Lutin, by sa like, plus IE by - In the ba - +57, 350 ~ 5632 32 - supremulation, It; Ile. - 54- m 100 Evaluation Lements 244 - maile 30 fc 11 117 118-120

Arm In (201,

Ranya, 3 31 5. 106 105 (plur) 140. -Sarite Edetra, 143 Kanha, 304. Kanha, Kanhayana, 304. Latas, 133 Kathdsardsdgard, 213. 217 219 222 Ladru, 134. Kanishka, Kanerki 200 218 210 220 222, 223 281 285 287 288 200 204, 302 306 408 kanishiha, 269 (treya) lanyálumás i 157 Kapardigut 179 hacardiardiam, 42 101 kan njala, 211 Kapila, 96 137 162 235 1 272 284 308 Kapilavastu, 33 137 284. - Kathas, 88 Kapus thala Samuid & Kabandha, 149 Kabandhin, 159 Lambaras, 178 220 lanvila, 264 Arab karatala, 205 karana, 259 (mir) — kutshala, 261 262 - sdrq, 203 Karayandasyaman, 10 Faidlf, 150 Kurka, 141 Karnatakas, 94-Karnicuta, 276 Karmanda, "dunas, 305 Karmapradipa, 84. 85 Aarmaming ied, 210 ff Karmareha, 153 Laids (thomaty four), 275. Aufdra Sitra 227 (gramm) Kalái in. 184. Lair, 113 283 yuga - c13, 205 260 26 Kalunga, 260 Kalınatha, 272 Lalinu,a, 243 halks Purana, 191 halpa 16 46 53 75 93 153(4th) 176 212 - Lara 141 -Suhas, 16 34 75 100 In_{₹ 1} 297 (Jun) 317

nelpunurad i. Sa

Kalhana, 213, 215, 317

Eavasba, 120 Kava, 153 (US:mas) 191 195 Kayaputra, 201, 205 Karuraya, 196 tations, 140 thanne black touth) Kasyana, 53 140 - 278 282 Pur Lashdys 78 306 Kateromant, 185 Kahola, 129 133 Kenkayana, 153 (Ath.) 266 26) (med) Hathaka, 41 St S. SS So ff 103 - Ozshija, 101 317 K4th 2 lovents bad on 156, 2 \$ 210 Lanuda, 216 kánla, 60 5) 01 02 117 Il 145 Kándamávana 53 Marys, 103 100 111 ff 142 143 tes (cream) Kantale 105 Léavipotra 105 Kanvyávara, 105 Kátan ra 226 227 321 Kátoya Gribya, 142 Kaling Suite 91 99 100 142 Litya 138 223 hátjáyana 53 61 80 83 84 10, 138 ff (Yed) 222 321 (gramm), 227 lex 266 med 285 (Buddh) - Smett-Sastia of, 143 326 - Kabardhin, 150 I stydy-1 127 138, - Durga, 128 t57 - putra, 71 138 280 Addumbert 213. Adrila Sarra, 236 háng 126 137 223 236 237 284. Kárcandakita (Nits Su tra), 271 Kd nz-SC', a, 267 Kémukáyana, 241 Kampila, 114, 115, 930, 115 138 Kámboja, 75 Kamadarusha, 299 heittakeujapa 200 hartblera, 107 (comm) Libraribe 309 l'abnipht, 140 241 242 háh, 218 Kalanumana 262 hallbavers 14 81 81 81 06 hihravaus, 210 221 Kálámurudropaniskad, 171 helipa, 29 56

Kálidá 1. 10, 196 200 ff 200 228 | 250 252 260, 318 f - three Lilledists, 201. 7 411, 159 Kavasheys 120 131 Kav la, 236 Idria., 183 191 195 210 Kavva 36 (Uáinu) 152 Rapuapreldit, 201 232 Kdryddar x 232 Karyslarıldraryıtı 226 232 hatil nt nu 42 of 140 242 Ka-thritani, 139 1,0 242 hasis 125 250 Aunili, 100 130 226 227 321 hást 260 231 Kalmiras, 227 Kár raps, 143 (granies) 245 (plul) 275 (archit) ballayallarasa, 237 hitara III kimnara, 302 Kirdterjuniya, 196 Kikatas, 79 Kirtidhara, 273 Luffsta, 259 Kuthuma 81 Rundina, 91 - (town) 163. Kulapa Saulruta, 266. kuntapanilia, 146.

— (100m) 100.

Kutupa Sastinata, 2005.

Janafajanika, 146.

Kutupa Sastinata, 146.

Kutuba, 23.

Kumiarafa, 297.

Kumiarafa, 297.

Kumiarafa, 297.

Kumiarafa, 297.

Kumiarafa, 297.

Kumiarafa, 297.

Kumiarafa, 207.

Sastinata, 207.

Kumiarafa, 207.

Sastinata,
68 co 114 129 132 135 136 286, kuladharwa, 278 kuladharwa, 278 kuladharwa, 278 kuladharwa, 281 kuwan, 124 293 kula and Lans, 197 kulawa 197 55 55

Ausum dilpale, 245 240

Jurna bidja, 215

Kashmandas 303 Arsi, 144. Irda, 113 (yuga) krillila, 2 148 217 248 304 323 —stree, date of 2 Krilga-huidmeni. 80 Krila 256 med Krila 256 med Krilan, "svinas, 197

Knox 266 med kpt. Knox 197 Ira-Ira (bltch) 334 Kpalma Devskiputra, 71 tot. 148, 169 156 238, 254, 304 — and Klifsyram, 220 221 — and the Idndard, 136 — and the beptperdence, 210 — worshup of, 71 159, 209 238 259 260 304 507 336

and the sheetherder, 27, 210
— worshep (-7, 176), 250
— worshep (-7, 176), 250
289 300 304 307 335
— Braysfren, 184, 243
— Braysfren, 184, 243
— Anne Enrichen, 185 30,
Krubaunten, 505
Krubaunten, 507
Krubaunten, 507
Krubaunten, 207
Leude, 215
Leu

Kohl., 235 Lean, 25, F. Sab 165 185 192 103 324. Konda, 33 68 1,7 285 — Vilebas, 34 34 152 154 135.

235 Kot sia, 273 Kaulantt, 134 beallantid 305 Kaurtings 102 225 Kaurtings 17 140 has taylan, 97 Kauthusa, 47 65 76 83 84 89 Kondresa, 143

Keur Seds 241 Kauravy., 30 123 135 136 Zaurupalchile, 125 1 mrs 2,1 (Quok) 4 gulory " 1 171 hausalta (Assolatura), 150 "my Kraber " 123 h.m. 'ika, 110 152 152 [All] - (Course), 12 91 (au hit la 16 7 at 16 aut, 46 81 - "Ldn. " , GL . 50 CL imile u, kn, 46 69. 82 133 134 317 - Lucho and 25 44 ff 71 - Uran lad 50 73, 127 155 Kaushitakeys, 129 Kay alya, 125 159 (5). Kausurubindi 121 Kauhala, 75 bry., 23., (Greek) Mr Er-ivja, 125 tCrauficha, 93 h au htuli, 61 metr 153 248 123 t a. 111 ast tape a 68 A4 12 Danaha, 200 1 lir pint 26, med. A 5 ras 6min, 79 227 I Aledras Es Landorn shed too h m.mlara, 212 Liberrandra, 213 215 319 300. k homendrathadra, 293 4 hurskalambhs, 77 A Landia, Es shordka, 88 hha .. savámin, 79 Kharchaha 248 1 5474 TALL 53 "mms 14. 81 Lbs id '5 24 87 88. The 2 raget 2 84 el 'a 92 97 107 130 144 249 __ Lo-da, 127 128 130 131 haddal south, 293 610g: 1 155 103 21S Clangulater, 143 Gauge-a, 245 323 ganes 225 260 gramm canala, 113 (anaput furth conf 170 tunapit mp n . 114, 154, 170

gant a, ts gantla. 1, 15, 20... Ganeir, . SI 170 - 557 6-3idh2-2, 142 G. adhama 272(Nás 11) 2°4 (Pachalabai - to seal by a. 126 Quadhara 70 132 215, 1 , 14" Garula 1,1 212 (plur). - Perdut 1 1 Garudopana hod 171 Carga, 153 Ath 2.1 252 ff (astr - plur 25" 2,, - Vnddh-garna 155 253 Garbhopar slad, 100 167 272 ocktos, 314, 315 gatalka 200 galanam gami In. 7, 233 Gádeyárani, 51 Génapet jamirtaturanisa, 170 91.hds, 24 33 49 72 73 03 12 122 12, 12, 127 132 18e - 299 301 Budah Ganat 63 64 81 316 325 Gund' artarola, 271 272 eduatrice-parana 140 Offer Vachuenava, \$6 122 - Sanduld, 214, 251 Girgia 56 (Griega) 63 (Simor) 75 (M.Sil.) 143 (gramm) 153 (414) -erd Ed. v 1712m 221 - Bilakı, SI Gitzannida 210. - (time of composition), 210 Gunidhya, 213 Cupta (dynasty), 204. Garaderavicam, 101 Gurjara, 397 Ouhadeva 42 323 owkraddit. 73 gulyum ndra 115 Quelle Lara vandli, " Grifsstnada, 31 erritentha 25 164. Orthy: Series 15 17 10 20 0 84 101 152 153 2t.1 -76 27b g-ya, 301 Buddh. Сегедина, 65 gaird related the Arab Gurlahta 41 Geniapi'ra 223 gr 267 (erot) Gotarni 2, ff (log) canapat' 1 5 -2, 210 241 242 - Satra, 215

Unante norm todals . 225

Godávari, 283 Gonardiya, 223 gr 267 (erat) Gopatha Bráhnana, 106 150 151 152 304

152 304 Goparsnas, 140 Gopalatápaniyopanuhad, 159 gopi, 169

Gopichandanopanishad, 169 Gobhils, 80 82 83 84 — Smrtt, 280

golddhywya, 263 Govardhana, 211 Govinda, comm , 55 62 — teacher of Sapk na, 161 243

— svámin, 101 comm Gauda (style) 232 Gaudapáda, 161 167 216, 241

298 (Jautams, 77 (two G'a)

- 84 143 (jur) - 153 162 (dth.) - 245 (plul) - 102 (Rushu)

- Diarma (Sutra), 85 e78 281 282 325 326 327 - (Pitrimedia Sutra), 84 245

Cautamah Simkhyah, 284. Gautamas, 137 grantka, 15 99 165 193 — (neddnasanyna ka), 81

- (niddnasunyna ba), \$1 graha, 67 (Soma vessel) - eclipsa, 249 - planet, 98 249 250

- (bdlagraha) 98 grdma, 64-77 Grdmageyagdna, 64-65

Ghatikarpara, 200. 201 Ghora Angirasa, 71 Chatuhshashiikaldkustra, 275 (*lá gana)

gana) chaturanga, game of 275 Chatur-adhydylkd, 151 (*ddhydwikt)

Chaturendatamenti, 280 Chandra, 219 227 Chandraka, 319

Chandrasa, 319
Chandragupta, 4. 204. 217 223
251 287
— (Cupta dynasty) 204

Chandra-bhágá, 269. Chondra Vyúlarana, 227 Champá, 178 charoka, 87 Charaka, 265, 266, 268, 270, 284

324, 325 med Charela Salid, 89 Charaká/hárya, 87 113 Chunakálhvarjus 87 133 134 Chunakálhvarjus 87 133 134 Charatez, 214 Chiltri, 123 Chiltri, 123 Chiltriyasa, 71 Chinakya, 205 210 250 310

Charakas, 87 88 164

Chafteriana, 71 Chafteriana, 71 Chamakya, 205 210 250 310 chanddia, 129 Chafteriana, 103 chanderiana, 103 Chafteriana, 259 Sri Chim, 259 Chiefe 1961a, 88 102 217 (Sibbhi)

Chártyaniya, 88 103 317 (Siksha) Chártekas 246 Chálukya, 214 Chalta, 51 Chatta, 56 (Báblikan)

Chitraratha, 68 (Biblikani chitrá, 247 248 (***) (chitra) Chinidistrippi, 217 Chinas, 243

Childs, 130 Chulikopenishad, 165 chela, 138

Chelaka, 138 Charkifaneya, 138 Charkifana, 138 Chartaratha, 68

Chailaki, 133
Chyavan I, 134
Chagalin, 90 99
chhandra (Vedic text), 8 14 57
60 103 176
— 65ma Somhish, 63

- metr, 25 60 145 272 Chhandashid, 53 Chhandoshid, 53 Chhandoshidahid, 103

chlandoldeld, 103 chlandovet, 216 Chlandovet, 96 102 155, "yin4, 06

Chhigeys, 95 Chhindoya Brahmana, 69 Chhindoyappam.had, 70 ff 155 Jegarmakana, 283 Jelapetela, 60 Jelakana, 265 mcd

Janaka, 33 53 63 75 123 124 127 129 13. 135 193 237 285 286 (hm six teachers) janaka (prepipat), 76

- saylardira, 76 Janamejaya, 34 123 125 131 134 135 136 180

Jacárdsna, 303 papamálá, 307 Jamadagni, 152, 31º Javatiriba, 42. Jayaders, 210 (date of) Javabbata, 319 Jacaratha, 332 Javaráma, 143-Jayadatya, Jayanida, 227 322 Janfsamdha, 98 Jalada, 160. Idiata, 1str. 240, 250. Jdiatat, Budda., 284 193, 101 125 Malarman, 19 102, 142, 1dt. 161. Jatakarnya, 138 139 140 143 Janaki, 110 Jabata, 71 130, 131 134 161 185 Jabels, 143 (5 mrsts) 1156lopenuhad, 161, 164, 168 idnutes 255 (Greek) juture 254 (Greck) Jahan 259 rica, 162 livagoardmin, 169. Jivala 133 Jivafarman sto. 1.ke, 254 (Greek) 1eman, 240 Juines, 214, 217 235 244 293-195 E 55-53 (Grayo) 65 (58 Jamme. mor) 184 189 239 E (pb.L) - Bharata, 57 189 - Filia, 240 (alle) 122. Jaminiya, 64 240, 316, 317 - nyayandidondara, 241 111. Jaivali 71 Ingrabhaskara, 253 Induagares, 91 94 January districted 201 200, 261 266 Jyotuka, 25 30. 60 61 153 fárenyaka |. 249. 248, 316 1328, 254 (Greek). Takahan, 133 Takahasili, 185. Tandalakahana Seira, 83 84. tad and team, 162. Taderopanishad, tol. 155 taddhua, 144 tentra ceremonal, 167 108 209 265 282 310 mamm., 227 229 text-book, 229 fterm taken to Jara) 265 266 (aran, 263 (Arabic) farka, 158 244.

1 terbs, 214. Tologadora Briania ... 316. Televakerat 74 taffe seels, 262, 264 (Arabic) Tánha (Sas 2) 263 (Arabic) Tanton (purdners), 76 Tigdin 61 (21), 243. Time: 20 Toring 65 # 74 135 6(pass 12) 138 aspentes, storal, 167 H. Taralaron had, 167 5. 168 Táranátha, 248 203 300 309 Telari ntamelan iot terers, 254 (Greek) tri, 144 tutters, \$7 (partradge) Titter 41 87 88 90 91 Tepefala, 29: 293 29. Tremdus 3 tohus 248 Historida sabira, 167 Tutita, Lita 241 Tura, 120. 131 (Kártikeya) Turamay4, 252, 274. turushina Porushin, 220 291 tulyaldia, 12 159 Tejonradiopaneshad, 165 171 Taitteriya, 81 87, yanan 102 162 wate) 317 (Prát) - Sand ed. 83 £ 103 248 - regresyste, 92-94- 235 2-2 249 303 ** 16 paymentages exciptule, 25; (Greek) Tautitika teta, 2,1 Taulvals 53 1-and rod 4 8 45 121 191 Translative, 68 Trabinda, 227 Inlows, 25; (Greek) Translake, 202 Depledrande 171 Trapervoanshed 171 Treperyspenshed 151 152 Trollanguratna, 103 Tribbuvanamalls 21. Traffilalitz, 62. frebi, 113 159

Truttera, 36 tora and 424, 152

Dattaka 190

Dakaba, 326 (Serriti

Dadhyalich, 123 149. Dantalurga, 203

Dand #, 213 232

dampati, 38
Dariarepanusiad, 171
der aptirromásas, 101
Daiaiuna, charua, 205 213 250
276
data for 101, 101

rlaist, 63 124 149
Daiatayi, 83 (comm)
doiatayi, plur daistayya, 31
82.

dakatayi, plur dakatayyas, 3 82. Dakapurushan rijya, 123 Dakarupa, 231, 232 Dakarathaydtala, 293

Uaharasutta, 293 Dikeháysna, 227 228 Dikehi, Dikehiputra, 218, 228 Dinara, Odou 302.

Dilbhya, 85 (Parshehla) 143 (gr.) disafarman, 55 dignigana, 141

Dinniga, 209 245. Divodisa, 259 dindig, 229 304 (denarius) Dipavania, 238

Duhahanta, 125 aurudhord, 255 (Greek) Durga, 33, 41, 42, 63, Durgasahke, 216 Durgasahke, 256

dushkrita, 87 Dushtarilu 123, drakdna, 255 (Greek) drakva, 410

aruha, 319 Druhadrsti, 67 102 Dera, Doraphanka, Sri Dera, 142

142 Derski, 71 Derskiputra, 71 148 166 169 denginandes, 121 denginandsd, 124 183

Devatddhydya, 74, 75 Devatdta, 54. Devadatta, 160 Devapila, 317

Dorarásayayaran, 41 42. Dorarássun, 260 (satr.) Dorafos, 39 Desgupansshad, 154. 170. 171 "deisya, 79

Dawata, 85 Dawapa, 125 doshapats, 151 318 dyuta 255 (Greek) Dyanshpitar, 35

Dramids, Dravidichárya, 322 323 dramma, 229 (Greek) draka 79 Dránsász, 94 Drányáyana, 53 79 84 282. Drona, 185 271 drápara, 113 151 243 Dránkánátbajajan, 324. Drankáraka, 73 184 185

Devrakanithayan, an, 324.
Devrakanithayan, a 104 139.
Dvapáyana, a Kyabha.
Dhananjaya, 232
Dhananjaya, 243
Dhanarreda, 271, 282.
Dhanefore. 214

| Missurreda, 271, 282|
| Dhameirae, 214 |
| Dhameirae, 214 |
| Dhamrantar, 200 265 266 269, |
| Dhamra, 80, 203 |
| Sammapada, 203 |
| Shammapada, 205 |
| Dhama, 176 276 ff. |
| Status 189 276-28 |
| Status 189 276-28 |
| Status 19 36 101 271 ff. |
| Status 19 36 101 271 ff. |

317
dharma, 301
Dharma, *putra, *rkis, 186, dharmadoldrya 56
Dhar torampril, 227
Dhates patha, -pard, ana, 230, Dhasansyra, 76 77, 82
Dhari, 201 202
Dharia, 201 202
Dharia, 202 207

Dháraka, 204, 205 207 Dhánarásana, 441 Dhánarásana, 79 105 Dhintaráshira (Vaschitarátya), 30, 90, 114, — king of the Kája, 125

Dhydravndupenuhad, 165 Dhydribaddhas, 253 Dhydribaddhas, 253 nakta (nakta), 264, Arab nakta (nakta), 264, Arab Naktatra, 292, Naktatra-Kaipa, 153, naktatra-Kaipa, 153, naktatra-Kaipa, 153, Naktatra-Kaipa, 153, Naktatra-Kaipa, 153,

Nachilietas, 157
mafs, 196 197 199.
— Estrus, 197 199 271 275.
Nanda, 205 117 223
Nandatetraru-Upopurdna, 171
Namun, 68

nartaka, 199 Nala, 132 189 Nalodopa, 196 Nararutae, 201 Nataratae, 201 Nata, 121

Nágas (nága), 273. 302,

Naraka, 188

Vigánanda, 207 Nigárjana, 224 265 287 288 fdate of) Núreca, 223, 227

Nágonbhatta, 223 224 226, Adjazz, 196 nájya, 197 200

- Sastra, 231 nanaka 205 281

Natarrals southed, 165 Narata, 72 (Vel.) 153 (dil. Per.) 264 (astr.) 272 (etym. and mus.) — natcharitte. 239

— pakkaardiro, 239 — Sikild 61 272 — (Smriti), 218 326.

— (Smrtis), 276 320.

Miranihla, 167, mantra 167 168

Niriyana, 94. 123 (purusha) 160.
166 167 303

166 167 303 Narayana, 54 (comm several N h) 33 (do) 141 158 ff (Upa+) Narayaniyopanahad, 93 157 166

167 169 171 Nárdyanopaniskad, 166 170, nárdzanis 93 121 122 127 nigama, 8

nigame, 8 Nayama Parinihita, 25 142, 153 Nighanlue, 25 41 153 (4th.)

227 nuyg 167 Niehkerze, 276. siddina, 81 (Ved.) 301 (Buddh.) Niddina-Siltra, 24. 62. 77. 81. 82

Nimi, 58 Nirapeksha, 325 Niralambopanuhad, 162

Numba, 161 25 26 41 42 44 59. 62. 88 160. 167 216, 217 235 Numba 152

Nurti, 152 nirbhya 49 niridnam, 161 (brahma) 303 (Buddh.)

Nisumbha, 200 Nishadhae, 132 Nishadhae, 77

Nith Sd. tras 210, 271 282. Nilakantha, 188 189 Nilamata, 320

Nelarudropaniskad 171 Nrisibha, 167 168 — tapontyopaniskad 167 168 Nrisibha 101 comm, 168 Nejas, haiseyas 65 85

Nrisinka 101 comm, 168 Ne₃18, Nageyas 65 85 Neageya Sarra, 84 Neageantukas, 25 85 Naidinas, 81 Namelya, 70 Namela, ehiya, 34, 45, 54, 59 68, 185

anydyria, 245 Narraktas 25 85 Naushadkiys, 196 232 Nushadka, 132 Nushadka, 132 Nushadka, 132

- chinedmani, 245 323 - dartana, 214, 323 - Sátra, 85 235 245.

Pakshilasvámin, 244, 245 Pafichatantra 206 212 215 221 219 240 266 267 291 301

pašchadatarcha, 122 Pašchaparna, 267 pašchandsreme, 164 pašchaldistana, 190

paichalalshana, 190 Paichanaise Brithmana, 66 ff Paichandhe Sútra, 83, 84. Paichandheya, 83, 84. Paichandheya, 83, 84.

Polehasikha, 235, 236, 237, 284 Pahohanddhanikh, 259 Polehilus, 10, 90, 114, 115, 125 135, 136

Pahchálachanda, 50 315 326 pshádlapsdasystis, 34 Pańchála Bilhraysa, 10. 34. (erot

Pañeh")
pośchiká, 44
pościa, 59 82 84.
Patamebala, 126 137 223, 236

237 284. Patanyali, 87 219 ff. 231 277 321

-137 223 231 237 ff (phil)
*patka, 117
pudakára, 9t

polapáfia, 23 33 43 49 60 53. podovytti, 34. Paddhatis, 35 59 85, 102, 141 142 143 145, 317

Padma Purena 191 Padmayoni, 153 panaphard, 255 (Greek)

Pare, 63 125. Parenahansa, kansopanshad, 163. 164

Parametrica, 257
parametrica 162
Parametrica 162
Parametrica 44, 143, 185, 252, 260
(satr.) 265, 266 (med.)

- (Sweets) 278 280 (laghu and eraddia) 325. Pank bet 115 Paritia, 293 (Buddh)
parihláthás, 101 140, 144, 222
227
Parihláthánhatalakhara, 226
parindala, 112 147 164.

Parisistas, 60 62 69 75 84 85 101 107 142 146 149 150 151 153 317

Parusesia 119 (Sutar Br)
Parthavas, 4 188 318
parvan, 66 (Súms*) 124 (Athar-

ran, &c] 146 149 183 184. Paráu, 3 (4)

°paliyagani, 292 294. Pavana, 272 Pajupatisarman, 64.

Palopansarman, 54. Pahlavas, 187 183 318. Pahcharatra, 238

Pálichandhya, 83. Pálichála, 267 pálichála 34 (gr.) 232 (rús)

Pálichálya, 138 Pálichi, 133

Pátaliputra, 217 237 251 258.

290 295 "dfimolkhamila, 293, 326 pátha, 22 49 105.

Pfinin, 3 8 12 15 26 41 57 59 61 77 82 87 216-222 232 239, 241 242 245, 249, 266 281

241 242 245, 249, 266 281 318 321 — posterior to Buddha, 222 305 — posterior to Alexander, 221

222 Printrigd Sikshd, 61 272 Prindrigs, Prindus, 39 98 114-115-

Párdavas, Pándus, 39 98 114. 115. 125 135 136 137 185. 186. 286

pdndutya, 129 161 pdnhora 254 (Greek) pddas, 161 (the four) pdpman dsura, 318 Párasikas, 185 220

Páriskara, 66 142 143 318 Párásárinas, 143 305 Párásáriya, 305 Párásariya, 143 305 (Báskiðu Su-

Párikarya, 143 305 (Bhasisu Sutra)
— (Yylsa), 93 184 185 240 243
Párikarráyana, 243
Párikali 284

Púrikahitas, tiyas, 34.125 126 135 136 186 Párikanita, 136

Páriseoita, 135 Páli, 288 292 293 295 Pášupats, 238 Prūgals, 46 60 231 256, pslaku, 270 304 309 pandap irsyajna, 19 55 Pendopansshad, 171

Pendopanehad, 171 pitáriaha, 303 piintarpena, 55 Pitpibhé'i, 141 pitrimedas, 108, 108,

pstrimedos, 108, 108, — Sátra, 84, 245 pstta, 266 Pappaláda, 153, 159, 160, 164

Payadasi, 133 139 170 178 203, 252 253 292 295 plu 229 (Persan), pseukkall, 76, 111 112. 2 putra, 71 131 285

Putra, 71 131 285 Putatrasu, 265 Putatrasu, 265, 24 72 93 121

122 124, 127 159 193 -- 190 191 195 206 207, 213 215 282 purcham Tándam, 76

paránegrokia, 12 129 Furukutas, 63 125 paresha, 162 (the three p 's, pail.). 217 233.

237 233. — Náráyana, 123 124. — medha, 54. 87 go 108 111

- sikta, 65 108 155 puralettena 168 Purintest, 134 puroleta, 150

Pulsis, 253 251, 255 257 258 Pushkara (1), 252 Pushpa Suira, 82, 84. Pushyamitra, 224

pută (filthy) rách, 180 Purva, 98 Purrumindară, 239 ff Ppthédalasvánin, 259 262

prektha, 67 pokkha 319 Paugalopanuhad, 171 Pauga, Paugau, Paugya, 14, 41

Patigy, Faingin, Fringys, 14-46 56, 81 50 130 134-184. Pasigya, the, 46 Pastimakaridahdata, 259

*pazpale, 158 159 Pazpaláts, 145 150 152 160 Puls, 56 57 58 Pazsáchatháshys, 91 pazáchatháshys, 213

Potala, 255 Parisandihanta, 253 254, 258.

259 250.

Paullasa, 129 Panihlarasadi, 102 285 Paushkalávata, 268 Paushpindya, pinji, 240 Paulit acharda, 318 Prakrus, 177 237 racialasem, al Prayipata, 76 97 137 151 244. pramanti, a Sarva, 207 Prasaropanished 154 165 Prayma-Pardichla, 102 106 115 110 Pratith 56 neanbuddia, 129 138 Pratishthane 214 Praistdra Sitra 82 Pra vidrya, 200 (Buddh.) roulrana, 49 Pratyahkınıdidstra, 312 prapdilaka, 61, 64, 65, 66, 76, 70 80 81 82 81 84 89 97 117 145 151 Praiodischardrodaya, 207 241 Pramagamda, 79 promote 28 211 presents tos premichand 12 83 8t 11t matarakhanda, 101 240 pratarddhydga, 142 317 (K4th) pratatoya, 108 119 139-Pravábana, 71 prawata, 28c prarranta 281 305 prandju 120 Pracattariga, 141 praint, 80, 100 101 102 Prair openished, 58 158 # Prast dnabheda, 167 271 275 prolipita 177 - praktila, 227 Prichyss, 34, 132 178. Prachy Kathan 88. - Panekalishu, 34

Prandymidotropanishad, 154, 162. Prábolya, 121

Prdiudkija Sutras, 23. 26

143 (Fdjas) 151 (Ath.)

prayaschitta, 84. 118 139

Praudha Brahmana, 74-

Praukodhiputra, 112-

Prititheyl, 56.

prdndnas, 28

Prott 123

prekshanaka 319

Prákshávana, 47

phálomyas, 218 Plut Strat. 226 Phulla Sutra 82. influences, 276 badha, endha 196 198 bandhu, 12 124. Babben, 55 Barku, 131-Balabhadra, 261 261 (schol.) Balaráma, 102 behungehana, 124 Bahrnchas, 8 66 86 80 121 122 Bahrricha Panisthia, 62 Rahameks-Profession 100 Bána, qu 204 205 207 211, 21; 232 310 Bidarayana, 43 140 239 ff (phil 1 266 (med.) - (astr 1, 260 - Sitra, 161 Bidari, 139-140 241 242 Bibhravya, 10 34 (Ved.) 267 (erct.) Barheddas eta 72 Barbarpatye, Sutre, 256 Rilainsbus, 91 bálalhílyar (e. rála*), 97 Bála-Bhárata, 190, BORG ST Baverujátaka, 3 Báshkala 313 Bibikabhuhar, 250 Bibikus 33 96 132 178, 218 Bahma, 68 Filbana, 214, 232, 319 Bulks, 47 Budils, 133 134 Sudding (awakeued, ephrhienes), 27 167 241 281 - 62578, 24I Buddba, 3 56 98 102 138 184. 199 200, 217 ff 236 241 256 273 283 E - date of Buddhas death, 217-220 287-288 302. - postersor (f), or prior, to Panini, (Rugo | 83 (Sd var) 102 (Tails) 3 222 305 bred in the Satra period, 290 301 £. - wile of, 318 - and Krabns, 326 Buddhagard, 218 273. Buddhaghusha, 292, 293, 326. Buddhadása, 267 Buddlasdpina, 236

phalgena 115 134, 136

buildhordsaka, "rild. 200 1/budh. 27 - with ordin 129 Budha, 278 282 (ur)

Penhaustaka, 259 250. - 1dbdla, 162 Reshat-Katha, 213 - Samhid, 203 204, 259 £ 271

274 British Atri, 269

- Alrena 269 71 72 - Arantoka, 70 100 119 12/ E 139 155 255

186 - witerroldman 160 - deratd, 24 33 41 62 85 88

314. 316 - Faing albya, 281 Unhadraths, 97 98

britant, \$80 Preham randy anopas used 156 157

166. ... Manu 279 Bribaspati, 153 (Atbarrio) - Amrio, 278 230 (legia) 326 Battavart, 266 (med.) . a. Vaija-

£102 Bodhs, 215 Bodháyana, 322 323. Bodhisattras, 29% 303 307 310.

Bauddhas 103 158 Haudharana, 100, 101 102, 115 114 317 324

- Dharma, 101 102 278 Brahmapupta, 61 202 258 % braken chitren, 28, 112, 121, 164. - relation may 300

brahmanya, 166 Brabmadatta king, 138 a56 [three]. --- 55 (comm)

brahman, etymology of, 12 - peut , prayer, formula, 11 149 - - Dreine Pover, 6 127 16t

171 242 - mase , Supreme God 6 97 151 158 161 166 167 170, together with Vishny and Rudes, 97 161.

with Vishno and Sira, 167 180. --- chief priest, 123 149 Brabma pura, 160 - bandhu, 78 79 112 141

- mindasit, 240 241 # - md 161 - vidyopasished 164.

- mndupanuhad, 99 158 165 - vida, 149 150

Brahmamiraria Purana. 191 - Siddhania, 218 - Sutra, 70, 96 24; E 308 312 - hatyd, 125 12b

Beahmandt, 322 323 Brokenspanished, 150 H Irahma Sphutas, Idhanta, 259 fredimens, neut (appellative leapleastion, 'esction of a text',

76 93 117 124 152 --- port, 8 11-15. 76 159. 176 210 240 - mage , ses 161 fasture of a Br). 176 (two languages), 180 (sq.

velockhed), 276 - stara, 176 Make 218 Bharadatta, 188 Alamenti Saire, 237

Elagarady84, 169 235 238 242 bhaganae', 121 153 (Aibirrao), 160 (Africa), 169 (mahadere's

284 (Buddba, &a) Rharfraths, 101 Bhatarbati, 291 Bhatta, 42 90 91 241, A Bhile.

Euratuúra-Busternielyans, 207 Black Barro, 100 Ebatton Dilabite, So 226 Bhattotpala, 242 243 25% 259 %

Bhadetta, Bhadenta, 260. Bhadrabibusvamio, 297 Phairsens, 286 Bharata, son of Duhabauta, 12

- nint 114. 124 -231 (rbet) 272 (mol.) Bharataramin, 42 65.79 Bharadrays, 11 162 163 (Upon.) - (Kapanthala), 265 268 (med. Bhartrirains, 141

Bhartriban, 209 210. Ebellu, 95 Bharn, 175 Bharant, 121 284 Bharabhah, 150 200 205 206

207 319 Bharasramin, 42 79 91 101 Bhamandhaia 163 Bhagarata, 238,

- Purdna, tot Bhanan'ts, 130 Rharum 52 245 Bhánditávana, 77

Bhanats, 322 Bhirats, 56 176 185. Báradrán, 100-102 (Faith) 139
140 36 (Ath.) 271 (Dream)
140 36 (Ath.) 271 (Dream)
140 36 (Ath.) 271 (Dream)
1844art, 196 379
1844art, 196 379
1844art, 196 379
1844art, 196 379
1845art, 196 197

Bhillavius 14 62 82 95 154
Bhillavius 95 126 134
Bhillavius 95 126 134
Bhillavius 105 126 176 177 150
Bhillavius 105 126 176 177 150
Bhillavius 95 57 144 176
Bhillavius 95 57 144 176
Bhillavius 105 187
Bhillavius 105 187

- mist, 42 90 91 94 101 103

171
Ehdsvollarana, 251
thickild 123 305
bliksildka, 305
bliksildka, 305

bhikshdehara, 201 bhikshdehara, 2014-225, 305, 306 bhilshu, 1114-221, 225, 305, 306 327 -- Shira, 143, 452, 305, 306

Bhills, 259 Bhimasana, 125 135. Bhishma, 39 Bhishma, 39

bhirga, 227 263 314 317 Bhrga, 33 153 261 — plur 148 240 241 — ralli, at 151 156 157

- valli, 94 154 156 157 Hhsla, 265. 270 (med.), Mailina, 305. Mashayyas, 152

blogandits 42 Bhojs, 195 202 (more than one) — king of Dhárá, 201 202 203, 21, 225 230 261 319.

- 259 and
- truddha 269 (med)
Bhojadeva (reputed author of the
Saragratikan(habharana), 210.

Bhojadeva (reputed author of the Sarasratikanfhabharana), 250. Bhojaprabandha 215 bhrushia 226 makara, dolphin, 252.

malha, 127 Magadha, 70, 98 112- 147 269 (weights) 286 287 290- 292 295 296.

— va.in, 112 Magaa, 148 Magbasyamin, 80, maghds 248. Madkha, 319 Madhasis, 298 mars, 140 Kamkernka, 168

mandala, 31 32 34 43 64 82. Manduka, 49 Manga, 95 Mathurá, 169.

Mathuri, 169. Madras, 126 137 223 Madrasára 75 modhu, 128.

Madie kárdo, 15 127 ff 138 — Erákmana, 128 Madheka, 130 Madhenádana, 166

andmandam, 156
— Sarayati, 257 271
Madhyatapru, 167 169
Madhyatas, 162 165 115 133
madhyana 269 (Atn) 286
— kinda, 119 119

madhyanuka, 89
Madhyanuka, 89
Madhyanuki, 157
manag, 264 Anbic
Manutha, 260 (also with n)
Manu, 134, 217 (and the fish) 277

Manu, 134, 217 (and the fish) 277 [andynambhana] — Code of, 20, 73, 102, 143, 183, 183, 2.8, 244, 240, 265, 276 ff

183 238 244, 249 266 276 ff — Same 99 mantre 8 (= Veda) 176 — rd)1, 167 163

Mammita, 201, 232 3.2 (esare) Maya, 253 254, 260, 275 Marichi, 254

Marut, 133 Maruts, 40. 43 markata, 211 Malayadesa, 55 mailaks 206

Malloutha, 195 209 Mashka, 75 76 83 84-Mashkanba, 304-Mahakila, 209

Mahddauhilaki-Erdimana 47 mahdjabdin, 163 185 (Mahd) Mahddau, 45 123 169

Mahidees, 100, 101 141 (comm.) 262 (astr) mahindimd 238 — dersh, 110 123

mahandiga 302 Mahandiga 303 Mahandiga 303 Mahandiga 325 Mahandiga 325 Mahandiga 325 744

Mahd Rharata, 4, 24 31, 47 45 56 57 72 98 114 135 136 176 184-100 205 200 210 243 250 279 282 301 318 324 325 Mahabhashya, 219-226 231 238

321 Mabameru, 93 Mahawana Sairas, 93 299. mahardia, 138 Mahdran-a, 292 29

Maharakyamuktarali. 156 mahadahan 167 Mahavira, 296 (Jam) Mandelracharetra, 207

Mahávrishas, 70 147 Mal. Languelya Sutrat, 203 E Maharmitpatte, 248 (Buddh)

mahe bla. 161 mahdranana, 217 Mahidaa, 48 70.

maketh 114 Mahidhara, 104 107 ff , 116 141 Mahandra, 291 292 295 Mahefrara, 262 (astr) Malonantilad, 154 166

Mahuragas, 302 Liáradha, 79 — deliya, 79 112 141 majadha, 111 112 138 147 237

ridradit. 232 (riti) - language, 295, 296 297

Muota karya, 196 Mindavys, 61 Mandakayana, 53 Mandaki Sikind, 49 61

Fandukeya, 49 56 112 Mandukyopanishad, 161 164. 167 168 208

Matridatts, 101 Midirimodaka, 144 matra, 160 (om) 161 Mathava, 134 Madravati, 126 Mádri. 126 Midhava, 41 42 47 116 235 241

247 245 246 262 - derz, 42. Mádhavas, 95. 166. Madhuki, 133 134 madhurt, 91

raddhyamdina, southern, 106. Midhyaradmas, 10 11 105 ff. 134 139 144

Midhyamdinagana, 105 Madhyamdini, 106

L'dhyamika, 309

Madhyamikas, 224 Manaya, 134 (Sarvata) Manaya, Manayas, or 102 280 285 Managa-Grahys, 20 102 278 357 Managa Dharmaidera, 20 277 ff

Managara, 275 Manutantavyau, 134. Mana-maia, 275 endud oft Manddoni, 284. Mara, 151 303 304.

Markandeya-Purana, 191, 206. Malati madhasa, 207 320 Malara, 201 214.

Málavakáchárya, 250 Melanka, Melankagumutra, 204 málámantra, 157

Máhakı, 152 Mabetthe, 124 Mahuheya, 103. Mudhahara, 107 281 Minanda, 206 Milioda, 306 Milura, 201

mintdranke, 102 240 Mimdasd, 121 159 215 239 ff stimdard lyst, 240 - Setra, 140 239

muldrind, 267 (Arabic) muldmld, 263 (Arabic) Nokula, 322 mulic, 167 34 (apd amulia)

Muktikopanuhad, 155. Muqdhabodha, 226 Mubjeran, 55

Mutibhas, 134 Mudimbha, 134. Mundakopanishad, 58 158 ff 240 Mundopamehad, 164.

muthable 254 (Arabic) Mudrárákshasa, 207 Munt 129 sunthahd, 264 (Arabic)

muhfirta, 151 Mejavants, 147 murdhabhukukta, 211, 225 Mala-Sutra, 297 (Jain) gausaripha, 26, (Arabic)

Mrschinkutt, 200, 205 206 207 250, 305, 320 nyityumpiya, 167 Mrstydanghanspanuhad (1) 170 Mrstydangala, Idngula, 170

Meghaduta, 198 204 208 200. 302

Hentha, 319. Wedhattha, 52 Meru. 93. matherana, 255 (Greek) Maura, 91 97 Maura Satra, 99 Mutráyapiostra, 71 08 285-Mutráyapiyas, 88 91 99 102. Mastráhaní Samhild, 314, 317 Marrayanopanuhad, 12 96 ff 155 165 285

Mattreys, 97 98 99 Matreyi, 56 99 - Yajuavalkya's wife, 127. Mainege, 93.

molska, 161 Moggallána, 230 mauraya, 237 305 Mauda, 150 Mudgalya, 113 Maudgalydyana, 199

TREATE 120 Intechh, 180 fakahan 98 273 302 303. Yuk haverman, 217

Youk Sankita, 9 10 Yayurreda, 8. 45 85 ff 121 122 127 161 181

— damage, 144 y r.s. 8 q r tukia. saint verses number of the, 121 saratakana, 68. sproparita, 161 yatı, 327 (dirama) Pattadrematedipud, 322

Yntifrara, 323. Yama, 36 - Smrtty 325. Lamasabhiya, 193.

variand, 264 (Arabic) Yamuna 68 Yavana, 178 187 188 214, 220 E.

251 252 251 260 (agtr 1 26\$ - PT1UG 227

- vnddhie, 243 sarandal, 220 ff. varaniká, 207 Yavani, 220. 252. Yavanesvara 258 varaneskia, 220. Yasoga (1), Yasogopi, 141

Lasquatra, 111 Yaskah, 41 yajushi, 163.

I dinarolkiya kinda, 127 129 ff 137 138

Yd. saratkini brikmaranı 95 129 Yápavalkya, 33 104. 120

124 126 127 128 129 130 131 132 138 143 144 163 168 236 237 IF 289 - 8 Code, 107 122 143 205 215

230 278 280 ff 323 325 326 warula, 210

Vámikadeva, 141 Ydanili-Upanuhad, 93 04. uttander 121

udtrika 309 ydird, 260 (astr) 324. Yádrsa, 3. 1 ámenamun, 323

Tá-ana, 220

Yaiha, 25 26 32 33 39 41 42 44 46 57 59 61 62 81 82 85 88 90 91 128 140 142 176 181 216 217 236 277

ewoar (the four), 70 113 151 159 190 243 247 277 — quinquennial, 113 247

Yuga Purdna 214 251 fudbishturs, 18, 166 (88. 286 - eta 202 260

Youa, 96 117 150 1,8 160 162 163 165 165 235 2,6 ff 265 28,

- s. Samblyayaya - tallra, 165 - Sastra, 297 (Jun)

- wild, 165 - Sulra, 223 237 Yozáckára, 309.

mogin, 161 239 vaudhe, 78 rakta, 78

Rogherensz, 195 196, 208 302 318

Rangauatha, 258 rates (the nine), 200, 228, 261 Ratnikara, 319 322 Rana Satra, 275 Rabhara, 227

Ratherall, 201, 320 Rahaya, 119 (Satop Br) Ringriba, 199 257 295 Edysteromyvil, 213, 215, 210, 220,

223, 225, 257 320. ntigpatre, of résange, 54 Rájadambáyana, 120.

Lujasekbara, 207 Ransyana, 53.

Ranayaniputra, 71 77 79 Ranayaniyas, 65 79 84. Rata, 61 Rama, 135 168 192 — as incarn of Vishnu, 194.

— Aupstasvin, 134. Rámakpahna, 85–143 Rámachandra, 59 Rámaidpuntyoponuhad, 168

Rámatirtha, 323 Rámánnya, 168 322 Rámánanda, 168

Rimdyona, 4, 37, 89, 98, 135, 188, 191 ff 205, 206, 214, 250, 324, Rimils, 205

Rávana (comm.) 42. 66 Rávanabadha, 195 Rábu, 73. 249. 250 Rábula, 250

rius (varieties of style), 232. Ruchidetta, 323

Rudra, 6 40 97 110 123 159 170 171 238 303 — by the ende of Brahman and

Vislau, 97 z6z -- jábála, 163 Rudrats, 322 Rudradatta, 101 Rudraskanda, 80 84. -

Rudrdishayibala, 163. Rudropanishad, 154. 170 rapa (com), 205 Rusyaka, 322

Renudikahita, 142 eerati, 248 Ravá, 123. Romaka, 253 324-

— pura, 253 — suddidata, 253 254. 258 260.

romakupa, 253 Raumyas, 253 Rauhiniyana, 120 *lakshana, 265

Rauhiniyana, 120 *lakshena, 265 Lakshmanhaena, 210. — era cl. 210

— ers of, 210 Lakshmidhara, 262 (astr) 323 Lagadáchárya, 61 249 Lagata, °dha, 61 249 238 Layhu, 280

- Atrs, 259 (med.) - Aryebhata, 257

- Kaumudi, 226 - Játaka, 78 250

— Jábála, 163 — Parálara, 280 (jur) — Brihaspali, 280 (jur) -Saunala, 280 (jer) Lapki, 78

Lahte Fistare, 199 236 256 286, 291 299 300 Leghule 250, Lein, 76 258

ldia, 76 258 Ldinka, 76 Ldii (1983), 232 Ldii (1983), 238 Ldidasehirya, 61 238

Lébukáyana, 53 241 Lámikáyana, 53 77 241 — *arus, 14. 99

Lekhita, 326 (Smṛili) Langa Purana, 191 Lachhavii, 276 277 285 lips, 221

lipi, 225 Lipid, 255 (Greek) Idditati, 252 (1str.)

Luciari, 202 (Ber) loge, 254 (Greek) loge (laskika), 246 Lekaprakika, 321 Lokiyatas, 246

Logdyato, 236 loketa, 78 Laukákshas, 96 Laukáyshkes 246

Langfirth, 99 102 103 139 317.
— Sutra, 99
Futernyo, 236
Futernyo, 236
Futernyo, 241 71 120 127 128 129 ft.

184. — nartın, 113. — Bráhmara, 42 74 75 79 84.

Vajra, 260 engranakha, 167

Vajrasúcký upaniskad, 262 Vadavá, 56

Vates, 3 Vada (1), 148 wadstar, 180

Vayoudyi, 265 Varadatta 55

Varadzája, 76 83 (Ved.) 226 (gr.) Vararuchi, 200 202 230 (Visrama), 83 (Phulla-Sutra), 103 (Taill. Phil.), 206 227 (Prulivia-pru Phil.), 223 (vart.), 227 230 (lex.)

Varihambira, 78 160 200, 202 203 204 243 254, 259 ff. 268 273 279

Vatura, 35 198 tarpa, 31 tarna, 13, 161

— Sutras, 227

Vardbamána, 226 Varsha, 217 Valabbl 196 214 256 Valibandha, 198 207 *ralli, 93, 157 Valbika, 123 134 Valhikas 147 Vais (Usinaras) 45 Vasishtha, 31 37 53, 79, 123 162 - mddhánia, 253 - Smrth 325 Vasugupta, 322. 1 aans, 303. miloralya, 121 122 127 Fakyanadiya 225 226. lagbhata, 269 (med.) - Freidha", 269 wich 74 176 234 - (réta) 180 Váchaknaví, 56 120. Váchapatimiara, 245 322. F413 101. resapra, 54. Valuatavasa, 147 rajasans, tal-Vájasabeya, 101- 123 130 131 Vapamneyela, 100, 105 144-Vdjasaneys Sanhild, 317 (conely sion in the forty wighth Ath Par) Varanneym &t 10. tone tot Vanchestara (1), 101 r4ta, 266. 1 ftalputra, 71 133 255 - triyes, 1.8. Vataya, 120 140, 267 Vátsylyans, 244 243 (phil.), 266 267 (erot), 323. - Panchaparna, 267 Vádbéna (1), 100 rangerastla 23, 16. Vámakakaháyana, 120, Vamadeva 31 315 Vamana, 84 (Sdrure) 225 227 (gr) 232 (rhet.), 322 amatathyse, 140 Váránusi, 162, 163 rerchamantra, 163 Parunyupanulad as Varkalt 33. 123 Virkalinas, 33. Mirthkaz, 222, 22% Vársbiganya, 77 Varabus, 133.

Vársbnya, 133 Vársbyáyant, 53. ndlakhilya siktas, 31, 32, 32, Váleyas 140 Valmiki 102 (Taut) 101 tos. Vdahkala, 14 32 52 56, 62 313 L - Srun, 52 Vankalopenuhad, 52 155 Vitera 302 Vásaradetta 213 214. Visebths, 123. Visubthan, 123 Vdruhtha Ettra, 79 278 (Diarras) Vásadeva, 51 137 166 168 160 Váruders 143 (comm.) edruderaht, 18, Varuridya 275 rible a state Vikrama, 200. 201 202, 204, 205 228 260 261 266, 260 - era of got # 260, 110 - chamira, 200, 201, 214, 257 Vikremankacharda, 214 Vikramádnya, 200, 201 202, 205 228 Vehrematte, 214. Vichitravirys, 39. rickfirana 226 engays, 140, 141 Villiganagara, 42 Vilayanandin, 2,8 emia 141 Vijejarbhikabu, 237 Vidaa-Kalpa, 153. 'evd. 121

tidogdha, 33, 212 Vidagdha 33 129 Fudut (1), 143 Videgba, 134. Videba (s Kosala-Videbas), 10.31 53 68 123 129 137 193 285. Fuddhaidlabhannid, 207 Fulid 121 122, 127 265, 270 - (frayt) & 45 121 191 Vidyanagara, 42

Vadyarauya, 42 54 97 170. Federamanarakjuni 323 ridh (Sano), 74. 83 (five ridhle) - (Ved.) 244 radidna, 33, a. Rig', Cáma' rodieja 241

Fenava (Barldh) 199. 290. 292. 304 308. 326. Vindyaka, 47 (comm), 62 (do): Vindhys, 51 99 283 mplante, 226.

Soundlabadha, 106. híng, 114. siénadevas, 303 1/34 178. Suka, son of Vyasa, 184, 243 intra (Venus n. of 250 - 1/23 unshi, 104. dukriya, 104. 107 144. - kánda, 104. iuklum yayunshi, 104 131 144-

Sunga, 33 tuddha, 167 Sunskas, 33 34-

Sunahsepa, 47 48 55 Sumbha, 206 Sulva-Satra, 101 236 274 317

324-tushna, 302 Súdra, 18 77 111 112 276 Sudras, 147

Sudraka, 304 205 207 214 adnas (zero), 256

Salerane, 166 Sesha, 101 (comm) 237 (phil.)

Saitvavans, 53 Sailáli, 134 197 Salthnas, 197,

šailteha, 111 196 197 Sasunbhashya 323 Savafdetet, 322 Baigiris, 31-

Saisiriya, 32 33. Saungáyam, 75

hauchivitkalii, 77 82 Baunaka (Rigs.), 24 32-34 49 54 56 50 62 85 143

- (Ath), 150 151 158 161 162 165 - (Mahd-Bhd: ata), 189

- Indrota, 34, 125 - Sysidayana, 14.

- Grilya, 55 (Rige) - varista, 158 162 (Ath).

- laghu", 280 (Smrits) Saunaklyas, 158 162. Samaktyd, 151

Saunakopanuhad (1), 164 165. śaubhikas, 198 , a saubhilas Saubhreyas, 140.

Saulyayana, 53 Syaparnas, 180 fyena 78. Viram, 27

iramana, 27 129 138. stamasa, 305

Sri Ananta, 141

Srikantha Siváchirga, 323 Srf Chaps, 250 ridatta, 141 Śridharadása, 210

Sridharasena, 106 Sciencisa, 42 Sraniva adása, 322 323 Sri Dharmanabha, 105 Sripata, 54. 58 Sriparankusanatha, 323,

rimaddaltopanukad. 16.1 frivara, 120 Sri Vyághramukha, 259

Srisbena, 258 Sri Harsha, king 204, 207 - 196 (Nauhadhachar)

Sof Hala 145 Viru 15 Srutasena, 125 135

Srut. 15 17 68 81 06 140 (plur) 100 164 treehtha, 126,

Sauta Sátras, 16 17 19 52 Reshman, 255

Aloka, 24 69 70 72 73 74 83 87 97 99 103 121 122 123 125 127

Srikaan, 132, Svetaketu, 51 71 123. 132 133 . 137 267 (erot.) 284.

137 267 (ero., Bretisratara, 96 99. Shatchakropanuhad, 168 Shattrudat (Smerti), 280.

Shadafits (Smrth), 280 Shadgurugithya, 33 61 62 83 Shaddarfanachintanika, 322 Shadbhdehachandnka, 227 Shadeuna Brahmena, 69 20

Shannavats (Smrsit), 280 Shashfilantra, 236 shashfepaths, 117 110

sam = suprat (but of what era?). 141 202 203 samuat era, 182 202 201 Samuerta (Smpili), 278 326 Samuat tairstyupanishad, 144 164 gayıskára, 102 (the sixteen s) - (gramm.), 144.

- genapati, 143 same rtabháská, 177 samatha, 66 67 Samhita [Ved.), 8 9. 10 14. 22-24

, 60 (phil.), 75. Sambid (astr.), 250 254, 265 275 - Kalpa, 153 - patha, 43 40. - topanishad, 34 (Brahmona) 74. 75 (Samar) 93 155 (Tait.) 316

(Samar · Sakalidhilara, 275 (arch.) saml huddar, 230

Samattaratudlara, 273 sangraha, 119 (Satapatha Brdh

money 227 foramin 1 samjadna 313 314-

Satthuanta 236 sattra, 66 76 79 80 139

salerdgand, 107 Salva, 260 astr Strakama, 71 130, 132, 134

Satyavába 153 Sate askadan, 100, 101 102

Sadánirá, 124. Saduktilarndnrsta 210

Soldharmapundarila 100 300 Sanatkumira, 72 164 .- 275 (22

chit } Senandanáchárya, 237 sandhe, 23

samnendia, 248 (Buddh) Samnydsoranuhad, 164. Santar the (Smrus) 280 Septata abe. Septatett. St. 211

277 prota súrváh. 200 (210) semenan d 111 Sandar Samhald, 250. sumpraciya Ita.

samrdy, 123. Barasyati, 74 (Vách) - vydžarana, 427

Saraswatt, 4 38 44 (Indus) 53 67 EQ. 102 12Q 134 141 - kunthabharana, 210 232. sarga, 190, 196 214 garjina, 233.

sarpa 302 sarpavidas 121 Surportedud, 124 182 265 202 Barvadarsanasangraha, 235 241

322sarvamedha, Co. Sarranukramani, 61 ecredinning 300 Sarropanulatedropanulad, 162. Salvas, 120, 132, 180.

sahama, 264 (Arabic) Signla, 306. Bikets, 224. 25%

Simknitvävana, 266 (med) Sam Hug. 96 97 108 137 (Salar) 168 160 165-167 235-239 242 241, 246 284, ff 106 308 309 - tativa-pradipa, 322 - pratachana, 237 - pranochana Saira, 237 239

- Literatus, 78

- ever 160 166 218 220 - sire, 237

- Sutra, 237 239 245 Samkbyah (Gautamah), 284 Sambhrarana, 47

Sampielputra, 111 8/tz 75 Sityayayna, "jan, 133

Satranta, 125 Sápve. 68

Lamajetaka, 300 (Buddh.) Larratanti a, 83 saman, 8 9 64, 66 121

- number of the samone, 121 Samováchárska Sulra, 10, 278 Samalakshana, St

Samaridha, "stallana, 72, 74 277 Samareda, 45 62 ff. 121 316 325

(Génes of) - Proteickhya, 316 Sama-Samheld o 10 32 63 ff 313

(readmen) 316. Mage am, 275 Sájakájana, 95 120. Sárakáranina, 96

Sayana, 32 41 42 43 46 47 48 42.65 66 68 69 72 74.91 92 94 101 139 150

Saramanamana, 267 (med) Suramera, 15 Sardmute 2.6 (gramm.)

Saragera's palle 103 Sávatara, 133

Sahityadarpana 231 321 Sinhamnadrarinista, 200-202 214.

Siddha.ena, 250 (astr.) Eddhenta, 253, 255 258 ff 269

tastr k - Laumudi, 89 226. furement 251 252.

Sitt, 135 192 193 Sukanyi 134.

Sukhavati 306 Suttanipdia, 201 ranga, 66 67

Sudáman 68 Sudyumns, 12c sunaphd, 255 (Greek) Sunderildpanicepanished 171. tuparna, 314. Savarnádhyáya, 171. Suparni, 134. Suprabhadeva, 196 Sabandhu, 189 213 245 257 319. Subhagasena, 251 Subhadra, 114 115 134. Si bhdihitaraindhara, 320. Sublidehaldrall, 320. Sumanasantaka (1), 208 Sumanto, 16 47 58 149. sura, 98 302 303. Surishtra, 70 Sulabha 16. Salabha, 56 Bušravas, 35 111-14, 266, Sufruta, 200 # 324 - 17 vldha, 259. edito, 31 32 124 140 edia, ttt Sutras, 8 15 (e'jmol; chlandorat). 29 56 57 210 254 200 - 137 128 (oussiges in the Brit granas) - 290 232 296 298 ff. (Suddh.) - 128 151 (r = Brahman) sitradkára, 198 275. Sárya, 62 (comm.) Barys 40 (20d) - prajnapis, 207 (Jug.) - Siddhanta, 61 249, 257 258. - "opanuhad, 154. 170 (rapid) siruit, 250 (249) Survaruna (Sarah), 280. Sringsyas 123, 132, Setubandha, 196 Bastava, 61 Saindhavas, varance 147 sobha, nagaraka 198. Soma, 6 61 (god) - (sacrifice) 65, 107 Som2deva, 212, 410 Sománanda, 322. Somedvare, 273 (mus) Samila, 28, Baut, 34. Sautrántika, 309 sautramani, 107 103 118 139 saubhikas, 198 s. faubhilas Sangapau, 134.

Saurailla, 204. 205. Sauranddhanta 258

raulahlani Brahmandni, 56 95

Sanfrayata, 100 Sanirmtanarthavas of f Skanda, 72 - Purána, 191 205 Skandasvámin, 41 42 79. Slandopenulad, 171 Jehabh, stabb, 231. diana, 274 307 sta. 11, 67" atoma, 67 81 etaubeus, 63 sheere, 77 102 105 sthánaba, 80. Spandaliti'ra, 322 Sphundhrana (!), 258 Sphria Siddhinta, 259. Smaradahana, 20S Smarta-Satras, 17 19 34 (Sann.) LOT Smrts, 17 19 20 81 - 542,763, 20 Bs. 143 276 Srughus, 237 Sparaparshasha, 83 arddb /dyo, 8 93. 144. andblanca, 200 endrin, 79 Srájamboura, 277 Svaidáyant, 34. Hanandispanusad, 160 Hanspanistad, 164, 165. Andda, che Ambie Hannmant 272 Hansmanndtaka, 203. Haradatta 80 278. Harr, 166 (Vishpu) 303 (Indra). Hart 225 226 gratum. harva, 255 (Greek) Harwania, 34. 189 Harrechandra, 184. Harter Smm, 72. 79 133. Hambaramaira, 142, Sri Harsha (king), 204. 207 - 196 (Nauhadhachar) -chanta 205 214. 319 L Sri Hala, 145 halabhrat 192 Halfrudhs, 60 (metr) 106 230 flex). kars, 112, hastighata, 117 Hardranda, 88 Hárita (Krushpa), 50. - 269 roed - zriddhs", 269 (med.) - (Diarma), 278 28z, 325.

Hela 83 211 232

H.S. riss, 140

H.L. lunsporn, 185

H. opaida, 212

hönke, 255 (Greek)

H. unseas, 265

H. unseas, 265

H. opaida, 265

H. opa

Hemschur e. 22, 321 (gr.) 230 (Pex.) 297 (Jan.) Helfer, 215 Helfer, 215 Helfer, 215 Hemsertt, 71, 156 Hemsertt, 71, 156 Hemsertt, 71, 156 Hemsertt, 72, 156 Hemsert, 35, 60, 86, 89, 109, 129, 120 Lord, 256 (Lord) January, 240 Lord, 256 (Lord)
INDEX OF MATTERS, ETC

Alybrepus, 254. Ahruman (and Mara), 303 30... Akbar, 233 Albirani, 60 189 201 239 249 253 254 257-263 256 474 323 Alexander, 4 6 27 28 30 179 221 222 251 Alexandris, 236 309 Alexandranus (Paulus), 252 Algebra, 256 239 Allinoi, 263 Auropoxdrys, 251 Amulet-prayers 203 Amyntus, 306 64a61, 255 Andubarrus, 255 Animal fables, 70 211 E. 301 Antigonas, 179 252. Antiochus 179 2,2 Aphrodians (1), 25% Adoptiling 254 Δτόκλιμα, 255 Apollodotue 183 Apolloning of Tyana, 252. Apotelermata, 230 Araba Araban a tronomy, 255-257 263 261 -Araby, a tronomical terms, 264-- commercial intercourse of the

Indiana w th Arabia 220

- Arabim Egares, 250

Arabs . mediciae, 266 270 271 - music, 273 - philosophy 239 Archimedes, 250. Areparius, 256 April 234 Arm, Ana, coupole d', 257 Aristoteles, 2,4. Arithmetic, 256 259 Amabahr, 255 259 Arkand, 250 Arman 4 106 136 Arsseidan Parthians, 183 Ars amands, 267 Ackieptade, outle of the, 263 Aergoroula of the Indiana, 30 Atoms, 211 Aur, augus, 257 Avesta, 6 35 eqS (Indian names of sts parts), 302. - and Buddhum, 327 Avicence, 271 Babrius, 216 Babylon, 2 247 Buetra, 207, s. Vallaka Bagdad, 255 270 E h, saland of, 189 195 208 Emdesanes, 309 Barlaum, 307

Eashkar 262 263

Faultiter, 209

Bastleys, Land, 306

Lasilia, 251 Bens fable, 211 If 301 B.lls, 307

Bangal i recensions, rod, and and Thabra marive, 272 294, 295

1 th fre T.J. 211 Pleased world of the 50 (72) BJt&, 209

Boot ins, 257 Bot, Ger, 20 30

1]pr. Gier, 25 30 Fneidhism, Buddhiste, 3 4. 20 22 -7 7G 70 90 121 133, 151 165 205 229 736 247 276 277 280

28 t IL litaldhat nors, 28t Burdthesl, 247 323

CRLJ t23 Cube, 10 18 78 77 110 fit 161 1,8 257 249 290 301 306 Cuylon, 192 286 291 293 295

- medie na 10, 257 Cankingne, astronomy, 243 (L.

rustr) Chaos stl Chess, 275

Chinese lunar esteriems, 247 248 (Kio Lx*) -atsiements on the date of Ka

20hla, 287 -tran our, my (imura) syr

300 301 (Buddit) travallers a Fa Lun, Hiero Thung

Zennariones (1 kerti, oper), 255 Christian mildences, 71 130 235 300 307 - ritual, influence of Buildhat ra

tuel and worship on fend two versa1, 307

-cot. Indian influence on, 237 300 Chromson Paschala, 255 Clemens Alexandrana, 306

Com, 205 (nénaka), 219 (dinéra) Coms. Ind.au. 315 218 210 Concuentaries, text secural macrys of, 181 Comparative my hology, 35, 35

Constanting, 255 Creat on, 233, 234 Cre. I formulas, 165 Curties 216

Cycles quanquenual and assential 113 247

Damus 252 D anower 175 o

Dars Shat h ofte Day, beginning of the, at milaight

Decimal place value of the figur.

Deads of get a G anta.

Derrers of the pearchs, 255 Denought v. 251

Acres , 255 Dekhan, A. 6 102 281 Dokkun recommon (of the Urvatil.

10° An 24179, 35 Demutt.a, 233 Denunia, 229 304. Diani, 179 200

Disprains, mystic, 310 Dislects, 6 175 2 205 206 299 Acti erres 255 Astonas, 254

Diespiter, 35 Dion Chrysostom, 186 188 Dionystus, 251 A 80 000 1

District, civiaen of Vedio schools scending to, 65 94- 132 133 -- of other ice to change int

205-203 - Various of style duting 1 - 40 by n mes e, 232

Dolphus, emblem of the God of Love, 252 274 325 (Cupid and Venus)

Boyupopla, 255 Δρεχμή, 229 Dravidan words, 3 Dargue, 289 291 305.

Dulys, 193 Darr i mufa tal, 272 Autor, 255

Egypt, commercial relativas h tween India and 3 Elanyuyi, 253-255

Elements, the Live, 234 Embryo, 160 Видиссом, 255

Bras, Indian, 202 203 210 260 Fa Han, 218 300 1 .. reher fodit, goog aphical name: m. 173

Per646n, 36 I cateral plays, religiona, 197 198 Figures, 250 32

- calerery pl marge (v . 10 Cardles, 37 Firmeeus Materiais 254

Forture tos, purse of, 264-265. Lox, in Fable, 211, 212. Garmi, growing 272 (mea.) Garga., 4-38. — no.-the of the, 193-248.

Galen, 307 Gollartry, 256 Gint sga gap 235 Gintar, 179 295

Gnc. _ m, 239 309 Gobar figure, 256 Gods, 1. ag a, et tues of, 273 -14 - lan range of the, 176

- In range of the, 176
- triad of Ages Indra, and Sury, 40 63 (A. I. and Seres)
- Bahman, 1 witer, and Vasha,
97 161 167 (Sira) 150 (Sira), 277

Grunte, 203 215 261 Great femals slaves, 203 251, 252 — monarchies of Pactria, 183 207

Grous Gi *L Acchier ure, 274 (three styles in Inc.,) — Astronomy, 153 2,3 240 251

off — Commerce with India, 252 — Drams, 207

— Brams, 207 — Feb'es 211 — God of Lore, 252, 274 (f)

- Influence upon India sea ally, 231 ff - Medicina c53 224 ces

- Medicine 253 324 325 - Philisophy, 220 221 234. - Sculpture, 273

- Writing, 221 Guido a Arrico 272 Gajurát, 139 170 207 251 Ormnoscobiats, 27

"Hλιος, 254. Hearlft, 6 135 156 224. Herschus, 255

Heret.ca, 98.
*Epuly, 254.
Homer, Indian, 185 ISS
— Homerc cycle of I gend 19.

Ωcn, 254. Ορίωτ, 255 Hindustán, 4.6 10 1° 38 29 33

Hindustan, 4.6 to 1° 38 39 7 187 192 283 265 Huan Thang, 217 ff 767 306 Hunnour, the three, 2'6 Hufaranh, 36 Tipoxtor, 254 Thysis, 28 48 Trysus, 255. Hun Abi Uéaib 1h, 266. Hun Ba 2har, 265 "Louis, 254. Immigration of the Áryas into Hin

Immagration of the Arras into Hindusten 35 29.
Indes Seythiaes 220 28,
Indus 10 37 38 218 285
Indus 10 37 58 218 279
Instal letters of names confored

to denote number, 256 to mark the seven mu ral no as, 272. Invention, 18, 213 228

Intercalary month, 2,7 .62 (three in the year)

in the year 3 Invisible cap, 264. Jackal and hon in Pable 211,

Jackal and hon in Fable 211
212
Java mland of, 150 105 205 220

Java, mland of, 1°9 195 208 229 171 280 Ieldn, 283

Tehán, 283 Tehángir 283 Tem híd 33 Josephat, 307

Tabal, 3 179 Kabal, 3 179 Kaba (tap) 3 Kabur, 291 194, 326 Kabar, 317

| Rabbara, 317 | Karkava, 36 | Kar Khe ru, 35 | Rables and Dammas 320, | Rables wa Dimna 212

| Kalmush tran.| 1:001 291 | Loughodador, 88 268. | Kambajan, 178 | Koupbergs, 178

Kappergr, 173 Kaniresa tran lat on, 189 Kanerki, s Kanisi ka. Kanheri, 202

Kantsh, 292 Kantsh, 209 Kapur di Giri, 179 , s. Kapardigiri. Kapindir 204. 213 215 220 223.

227 232 231 296. Kava U4, 36 Kava languages, origin of name,

Kava languages, origin of nam 195 — translations, 313 (date of) 325

- translations, 313 (date of) 323 Kespin, secret of doctrines, 49. Res50gam, 255 Kerrow, 254 255 Egres, 3

Kipsteps 35 Fer pd, 502 Kno-hat, 248 kobseps, 254

Fugity, 3.

356 Краго , 254-Agourh. 76 258 Idt. 240 258 League boots, 264 A/we. 254 Acres 255 Lion and jackal (fox), 221, 212 Longest day, length of the, 247 Love. God of, 252 274 Lunar man.1001, 2 30 90 92 148 229 246-249 252 255 281 304 - pLases, 281 Madiantinol, 10 106 Magas, 170 252 Magne, art of, 264, 265 Magic murror, 264 - ointment, 254 Mahmad of Ghassa, 25 Mairya (and Maraf), 303 Mahhol, 222 Mance, 309 Manes, sacrifice to the, 55 93 100 108 118 Manetho, 260 Mansione, twelve, 254 281 (actr) Manuscript, late date of, 181 182 foldest) Marraya, 75 Mazzaloth, Mazzaroth, 243 Medicine in Ceylon, 267 . in India, 324 325 Merasthrues, 4, 6 10 20 27 48 70 88 106 136 137 186 234 Meherdates, 188 Menander, 224, 251 305 Menanl, 323 (in Soghd). Mendicancy, religious, 237 Merguptunua, 255 Metemosychosis, 234. Metrical form of litersture, 182 Missioperies, Buddhist, 290 307 300 - Christian, 307. Μεταη, άπο μεημης, 20 Monachism, system of, 307 Monasteries, 274 281 Mongohan translations, 201 Mundane ages (four), 247 , z. Yegs Mucic, modern Indian, 325 Musical scale, 272 Mr tenes 197 198 Mythology, Comparatuse, 35 36 Names chronology from, 29 53

\$1 \$20 230 284 285 (* also Afga, Kevi, Tanto, Luira) Nearchan, 15 Nee-Pythagareans, 256, 257 Nepa, 201 300, 310 Neggete MSS, date of, 318 Nercegs, 56 No-th of Index, per-ty of lenguego in the, 25 45 296 Notes, the eaven muneal, 160 272 Numbers, denoting ot, by the letters of the alphabet 10 their order, 222. Numerical notet on by means of letters, 257 324. - Symbola, 256 Mahhr an, 212 Omens, 69 152 264 Ochir, 3 Oral tradition, 12 ff, 22 48 Ordeal, 73 Onses, 179 274 Othi, 201 Ocoasós, 35 Olius, 252 (s Arin) Orobeckes, 222 Palilar, 183 Pablari, translation of Palichatanira into, 212 257 Pale redaction of the Amerakeeha, 230 - of Manu's Code, 279 Massala, 136 137 185 Panjab, 2. 3 4 83 207 248 252 309 Pautheism, 242 Παρθέοςς, 254. Parthums 4, 185 318 Parel, parele 323 Pattalene, 280 Paulus Alexandrinus, 253 255 - al Yandal, 253 Peacocks, exportation of to Exveru, Periplus, 4. 6 Permutations, 256 Persa-Aryana, 6 133 148, 178 Persusos, 3 4- 188 ,-273 (1708). 274 (arch.) Peressa Eros, 36 37 187 - translating of the Upanishade, 155 -- Veda, 36 148 Personal desty, 165, 166 Merchauter, 268 × 1513, 255

Pinfozonher's Rid.," 201 Philos ratu., 252 Pacebus Apollo, 273 (type of) Phoenerans, their commencial ret one with India, 2, 3 248 Pholotonic 218 Phoning 218 Planets, 98 153 249-251 23-2

255 251 304 -Greek order of the, 319 323 326 Pl to Bactrian Lagh 273 They, 136

Plutarch, 306 Polarstar of Popular dialecta, 6 175-180 Hedwar 28, 24 .. Pro e-writing arrested to stad we

loyment, 483. Ptol maio., 253 274 (. tr) Ptolemy, 179 251 252 (tm.) -- 130 (Deogr) Onteon paul cycle, 112 217 Quetabons, text as gren 10, 122

Relio worship 306 Reya Cher Rel Pa, 184 291 Rbazes, 271

Rook macript.ons, 179 Re ary, 307 Earlpheveror, 217 223 Zap dray 28 Scale, munial, 272

Schools, great number of Veur.

Belaucus, 4 Semitio origin of Inuin writing, - - of the Beast fable, 211, 212

Setaplan, 271 Seven numeral rotes, 160 272 Singhend, 255 259 Sinchsleen translat.out, 292 Exoperios 254.

Savanti 300 Sv.c, 302 Eclar year, 245, 247 Solomon a time, trade with Incha

12, 3 E. Majary 258 Squaring of the e rely, 216

Seculopus (1) 253 Steeple-, 274. 305 Stone building 274Str. 60, 6 27 25 30 21, 246 Style sarat capf, dist invished by marges of provide. . 233 Succ ... ion of existence, 239 301 Stfr philesonhy, 2,9 Errach 255

Sun a two yourneys, at line limits of the qS

Σιοποτρηνη 76 Surgery, 260 270 Tandsur, 200 210 225 250 245

267 276 Taupo , 254.

Teachers, many, que,e 1, 50 77 Texts, uncertain, vor th . 181, 182

224, 225 Thomsand mame prayers, 203 Tibetane, translations of the 20'

212 231 201 300 K. D nglan, River, Aga Cher Pal Pa Tandia Tandate . 3 4

To. Er . 2.3

Transcribe s, midakes of, 181 Princist on a Arata Clines, Kalmuck, Kinfress Kivi, Mon rclas, P.Lisvi, Pul, Perelan,

Eugenale = Trunsm gration of roul 73 283 Tolower 250

Tryon cycle or legend 191 Tuabum, pe.co. s. 3 Velationan, 33 Veres with d libre (and Card

325 Vercaculars, 175-180 ..03 Veterioury med cine. 267 Te , th, 160 259 Water to 12 15,-of the Ya

Vanus, 221 - coming ment to, 22 144, 181 272, 255

Warter 1 130 80, 178 IL Yeshts, 56 30-Y1007, 35

Yfinn, Tidast, But atf. 307 Z 10 256 Zers, 35 - planet 251

Zan .eder , 95, 229 249 251 255 257 Z bas, 30

Zery'r, 254.

INDEX OF AUTHORS.

Ambros, 272 Anandachandra 48 68 79 Anguetil du Perron, 52 95 151. 155 162 Aufracht, 16 32 43 59 80 81 112 150 191 200 210 211 224 225 230 232 243 "57 260 s61 267 272 313 315 Balastans. 227 2.6 237 Fallactone, 222 225 235 237 244-Baneriea, 191 235 235 243 Milu Deva Satrio, 258 202 Barth, 257 316 321 Berthelerry St Hillare, 235 Buyley, 301. Beal, 293 300 309 327 Benary, F, 195 Benier, 15 22 43 44 64 66 117 157 212 221 267 273 274 302 302 325 Boatley, 257 267 Berga gue, 44. Bo-nouille, 325 Bertrand, 202 Bhravánisi Indrap, 374-Bhagran Yijaya, 327 Bhandarkar, 60 150 215 219 224 319 321 325 Bhán Dán, 215 227 254-262 319 Bibliotheca Indias, a. B limtyne, Banerjan, Cowell, H 1, Ray-mira L M. Bost, &c Bickell, 320 Biot, 247, 248 Bud, 215 Boht lingk, 22 106 210 213-220 222 225 230 320 323 Von Bohlen, 272 Bollensen, 44 Bopp, 178 189 Boyd, 207 Bro.1 4 35 Brockhaur, 213 262 Prowning, 84. Buhler, 50 54 92 97 101 15-155 164 170 182 196 201 210 212, 213, 214, 215 217 2.2 227 232 257 259 272 277, 273 280 282, 253 297 315 317 319-321 324-33 Burness, Lb , 247 253, -Jas , 215

TODANE, St 189 200 250 251 293 Prieder ch, 189 195 Pritz 3_0 Gangida Lavarda, 270 G. es, 211 Gr ver. L., 272 Geldner, 44 Gul me., er. 161 220 239 270 Courtsil v.man. 116. Gol s ... idt, Paul, tco Go ...c. aid , a og r ed, 65 106 Quid.t. r 12 15 22 87 100 130 11, 193 207 221, 222 #23 C-1, 225, 227 241 251 273 321 Gerra, u. 103 Gausti, 235 21-3 2 323 Quesidader - an 237 323 323. Qrawnaun 44 315 Gatt h. 10 .. Grill, 207 Grim: lot, 293 319 326. Gronman, 263 Grub*, 171 Fin Out-chand, 183, Hang, 203 Hans 10 58 St. 142, 152 324 Henberau, 201 Hall, 105 01 201 207 213 214 23t #32 233 237 237 258 318 319 Hick Lat. Hardy - 1- 217 30. Hau -- 25 32 7 60 6" 91 03 100 150 152 153 155 102 314, 315 317 Haal r 203 Herman, 231 Hillers-not 44 314 Hoan on, 251 202 300 Hallan en 200, 228 210 270 318 _lu 32 _ rd.a V 3/4.5gur, 205 15 4 J ..., 05 204 21- 251 255 1- - 1 319 3-, 304 Ja 1 171. 1 July 23 J - 5 171, 247 21_ J 1114 ... 1 a 270 329 325 1 , _, 107 - 35 275 277 - 31 Jil. , - 1 T to 1 1س _ 4" 71 2CI

K., hunth Tumosk Telang, 194. Kel E C. 211, 212 Kernedy, Van., 170 Kern, 61 179 202 204 213 224 247 257-261 2.7 279 284, 297 299 310 224 Kein Zu'n, 323 E1 thera, 25 61 68 95 101 150 170 cla 225, 2.6 tla 32t E teel, 155 Kul , 210 310 Knighton, 201 Engran, 2°3 300 507 508 Kr4 gart n 212 Kri la.h str 320 Luba, Ad, 25 ,2 35, 35 6. Kutn E , 293 295 Kunte, 425 (Mu e.nia") Labaulage, 307 Luccion 43 189 Le.co, 4. 28 75 176 179 185 183 187 197 120 199 201 202 201 207 214, 218-220 227 229 239 24- 247 251 252 254 257 250 273 27, 276 287-200 272 277 324 300 307 210 Leimann, 279 Ler per, 273. L trumm, 229 Lebrent, 307 Lucas, Ven der, 275 L.d., 318 L. leur Dechangehamps, 230. Lorger say Luta, 0 , 203 Lurrs, 1, 41 2,9 315 afallender Gipte, 270 More cannalyly rites, or par Marchae, 434. Fayr, 279 Meyer Pul., 313, 314 316 Minay 2 3 293 303 Muller, E . 220 Mu'er Fr, 233 35 - 42 12 15 15 19 22 31 37 61 63 65 0, 1st tu 116 4 151 15, 17C \$50 20₁ 221 225 23,-235 241 244, 215 217 27 2 2 4 3 3 17 3 4 315 325 "au 41 44 210 202 209 LEAL SHE 31 1, Le 303 widthe, 187 318 enberg, 316 ..

Olhausen, 4, 183 318, Patter.on, 273 Parie, 189 Pattelh, 40, 60 St. Peterburg Dichonary, 16 104, 108 112 141 150 305 Patch, 20, 60 327 295 320 321 Poley, 50 139 Poley, For 215 254

Poni, Père 216 254 Pramadá Idas Mitre, 231 Premachandra Tarkavi, 152, 232 Primeop, 179 229 Prym, 320

Ridolfdata Deva, 275 Ridolfdata Deva, 275 Rigordmedistrin 223 Rigordm Lills Mitrs, 48 61 65 73.84.94.142 151 155 158 168-104 166, 167 169-171, 152 202.

210 215 220 271 274 275 297 299 215 317 Pimamaya Tarkaratna, 158 168 Ramamaratarina, 322

Kamamaraia-trin, 322 Ramandriyena, 58 91 243. Ram Rice, 275

Rask, 293 Regnaud, 318 320

Raguer, 34-59
Reinard, 61-148-201-202-217
Reinard, 61-148-201-202-217
219-229-239-252, 253-256-259-254-307
Penan, 309
Penan, 309
Pitt., 270

1'161, 230 Roer, 43 48 51 54 73 74 91 94 96 116 139 154 157 160 161 231 235 244 262. Rosou, 43

Part, 66 182 191 236 263 270 279 Roth, 8 22 23 25 33 96 33 42 43 44 48 63 70 80 102 112 146 147 150 152 178 201 247 207, 268 270 303 Royle, 271

Suchau, 253 223-Satyarraia Mimberstini, C6 299 316 Schreiner, 56 185 209 212 227 248 291 300 306 307 326 Sublag utweit P. 310

Schlag niweit P, 310
Schlegel, A W von 194 231 275
Schluter, 234
Schmidt 287 291 305
Schoolt 287

Schwankeck, 20 Seddlor, 247 Shynlar P. ngit, 204, 315, 318 Soundra Vichan Tagore, 325 Spener, 19 102 142 prezel, 293 300 306

Spiegel, 293 300 306 Stemechneder, 247 Stemechneder, 247 Stemechneder, 24 55 58 142 195 206 263 277-280 318 325

Senart, 293 301 326.

263 277-280 318 325 Etwenson, 43 65 215 297 326 Blorek, 293 Strachev, 262

Strackey, 262 Streeter, 55 1árdad ha Terkaváchaspati, Sy 184, 226

Taylor, J., 262 Taylor, W, 155 162 164, 165 167 169-171

167 169-171 Throat, 60 256 316 324 Thomas, 215 256 Turnour, 207 292, 203 306

Vanz, 215 273 Vanz, 215 273 Vechanaramata.trm, 190 323 Vinson, 3 Vikronáthatástrm, 60

Vullers, 258
Wagener, A., 211
Warren, 297
Wassiljew, 248
300
309
Weigle, 189

West, A A , 215 West, P , 278 West, L W , 215, Westermard, 22 184, 201 203, 215 223 230 254 355 293

295 304. Wheeler, T, 190 251 281 Whish, 254.

Whataey, z 23 64 103 150 152 247 257, 258

247 257, 258 Vulkins, 228 Vulkins, 262 Williams, 189

Windrothmann, 73 243

Worpeke, 253 256 257 Wright, Dan , 318 Zem.ner, 11